

1 THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
 2 MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
 3 THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai?
 4 MR. MURAI: Yes.
 5 THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
 6 MR. TORRE: Yes.
 7 THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?
 8 MS. VELEZ: Yes.
 9 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
 10 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
 11 MR. MURAI: I'm going to then move the
 12 actual amendment. Mine was an amendment -- I
 13 guess not. Okay. Forget it.
 14 MR. TORRE: It's redundant.
 15 MR. BEHAR: Okay. We deferred Item E-4.
 16 Let's jump into E-5. Mr. Attorney, can you
 17 read that one, please?
 18 MR. COLLER: Yes.
 19 Item E-5, an Ordinance of the City
 20 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing
 21 for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables
 22 Official Zoning Code Article 2, "Decision
 23 Making and Administrative Bodies," Division 3,
 24 "Board of Architects," Section 2-302,
 25 "Membership; Terms; Vacancies; Removal"

1 MR. TRIAS: Right. That will have to be
 2 cleaned up.
 3 MR. MURAI: It's unnecessary.
 4 MS. ANDERSON: Yeah, that's a little
 5 redundant.
 6 MR. TRIAS: We'll clean this up. Thank you
 7 very much.
 8 MR. TORRE: What is the impetus to do this?
 9 MR. TRIAS: It provides flexibility to the
 10 City Manager to appoint persons that he
 11 believes are doing a good job. It's simply at
 12 the discretion of the City Manager.
 13 MR. BEHAR: And not only that, I tell you,
 14 having served on the Board of Architects in the
 15 past, sometimes it's difficult to get new Board
 16 Members that, One, want to do it. It's once a
 17 week, and sometimes it could take, you know,
 18 four or five hours a day. So, unfortunately,
 19 there is not a lot of people willing to do it.
 20 So I think this gives a little more flexibility
 21 to the Manager to keep, you know, seven Board
 22 Members at all times.
 23 MR. MURAI: Are you thinking there should
 24 be no limit at all?
 25 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. This Board is unique, in

1 removing the term limitations for members of
 2 the Board of Architects; providing for
 3 severability, repealer, codification, and an
 4 effective date. Item E-5, public hearing.
 5 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, it's a very minor
 6 amendment. That currently, as you know, the
 7 City Manager appoints the members for a
 8 two-year term and there's a maximum of eight
 9 years that they can serve. So the removal is
 10 simply the maximum of the eight years.
 11 Therefore, the City Manager may appoint
 12 somebody for two years and reappoint them or
 13 not later on regardless of the time served.
 14 MR. BEHAR: What you're eliminating is the
 15 maximum of eight years?
 16 MR. TRIAS: Just that. That's the only
 17 thing that is eliminated. The term remains two
 18 years, and then the option to reappoint is
 19 always there.
 20 MR. MURAI: So you can serve for life?
 21 MR. TRIAS: In theory, I supposed, yes.
 22 MS. ANDERSON: Under Paragraph C, the
 23 second sentence, it says, "Appointments to
 24 unexpired terms shall not count as part of the
 25 term limit."

1 the sense that it's appointed by the City
 2 Manager, and as the Chair explained, it's a
 3 very, very demanding Board. It's not a
 4 political Board. It's really a technical
 5 Board. And that was the thinking, if we have
 6 somebody who wants to serve and has the time,
 7 they should.
 8 MS. VELEZ: I would be more comfortable if
 9 we had a limit of some sort, even if we were to
 10 extend this limit. I don't like to take away a
 11 term limit, if one is in existence. I
 12 understand the rationale, but I think eight
 13 years is a long time, and it's consecutive. So
 14 someone could be off for two years and then
 15 come back.
 16 MR. TRIAS: What I would say is that the
 17 practical challenge that we have is that we
 18 haven't been able to find new people.
 19 MR. BEHAR: There's a lot of requirements.
 20 You have to be a registered landscape architect
 21 or a register architect.
 22 MR. TRIAS: Ten years of experience in
 23 Coral Gables.
 24 MS. VELEZ: And you must reside in the
 25 Gables?

1 MR. BEHAR: It doesn't require residency in
 2 Coral Gables. You have to practice --
 3 MR. TRIAS: But you have to have the
 4 experience, yes.
 5 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
 6 MR. TRIAS: And it's been like that since
 7 the 1930's Zoning Code, so it's always been
 8 extremely high requirements.
 9 MR. BEHAR: You know, I did serve for eight
 10 years, and if I was asked to do it again, I
 11 would probably say, "No, thank you," you know,
 12 but anyways --
 13 MR. TRIAS: Again, like I said, this is
 14 appointed by the City Manager. It's a unique
 15 Board. It's unique nationally. I don't know
 16 of any other city that has this kind of Board
 17 at this level.
 18 MR. MURAI: This has to go before the City
 19 Commission, obviously?
 20 MR. TRIAS: Yes, of course.
 21 MR. BEHAR: We'll open it up to any
 22 questions, any additional questions, and if
 23 not, we'll open it up to the public.
 24 Seeing no public input, we'll close the
 25 public hearing and bring it back to the Board.

1 think E-7 and E-8 are the actionable items.
 2 MR. TRIAS: That's correct.
 3 MR. BEHAR: Then we'll move to Item E-7.
 4 MR. COLLER: Item E-7, an Ordinance of the
 5 City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
 6 providing for a text amendment to the City of
 7 Coral Gables Official Zoning Code and the City
 8 of Coral Gables Code (City Code) transferring
 9 the following divisions and sections from the
 10 Zoning Code to the City Code; Article 3,
 11 "Development Review," Article 4, "Zoning
 12 Districts," Section 4-414, "Wild animals and
 13 reptiles, keeping," Article 5, "Development
 14 Standards," Division 15, "Platting Standards"
 15 Section 5-1510, "Standards for Subdivision
 16 Improvements," and Division 22, "Underground
 17 Utilities"; providing for severability,
 18 repealer, codification, and an effective date.
 19 Item E-7, public hearing.
 20 MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias.
 21 MR. TRIAS: May I have the PowerPoint? I
 22 have a PowerPoint with two slides, so it's
 23 going to be very straight forward, and it deals
 24 with both, Item 7 and 8.
 25 MR. COLLER: Should I read Item E-8, since

1 MR. MURAI: I'll move it.
 2 MR. TORRE: Second.
 3 MR. BEHAR: Can you please call the roll?
 4 THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
 5 MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
 6 THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai?
 7 MR. MURAI: Yes.
 8 THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
 9 MR. TORRE: Yes.
 10 THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?
 11 MS. VELEZ: No.
 12 THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
 13 MR. WITHERS: Yes.
 14 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
 15 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
 16 Next item, E-6, Mr. Attorney can you read
 17 that for the record?
 18 MR. COLLER: Item E-6 --
 19 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Attorney, E-6, E-7 and E-8
 20 are related.
 21 MR. COLLER: Well, E-6 is just Progress on
 22 the Zoning Code update, right?
 23 MR. TRIAS: Yes. It's just a memo that is
 24 attached for information.
 25 MR. COLLER: It's not really an item. I

1 we're going to be taking testimony on both
 2 items? I think it would be better to read both
 3 in and we can vote on them separately.
 4 MR. TRIAS: That would be my recommendation.
 5 MR. BEHAR: Go ahead and do that.
 6 MR. COLLER: Okay. Everybody relax,
 7 because this is going to take some time to read
 8 this in.
 9 Item E-8, an Ordinance of the City
 10 Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing
 11 for a text amendment to the City of Coral
 12 Gables Official Zoning Code by amending Article
 13 3, "Development Review," Division 3, "Uniform
 14 Notice and Procedures for Public Hearing,"
 15 Section 3-302 "Notice," Division 5, "Planned
 16 Area Development," Section 3-506 "Application
 17 and review procedures for approval of plans;"
 18 Division 14, "Zoning Code Text and Map
 19 Amendments," Section 3-1404 "Standards for
 20 review of applicant-initiated district boundary
 21 changes," Section 3-1405 "Standards for review
 22 of text amendments to these regulations and for
 23 City-initiated district boundary changes,"
 24 Section 3-1408 "City Commission review and
 25 decision," Division 16, "General Procedures for

Page 65

1 Developments of Regional Impact;" Article 4,
 2 "Zoning Districts," Section 4-206 "Business
 3 Improvement Overlay District;" Section 4-402
 4 "Prohibited uses, certain streets;" Article 5,
 5 Section 5-14-6 "Visibility Triangles;" Section
 6 5-1408 "Common Driveways and Remote Off-Street
 7 Parking;" Article 8 "Definitions;" and Appendix
 8 A - "Site Specific Zoning Regulations;"
 9 clarifying prohibited uses on certain streets;
 10 removing conflicting and outdated provisions
 11 regarding DRI process, miscellaneous submittal
 12 requirements, city-initiated standards for
 13 review, BIOD process, visibility triangles,
 14 remote parking, certain definitions, and
 15 conflicting site specifics from the Zoning
 16 Code; providing for severability, repealer,
 17 codification, and an effective date. Item E-8,
 18 public hearing.
 19 MR. BEHAR: Thank you. Mr. Trias.
 20 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, Item E-7 was
 21 Number One, which some of the text from the
 22 Zoning Code is being transferred to the City
 23 Code.
 24 As you know, we have two Codes. Zoning
 25 deals with development issues and the City Code

Page 67

1 another Code.
 2 MR. MURAI: And by doing so, what are you
 3 accomplishing?
 4 MR. TRIAS: Well, it is more clear, because
 5 then what happens is that the Public Works
 6 Department, that reviews subdivision and street
 7 and so on, know where to look for it and
 8 everything is in one place, and then we avoid
 9 any kind of confusion and so on and so forth.
 10 That's the main goal. The main goal is to
 11 clarify things.
 12 MS. VELEZ: Is there any difference in
 13 processing standards or requirements in moving
 14 one from the Zoning Code to City Code?
 15 MR. TRIAS: No. No. In this instance,
 16 there's no differences, and what happens is --
 17 one of the big things that we're doing with the
 18 Code is rearranging the chapters for clarity.
 19 That's the big change. And as far as that
 20 change, this is one of the consequences.
 21 MR. BEHAR: And you're right, that's being
 22 done. And, for example, I see remote parking.
 23 We're addressing that in the new re-write.
 24 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
 25 MR. BEHAR: Why are we --

Page 66

1 deals with other issues. So some issues really
 2 belong best in the City Code.
 3 And then E-8 is removal of a few things
 4 that are outdated and clarifications, trying to
 5 keep it as non-substantial as possible, and
 6 later on we will come back with the substance
 7 amendments.
 8 As you know -- or maybe not, because some
 9 of you are relatively new -- maybe you are not
 10 as aware of the process, but we do have a
 11 consultant, who is helping us through this, and
 12 she will be here at a later date with the
 13 appropriate changes.
 14 So these are the three items that we
 15 propose to transfer. One of them deals with
 16 animals and reptiles, which is a Code
 17 Enforcement issue. The other two deal with
 18 subdivision improvements. Now, as you know,
 19 Zoning usually deals with private property.
 20 Anything that deals with streets and
 21 underground utilities and so on typically is
 22 somewhere else. So that would be the City
 23 Code. So that is what we're doing. We're not
 24 taking it away. In other words, we're not
 25 removing, it. We're simply moving it to

Page 68

1 MR. TRIAS: We're removing that one, when I
 2 get to the next one.
 3 MR. BEHAR: Okay.
 4 MR. TRIAS: You are way ahead of the game.
 5 MR. MURAI: One question. By removing it
 6 or by moving it to the City Code, is the
 7 approval process different?
 8 MR. TRIAS: No. The approval process is
 9 the same, because we're not changing the text
 10 in this case. What happens is that Zoning --
 11 like I said, Zoning is about private
 12 development. Private development happens
 13 within your property. So that's what we're
 14 trying to do, keep the Zoning Code to the
 15 issues that deal with Zoning, and any issues
 16 that are beyond that happen in the right place.
 17 MR. MURAI: But the process of approval is
 18 the same?
 19 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
 20 MR. BEHAR: For example, the outdoor
 21 seating, that goes from Zoning to the City
 22 Code, which is probably more appropriate there
 23 than in the Zoning Code.
 24 MR. MURAI: Outdoor seating where?
 25 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, let me go to the

1 next one.
 2 MR. BEHAR: Go ahead. Let me let you go
 3 on.
 4 MR. TRIAS: So the three things that we're
 5 moving are the three things listed here.
 6 Now, in addition, we are removing some
 7 language from the Code. And in this case, what
 8 I want to remove from this list is Number 6,
 9 Remote Parking. I don't want to deal with that
 10 tonight. And the reason is that, that one has
 11 some policy consequences beyond what I would
 12 like to deal with, which is issues that are
 13 technical in nature. So that's being removed.
 14 Developments of Regional Impact, DRI, DRI
 15 doesn't exist anymore, for example, in the
 16 State Law, so we're taking that out. It used
 17 to exist, but there were DRIs approved no more.
 18 And some miscellaneous requirements that
 19 deal with City initiated versus applicant
 20 initiated processes, which are really the same
 21 process, so it didn't make any sense to have,
 22 oh, when the City initiates this, then we have
 23 a slightly different number of days or
 24 whatever. So that's Number Two.
 25 There are some issues with the visibility

1 permits --
 2 MR. TRIAS: The issue is, simply we're
 3 saying, instead of talking about sidewalks,
 4 we're talking about property lines. That's it.
 5 That's the only issue.
 6 MR. MURAI: Say it again, I'm sorry.
 7 MR. TRIAS: The definition right now has
 8 some language that speaks of the back of the
 9 sidewalk, but instead of saying the back of the
 10 sidewalk, what we're saying is, the property
 11 line. There's always a property line.
 12 Sometimes there's no sidewalk. So it's just a
 13 cleanup issue.
 14 MS. ANDERSON: That's clear.
 15 MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
 16 MR. WITHERS: What about the new bicycle
 17 lanes, does the visibility affect those?
 18 MR. TRIAS: It affects it if you widen the
 19 asphalt in the same way that any widening
 20 would. If you didn't widen the asphalt, it's
 21 the same triangle.
 22 MR. BEHAR: If you go to, property line,
 23 you're going to be further back.
 24 MR. WITHERS: No, I understand.
 25 MR. BEHAR: So it's going to be more --

1 triangles. There were some issues with outdoor
 2 seating, which remains in the Code, but we're
 3 just trying to clarify some of the timing and
 4 so on.
 5 There are some prohibited uses that are
 6 being removed, and then there are some
 7 definitions that are really not applicable, but
 8 there's one case that was a Site Specific that
 9 conflicts with another Site Specific. So
 10 that's really it.
 11 And I would advise you just to look at the
 12 changes in the bigger picture. This is just a
 13 very small, very small part of a much larger
 14 process, and that process is going to involve a
 15 complete re-arrangement of the Code, and then
 16 some additional language that is not in the
 17 Code currently, that we're going to be able to
 18 discuss, language that deals with policy.
 19 Today we're not really dealing with policy.
 20 We're dealing with cleanup.
 21 MR. TORRE: I have a question regarding
 22 Three, visibility triangles for properties
 23 without sidewalks. Are we not in some measure
 24 trying to have some sidewalks reinstalled by
 25 just property owners, along the ways of

1 MR. MURAI: What is this visibility
 2 triangle? I'm not familiar with that.
 3 MR. TRIAS: What happens is that when you
 4 have a driveway, any kind of curb cut, there's
 5 a review that takes place that requires you to
 6 have a triangular area, as you're driving out,
 7 that is clear of any obstacles, like walls or
 8 big trees or whatever. That's what it is.
 9 MS. ANDERSON: Bushes.
 10 MR. TRIAS: It's a very technical level of
 11 review. Yes.
 12 MR. BEHAR: To allow -- when you're coming
 13 out, you have the visibility if there's a
 14 pedestrian or a car, whatever, you can clearly
 15 see that.
 16 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
 17 MR. BEHAR: Can you be a little bit more
 18 specific on Number 8? Give me an example of
 19 Site Specific that conflicts.
 20 MR. TRIAS: Yes. If you look at the very
 21 last page, Page 12, it's just one item, and it
 22 has to do with Snapper Creek, which says,
 23 "Refer to another Site Specific." It's really
 24 a cleanup. Believe me, there's nothing
 25 substantial going on here today. However, in

Page 73

1 the future I do plan to bring some policy
 2 issues that I think you will be interested in.
 3 MR. MURAI: And the outdoor seating is not
 4 a policy issue?
 5 MR. TRIAS: It's not a policy issue, no.
 6 And the policy issues of outdoor seating have
 7 already been addressed recently, in terms of
 8 the public space or your own property and so
 9 on, and the challenge that we have is really
 10 implementation and streamlining the review by
 11 DERM and other agencies. We're still working
 12 on some of those issues. And we may have some
 13 amendments in the future that will streamline
 14 the process.
 15 MR. MURAI: So this is no longer going to
 16 be in the Zoning Code?
 17 MR. TRIAS: No, that remains in the Zoning
 18 Code. These are some minor strike-throughs in
 19 the text of language that in our view was
 20 technical in nature, and eliminating that
 21 language will clarify the meaning of the text.
 22 MS. ANDERSON: I just had a couple of
 23 questions that have to do with whether or not I
 24 vote in favor of this.
 25 The space requirement, five foot clearance,

Page 75

1 issues that you're talking about will be policy
 2 issues that will be brought to you in the
 3 future, because there are some issues with
 4 remote parking.
 5 MS. ANDERSON: No, I'm mentioning it to
 6 you, food for thought, because it's not clearly
 7 defined.
 8 MR. TRIAS: Absolutely. The remote parking
 9 is going back, by the way. I'm taking it out,
 10 because I think this is something that we need
 11 to think about more personally. So I don't
 12 recommend any changes tonight.
 13 MS. VELEZ: So we're removing any
 14 discussion of Section 5-1408?
 15 MR. TRIAS: Yes. And I'm recommending
 16 that, because of the issues that Ms. Anderson
 17 is raising, because there's multiple issues
 18 that need to be addressed that deal with
 19 policy.
 20 MR. BEHAR: Chip.
 21 MR. WITHERS: So when you review the City
 22 Code items, do you have Site Plan review in
 23 that?
 24 MR. TRIAS: Not in the City Code. That
 25 will be in the Zoning Code.

Page 74

1 on the property side, is that also consistent
 2 with the space --
 3 MR. TRIAS: Which page are you looking at?
 4 MS. ANDERSON: I'm on Page 9, Item 3. Go
 5 to Item 3.
 6 MR. TRIAS: What was the question?
 7 MS. ANDERSON: Is the five-foot clearance
 8 distance on the public sidewalk a consistent
 9 measurement that is required in the verandas?
 10 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Again, we're not changing
 11 that. The text is already in the Code.
 12 MS. ANDERSON: Yes. These are just
 13 questions, because, you know, I read it and
 14 therefore I'm thinking.
 15 The other thing I was thinking about, I
 16 know you took off the remote parking, but
 17 looking at Page 11, I think we need a
 18 definition of where the 1,000 foot line is
 19 drawn from, because if the purpose of having
 20 this 1,000 foot radius is to try to expedite
 21 the remote parking site, are we going to
 22 measure it from where the car enters or measure
 23 from where the valet exists?
 24 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. We're not changing that.
 25 The 1,000 feet is already in the Code. The

Page 76

1 MR. WITHERS: So I believe outdoor seating
 2 requires a Site Plan presentation, does it not?
 3 MR. TRIAS: It's not a Site Plan approval
 4 process. It does require a drawing that shows
 5 the layout.
 6 MR. WITHERS: So when a developer -- take
 7 this Coral Gables Country Club. When they
 8 wanted to do their re-development, they
 9 presented a Site Plan with outdoor seating and
 10 it was approved. So outdoor seating was
 11 required as drawn in on the Site Plans. So if
 12 you move the outdoor seating requirement to the
 13 City Code, where does the Site Plan review --
 14 MR. TRIAS: We're not doing that.
 15 MR. WITHERS: Okay. I'm sorry, I thought
 16 you were moving that over to the -- okay, I'm
 17 sorry.
 18 MR. TRIAS: No, I'm sorry. This thing
 19 remains. The previous slide is the one that
 20 we're moving. These are all staying.
 21 MR. WITHERS: Okay. So the outdoor seating
 22 is still part of the Planning and Zoning review
 23 and not in the City Code review?
 24 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
 25 Anyway, I don't want to overcomplicate

1 this. We will have plenty of opportunity for
 2 discussion as we bring other things.
 3 So that was it. Those are the two
 4 requests.
 5 MR. MURAI: In the Restaurant Open Air and
 6 Outdoor Dining, you will be moving the language
 7 that says that it can only be granted for one
 8 year or two years?
 9 MR. TRIAS: Yes.
 10 MR. MURAI: That's basically it, right?
 11 MR. TRIAS: That's basically it.
 12 MS. VELEZ: So, in other words, they do not
 13 need to come back for review and apply for a
 14 renewal of the license?
 15 MR. TRIAS: We are working on the details
 16 of that. I think that would be ideal, but we
 17 may come up with some language that changes
 18 policy in the future, not today. Today we're
 19 simply removing the request -- the --
 20 MR. MURAI: So right now it could be a
 21 permit for ten years, right now?
 22 MR. TRIAS: As long as it's not in
 23 violation, it could be more than -- several
 24 years, yeah, if the changes are approved.
 25 Right now, you still have to do it yearly.

1 business at 1430 South Dixie Highway.
 2 I come to you as a property owner, as a
 3 business owner, and also as Vice-President of
 4 the Coral Gables Neighborhood Association.
 5 What we're asking from you -- and I'm not going
 6 to go into specifics, because I'm a newbie to
 7 this, and I'm trying to learn, and you're
 8 asking us why we haven't come forward, we are
 9 trying to learn and we are trying to
 10 participate in the decisions of our City, is
 11 that when the Zoning Code is revamped -- that
 12 you think about the residents who decided to
 13 move here, why we moved here, the quality of
 14 life we moved here for, and how we envisioned
 15 the City to develop.
 16 We are not against development. Our City
 17 has older structures that need to be developed.
 18 What we're asking is, I feel remote parking,
 19 which was taken out, 1,000 feet, that's far too
 20 much. I drove it the other day from 220
 21 Miracle Mile to where they were going to go,
 22 and it's going to take time for the valets to
 23 go back and forth, and not to redo the Zoning
 24 Code for specific developers or specific pieces
 25 of property, but to look as a whole as to what

1 MR. MURAI: But once you approve these
 2 amendments, you won't have to do it yearly?
 3 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. What I would like to say
 4 is that that's a process that is still being
 5 worked out and I don't think we have achieved
 6 the ideal process, so we may have some
 7 additional ideas.
 8 MR. MURAI: But if you haven't finished
 9 that process, why remove these restrictions
 10 right now?
 11 MR. TRIAS: Because I think that when we
 12 rearrange things, which is coming soon, I would
 13 prefer to have cleaner language than just
 14 rearranging things and then coming back with
 15 the strike-throughs. I think it's better. But
 16 then again, you know, it's really not a huge
 17 difference, but we believed it was the better
 18 approach.
 19 MR. BEHAR: Any other questions or
 20 comments?
 21 We would open it up to the public. Anybody
 22 from the public wishes to speak on this item?
 23 MS. REGISTER: Again, my name is Debra
 24 Register. I'm located at 1240 Placetas Avenue.
 25 I've been there since 1984. And I also have a

1 our vision is and what we will have in the
 2 future, fifty years from now.
 3 And so with this, I ask you to really
 4 consider us as residents, and I've always heard
 5 all of these new developments keep your taxes
 6 low, if my taxes are low and I have to not go
 7 to Miracle Mile because it's not pleasant to go
 8 to, all they are is you're trying to bring in
 9 tourists or whatever it is to visit the
 10 restaurants, then I don't care about my taxes.
 11 I rather pay a little bit more and have the
 12 quality of life why I moved here.
 13 Thank you very much.
 14 MR. BEHAR: Thank you very much.
 15 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you.
 16 MR. BEHAR: Any other public input?
 17 Seeing none, we'll close the public
 18 hearing, and we'll bring it back to the Board.
 19 MR. COLLIER: We should take the items
 20 separately, since the first one is --
 21 MR. BEHAR: Item E-7, can we have a motion
 22 for Item E-7?
 23 MS. VELEZ: So moved.
 24 MR. MURAI: Second.
 25 MR. BEHAR: Can you please call the roll?

1 THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai?
 2 MR. MURAI: Yes.
 3 THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
 4 MR. TORRE: Yes.
 5 THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?
 6 MS. VELEZ: Yes.
 7 THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
 8 MR. WITHERS: Yes.
 9 THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
 10 MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
 11 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
 12 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
 13 Item E-8.
 14 MR. COLLER: E-8, there's a requested
 15 amendment by Staff, I believe, right, to
 16 remove --
 17 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Go ahead.
 18 MR. COLLER: No. No. Please.
 19 MR. TRIAS: Staff recommends that you
 20 remove the remote parking amendment.
 21 MR. BEHAR: Okay. So we're going to have
 22 Item E-8, with the removal of the remote
 23 parking. Do we have a motion for approval?
 24 MS. ANDERSON: So moved.
 25 MR. BEHAR: Do we have a second?

1 MR. TORRE: I'll second it.
 2 MR. BEHAR: Jill, can you please call the
 3 roll?
 4 THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
 5 MR. TORRE: Yes.
 6 THE SECRETARY: Maria Velez?
 7 MS. VELEZ: Yes.
 8 THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
 9 MR. WITHERS: Yes.
 10 THE SECRETARY: Rhonda Anderson?
 11 MS. ANDERSON: Yes.
 12 THE SECRETARY: Rene Murai?
 13 MR. MURAI: Yes.
 14 THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
 15 MR. BEHAR: Yes.
 16 I think that's the end of our agenda, so we
 17 can make a motion for adjournment.
 18 MR. TORRE: So moved.
 19 MS. VELEZ: Second.
 20 MR. BEHAR: All in favor?
 21 MS. ANDERSON: Aye.
 22 MR. MURAI: Aye.
 23 MR. TORRE: Aye.
 24 MS. VELEZ: Aye.
 25 (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:25 p.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE
 2
 3 STATE OF FLORIDA:
 4 SS.
 5 COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
 6
 7
 8
 9 I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
 10 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
 11 certify that I was authorized to and did
 12 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
 13 that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
 14 stenographic notes.
 15
 16 DATED this 21st day of October, 2019.
 17
 18
 19
 20 _____
 21 NIEVES SANCHEZ
 22
 23
 24
 25