one public hearing, and we'll vote separately on the items.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

MR. COLLER: Item E-2, a Resolution of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting Remote Parking Conditional Use approval pursuant to Article 14, "Process", Section 14-203, "Conditional Uses," for proposed remote parking associated with the mixed-use project referred to as "The Avenue" hotel and residences on the property legally described as Lots 8 through 11, Block 9, Revised Plat of Coral Gables Industrial Section (351 San Lorenzo Avenue), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repeater provision, severability clause, and an effective date.

Item E-3, a Resolution of the City
Commission of Coral Gables, Florida approving
receipt of Transfer of Development Rights
(TDRs) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14,
"Process," Section 14-204.6, "Review and
approval of use of TDRs on receiver sites," for
the receipt and use of TDRs for a mixed-use
project referred to as "The Avenue" hotel and

residences on property legally described as Lots 8 through 11, Block 9, Revised Plat of Coral Gables Industrial Section (351 San Lorenzo Avenue), Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repeater provision, severability clause, and an effective date.

Items E-2 and E-3, public hearing. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. The applicant, please.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, again, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. Mario Garcia-Serra, with offices at 600 Brickell Avenue, here today representing San Lorenzo Property, LLC, the owner of the property at 351 San Lorenzo Avenue.

I'm joined today by Mr. Willy Bermello, our project architect, as well as Oscar Roger, Sr. and Oscar Roger, Jr., my clients.

This is another project that is utilizing some new or seldom used Code provisions to create an exciting new project, which will finally bring a hotel to this area of Merrick

The project site is about 11,000 square

feet in size, based on the presentation, and you see where it's located, at the northwest corner of the intersection of San Lorenzo Avenue and Laguna Street, directly across from Neiman Marcus department store.

It is Zoned MX2, and located within the Design and Innovation Overlay District that the Zoning Code adopted in February of 2021, which extended the ability to remote park and to utilize TDRs in this area of the City. Being able to remote park helps to bring the scale of construction down to a very agreeable level. This building is seven stories and 83 feet in height, in an area where many new buildings reach up to 120 feet. This more intermediate scale has rarely been seen in the Gables, and it's also possible, because with TDRs, we can now transfer floor area from historic properties to this area of the City, which, for this property, means an additional 9,600 square feet.

We are proposing to develop a 54-unit hotel and residences project, where each unit will be owned as a condominium unit, and centrally managed by a hotel management company. These

sort of units are the larger apartment like hotel units which have become popular recently, and will at last provide the Shops of Merrick Park a nearby luxury hotel.

With that said, this is the location of the remote parking spaces. The're going to be across the street, at the parking garage of the Merrick Shops, and with that said, I'll hand it over to Willy now, so that he can make the presentation of the project.

MR. BERMELLO: Thank you, Mario.

Willy Bermello, with an address at 4711 South Le Jeune Road.

Mr. Chair, and Members of the Board, I'll take you quickly through the design. In this project, we're taking advantage of the Mediterranean bonus for the architecture. And, basically, it's an architectural response, but like our legal counsel said, one of the things we wanted to do was to keep the bulk of the building as small as possible. Instead of reaching 11, 12 stories, which we could have done, we wanted to keep that under seven stories.

This is in response to what's immediately

across the street from us. So this is a project where we're trying to be very sensitive to Merrick Park and its scale, its retail, the treatment of the base, giving it a feeling of a Ralph Lauren type feel, when you walk by, with the shops. We are buying some of the on-street parking to expand the sidewalks and create an outdoor cafe environment.

In an earlier applicant, there were some comments regarding deliveries, I believe, along the alleyways. One of the things that we've done, and one of the takeaways from this design is that, we've created a breezeway, and that is done for a couple of reasons. Number One is, we wanted to reduce congestion for both, drop off and deliveries, for the select service hotel. So all of that is being done within the property, not on-street or not on the alleyway. We think that's a tremendous benefit.

Second, even though the setback requirements along both, Laguna and San Lorenzo, are zero up to the 45-foot step back, we have provided, on the second and third level, a seven-and-a-half foot step back. So we wanted to make sure that we would provide as

much light and sunlight to this sidewalk, and then the building goes up, up to the seventh level.

On the rooftop, we have an amenity level, with a swimming pool and areas for small dining.

In short, this is a small project. We basically are dealing with 48 luxury suites, basically one and two-bedroom super suites, and on the ground level, we have approximately 3,800 square feet of Commercial space, that is immediately fronting the front entrance to Neiman Marcus.

So, in short, that is the essence of what you're looking at. One of the items that we're here for, obviously, is remote parking. So this project would require 67 spaces as designed. The developer has an agreement to purchase 70, with an option to increase that up to a hundred.

I'd like to go through some of the elements of the -- $\,$

MR. DELGADO: We had a video, but I don't know if it's at the end of the presentation.

MR. COLLER: Can we get your name and

address?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Your name and address.

MR. DELGADO: I'm sorry. Heriberto
Delgado, on behalf of Bermello, Amajil &
Partners, 4711 South LeJeune Road, Coral
Gables, 33146. We moved recently.

MR. BERMELLO: So Ediberto will take you through the different levels. We basically have eight units per floor. The floor is stacked, and the only difference is, we have a lanai on the second floor, which is where the building sets back the seven-and-a-half feet.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Are you able to control the presentation?

MR. DELGADO: Yes. Well, we had a video, but I don't think it's included here. Can I connect to the laptop?

MR. BERMELLO: There we go. Is it running? Oh, there we go.

MR. DELGADO: You can see, in the aerial view, how well the project -- this is taken within the context, in terms of scale and in respect to the other buildings, some of them already built and others under construction.

This is a view of the corner on San Lorenzo

and Laguna. You can get an idea of all of the retail spaces. We'll be activating the street. This is a view on San Lorenzo, and would spill out into the sidewalk.

This is a view of the interior, just to give you a feel of what the project is. This is the lobby of the hotel. And these are a few views of the interior units. You can see how some of the iconic or this dark navy blue is also being implemented, as far as the interior of the units, as we're doing on the base of the building, with the precast stone.

And on the last few, I think we will be taking a look at the pool deck at the roof level.

MR. BERMELLO: So we currently have approval from the Board of Architects and the Board of Adjustment. We're down to one -- two more steps, with you, and subsequently, with the City Commission, for both, the TDRs and the remote parking.

And we're open to any comments or questions from the Board.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you, Willy.

With this project, we're coming full circle, to a certain extent. Oscar Roger and family developed the first mixed-use project in this area in the early 2000, and they're now bringing this area its first hotel. This is another big step in realizing the mixed-use village which was envisioned for this area of the City in the late 1990s.

Your Staff is recommending approval, with conditions, which are acceptable to my client. We ask that you follow that recommendation and recommend approval of this promising project. Thank you very much for your time.

I'll reserve some time for rebuttal, if necessary.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Staff.

MS. GARCIA: Jennifer Garcia, City Planner. Could I have the PowerPoint, please?

All right. As was just discussed, the location of the property is San Lorenzo and Laguna. You can see here, it's just outside of the Shops of Merrick Park development.

The current Future Land Use designation is Industrial and the Zoning is mixed-use, and

Neighborhood Meeting in May, and we're here for Planning & Zoning.

They sent letters within a thousand feet of the property two times. The property was posted two times, as well, and one website posting, and it's been advertised once.

So we believe that the application is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, the goals and objectives of the policies, and we recommend approval, with conditions, and those conditions are outlined, obviously, again, in your Staff report, but just to summarize, to comply with remote parking requirements, it includes a covenant, the annual renewal, the remedial planning, if the parking spaces fall through, as well as maintain pedestrian access, during construction, along Laguna and San Lorenzo, and a payment for the loss of five on-street parking spaces due to the impact of the widening of the sidewalks, and improve pedestrian crossing, signage and ramping along that west wing of the intersection on San Lorenzo and Laguna.

And that's it.
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

it's within the Design and Innovation District.

The property is on the top image, and the Shops of Merrick Park are where the remote parking will occur, on the bottom image.

And they're just having two requests. The first one is remote parking. This is the Site Plan. This is the retail, you can see it in the orange, and the lobby in the yellow, and an extended sidewalk along the perimeter.

Site data, I'm going to move through those quickly, this is the TDRs with the 9,600 square feet, and they're requesting a total of 70 remote parking spaces, and they'll be parking those across the street, in the North Laguna parking structure.

The second request is the TDRs. They'll be getting the TDRs, the 9,600 hundred square feet, from 36 Phoenetia, which in a local historic landmark, currently being used as an Airb -- sorry, bed and breakfast, and this has been reviewed by DRC in November of last year, and were recognized for approval back in February of this year, and the Board Adjustment for the upper floor step backs was approved recently, in April, and they had their

I'd like to go ahead and open it for public comment. Jill?

THE SECRETARY: No one on Zoom.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No one on Zoom?

THE SECRETARY: No.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nobody in Chambers?

THE SECRETARY; No.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nobody on the phone platform?

Mario, I'll go ahead and close it for public comment.

MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No need for rebuttal. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

At this time, I'd like to open it up for Board discussion.

Julio?

MR. GRABIEL: I drive by this site every day, and, obviously, there's a hole in the fabric of the City, which this building will fill it and fill it good. It's a nice project, and I don't see anything that's wrong with it.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Venny?

MR. TORRE: What about parking? So I don't have any issue with the use of the Merrick Park

```
1
        Village parking. There's plenty there.
                                                                1
                                                                        nor owned the spaces.
2
        Obviously, that's the whole intent of providing
                                                                2
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: This one, we're halfway
        a thousand, two thousand spaces for future uses
3
                                                                3
                                                                        there?
        like this. I'm all for it.
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: This one, we have some control
4
5
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right.
                                                                5
                                                                        over the use of the space, but we do not
6
                                                                        directly manage or own them.
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: So I happen to be on the
            MR. WITHERS: Sorry. I'm just trying to
7
        get my arms around this whole remote parking.
                                                                        Commission when the whole Merrick Park deal,
8
                                                                8
            MR. KINNEY: This one is very much like you
                                                                        which the City did a terrible deal with Merrick
9
                                                                9
        started to go down the path last time.
                                                                        Park, not in the development of it, but in the
10
                                                                10
            MR. WITHERS: Okay.
                                                               111
                                                                        monetizing of it, I think.
11
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: I would like to have more
12
            MR. KINNEY: In fact -- if I may, this
                                                               12
                                                               13
        project, Village of Merrick Park, was built --
                                                                        control of the spaces, yes.
13
14
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Kevin, if you may, for
                                                               14
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: Yeah. So the original intent
                                                               15
15
        the court reporter, if you would state --
                                                                        was, as those warehouses to the north were
16
            MR. KINNEY: Kevin Kinney, Parking Director.
                                                               116
                                                                        developed into what they are now, there would
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                               17
                                                                        be additional public parking to support a lot
17
18
            MR. KINNEY: It was approved by the City in
                                                               18
                                                                        of that activity.
        the early 2000s, more than twenty years ago.
                                                               19
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: Yes.
19
20
            MR. WITHERS: Correct.
                                                               20
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: So, philosophically, this is
                                                               21
21
            MR. KINNEY: And as part of that project,
                                                                        kind of a change, because you're now giving 20
        the City negotiated 400 additional spaces
                                                               22
                                                                        percent forever to this developer, and I know
22
                                                               23
        within Village of Merrick Park that are
                                                                        the developer, I know Willy, I have all of the
23
24
        dedicated to non-Village of Merrick Park use.
                                                               24
                                                                        respect in the world for these guys -- you're
            MR. WITHERS: Correct.
                                                               25
25
                                                                        giving, basically, all of that parking, which
                                                          61
            MR. KINNEY: So I actually have some
                                                                        was intended to be -- I mean, public parking,
1
                                                                1
2
        control over those spaces, because they're
                                                                2
                                                                        to a single user. Now, I like the trade-off
3
        dedicated to the surrounding neighborhood.
                                                                3
                                                                        between the height, the density -- versus -- so
        This will be the first actual contract to use
                                                                        that's what kind of pushed me into the
4
        any of those 400 spaces, and those 400 spaces,
                                                                        direction of, let's go with this, but
5
        220 of them are in the two garages north of
                                                                        philosophically, tying up 20 percent of your
6
        Village of Merrick Park and 180 of those spaces
                                                                        parking capacity, and all we ever heard is,
7
        are in the office tower, which is on the other
                                                                8
                                                                        we're out of parking, we're out of parking,
8
9
        side of Ponce.
                                                                9
                                                                        we're out of parking, to someone in perpetuity,
            MR. WITHERS: Right.
                                                                10
                                                                        how do you justify that?
10
            MR. KINNEY: So this is the first time that
                                                               111
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: So this is kind of a unique
11
        those 400 spaces are being used to develop a
                                                               12
                                                                        situation, the whole Village of Merrick Park
12
                                                               13
                                                                        area, which is now called the Innovation
13
        project. And so this is a number that I am
        well aware of, because I have some interest in
                                                                        District, I think.
14
                                                               14
15
        those 400 spaces, and we have chastised the
                                                               15
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: Yeah, something like that.
        Village of Merrick Park historically for
                                                               16
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: So what's happened in the
16
        misusing those 400 spaces, but this is a
                                                               17
17
                                                                        intervening 20 years, and we can go down there
18
        perfectly appropriate use of those 400 spaces,
                                                               18
                                                                        and there's still some major construction
19
        because it's a project outside of the Village
                                                               19
                                                                        happening now, but as those other projects have
        of Merrick Park that we believe supports the
                                                               20
                                                                        gone up, there's been significant parking
20
21
        development of this neighborhood.
                                                               21
                                                                        requirements.
            MR. WITHERS: So, hence, the verb,
                                                               22
                                                                             MR. WITHERS: Okay.
22
        controlled versus owned?
                                                               23
23
                                                                             MR. KINNEY: So, yes, I would agree with
24
            MR. KINNEY: Yes.
                                                               24
                                                                        you, the on-street parking is slight in this
```

area. It probably always will be, just like

The previous project, we neither controlled

68

```
the Downtown area, because there's such a
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: A hotel.
1
                                                                1
2
        limited number of on-street spaces. But both,
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: -- I don't know that there's
3
        Village of Merrick Park and the proposed
                                                                3
                                                                        enough space in those two garages to do a
        developments, have surveyed the parking
                                                                        hotel, because right now, with the 220 spaces
4
5
        availability in the Village of Merrick Park,
                                                                5
                                                                        that are in the two north properties, we're
                                                                        taking away 70 of them. So there's 150 left.
6
        the 3,400 plus spaces. The parking in that
        facility is very underutilized.
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: In the rooftop of the
7
            MR. WITHERS: So --
                                                                        Nordstrom garage there, is that not available?
8
                                                                8
            MR. KINNEY: So I do think there's been a
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: That wouldn't be a deal with
9
        shift in the 20 years and it seems like this is
                                                                        the City. That would be a deal with Village of
10
        a good use of those spaces, but I would never
                                                               111
                                                                        Merrick Park. And I can tell you, Village
11
12
        say there's a lack of parking in this district;
                                                               12
                                                                        Merrick Park is very protective of their
        on-street parking, yes.
                                                               13
                                                                        customer.
13
14
            MR. WITHERS: So your comment that, the
                                                               14
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: So I quess my main question
                                                                        to you, as the Director of the City's Parking
15
        past 20 years, we have kind of required
                                                               15
        additional parking, we've held the line on
16
                                                               116
                                                                        Empire, your attitude change is to lessen the
        parking requirements --
                                                                        requirement for developers to put parking
                                                               17
17
18
            MR. KINNEY: Yes.
                                                               18
                                                                        on-site, because you feel that over the past --
            MR. WITHERS: So, this, we no longer feel
                                                               19
                                                                        I'm not trying to put words in your mouth. I'm
19
20
        we have to hold that line any longer and we're
                                                               20
                                                                        just trying to understand where we -- you feel,
21
        allowing this developer not to build parking?
                                                               21
                                                                        over the past 20 years, we have held the line
        Is that now -- that's the attitude change I
                                                               22
                                                                        and we've required enough parking in order to
22
                                                               23
                                                                        allow 20 percent or so of our controlled
23
        speak about.
24
            MR. KINNEY: I think the infill -- and
                                                               24
                                                                        parking towards a new development?
                                                               25
        there are just a very limited number of
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: Yes. It doesn't necessarily
25
                                                          65
        development sites left. I think, the infill,
                                                                        need to be open and available to transient
1
                                                                1
2
        there is more than enough capacity at Village
                                                                        parking, short-term parking --
        of Merrick Park for the infill that is still
3
                                                                3
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: Okay.
        remaining. You know, we've got one large
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: -- because we've got a huge
        parcel on Aurora.
                                                                        parking supply in this district.
5
            MR. WITHERS: Right.
                                                                            MR. TORRE: Mr. Chairman, I need to at
6
                                                                        least understand.
            MR. KINNEY: We've got another parcel along
7
        Le Jeune --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
8
                                                                8
9
            MR. WITHERS: Right.
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. TORRE: When you say, "North," I'm
            MR. KINNEY: -- between Altara and San
                                                                        seeing east and west parking. I don't know
10
                                                               111
                                                                        what north is, because --
11
        Lorenzo.
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: So the main garage is the one
12
            MR. WITHERS: Right.
                                                               12
                                                               13
                                                                        that's south of the Shops at Merrick Park.
13
            MR. KINNEY: But other than that, there's
        not a lot of parcels that are remaining to be
                                                                        It's the big garage that everybody pulls in,
                                                               14
14
15
        developed.
                                                               15
                                                                        when they're going --
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. TORRE: It's always full. That's the
            MR. WITHERS: So it's safe to say, the one
16
        across from Nordstrom, the vacant lot --
                                                               17
17
                                                                        south.
18
            MR. KINNEY: Yes.
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: That's the south.
19
            MR. WITHERS: -- if a developer wanted to
                                                               19
                                                                            MR. TORRE: Okay.
        come in there and build a six-story hotel, they
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: The north is the two --
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
                                                                        there's a garage underneath both of the
21
        would not have to require any on-site parking?
        Is that the precedent you're setting right now?
                                                               22
                                                                        residential buildings north.
22
                                                                            MR. TORRE: Is that the ones you're
             MR. KINNEY: That would be a little more
                                                               23
23
        difficult conversation, because it depends
                                                               24
                                                                        deferring -- this project will be using those
24
```

66

on -- if it was a hotel --

25

25

mostly?

```
1
            MR. KINNEY: Yes. And, then, the east is
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: So they're paying --
        the one over on the 100 Block of San Lorenzo,
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: Then, in other areas, it's
                                                                2
3
        next to the office tower that's part of Village
                                                                3
                                                                       12,500, but in this zone, it's $10,000 per
        of Merrick Park.
4
                                                                       space.
            MR. TORRE: So the other project that was
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: And that money is going to a
5
 6
        looking for parking, we were going to use that
                                                                        fund -- a parking fund that we --
                                                                            MR. KINNEY: Yes, to develop parking any
        location?
            MR. KINNEY: That's in that one, and that
                                                                8
                                                                       place in the City. And if, for some reason --
8
        one --
                                                                       well, it's an agreement with the City, so I
9
                                                                9
                                                                        don't really perceive this happening, but if,
10
            MR. TORRE: Correct? That was going over
                                                               10
                                                               111
                                                                        for some reason, they lost that contract, then
11
12
            MR. BEHAR: We approved that project.
                                                               12
                                                                        they would have to pay the fund again. I mean,
                                                               13
            MR. TORRE: Yeah. I'm just saying,
                                                                       the City is not going to renege on the
13
14
        location-wise, that was going to go use those
                                                               14
                                                                        contract.
15
        spaces?
                                                                            (Simultaneous speaking.)
16
            MR. KINNEY: Yes, but that's in the tall
                                                               116
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mario, I'd like to ask
        garage that's attached to the --
                                                                       you a question, if I may. The concept of this
                                                               17
17
18
            MR. TORRE: Nobody ever goes in there.
                                                               18
                                                                       property, it's done as a hotel, but the units
        I've never been in there. I understand what
                                                               19
                                                                       are sold.
19
20
        you're talking about.
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. They're in a
21
            The one in the north, now I understand it's
                                                               21
                                                                       condominium form of ownership.
        in the apartment -- underneath the apartments.
                                                               22
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So is that sort of in
22
                                                               23
                                                                        the form of a resort transient use that would
            MR. KINNEY: Yes.
23
24
            MR. TORRE: Which is a little awkward to
                                                               24
                                                                        be within the units? When the units go back on
                                                               25
        get in. You've got to make a real hard turn
                                                                        the market for people to stay there, it's done
25
                                                          69
                                                                                                                          71
1
        left and then go up the ramp.
                                                                       through the main desk?
2
            MR. KINNEY: Yeah. That's the one that
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So the licensing and
        would be a little over 200 spaces.
3
            MR. WITHERS: No one even knows it's public
                                                                        so forth is done through the hotel part itself?
 4
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: There's a centralized
5
        parking.
6
            MR. KINNEY: Yeah, and they are, to be
                                                                       management that's going to mange the unit that
        honest, almost as empty as the --
                                                                       are being rented out as hotels.
7
            MR. TORRE: I can understand why.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can the unit owners
8
9
            MR. BEHAR: I mean, I'm surprised, because
                                                                       opt out of that and --
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: A unit owner could live
        I go there to park sometimes and I don't know
10
                                                               111
                                                                       there some of the time and use it to reside and
        how many empty spaces are there really. The
11
                                                                        not have it part of the hotel.
12
        fact that there are extra spaces, I'm surprised
                                                               12
                                                               13
13
        about that, but let me ask you, before I start
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And what happens if
        -- are you done there?
                                                                       they want to go through other platforms?
                                                               14
14
15
            MR. WITHERS: I'm done, yeah. Thank you.
                                                               15
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No, they have to
            MR. BEHAR: But, again, is there a
                                                               16
                                                                       go through -- it has to be managed as a hotel,
16
        payment -- because the other project that came
                                                               17
                                                                        so there has to be one centralized management.
17
18
        in, it was paying like $10,500 for a space or
                                                               18
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's what I wanted
19
        something like that?
                                                               19
                                                                       to make sure.
            MR. KINNEY: Yes.
                                                                            MR. GRABIEL: They cannot lease it on their
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
            MR. BEHAR: Are they paying into this?
                                                                       own?
            MR. KINNEY: The previous project, the rate
                                                               22
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: No.
22
                                                               23
        on Miracle Mile is 5,500. The rate in the
23
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. That's where I
        Innovation District is 10,000.
                                                               24
                                                                       was going. Thank you.
24
            MR. WITHERS: Remember, we went through --
                                                               25
                                                                            Anybody that would like to make a motion?
25
```

```
MR. GRABIEL: I'd like to move --
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: I wanted to get that on the
1
                                                                1
2
            THE SECRETARY: Sorry, we need two separate
                                                                2
                                                                       record.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So Julio went ahead
3
                                                                3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sorry. Thank you.
                                                                       and made a motion. Robert second it.
4
5
            MR. COLLER: We need one on E-2 first.
                                                                            Any further discussion? No?
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, Julio. So
                                                                6
                                                                            Call the roll, please.
 6
        let's do E-1 first.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
            MR. COLLER: No, E-2.
                                                                8
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sorry. E-2 and E-3, I
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
9
                                                                            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
10
        apologize.
                                                               10
            MR. COLLER: E-2 first.
                                                               111
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
11
            MR. GRABIEL: I'd like to move for approval
12
                                                               12
                                                                            MR. TORRE: Yes.
        for Item E-2.
                                                               13
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
13
            MR. WITHERS: I'll second it.
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: Yeah.
14
                                                               14
            MR. COLLER: That's in accordance with
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                               15
        Department recommendation?
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
16
                                                               116
            MR. GRABIEL: Correct.
                                                               17
                                                                            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you very much,
17
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For the remote
18
                                                               18
                                                                       Mr. Chair, Members of the Board. I hope you
19
                                                               19
                                                                       have a great evening.
20
             We have a first. Chip went ahead and
                                                               20
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                            Next we have Item E-4 -- let's take --
21
        second.
                                                               21
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Do you want to take a little
22
            Any comments? No?
                                                               22
                                                               23
            Call the roll, please.
23
                                                                       break?
24
            THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
                                                               24
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: No. No.
            MR. WITHERS: Yes.
                                                               25
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We can get it going.
                                                          73
                                                                                                                         75
            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Okay. I thought you were
1
                                                                1
2
            MR. BEHAR: Yeah.
                                                                2
                                                                       leaning --
            THE SECRETARY; Julio Grabiel?
3
                                                                3
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I was, but they're
            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                                       filing out quickly.
5
            THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Okay. Item E-4, an Ordinance
6
            MR. TORRE: Yes.
                                                                       of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                       providing for a text amendment to Article 2
7
8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                                       "Zoning Districts," Section 2-405 "Residential
            The next is E-3, that has to do with the
                                                                       Infill Regulations Overlay District (RIR)" of
9
        transfer of development rights. Is there a
                                                                       the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning Code
10
                                                               111
                                                                       to provide a maximum building length of three
11
        motion?
            MR. GRABIEL: I move for approval of E-3
                                                               12
                                                                       hundred feet for all properties seeking
12
                                                               13
                                                                       approval pursuant to the Residential Infill
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With the
                                                                       Regulations; providing for severability,
14
                                                               14
                                                                       repeater, codification, and an effective date.
15
        recommendation of Staff?
                                                               15
                                                               16
                                                                            Item E-4, public hearing.
            MR. GRABIEL: Right.
16
            MR. WITHERS: Can I discuss -- just a
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Jennifer Garcia, City Planner.
17
        question. Where are the development rights
18
                                                               18
                                                                       As you may remember, February of last year,
        coming from, which structure?
                                                                       actually, there was a project that brought some
19
                                                               119
            MR. COLLER: They identified it in the --
                                                                       controversy because of the length of it. The
20
                                                               20
                                                               21
21
            MR. TORRE: 36 --
                                                                       Board actually -- I was hoping that Mr.
            MR. GARCIA-SERRA: 36 Phoenetia, the
                                                               22
                                                                       Revuelta would be here, as it was his motion
22
        historic landmark -- actually the original
                                                               23
                                                                       actually to advise the Commission to maybe add
23
24
        homestead of the Douglas family, for whom
                                                               24
                                                                       in some kind of limit, as far as the length of
        Douglas Road is named after.
                                                               25
                                                                       the buildings for this district.
25
```

```
That didn't move at the time, but now there
                                                                       So 300 feet -- can you do 300, then a 10-foot
1
2
        is a Commissioner -- actually, a Vice Mayor,
                                                                       break, and then do 200 and just call that two
                                                                2
                                                                       buildings and there's 10 feet in between two
3
        who wants to sponsor this text amendment. So
                                                                3
        the text amendment, like you said, is limiting
                                                                       very close to the same looking buildings?
4
                                                                4
5
        the buildings within this district to be only
                                                                5
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Right.
6
        300 feet in length facing a street.
                                                                            MR. TORRE: Is that going to accomplish
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Why 300? Do you have
                                                                7
                                                                       anything?
7
        any type of presentation or PowerPoint?
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: I think her intent here is to
8
                                                                8
            MS. GARCIA: I do not, no. No, it's just
                                                                       limit the building development and have open
9
                                                                9
10
        one sentence.
                                                               10
                                                                        space.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: How long was the one
                                                               11
                                                                            MR. TORRE: But this doesn't do a lot of
11
12
        we were arguing about --
                                                               12
                                                                        that. I mean, there's no other requirements to
            MS. GARCIA: How long is, what?
                                                               13
                                                                       change the building design from one to the
13
14
            MR. TORRE: It was from Salzedo to Le
                                                               14
                                                                        other. You could just say, I'm going to do two
15
        Jeune, whatever that length is.
                                                               15
                                                                       buildings, 250 and 250, and just call it a day
16
            MS. GARCIA: Yes.
                                                               116
                                                                       and nothing's happening.
            MR. TORRE: What is the length of that? Do
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: I think that was a very
                                                               17
17
18
        you know? Just the comparison --
                                                               18
                                                                        special project that had specific --
            MS. GARCIA: What length was that building?
                                                               19
                                                                            MR. TORRE: No, I know, but this is trying
19
            MR. TORRE: Yeah, what would you say that
                                                               20
                                                                       to fix it. I'm not sure this is doing much.
20
21
        was?
                                                               21
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: And, actually, you could have
            MS. GARCIA: I think it was between five
                                                               22
                                                                        two buildings, right, abutting each other, with
22
                                                               23
        and five fifty.
                                                                        no separation of 10 feet, and you're going to
23
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do
                                                               24
                                                                       accomplish the same thing. I'm not sure -- I
                                                               25
        is, before we get into a discussion, let's find
                                                                       see the intent, and I think it could be good.
25
                                                                                                                          79
        out -- is there anybody here from the public,
                                                                       The City of Miami has an open to the sky paseo
1
                                                                1
2
        Jill, that's signed up?
                                                                2
                                                                       requirement, I believe, that then dictate --
            THE SECRETARY: No.
                                                                       and I believe the County also does it, and you
3
                                                                3
                                                                       have to have a separation, but I don't know how
 4
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody on Zoom or on
        the phone platform?
                                                                       much can we impose, but --
5
            THE SECRETARY; No.
                                                                            MR. TORRE: I guess the condition is, if
6
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'd like
                                                                       the two parcels are owned by the same group of
7
        to go ahead and close it for public comment and
                                                                        individuals, then the additional requirements
8
                                                                8
9
        open it up.
                                                                9
                                                                       may make a difference, but if you have two
            MS. GARCIA: So, to answer your question,
                                                                       different owners, and you make one carve out a
                                                               10
10
        the 300 actually came from the City of Miami.
                                                               11
                                                                       piece of it, and the other one may carve out a
11
        They have various similar regulations. They
                                                                       piece of it, just to create this huge
12
                                                               12
        require a break. I don't think they actually
                                                               13
                                                                       courtyard, you're kind of --
13
        use the word, link the building. And then
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Venny, that's simply
14
                                                               14
15
        looking at past developments in the District
                                                               15
                                                                       circumvented. You have one entity that owns a
        that are using the RIR, the Residential Infill
                                                               16
                                                                       parcel and the other entity -- and the same
16
        Regulations, they're all within that 300 feet.
                                                               17
                                                                        developer, and you don't have to adhere --
17
18
        All is, there's two of them.
                                                               18
                                                                            MR. TORRE: I see what you're saying.
19
            MR. COLLER: I'm sorry, you need to lien
                                                               19
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: You just change your name.
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: That's it.
        into your microphone.
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
            MR. TORRE: Sorry.
                                                                            MR. WITHERS: You guys are the expert on
            To put things in context, the building was
                                                               22
                                                                       how you -- I mean, I really -- I remember you
22
        about 500 feet?
                                                               23
                                                                       talking about Codina's building, and, you know,
23
            MS. GARCIA: I believe so.
                                                               24
                                                                        the streetscape is so important, you know. We
24
            MR. TORRE: I'm going by my recollection.
                                                               25
                                                                        don't care as much about 50, 60, 70 feet, as we
25
```

```
do walking down and seeing a blank wall. Is
there a way to architecturally clean it up, to
make it step back? Maybe every hundred feet it
has to setback 10 feet or something like that?
```

MS. GARCIA: Well, it's already a requirement, in the Med Bonus, that it has to be setback -- I think, if a building facade is longer than 150, at 100 intervals, there has to be some kind of vertical relief.

MR. BEHAR: I mean, I don't really think there is some provision requirements that will dictate that the building has the articulation -- you know, breaking of the facade. I understand the intent is not to have the long 500 -- you know, the whole block, I think it's like 500 feet from side to side. How do we break it, you know, architecturally, to be able to maybe read as two building, with a break in the middle, you know?

MR. TORRE: Can I interrupt? I hope I'm not going to take too much time from everybody, but think this is important, because if we're going to fix this -- and it's a very important area, right? This is the North Ponce area. I think we need to go back to why this

Residential Infill Regulation really happened, what was the intent that we're trying to accomplish.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{MR}}.$ BEHAR: To bring more density to that area.

MR. TORRE: Density. And they did it in these huge swaths of big, you can go ahead and just do this block to block and we're going to give you all of this, the extra density, and there was no reason for dividing it up, at the time, just go at it, go for it block to block.

MS. GARCIA: I think that that came out to where maybe we should have limited it --

MR. TORRE: Right, but there was a short-sided view saying, just go at it with density, and there was no, hey, cut the block up or have these other incentives. So, at this point, we're sort of trying to fix the problem that --

MR. BEHAR: But, you know, Venny, there are requirements, that you have to have -- every 250 feet, you have to have a paseo. That is in the Code today. There are provisions, you know, that have to -- make you break it up. It may be that one project, you know -- you know,

that didn't do the necessary -- you know, I did have a project that I was abutting the back with Miami-Dade County, okay, so there was no opportunity to create a paseo. What are you going to do, you know, the bridge to nowhere?

So I think -- I like the intent. I think we need to maybe look at it, where there's more specific requirements to achieve, you know, the massiveness of a continuous building.

 $\label{eq:ms.garcia} \mbox{MS. GARCIA:} \quad \mbox{So it requires the building separation --}$

MR. BEHAR: I don't know if it's a separation or -- I mean -- Jennifer, for me, right now, you know -- and it might be my fault for not reading the whole, but it needs to be something that gives the developer a greater opportunity.

MR. TORRE: Okay. Let's put logic here. The one that -- let me go back. To assemble something this large from Salzedo to Le Jeune, two sides, 500 feet, you've got to put an assemblage of 20 properties, 30 properties. Between the one that got assembled or done, was there one family that controls --

MS. GARCIA: I think it was one -- I think

it was less than 20 properties.

MR. TORRE: It's still a substantial amount of properties to assemble.

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

MR. TORRE: Was there one family that owned everything? How did that one come to be, because I'm asking, what is the likelihood that somebody is going to assemble, reality, Salzedo to Le Jeune again or anything like that?

MR. GRABIEL: The Coral Gables -- CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It will happen.

MR. COLLER: We need to speak into the mike, because the court reporter is listening on Zoom, and if you don't speak into the mike, it's really hard for her to hear.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. Was the project that we're talking about, was that in the Crafts Section?

MS. GARCIA: No. It's in the RIR. No, it's just limiting to multi-family districts. Her concern is not the mixed-use districts, because, I mean, you want to create that wall, that living room wall, you know, and create that space in the ground. It's more of a letting these buildings have that density, that

was part of the policy that the Commission set however many -- five years ago, six years ago, I think, now, but to also allow these buildings to kind of fit the context better, because those prior buildings, although they're only two and three stories tall, they are very small. You know, they're on 50-foot wide lots. This would allow the new development, taking advantage of the extra density fill, to fit in the context more, the fine great urbanism.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I think what I'm hearing is that this is just not enough to accomplish what is intended.

MR. TORRE: Or to do something that could be done well considering some of these things could really screw things up. I mean, if you do one or two of these, from one block to the other, do two, it would be a mess. Why not take an architectural approach to this and really get into the fix, besides just putting 300 feet on there?

MR. BEHAR: And if you want to break down the scale, which is not -- then you're going to have to, you know, maybe take that separation all of the way through, at least in the actual

building, because, you know, right now the way -- the 300 feet, if you have 500 feet, you're probably saying, okay, 300 feet, and then I'm going to do the other building the other 200. So you're not -- at the end of the day, you have not really accomplished what the intent -- you know, I don't have an answer tonight.

We need to look at it, study it, you know, and then maybe come up with a solution that will -- maybe, you know, you have to create a break of "X" amount of open to the sky. That way you dictate that you have to have two -- instead of one building, two independent buildings. That gets complicated sometimes, because then your parking starts getting inefficient, and so, you know, this is not that simple. It's creating, you know, a 300-foot maximum, because -- especially in some of the lots in the Gables.

Remember, the majority of the lots are only a hundred foot in depth. So you don't have the flexibility, when you've got to put liner units, in most cases, and you've got to do --you're really going to start taking away the

development right that that property has, in my opinion.

MS. GARCIA: That is a concern that legal had brought up, as far as what impact this has, as far as the taking of property rights. However, she still wants to move forward, because she feels like this is a good fix for the issue of having the long buildings in a neighborhood context that has the short end of the --

MR. BEHAR: Without taking any property, you know, specifically, I could tell you that it is -- yes, you're going to be taking development rights from the property owners, and I think that, you know, without doing it correctly, the analysis, I think we're going to set ourselves, as a city, in a little --

MR. TORRE: Like a Bert Harris?

MR. BEHAR: Yes.

MR. WITHERS: Well, I mean, if you have like individual front doors along the way, like, you know, some of the developments of townhomes, I don't think that was 300 feet, but that's necessarily --

MR. BEHAR: But that's not intended for the

townhome. That's intended for RIR.

MR. WITHERS: That's right. That's the difference, yeah.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. You would never have -- I don't think you would ever have a kind of project that is 500 feet long.

MR. WITHERS: No.

MR. TORRE: A hundred feet high, what does that give you, how many floors?

MR. BEHAR: Really, like -- because there's a hundred feet to the top of the architecture, parapet and all, so you only really get nine stories.

MR. TORRE: Well, what if you were to have some kind of green space off-setting that joint building and allow somebody to actually pop up a little, just a smaller amount, take you up, does it help anything? When you give that square footage to the top, just so you can carve out some space on the ground, is there any place to carve out or force a carve out, to give more space?

MS. GARCIA: I mean, this was a direct motion from this Board early last year, a discussion of limiting the building height --

```
I'm sorry, the building length.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That was from Luis.

MS. GARCIA: Yes, Luis made that motion.

MR. BEHAR: But with more -- I mean, I
think the intent is there. I think that just
limiting it to 300, with no guidelines, no -- I
think it's where I'm having a difficult time
being able to support something like this. I
don't -- again, I don't have the answer
tonight, and it's something that I would
definitely, you know, start thinking about, and
see what would be the right solution for this.
```

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'm hearing is that basically there's a work -- in other words, somebody can work around this very easily, if you're doing a 300 -- doing a 300 and 200 and you still accomplish the same thing.

MS. GARCIA: But your Building Code, it's still going to require some kind of building separation for fire, depending on how many openings you have.

MR. TORRE: The thing is, when you start assembling, the more you assemble, why not just keep assembling? If there's no reason to stop

at 20,000 feet, you want to keep going and going. So, by doing that, you're promoting a larger, larger, larger project.

Once you start in a block, you have to keep going, right? So how do you fix that, so it does not become a mess? And I'm not sure this 300 does it, which is what you're saying, which is what we're all saying.

MS. GARCIA: The thought was not to limit the square footage, because I think that would be arguably a taking, because you could have a property that's in the middle of the block, that's fronting both streets, but fronting both streets is not more than 300 feet, so that they can least park it effectively and they wouldn't have that same impact on both of those streets as they would as a long building facing one street. That was the thought behind the -- I believe, the discussion between -- it was about limiting the building length on the street.

MR. BEHAR: Well, I think that we need to look at it, because it may be that you limit, let's say, above -- if you allow nine stories and a hundred feet, above, let's say, the third floor, no more than "X" amount of continuous

massing -- because what I see on the issue is, if you have half of the block and you have the alley in the back -- because, typically, our depth is like 230 feet from street to street.

MS. GARCIA: In this area, yes.

MR. BEHAR: Right? So if you have a twenty-foot alley, you're going to have a hundred and a hundred, so --

MS. GARCIA: Well, this street doesn't have an alley, remember. So that each lot has a depth of 110 feet --

MR. COLLER: I'm thinking there are --MS. GARCIA: 125 is the North Ponce Area.

MR. COLLER: Right, but -- I don't want to -- I have thought there were some cases that you do have an alley, but, then, you also have -- you're putting more restrictions, because we did a Zoning change a while back, that you had to put the liner units, right?

MS. GARCIA: Yeah, that's still a requirement of the RIR.

MR. BEHAR: So if I only have half of the block, I'm going to restrict the development completely, because if I've got to put a liner unit -- I can't even park the building. This

is -- I think that we need to really think about this and find a solution that is going to work for properties that may not go from block to block. I think this is assuming that you have, you know, from block to block, and you have access and all. What about if you only have half the block? Then what do you do, right?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT:} I \ think \ it \ needs \ to \ be$ $tweaked, \ is \ what \ I'm \ hearing.$

MR. BEHAR: I am -- and this is just without giving more consideration, I'm more in favor of saying, okay, the envelope of the building, above the third floor -- and just to throw out a floor -- has to be limited, because for the first three stories, you're going to have -- most likely, you are going to have units on the ground floor, and you're going to have the movement in and out, and you're going to have the parking behind it. Above the third floor is when you're going to see the continuous facade.

MR. TORRE: I think what was a shock for us was to see a project built 500 feet long, one project. I'm not sure how to take that back or

)2

```
1
        to change it, but it was the project that was
2
        500. How was that project built?
3
             MR. BEHAR: But, you know, Venny, if that's
        the case --
 4
5
             MR. TORRE: I think this is kind of back to
 6
        that, right?
             Yeah, these chairs kind of only work one
        way. How do they sit here for twelve hours,
8
        these Commissioners? I can't sit here for two
9
10
        hours.
             The idea was that, I think, 500 feet was
11
12
        just a shock of a building, and I'm not sure
        how many buildings you've done that are 500
13
        feet, either on Laguna or by Bird Road. I
14
15
        mean, 500 feet, as a project, is a very large
16
        project.
             So I think this is trying to cut the
17
18
        project down. I'm not sure that's successful,
        but it's just a building. Whether you slice it
19
        this way or that way, you could -- you would
20
21
        be, you know, 500 feet.
             MR. GRABIEL: Maybe design a building that
22
        is a thousand --
23
24
             MR. TORRE: This wouldn't be so bad then,
        right?
25
                                                           93
1
             MR. GRABIEL: You can design a building
2
        that's a thousand feet long and still make it
        work. I mean --
3
             MR. TORRE: Correct.
 4
             MR. GRABIEL: -- a good example is Bath,
5
        the England, the rows of townhouses which are
6
        thousands of feet in length and it's
7
        incredible, and it's all broken up. I mean,
8
9
        vou see each unit.
             I don't have a solution, but I don't have a
10
        problem with it 500 feet, as long as within
11
        those 500 feet, there is a break on the facade
12
13
        that makes it interesting or, for the City, it
        creates a great facade, but I don't have a
14
15
        solution.
             MR. TORRE: Is it not an architectural
16
17
        solution that should be prescribed than more
18
        than just a --
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Instead of Code --
19
             MR. TORRE: Yeah, a more architectural type
20
21
        of solution.
             MR. WITHERS: Was it in Giralda, the 200
22
23
        Block of Giralda, on the north side -- you know
        what I'm talking about?
24
```

MR. BEHAR's:

25

```
Right. I cross --
    MR. WITHERS: You know, there's a lot of
articulation and heights and you've got some
towers that are seven stories, some that are
four stories. So maybe the articulation of
height -- again, I'm not an architect. I just
visually --
    MR. BEHAR: No, I would tell you -- and,
again, I'm trying to visualize -- in a
residential building, more than like 150 feet
from the elevator, it's a long way.
    MR. WITHERS: A mile.
    MR. BEHAR: So you're not -- I mean, 300
feet, to me, would be like, then you're going
to have two buildings within the site. I'm
trying to think, you know, how far can you walk
and be, you know -- to me, the problem, again,
is, as I'm visualizing it, it's above a
certain, you know, floor, because for the first
three floors, you're going to use the
example -- you know, you could have 500 feet,
but it could be articulated, where it looks
like, for the most part, you're required to
have residential uses on the ground floor.
    So you could have movement within that
                                                 95
facade, so it's not a continuous, you know, 500
foot facade. As you get up, is when you have
the issue, I think.
    MS. GARCIA: But what the sponsor is
wanting is to have more moments of landscape,
and you can only get that when you're limiting
the building frontage on any street, because
you're going to allow more -- what looks like
side setbacks, more landscape visible from the
sidewalk.
    MR. BEHAR: I propose that we table this
until we could find maybe a more specific
requirement, without -- carefully not taking
away development rights from property owners.
    MR. COLLER: What is the time sensitivity?
Are they expecting the Board to make a decision
tonight?
    MS. GARCIA: I'm not sure, actually. I
don't think this is relative to any project,
per se. I don't think this is being rushed.
    MR. COLLER: Well, I mean, the Board has
three options, approve, deny, defer. But the
```

thing is, if you're going to defer it, what

input are you -- do you want to ask that the

City Architect appear and see if he might have

96

94

3

5

9

10

111

12

13

14

15

116

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

111

12 13

14

115

16

17

18

119

20

21

22

23

24

a solution? Maybe that would be an option, to request the City Architect to appear before the Board, to get his take on the issue?

MR. TORRE: There are enough things in the Code to provide for such things, in terms of up and back -- $\,$

 $\label{eq:chairman alzenstat:} \textbf{Break, screens, so} \\ \text{forth.}$

MR. TORRE: -- that I think the Code already does in many other ways. Why couldn't the Code try to do something for this particular problem, the same way it does for others? I think there are ways to accomplish what I think everybody here is trying to do.

MS. GARCIA: I think those ways were incorporated in a project that brought this to your attention last year.

MR. TORRE: To add to that, whether it's green space must be every 200 feet, and that green space must be setback 20 feet -- so it could be --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: That's how you can accomplish it.

MR. TORRE: Right. I mean, there should be certain things, that simply enough can be

carved out or -- I'm not trying to break up the property, but I think we do that in the Code many, many different ways and this is just another example.

MR. BEHAR: And I think the Board of Architects has a lot of leverage to achieve that. I really -- you know, for us to further impose on something --

MR. TORRE: Here's the answer. I don't think this accomplishes everything that it's trying to accomplish, and I think that by approving this, we just haven't solved everything, and I think -- we can approve it, but I don't think it does the trick. That's agreed -- does everybody agree with me?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Craig, let me ask you a question, if I may. There's a lot of discussion that's been done about property rights and taking away property rights. This mainly deals with massing of properties. An owner that owns "X" amount of square feet, but not the entire project, are you taking away property owner's rights -- if you have 10,000 square feet, and there's 20 owners, let's say, that are going to be amassing to do this, are

you taking away from each one of those owners their rights or is their rights only considered what their lot is, but not massed together?

MR. COLLER: It's unclear, because I think that when you look at -- the question is, what was the expectation of a property owner. I mean, it would be somewhat speculative. Well, I'm one of thirty property owners, and I might have thought that at sometime I could have gotten into an agreement with my other 29 property owners to assemble a property. It may be -- there might be a property owner out there that does have the sufficient property, that they could take advantage of it. That's a possibility, and there might be an issue. That's a possibility.

MR. BEHAR: And that's what I'm concerned, that that owner -- and it may be, you know, one, two or ten, that does have a large parcel, that we're going to be affecting.

MR. COLLER: And the Board could take the position, well, we think that this can be addressed architecturally and we don't need this particular regulation, and that could be your recommendation for the Commission.

Alternatively, well, we think we need to take a further look at this, and if you want to defer it, and have further consideration on it, or you could just approve it, but say, there needs to be more, because this is not, in and of itself, going to fix the problem that you're trying to address. There might be another way to go.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert? Venny? What do you guys --

MR. TORRE: I think there's inherent problems to this that we're not seeing, and I think, by approving this, we would leave some problems on the table. I'm just of that --

MR. BEHAR: I would tell you, I'm not in favor of approving this the way it is right now.

MR. TORRE: Not that the intent is wrong, it's just that I think there are inherent issues that we can't see, because the lots are -- you've got to be 20,000 feet, and if you assemble two properties, and the one doesn't work, and the other one doesn't work, you have --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There's three

```
1
        recommendations we can give. One is to approve
                                                                            (Board Members voted aye.)
2
        it as is, one is to go ahead and say come back,
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And thank you for the
                                                                2
3
        or let it be done architecturally.
                                                                3
                                                                       towels or blankets.
            MR. TORRE: I think it doesn't hurt to have
                                                                4
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
4
5
        a conversation with the City Architect
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
 6
        regarding this matter, just to get started.
                                                                            (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at
            MR. BEHAR: I'm just thinking, you said, if
                                                                       8:00 p.m.)
        you get two properties -- two 20,000 square
8
        foot properties, typically it's going to be 200
9
        feet by a hundred. But once I do that, it's
10
        400 feet. So I am already --
11
            MR. TORRE: -- forced to do two 200s.
12
                                                               12
            MR. BEHAR: Yeah. You know, so I think the
                                                               113
13
14
        intent is there. I just don't know that the
15
        execution we're looking for is there.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The mechanics.
16
            Do we have a motion?
17
18
            MR. BEHAR: I'm going to make a motion to
                                                               18
        defer. I would like to get input from the City
19
20
        Architect and maybe we need to look at a way
21
        to -- from the City Architect and maybe even
                                                               21
        the Board of Architects, one of the
                                                               22
22
                                                               23
        representatives of the Board, to see how we
23
24
        could make this effective and without taking
                                                               24
                                                               25
        away development rights from that property
25
                                                         101
                                                                                                                        103
1
        owner.
                                                                                      CERTIFICATE
2
            I'll make a motion to defer, to try to get
        input from the City Architect and maybe a
                                                                          0 F
3
                                                                  STATE
                                                                                FLORIDA:
        member of the Board of Architects.
 4
            MR. TORRE: I'll second it.
                                                                   COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
5
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.
 6
            Any discussion? No?
7
            Call the roll, please.
8
9
            THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
                                                                            I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
                                                               10 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
10
            THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
                                                               11 certify that I was authorized to and did
11
            MR. TORRE: Yes.
                                                               12 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
12
                                                                  that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
13
            THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
                                                                  stenographic notes.
14
            MR. WITHERS: Yes.
                                                               14
15
            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
                                                               15
            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                               16
                                                                            DATED this 15th day of June, 2023.
16
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
17
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
19
            Do we have any discussion items?
                                                               19
            MR. BEHAR: None. I'll make a motion to
20
                                                                                               TIEVES SANCHEZ
21
        adjourn.
                                                               21
            MR. GRABIEL: Second.
22
23
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
                                                               24
        adjourn and a second. Everybody in favor, say
24
                                                               25
        aye.
25
```