```
providing for an effective date.
1
            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                                1
            THE SECRETARY; Sue Kawalerski?
                                                                2
                                                                            Item G-9 and G-10, public hearing.
3
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
                                                                3
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Okay. For the record,
            THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
                                                                        Jennifer Garcia, City Planner. Yes.
 4
                                                                4
5
            MR. PARDO: Yes.
                                                                5
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No. No. I said,
 6
            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
                                                                        thank you.
            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: Oh, thank you, Craig.
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                            MR. COLLER: You're welcome.
8
                                                                8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                                            MS. GARCIA: I have some slides. If we can
9
                                                                9
            MR. GUILFORD: Thank you all very much.
                                                                        pull up the slides. Thank you.
10
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sorry, for taking
                                                               111
                                                                            All right. So the Design and Innovation
11
12
        eight minutes --
                                                               12
                                                                        District is historically the industrial area of
                                                               13
            MR. BEHAR: Ten minutes. It's going to
                                                                        our City, and as you remember, it used to be
13
14
                                                               14
                                                                        the Public Works grounds. I'm sure a lot of
                                                               15
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right. That was
                                                                        you have been here probably a long time and you
                                                                        know what I'm talking about. And since then,
16
        G-7. G-8, we have done already.
                                                               116
            MR. GRABIEL: 9 and 10.
                                                               17
                                                                        it has been re-developed as a mixed-use center.
17
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: G-9 and 10 are
                                                               18
                                                                            So it's south of Bird Road, to the east of
        related. You're going to read them into the
                                                                        Le Jeune Road, and just north of Ponce of Leon,
                                                               19
19
20
                                                               20
                                                                        when it starts to angle down.
            MR. COLLER: Yeah. I'll read them both.
                                                               21
21
                                                                            So this is what it looks like now with the
            Item G-9, an Ordinance of the City
                                                               22
                                                                        aerial. Most of the area is the Village of
22
                                                               23
        Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting
                                                                        Merrick Park, with some mixed-used buildings
23
24
        approval of proposed amendments to the text of
                                                               24
                                                                        surrounding it. And this is kind of looking at
        the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan
                                                               25
                                                                        like the street and looking at open space that
25
                                                         157
                                                                                                                         159
        Future Land Use Element, pursuant to expedited
                                                                        there is right now. As you can see, it's
1
                                                                1
2
        state review procedures and Zoning Code Article
                                                                2
                                                                        lacking a little bit of the open space, the
3
        14, "Process," Section 14-213, "Comprehensive
                                                                3
                                                                        major open space. The heart of the district
        Plan Text and Map Amendments;" to provide for
                                                                        is, of course, the square, that courtyard
        additional building height up to one hundred
                                                                        that's inside the mall, and, then, of course,
5
        and thirty-seven feet and six inches with parks
                                                                        the Underline, which is the linear park to the
6
                                                                        south of Ponce de Leon.
        incentives if developed pursuant to the Design
7
        & Innovation District regulations; and
                                                                            So what this text amendment is doing is --
8
9
        clarifying the Design and Innovation District
                                                                9
                                                                        it's sponsored by a Commissioner -- in exchange
        as a Tranfer of Development Rights receiving
                                                                        for additional on-site, open to the air park
10
        area; providing for a repeater provision,
                                                                        space, open space, they -- a developer could
11
        providing for a severability clause, and
                                                                        increase the height past the 97 feet.
12
                                                               12
        providing for an effective date.
                                                               13
                                                                            I should go back. So the MX2 zoning, which
13
            Item G-10, an Ordinance of the City
                                                                        is most of the zoning in this area, is capped
14
                                                               14
15
        Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing
                                                               15
                                                                        at 70 feet, with Med Bonus, which is the
                                                                        requirement of the district, the maximum height
        for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables
                                                               16
16
        Official Zoning Code pursuant to Zoning Code
                                                               17
                                                                        is 97 feet. So, right now, a developer could
17
18
        Article 14, "Process," Section 14-212, "Zoning
                                                               18
                                                                        come forward and request 120 feet, at ten
19
        Code Text and Map Amendments," to create an
                                                               119
                                                                        stories, and go through the conditional use
        incentive program within the Design &
                                                               20
                                                                        process of Planning and Zoning and to
20
                                                               21
21
        Innovation District to allow a maximum building
                                                                        Commission for that approval.
        height of one hundred and thirty-seven feet and
                                                               22
                                                                            This would be an additional about three
22
        six inches by providing a park open to the
                                                               23
                                                                        stories from the 97 feet, all of the way to
23
        public, providing for repeater provision,
                                                               24
                                                                        137.5 feet, with a public park. So the way it
24
                                                               25
        severability clause, codification, and
                                                                        is designed is that with each five percent
25
```

additional on-site landscaped open space, open to the sky, a/k/a a park, that you would normally -- park -- not an arcade, not elevated open space, not, you know, open space on the right-of-way, but it has to be on-site, open to the sky and landscaped, each traditional five percent of that, it could be an additional ten and a half -- I'm sorry, thirteen and a half feet.

Thirteen and a half feet is the magic number in our Zoning Code, as you know, for the Med Bonus, right. So with each additional five percent open space, a property could increase the height all of the way to 137.5 feet.

So the requirements are on the left. You could see that it has to be reviewed and approved by the City Commission. It's not a by right by any means. It's maintained and constructed privately. Thirty percent of the maximum of that park can be used for outdoor dining. It has to be to the public, obviously. Fifty percent of that park has to be shaded with tree canopy. So we don't want to have a hardscape park. We want to have a very lush and shaded landscaped park.

The maximum width to depth ratio would be one to three. So you're not going to have a linear skinny park on the side of a building. You're going to have a very -- not fat, but a comfortable ratio space. And some other requirements, as far as improving the abutting rights of ways and other benefits as deemed appropriate by the Commission in exchange for the additional height.

So, as you probably know, our height is also capped in the Comprehensive Plan. So this would require some language to be added to the Comprehensive Plan, under the Commercial Mid Rise Intensity and also the Industrial Land Use. The added language would be to allow 135 point feet (sic), a maximum limitation of twelve stories, with the public park's incentive, both for the Mid Rise and for the Industrial. And also to clarify that TDRs would be acceptable in the Industrial Land Use.

That's all I have.

Let's go back actually to the graphic. Could I have my PowerPoint back?

MR. BEHAR: While you wait for that -- MS. GARCIA: Yeah.

```
MR. BEHAR: -- is there a project specific -- because I know this area very well. There's not many properties available in this area. I know it very well. The only property that I'm familiar with is that empty lot that is in front of Nordstrom.
```

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. Is this specifically to a project that is being contemplated?

MS. GARCIA: No. This would be applied for this whole entire district, but this is in partnership -- not partnership, this was envisioned because of that property being requested to be developed, the landscape that's already providing. A lot of the residents, from my understanding, from meetings that they've had with the Commission and the residents there in the area, they want to see more open space. They're lacking open space.

So, of course, that property is privately owned --

MR. BEHAR: Right.

MS. GARCIA: -- but the owner is willing to give some open space in exchange for some higher height. So this is a way to basically

control what open space you would get, in exchange for allowing that additional height.

As you know, you can only have so much square footage at a certain height, before you're just kind of maxed out and you can't provide any more open space.

MR. GRABIEL: Which site is this?
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Where the pizza place was.

MR. BEHAR: No. No. Closer -- right next to Ponce de Leon. Right in front of Nordstrom, there's an empty lot -- the only empty lot property parcel in this whole area that is --

MR. GRABIEL: We're looking to do a --

MS. KAWALERSKI: Isn't this The Avenue? Isn't that where they want to do The Avenue Hotel?

MS. GARCIA: The Avenue is on San Lorenzo and Laguna, on -- yeah, on the west side.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Did you want to finish? Your slide is up.

MS. GARCIA: Well, I just want to have this for reference to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Got it. Okay.

Yes, Felix.

```
1
            MR. PARDO: Julio, when you designed
                                                                1
                                                                        recipient of the TDRs. So, on top of that,
2
        Merrick Park, how big did you make the green
                                                                2
                                                                        you've increased the FAR with the TDRs. Now
3
        space? Do you recall?
                                                                3
                                                                        you're squeezing the site, giving them an
            MR. GRABIEL: I don't remember.
                                                                        incentive to give us this little, you know -- I
4
5
            MR. PARDO: But it was pretty substantial?
                                                                        wouldn't even call it a dog park, but it's a
 6
            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                                        micro park.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you speak into the
                                                                            This is not Manhattan. Unfortunately,
                                                                8
                                                                        what's happened is, many of these buildings
8
        mike, please?
            MR. GRABIEL: Oh, I'm sorry.
                                                                9
                                                                        have already been built and there aren't enough
9
            No, I don't remember the dimension. It was
                                                               10
                                                                        amenities for the thousands of people that are
10
        the result of the demands for the Rouse
                                                               111
                                                                        going to be living in that area, and, now, by
11
12
        Corporation, with the amount of square footage
                                                               12
                                                                        adding additional height, that can be seen from
                                                               13
        that they needed from retail, and to create an
                                                                        most of the single-family homes so far across
13
14
        open space big enough that it would be
                                                               14
                                                                        the way from Bird Road, beyond University, I
                                                               15
15
        significant.
                                                                        think is a travesty. That's my opinion. I am
                                                                        definitely against this, because we're not
16
            MR. PARDO: You're making my next point.
                                                               116
        And the reason is that, when Julio designed
                                                               17
                                                                        getting -- we're not getting the perception of,
17
18
        Merrick Park and he made this beautiful green
                                                               18
                                                                        we'll give them a little height and we'll get a
        space in the front, the proportions and the
                                                               19
                                                                        park.
19
20
        size were appropriate to this gigantic
                                                               20
                                                                            This is not a usable park, in my -- the
                                                               21
21
        commercial area. What I find disturbing about
                                                                        park that Julio designed across the street,
        this is that, it sounds great from a perception
                                                               22
                                                                        that's a usable park.
22
                                                               23
        standpoint, but these little pocket parks are
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do,
23
24
        altering visually, from all of the
                                                               24
                                                                        before we continue with Board discussion, Jill,
                                                               25
25
        single-family areas to the north and to the
                                                                        do we have anybody for public comment?
                                                         165
                                                                                                                         167
        northwest and also all of the way across from
1
                                                                            THE SECRETARY; Yes, we do.
2
        the high school and across Riviera, where now
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: And then I'm going to make a
3
        they get to see another almost 30 feet more of
                                                                3
                                                                        motion, because we're going to have to extend
        additional height, and most people, they feel
                                                                        the meeting.
4
        like they're being attacked visually, because
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah, go ahead.
5
6
        these buildings, on top of everything, of being
                                                                            We have to go ahead and make a motion to
                                                                        continue.
        there, they don't just have the lights for the
7
        FAA requirement up on top, they're now lighting
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: I'm going to make a motion to
8
9
        these buildings like Christmas trees, and it's
                                                                9
                                                                        extend to 9:15, to start with that, and we can
10
        offensive when you're in the single-family
                                                               10
                                                                        take it up at that point --
        residential area. This is like -- in my
                                                               111
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
11
        opinion, it's like pollution. It's a visual
                                                               12
                                                                        9:15.
12
                                                               13
        pollution into the single-family residential
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: I second.
13
                                                               14
                                                                            MR. COLLER: We can do that as a voice vote.
14
        area.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All
15
            I don't find any redeeming value to try to
                                                               15
        say, well, this little pocket park, that's
                                                               16
16
                                                                        in favor say aye.
        fifty-foot wide by a hundred foot deep, is even
                                                               17
17
                                                                            Anybody against?
18
        going to come close to, you know, the beautiful
                                                               18
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: No.
19
        park that Julio designed for Merrick Park. I
                                                               19
                                                                            (All Board Members voted aye.)
        think -- in my opinion, I disagree a hundred
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead. Call the
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
        ten percent.
                                                                        individual, please.
22
            The second thing is, this area is a very
                                                               22
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Victor Salcedo.
        intense area, very intense, a very urban area.
                                                               23
23
                                                                            MR. SALCEDO: Yes. Hello, Board Members.
24
        It's become a very urban area, and that has
                                                               24
                                                                        Yes, my name is Victor Salcedo and --
                                                               25
        happened is that it's because it's the
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you state your
25
```

address, for the record, please?

MR. SALCEDO; Excuse me?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you state your address, for the record, please?

MR. SALCEDO: 126 Frow Avenue.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

MR. SALCEDO: Okay. I saw the little picture there. It really doesn't give a rendering of how the park would look. There was no architectural renderings so we could actually see what's there. It's just a box

with a little green space.

So they didn't -- the people that want to develop didn't invest any money in showing us what they want to do, Number One. And Number Two, I don't see -- there's parking in the area. Because I go to Chase Bank just across the street, and I no longer can go there simply because there is no parking anywhere around there, throughout the day and into the late afternoon.

So when they're making this building right here, there's no parking space at all for the building, and let alone how are the people going to get there, to the park, if it were to

be constructed.

So I see it totally negative as far as the building, and the only way I can see any kind of redeeming value would be if the architect or the developer comes here and states what he wants to do and -- what kind of park he wants to put and what kind of building, but just a box there and say, approve it, no -- no, that's not -- there's nothing there to approve.

Thank you very much for your time.

MR. COLLER: So I just want to advise the
Board of this, we are not looking at an
application. It would be really inappropriate
to discuss an application that's not before us.
There hasn't been an opportunity for the
application to be heard. We're looking for a
general Zoning Code amendment, and I think that
the discussion really should be to the concept,
which I believe you did that, and not to look
at like, okay, but what project is this for --

MR. BEHAR: No. And the reason I asked, Mr. Attorney, is that we did an approval a couple of months ago for remote parking, that, you know, I just wanted to make sure if it was that or not, but specifically to this --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I just want to see if there's anybody else, unless you want to make a comment specifically --

THE SECRETARY: We have one more speaker. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.

THE SECRETARY: Jim Dockerty.

MR. DOCKERTY: Jim Dockerty, 1230 Catalonia. I also own two buildings on Ponce, in the 4200 block, adjacent to the project that the other gentleman was referencing.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just to be clear, there is no project that's there now.

MR. DOCKERTY: I've been in several community meetings about the project. I mean, it's not approved or anything. I know we're not going to talk about that tonight. That's fine. I'm not here to talk about the project.

But because I am an adjacent property owner and I've looked at what their proposal is, and it will eventually come before you and the Commission and all of the boards, I'm a hundred percent in favor of the concept of trading height for parks. I've owned property in this neighborhood almost 20 years.

You know, I'm basically pleased with all of

the density and development that have come around the mall. I think the mall was originally designed to have all of this residential density built around it. Thankfully, The Avenue, which is a hotel, is finally going to be in the neighborhood. The neighborhood needs a hotel.

But specifically to this issue, which is the broader concept of the City trading height for park area, right, I'm a hundred percent for it, not only in this neighborhood, which I know a lot about -- I can tell you, in this neighborhood, the Underline is not going to necessarily be an option for a lot of people to walk their dogs. It's too far. It's nice. I'm all for that.

The mall, you can go to the mall, but the mall has a lot of activity and people don't really walk their dog into the park in the mall, but there's no other area for people to walk their dog in this whole neighborhood. I don't know who pointed this out, there's really very few sites in the Design and Innovation District that can even accomodate this concept.

You have Baptist on Le Jeune Road that has

```
assemblage and would be able to do something
                                                                        remembers it. And they were all full of blood,
1
2
        like this. You have Gables Engineering, that
                                                                2
                                                                        because that's what they used to do in there.
3
        has a lot of land south of the mall, between
                                                                3
                                                                             So, it went from there, to one of the most
        the Lifetime Fitness and the mall, and that's
                                                                        sophisticated neighborhoods in the City, and
4
5
        going to probably have a lot of density one
                                                                5
                                                                        there's a dire need for green space in that
                                                                        area. So anything that we can do to help bring
6
        day.
            So I, for one, would love to see a park
                                                                        that about, I would go for it.
        become part of eventually what gets developed
                                                                             In addition to that, this is becoming a
8
                                                                8
        if the Gables Engineering site -- and I, a
                                                                        very high-rise area, and not because the City
9
                                                                9
        hundred percent, support the idea of what's
                                                                        of Coral Gables has allowed it, but the City of
10
                                                                10
        being proposed by the developer behind me, to
                                                               111
                                                                        Miami, who is adjacent to it, is allowing very
11
12
        have a 5,000 square foot parcel of land with
                                                               12
                                                                        high buildings right next to it. So you can't
        tree canopy, so people can walk and sit under a
                                                               13
                                                                        escape the high-rises, but if there's a zone in
13
14
        tree and walk their dog.
                                                               14
                                                                        the City that should allow for the higher
                                                               15
15
             So there are a lot of property owners that
                                                                        buildings, is this area. I mean, if the
                                                                        benefit to the neighborhood and to the
16
        are a hundred percent for this, that are in the
                                                               116
                                                                        residents is to get a little bit more green
        neighborhood.
                                                               17
17
                                                                        space, I'm all for it.
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
                                                               18
            MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to
                                                               19
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
19
20
        clarify. When you gave your address, is that
                                                               20
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: This is almost another
21
        your business address or your residence?
                                                               21
            MR. DOCKERTY: No. No. 1230 Catalonia is
                                                               22
                                                                        discussion on an MX2.5, because the height is
22
                                                               23
        my home, and then I said I own two buildings in
                                                                        137.5, right? That would be the max height for
23
24
        the 4200 block of Ponce that are adjacent to
                                                               24
                                                                        this area?
        the proposed lot that you guys referenced.
                                                               25
                                                                             MR. PARDO: Yes.
25
                                                          173
                                                                                                                         175
1
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
                                                                1
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: Yes, as proposed.
2
            MR. DOCKERTY: Okay. Thank you.
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. So that's exactly
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                        like an MX2.5 that you were talking about,
3
                                                                3
 4
            Jill, anybody else?
                                                                        right?
            THE SECRETARY: No, no more speakers.
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: It's the same height.
5
6
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Nobody on Zoom or
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: So is that the intention,
                                                                        to make this like an MX2 district?
7
        platform?
            Okay. At this time, let's go ahead and
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: It's the same height because
8
                                                                8
9
        close it for public comment.
                                                                9
                                                                        of the number of stories. Thirteen and a half
            Julio.
                                                                        is the magic number for a story in our Zoning
10
            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                               111
11
                                                                        Code.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm going to let you
12
                                                               12
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. You know, one other
                                                               13
13
        start.
                                                                        thing, Page 2 of the report here, it says up to
            MR. GRABIEL: This is my second
                                                                        150 feet. Is that an error?
14
                                                               14
15
        neighborhood. I go there five days a week to
                                                               115
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: Yes, that's an error.
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Pardon me?
        my gym, and I've been going to that gym for the
                                                               16
16
        last ten, twelve years. It needs green space.
                                                               17
17
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: That's an error, yes.
18
        It's been a very successful change in zoning,
                                                               18
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: That's error? Okay,
19
        from an industrial zoning to what it is right
                                                               19
                                                                        because that freaked me out, because I said,
        now, which is actually a very good
                                                               20
                                                                        wow, they snuck that in there. So that is an
20
                                                               21
21
        neighborhood.
                                                                        error, it's only 137.5, right?
            I still remember -- that's how old I am --
22
                                                               22
                                                                             MS. GARCIA: Yes.
        when they had the meat packer in that area, and
                                                               23
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: All right. I mean, not
23
        you would go around and you would see the
                                                               24
                                                                        only, but it is less than 150.
24
                                                               25
        people coming out of the neighborhood. Felix
                                                                             So the TDRs -- explain how the TDRs would
25
                                                         174
                                                                                                                         176
```

```
work here?
                                                                       with everybody, I'd like to give that speaker
1
                                                                1
2
            MS. GARCIA: Yes. So a TDR in Coral Gables
                                                                2
                                                                       an opportunity.
3
        is only from a historic property. So if a
                                                                3
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah. I just want to make
        historic property is in a sending area, which
                                                                       one more comment, why did we sell Greco -- our
4
5
        right now is just in our CBD, our Downtown area
                                                                5
                                                                       Greco Park -- potential green space. I mean,
6
        and our North Ponce area, for those
                                                                       that would have solved the green space problem
        multi-family buildings, when a property is
                                                                       in the area, and yet we sold it for the cheap,
        designated historic, they're then allowed, in
                                                                       3.5 mill and now we're looking for postage
8
                                                                8
        that area, to send their access extra square
                                                                       stamps, for little green spaces. I mean, it's
9
        footage. So they have that on the private
10
                                                               10
                                                                       crazy.
        market. They sell to a buyer, to a developer,
                                                               11
                                                                            I mean, there was no forethought put into
11
12
        and that developer then purchases that TDR and
                                                               12
                                                                       parks. I mean, we gave it away. That's my
        they use it to their new construction in a
                                                               13
13
                                                                       only comment.
14
        receiving sites.
                                                               14
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Go ahead,
                                                               15
15
            The receiving sites are only along the
                                                                       please, Jill.
16
        North Ponce Boulevard between Eight Street and
                                                               116
                                                                            Cheryl Gold. Ms. Gold, if you could please
        downtown, within the CBD, and also within the
                                                               17
                                                                       open up your mike.
17
18
        Design and Innovation District.
                                                               18
                                                                            MS. GOLD: Good evening, and thank you for
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. So --
                                                               19
                                                                       accommodating me. For some reason, my request
19
20
            MS. GARCIA: It doesn't add -- sorry, I
                                                               20
                                                                       went -- can you hear me?
21
        just want to clarify, it doesn't add, as far as
                                                               21
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, we can, Ms. Gold.
        the number of stories, the number of height,
                                                               22
                                                                       If you would like to be sworn in, we need to
22
                                                               23
        it's just really making the building fatter.
                                                                       visually see you, and if not, you just won't be
23
24
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Right. It's just the
                                                               24
                                                                       sworn in.
                                                               25
        square footage, right?
                                                                            MS. GOLD: Yeah. Yeah, no, let's forget
25
                                                         177
                                                                       the swearing in at nine o'clock at night, okay,
1
            MS. GARCIA: Square footage, yes.
                                                                1
2
            MS. KAWALERSKI: So smaller units?
                                                                2
                                                                       and I'm actually in New York and have listened
3
            MS. GARCIA: If they want to. Or larger
                                                                       to the entire meeting.
                                                                3
 4
        units.
                                                                            So I'll make it very brief, and I'll try
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. I don't know. You
                                                                       not to address what the developer -- you know,
5
6
        know, we're just, you know, going higher and
                                                                       just address the concept, actually, of putting
        higher and higher. I mean, I don't hear
                                                                       a park on the rooftop. Do I understand that
7
        anybody saying, let's stay the course; higher.
                                                                8
                                                                       correctly? Is that -- is this trade off for
8
9
            So I have to think about this, but I'm
                                                                       the extra height green space on the roof? Is
        tending more towards what Felix is talking
                                                               10
                                                                       that the concept?
10
        about, especially with the intrusion of the
                                                               111
11
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It is not, ma'am.
        lights at the top, the rooftop amenities, et
12
                                                               12
                                                                            MS. GOLD: It is not. The green space
        cetera, et cetera, just overspilling into the
                                                               13
13
                                                                       would be on the ground level?
        residential area, residential neighborhood. So
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, ma'am.
14
                                                               14
15
        I've got to think about this a little bit.
                                                               15
                                                                             MS. GOLD: Okay. So -- okay. Then I will
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But, Sue, I just want
                                                               16
                                                                       forgo my comments then, but it wasn't clear
16
        to be clear about one thing. There is nothing
                                                               17
                                                                       from, I guess, the illustration. It sounded
17
18
        that's being presented to you today that has
                                                               18
                                                                       like they were going put -- so what are the
19
        the roof lights. If a project does come, it
                                                               19
                                                                       rooftop amenities, then?
        will come at that time, and we can discuss the
                                                               20
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There are no rooftop
20
                                                               21
21
        roof lights, whatever is appropriate.
                                                                       amenities, ma'am.
            THE SECRETARY: I'm sorry, we do have a
                                                               22
                                                                            MS. GOLD: Okay. All right.
22
                                                               23
23
        speaker on this item. She had sent a message
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And it's not a project
        to CGTV and not me.
                                                               24
                                                                       that we're looking at this time.
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. If it's okay
                                                               25
                                                                            MS. GOLD: I understand that. I understand
25
                                                         178
```

```
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Where were we?
1
        that and I'm just trying to address the concept
2
        of trading -- adding height for these postage
                                                                2
                                                                            Felix, you had spoken. Sue, you had gone
3
        stamp green spaces. So, in other words, the
                                                                3
                                                                        ahead and made your comments. Julio had.
        green space could be on the ground or it could
                                                                            Robert.
4
                                                                4
5
        be on a rooftop; is that correct?
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 6
            MR. BEHAR: No.
                                                                            I brought something that -- it was not
            MS. GOLD: Okay. All right. Thank you for
                                                                        related to this, but I was going to talk about
        the clarification.
                                                                        it, because the State passed a State Bill, it's
8
                                                                8
            MR. BEHAR: Because it's green color. If
                                                                9
                                                                        called Senate Bill 102, which is a Live Local
9
        this was blue, it would not be confusing.
                                                                        Act, and I want you to know that if this -- if
10
            MS. GOLD: Actually, Mr. Behar, the public
                                                                        this or any property were doing residential,
11
12
        park, if you look at the illustration, it is
                                                               12
                                                                        they don't even have to come to us, and I don't
        green, and it says, "Public park," and that's
                                                               13
                                                                        know if the City Attorney have taken a
13
14
        what's confusing about this, and I thought
                                                               14
                                                                        decision, but I could tell you, the City of
                                                               15
15
        there was a reference to rooftop amenities and
                                                                        Miami, and Miami-Dade County, already came with
16
        then we gotten into the light thing.
                                                               116
                                                                        their opinion, and there's nothing that we will
            I'll just say one thing in closing, and I
                                                               17
                                                                        be able to do about it.
17
18
        am a green space and tree canopy advocate, I've
                                                               18
                                                                            So if they wanted to do a residential
        been one for like 35 years, and I probably
                                                               19
                                                                        project here and they met the criteria of 120
19
20
        won't be attending all of your meetings, but
                                                               20
                                                                        percent of the AMI, they could go -- because
21
        there is a tendency to talk about these rooftop
                                                               21
                                                                        this is mixed-use zoning and -- you're allowed
        parks, and I would just remind everybody about
                                                               22
                                                                        to do it in commercial and mixed-used. They
22
                                                               23
        the extreme heat events that will be
                                                                        could go within a mile -- not a 1,000 feet, a
23
24
        increasing, the difficulty and challange of
                                                               24
                                                                        mile, which would be The Plaza, and they could
                                                               25
        tree canopy, providing shade on a rooftop. So
                                                                        do a building here of 190 or more, because The
25
                                                          181
                                                                                                                         183
        I don't think that these are practical and I'm
                                                                        Plaza is 200 and something feet.
1
                                                                1
2
        certainly against the one that's being proposed
                                                                2
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: But that would have to be
                                                                        forty percent affordable housing, right?
3
        on the mobility hub. I think it's
                                                                3
 4
        irresponsible --
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes, but at 120, you know,
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am, but
                                                                        percent of the --
5
6
        that's not what we are discussing tonight. But
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Right. And I'm glad you
        it is noted.
                                                                        brought that up. That is a very important Bill
7
            If you would, please, I don't know if you
                                                                8
                                                                        to discuss and for the City to take a stand on.
8
9
        stated your address for the record, for the
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: We can't.
        court reporter.
                                                                            MR. COLLER: We've already had an initial
10
11
            MS. GOLD: It's 721 Biltmore Way.
                                                               111
                                                                        meeting on this. There will be other meetings.
12
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Ms. Gold.
                                                               12
                                                                        We're also seeking out, from other communities,
                                                               13
13
            MS. GOLD: Thank you.
                                                                        how they're addressing it, and it's a little
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Have a nice night.
                                                                        bit more nuances with regard for the role the
14
                                                               14
15
            Do we need to extend --
                                                               15
                                                                        City has. There's some significant preemptions
                                                               16
            MR. BEHAR: I'm going to make a motion to
                                                                        in the Bill, that the Legislature has
16
        extend for another fifteen minutes, to 9:30, so
                                                               17
                                                                        overwritten local zoning on, certain points,
17
18
        we can, you know, be done with this tonight.
                                                               18
                                                                        but we're taking it carefully, and there will
19
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
                                                               19
                                                                        be a presentation at some point on the impacts
        9:30.
                                                                        to the City on this, but we're not quite there
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
            MS. KAWALERSKI: I second.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second.
                                                               22
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: But you're absolutely
22
                                                                        right, this is one of those areas where that
                                                               23
23
            Everybody in favor say aye.
            Anybody against?
                                                               24
                                                                        could happen.
24
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: It could. And we could look at
             (All Board Members voted aye.)
                                                               25
25
                                                         182
```

```
a project two hundred and something feet, residential project, you know what, and it wouldn't even come through us, because it clearly says no additional public hearing required.

MR. COLLER: With respect to height, density and zoning. There are other metri
```

MR. COLLER: With respect to height, density and zoning. There are other metrics involved that the City still has input, but I don't want to get ahead of the people that are looking at this.

MR. BEHAR: I understand.

MR. COLLER: I just want to say, it's a very good point to make, because it's a very significant bill. I was at the Florida Municipal Attorney's Association -- and we have until 9:30 and so --

MR. PARDO: You're going to extend it until 11:30?

MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. I just brought it up, because potentially you could do this, okay. And I'm going specific to the presentation, the five percent, is that of the entire site that they're looking at? So it could be a significant -- fifteen percent, you know, is not -- that's in addition to what is

required?

MS. KAWALERSKI: Wait. Fifteen or five percent?

MR. BEHAR: No, for every level you get -for every five percent, you get an additional level.

MR. PARDO: Robert is taking it to the maximum --

MR. BEHAR: Whoever is going to do it, is going to do it to the maximum. So fifteen percent in addition to the ten percent. So 25 percent of the lot will be a green space. Because you cannot have a five-foot rear setback count towards that.

MR. COLLER: For the record, she's shaking, no.

MS. GARCIA: No.

MR. BEHAR: No, meaning that you cannot count the five feet of setback.

MS. GARCIA: Right. Uh-huh.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. So I personally -- and I'm a proponent to have green space wherever possible throughout the City. Because I, myself, I've been in this area for 23 years now, and I walk to the mall practically three

days a week for lunch, and I think this could be a very welcomed park or miniature park, if you want to call it, but, you know, a green space to the area. There is none.

The project that Julio did is a beautiful project, but that's -- you cannot really walk your dog in that beautiful space, because if I was there and I see a dog, I will probably -- and I've got two dogs, so it's not like I'm not a dog lover, you know, and I'll show you a picture with me, last night, you know, laying next to me, which my wife says, I can't believe you're doing that.

MR. PARDO: Robert, that's not a problem anymore. You just go into the restaurant and they're sitting there already, the dog is.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. So I think this could be a very positive. I wish more projects would have done that before.

MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a comment. You know, the 800 pound gorilla is that this Planning Board makes recommendations and protects and shields certain things. I understand green space is always good. If we were in Manhattan, we'd be talking about over a

hundred floors. We're not in Manhattan. What's happened on Brickell, I think, is obviously a change, but I don't necessarily agree with the change, because I'm old enough where I remember what it was like and where we are now.

There is some type of modification, and the problem is that, that's the reason that we adopted the Comprehensive Land Use Map. This is a change of the Comp Plan. It's a change of Comp Plan to allow additional height, and then you have the incentives, et cetera. If you don't change the Comp Plan, you can't add that additional height.

That limit was put there for a reason. The farther you personally live away from this, or you live, the less you're impacted. You know, I remember a great story, a friend of mine, Stan Price, after they had built this enormous church, he calls the monsignor and he says, you know, I don't know that the neighbors were complaining about, I can't see the church. Then, again, I am driving on Krome Avenue.

So the farther away you are, the less of an impact, and the problem is that impact is

permanent. We see the impact from the projects that belong to the City of Miami on the transit corridor of US-1. They're enormous. And I could be -- when I run in the morning, I could be in the other side of the City and I could still see them. That's pretty wild for me. And we have a beautiful incredibly tree canopy here.

1 2

I think that, for me, it's more serious, because I can do the math, also. I know there aren't that many lots left in this area. So they could only build so many projects in this area. So they really can't compromise it that much. But you're establishing a precedent by simply taking very lightly, moving a great component of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan ceiling, moving it off the thing, and say, just because we want parks, we're not only going to change the zoning, we're going to add that.

If the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, the limit was higher and you were there, it's a different conversation. For me, I'm trying to protect the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, because this becomes not spot zoning, it becomes a change to Comprehensive Land Use Plan

on a spot basis. And the other thing is, just be forewarned that this same concept can then be used in any commercial parcel in the City. In other words, it doesn't matter where you are, someone can use this as a precedent, in another area for an argument to change the Comprehensive Land Use Plan height for the purpose of one specific purpose, which is to get a postage stamp piece of green space.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But, Felix, let me ask you a question. The way I see this, this is being proposed for a very specific area.

MR. PARDO: Correct.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: When you say this could be used for anywhere, couldn't that argument be made no matter what, any area that wants to come and wants to create something higher than a Comp Plan, they would come to us?

MR. PARDO: That's true. And the reason is, you know, you have small changes of the Master Plan -- or the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and then you have large ones, but, remember, within the Comprehensive Land Use Plan limits, you also are taking in many components, which includes, you know, the level

of service for this, for this, for that, and all of these things. This really is, in my opinion, changing it on a willy nilly basis specifically to say, oh, we're giving you a park.

Listen, not all parks work in the City. We have a lot of pocket parks, some are successful, and some are not successful. I agree, we need more green space, but, then, again, maybe what should have been done is not an incentive for additional height, but it would have been an incentive for something else, and I'll just caution my fellow Board Members here, that when you get into the ability of changing the Comprehensive Land Use Plan simply to accommodate one idea, it normally has repercussions somewhere else.

MR. BEHAR: Felix, and I would tend to agree with -- not everything, but some of the things that you said. Today, that area allows 120 feet, right?

MS. GARCIA: With City Commission approval.

MR. BEHAR: With Commission approval, but
everybody -- I mean, pretty much, 120 is the
norm. Let's be realistic. They're asking for

seventeen and a half feet, which is basically one more floor.

MR. PARDO: As long as Staff corrects the 150 feet that's here.

MR. BEHAR: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah.

MR. PARDO: Okay.

MR. BEHAR: So, essentially, what they're doing -- the way I look at this equation is, they're taking that -- I'm looking at 137, okay, fifteen percent of that lot area, and, essentially, that over the 120 feet, they're transferring that FAR to the roof, in order to create that open space. To me, it becomes a mathematical equation. I get fifteen percent on my lot -- and I'm going to use 40,000 square feet, because -- just as a round number. So 15 percent will be 6,000 square feet, that I could do over 120 feet.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You've got to use the other two -- $\,$

MR. BEHAR: No, because the 10 you need to do, no matter what. It's 15 percent additional. So that 6,000 that I could do essentially for 120, which is 10 floors -- right -- they're going to put it on top of the

```
roof, but they're not really maximizing, because if you take the 15 percent, you equate -- I'm using a hypothetical 40,000 square feet, you're building less at that height than you would do if you did it without increasing the height.
```

MR. PARDO: What do you think of this idea? In my opinion, what they should have done is, leave the height where it is, which they can receive TDRs, and then just closed a good portion of a couple of the streets, allowing for cul-de-sacs in there, after a proper traffic study, and now you have a park that is as wide as the right-of-way and it doesn't affect the height.

MR. BEHAR: Well, let me tell you, I was against the street closures when -- you know, along 57th Avenue and I never believe that in any cities, streets should be closed, little less in this area. This area, you know, I will go to the end of the earth to make sure there's no street closures in this area. You can't. This is one that you need to maintain, you know, all of the streets.

Before we sold the lot in Greco, maybe that

would have been an opportunity, but that's gone. I can't go back to those days. I've got to look at the present, and the present tells me that the only way to achieve something is -- to achieve some green space is via this tool, and right now, the only lot left that -- except for the Gables Engineering, which is a big, big parcel, I don't think there's anything else that you're going to even potentially do anything else, and the Gables Engineering parcel, which is a great story, but unfortunately, when the gentleman died, he left the company to the employees --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Clark.

MR. BEHAR: Clark died, he left the company to the employees. There are no way they're going to get out of the hole, you know. It's very difficult. And if that time comes, that's a piece of property that hopefully, yes, they dedicate green space for the area, but otherwise we're not going to get anything, and I think that if you look at the equation that I'm saying, taking that piece for the FAR, the actual FAR that they will be doing is half of what they could potentially get.

So, to me, they're asking for seventeen and a half feet, which is one more story, because you're not going to get -- in seventeen and a half, again, it goes back to the original comment before, you're not going to get two stories. So you're going to get one more story, for a trade-off of -- which I don't know the size of the lot that we're talking about, but I could imagine is close to an acre, that parcel.

So, you know, I could see the benefit behind it. And Miami-Dade County, and I'm going to be guilty of, on the City of Miami side, okay, you know, that just got approved for RTZ, the Rapid Transit Zoning, okay. It got approved. So when the owner of a property comes to develop a building, they have the right to do what the other buildings did, and we are not going to been able to do anything about it.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm looking at the time. Is anybody going to want to make a motion so we can extend the time or nobody wants to make a motion and we don't need to extend the time?

MR. BEHAR: I think --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You're going to want to make a motion? So let's go ahead and extend the time.

MR. BEHAR: I really don't know if we need -- I'm not going to make a motion to extend any more time.

MR. COLLER: Individually voted on.

So the first motion would be the motion for the $\operatorname{\mathsf{Comp}}\nolimits\,\operatorname{\mathsf{Plan}}\nolimits.$

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can we extend it ten minutes only for the motions, if you're going to make a motion?

MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to extent for ten minutes, but I'm hungry.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ten minutes. Is there a second?$

MR. GRABIEL: I second.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Second.

MR. BEHAR: Don't put that on the record.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT Julio went ahead and second. All in favor say aye.

Anybody against? No?

```
(All Board Members voted aye.)
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I think Sue's comment was on
1
2
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert, do you want to
                                                                2
                                                                       point.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. It's on
3
        make a motion?
                                                                3
            MR. BEHAR: Look, I'll make a motion to
                                                                       the record.
 4
5
        approve G-9, is it?
                                                                            Call the roll, please.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: G-9 and also --
                                                                            THE SECRETARY; Sue Kawalerski?
 6
            MR. BEHAR: We have to take one at a time.
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: No.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
8
                                                                8
            MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. PARDO: No.
9
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
        G-9.
10
            MR. COLLER: That's in accordance with be
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
11
        the Department recommendation.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Julio Grabiel?
12
                                                               12
            MR. GRABIEL: I'll second it.
                                                                            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                               13
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
14
        have a second? Any discussion?
15
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
            Julio made the second. Any discussion?
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Okay. And so it goes without
16
                                                               116
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Just one comment, I'm
                                                               17
                                                                       a recommendation -- well, I take that back,
17
18
        going to vote, no, and here's the reason why, I
                                                               18
                                                                       because it's a Comp Plan, it's deemed denial,
        believe that that MX2.5 discussion, once it
                                                                       because there weren't four votes. So that's a
                                                               19
19
20
        gets up into the Commission level, and if that
                                                               20
                                                                       new change in the Code, because this Board has
21
        passes, that can apply to this area. So, at
                                                               21
                                                                       to make a recommendation, therefore, on
                                                                       three-two, it's deemed to be a denial.
        this point in time, I don't want to change the
                                                               22
22
                                                               23
        Comp Plan --
                                                                            MR. PARDO: So because of the denial of the
23
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.
                                                               24
                                                                       Comp Plan, do we even vote on the Zoning?
                                                               25
25
            MR. BEHAR: Okay. That's a good point.
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Since you're making
                                                         197
                                                                                                                        199
        Hold on, because I want to -- if they would
                                                                       recommendations, you should vote on both.
1
                                                                1
2
        apply -- once -- if they apply to 2.5, can they
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve
3
        do that?
                                                                       G-10 as presented --
            MS. GARCIA: They could request it, sure,
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: With Staff's
        but you don't get a park from it.
                                                                       recommendation.
5
            MR. PARDO: That's part of the problem.
                                                                            MR. GRABIEL: I second it.
6
                                                                            MR. COLLER: And I just want to make
7
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Say that again.
            MS. GARCIA: You're not going to get a park
                                                                       something clear. What you're doing is not
8
9
        from it. This will quarantee you to have a
                                                                       approving an item. You're making a
        park, if they went to that magical number.
                                                                       recommendation to the Commission. That's why
10
            MS. KAWALERSKI: But we could require it,
                                                                       we're taking the vote, but I get your point.
11
                                                               111
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Yeah. You can't have one
12
        no, as part --
                                                               12
            MR. BEHAR: No.
                                                               13
                                                                       without the other.
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any comments, Sue?
14
                                                               14
15
            MS. KAWALERSKI: We couldn't? Well, the
                                                               15
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: No.
        Commission could, right?
                                                               16
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please.
16
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                               17
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
17
            MS. KAWALERSKI: And we know how the
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY; Julio Grabiel?
19
        Commission feels about parks.
                                                               119
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't want to
                                                                            MR. GRABIEL: Yes.
                                                               20
20
                                                               21
21
        speculate on the Commission.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
22
            MR. BEHAR: You know, Sue, one bird in the
                                                               22
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: No.
        hand is better than two flying.
                                                               23
                                                                            THE SECRETARY; Felix Pardo?
23
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We
                                                               24
                                                                            MR. PARDO: No.
24
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
        have a second. Any other comments? No.
                                                               25
25
                                                         198
                                                                                                                        200
```

```
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
1
2
            MR. COLLER: Okay. So that goes with --
3
        because it's a zoning item, that is a three-two
        vote, and it goes without a recommendation.
4
5
            MR. PARDO: Mr. Attorney, I just wanted to
 6
        say something, for the record, to make sure,
        that whomever your counterpart is with the
        Commission understands, they can't approve the
8
        zoning without approving the change of the
9
        Master Plan, because you can't have one in
10
        violation of the other.
11
12
            MR. COLLER: Well, you've made a
        recommendation for denial, but that's not
13
14
        binding on the Commission. They just need your
15
        recommendation. So you've recommended it. It
        would be up to the Commission, as far as what
16
        they choose to do with these two items.
17
18
            MR. PARDO: Okay. Thank you.
19
            MR. GRABIEL: I move to adjourn.
20
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
21
        adjourn.
            MR. GRABIEL: Second.
22
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All in favor say aye.
23
            (All Members voted aye.)
   (Thereupon, the meetin was concluded at 9:30 p.m.
                                                        201
1
                      CERTIFICATE
2
   STATE OF FLORIDA:
   COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6
7
8
            I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
   certify that I was authorized to and did
   stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
   that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
   stenographic notes.
14
15
            DATED this 18th day of July, 2023.
16
17
18
                               mi Dan
19
20
                               ----NIEVES SANCHEZ
21
22
23
24
25
```