1	CITY OF CORAL GABLES
2	CITY COMMISSION MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
3	CORAL GABLES CITY HALL 405 BILTMORE WAY, COMMISSION CHAMBERS
4	CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2015, COMMENCING AT 9:00 A.M.
5	
6	CITY COMMISSION MEMBERS: ORIGINAL
7	Mayor Jim Cason Vice Mayor Frank C. Quesada
8	Commissioner Patricia Keon
9	Commissioner Vince Lago Commissioner Jeannett Slesnick
10	
11	City Staff and Consultants:
12	Cathy Swanson-Rivenbark, City Manager Ramon Trias, Planning Director
13	Craig E. Leen, City Attorney
14	Walter Foeman, City Clerk Craig H. Coller, Esq., Special Counsel
15	2: 15
16	Also Participating:
17	Tucker Gibbs, Esq., on behalf of the Riviera Neighborhood Association
18	Jeffrey Bass, Esq., on behalf of the Applicant
,19	Laura Russo, Esq., Co-Counsel for the Applicant Jorge Navarro, Architect
20	Tim Plummer, Traffic Engineer
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
    Public Speakers:
 2
    Sandra Levinson
    Wayne Eldred
 3
    Sonia Chao
    Hilario Candela
    Eva Gordon
 4
    Tony Friguls
 5
    Lucien Gordon
    Stuart Rich
    Christopher Zoller
 6
    Antonio Facio
 7
    Elsie Miranda
    Courtney Thompson
 8
    Tracy Kerdyk
    Vivian Haydar
 9
    Terri Scandura
    Roberta Neway
10
    Krista Rios
    Mark Trowbridge
11
    Maria Masvidal-Visser
    Stephen Diener
12
    Henry Pinera
    Pat Parker
13
    Jorge L. Arrizurieta
    Heidi Roth
14
    Patrick Nolan
    Chris Hernandez
15
    Suzanne Dockerty
    Cristina Santa-Cruz
16
    Eric Santa-Cruz
    Amado Acosta
17
    Philip Rinaldi
    Menachem Fellig
    Brooks Miller
18
    Rachel Bixby
19
    Paul Mass
    Susan Kawalerski
20
    Daisy Hayworth
    Debra Register
21
    Alicia Fernandez
    Tais Sanchez
22
    Valerie Quemada
    Carolina Estefan
23
24
25
```

(Thereupon, the following proceedings were held.)

MAYOR CASON: All right. Good morning, everyone. Please take a seat. We're going to have a long day. We're going to get started.

Welcome to the Special City Commission meeting of October 22nd, on the Paseo de la Riviera project.

I'm going to start us off and ask our City
Attorney to read the items and to give the
Rules of Procedure and then we'll start. I'm
hoping to finish up by three o'clock. We're
going to have two minutes each, when you speak.
Please limit it to two minutes. Otherwise a
lot of people are not going to be able to
speak.

So City Attorney.

MR. LEEN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

Good morning. There are four items today, all for public hearing. The public hearing will be consolidated for purposes of the four items. I'll read them into the record now.

Please note that three of the items are ordinances. One is a resolution. Also please note that there are two readings. Today we're

here on first reading. Any final approval has to occur on second reading. Just please be aware of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item A-1 is an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, requesting an amendment to the Future Land Use Map of the City of Coral Gables Comprehensive Plan pursuant to Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review, "Division 15, "Comprehensive Plan Text Amendments, " and Small Scale amendment procedures, Sections 163.3187 Florida Statutes, from "Commercial Low-Rise Intensity" to "Commercial High-Rise Intensity" for the property legally described as a Portion of Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8, 1350 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, providing for a severability clause and providing for an effective date.

The Planning and Zoning Board made no recommendation, because there was a vote of three to three, and a vote of four is required to make a recommendation.

I should also note that the reason I'm reading these is, by law, I'm required to read

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

the titles into the record.

Item A-2 is an Ordinance of the City

Commission of Coral Gables, Florida, providing

for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables

Official Zoning Code, by amending Appendix A,

"Site Specific Zoning Regulations" Section

A-83, "Riviera Section, Part 8," by removing

provisions restricting FAR, height and setbacks

for the property legally described as Tract A,

Riviera Section Part 8; including required

conditions; providing for a repealer provision,

providing for a severability clause,

codification and providing for an effective

date.

Once again, the Planning and Zoning Board made no recommendation, because they had a vote of three to three.

Item A-3 is an Ordinance of the City

Commission of Coral Gables, Florida requesting

review of a Planned Area Development pursuant

Zoning Code Article 3, "Development Review,"

Division 5, "Planned Area Development," for the

proposed project referred to as "Paseo de la

Riviera" on the property legally described as a

Portion of Tract A, Riviera Section Part 8,

1350 South Dixie Highway, Coral Gables,
Florida; including required conditions;
providing for a repealer provision, providing
for a severability clause and providing for an
effective date.

1.8

2.1

Here the Planning and Zoning Board recommended approval, with various conditions, by a vote of four to two.

Lastly, Item A-4 is a resolution of the
City Comission of Coral Gables, Florida,
requesting a Mixed-Use Site Plan Review
pursuant to Zoning Code Article 4, "Zoning
Districts," Division 2, "Overlay and Special
Purpose Districts," Section 4-201, "Mixed-Use
District," for the proposed project referred to
as the "Paseo de la Riviera" on the property
legally described as a Portion of Tract A,
Riviera Section Part 8, 1350 South Dixie
Highway, Coral Gables, Florida; including
required conditions; providing for a repealer
provision, providing for a severability clause,
and providing for an effective date.

Here the Planning and Zoning Board recommended approval, with various conditions, again, by a vote of four to two.

All of these items are consolidated for purposes of the public hearing. They would each receive a separate vote.

At this point, I'm going to go over the rules of procedure for today. I'd like to preface my remarks by saying, I spoke on ethical governance today at Coral Gables High School, and I just wanted to say, as the City Attorney and parliamentarian, and on behalf of the Commission, that this really is the pinnacle of American democracy, what we're about to do today, and when I was talking to the students, I showed them a painting of Norman Rockwell, with Abraham Lincoln, speaking to a Court on behalf of an accused person, and I can tell you the students, all of them, felt that the First Amendment and the right to petition the government and to speak on these items was the most important.

So we welcome everyone today. We do have some rules of public participation and decorum for City Commission and Board Meetings, which I'm going to -- I won't read them all, but I'm just going to go over them briefly.

First of all, this is a public hearing, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the City encourages public participation, but because of the number of speakers today, each person is going to be limited to two minutes. That will be strictly enforced. We're strictly enforcing that, not because we do not like people to speak, in fact, we welcome your comments, it's being strictly enforced to ensure everyone can speak, so that we can finish the hearing by three o'clock, if possible, but certainly within a reasonable time.

And the problem is that if we don't hold strictly to two minutes and we go to four, five, six minutes, this hearing -- a lot of people may have to leave and may not have the opportunity to speak, and we value your time.

Second, if you do wish to speak, you need to fill out a card. And when you come up to speak, you're going to have to give your name and your address. And if you're representing an organization, if you're speaking on their behalf, you have to let us know, and if that organization is subject to the lobbying laws, you have to register as a lobbyiest, but if you're speaking on behalf of yourself or your

home, you don't need to worry about that.

When the speaker's name is called, please come up, say those things, and then your two minutes will start, and when the two-minute -- you're going to have a yellow light, and then a red light. When the red light stops, you're going to hear, "beep," and I, as parliamentarian, will respectfully ask you to stop speaking.

At that point, the Mayor will gavel you down, respectfully. Obviously we welcome your comments, but we are going to adhere to the rules today.

Next, no speaker or member of the public should refuse to obey the orders of the Chair or what I tell you on behalf of the Chair as parliamentarian. Please follow them.

All remarks shall be addressed to the Commission. Please don't -- one thing we'd like to avoid is arguments among people today. We would like everything to be addressed to the Commission. You can say your piece, whatever you feel, and whatever you think, but please say them to the Commission.

Also, please do not argue. You're going to

be given your time to speak and to say what you wish, but it should not be a back and forth, in an argumentative fashion, with the Commission, and that applies to the Commission, as well as myself, all of us. We will act with decorum.

And these provisions, by the way, are in our City Code or come from our City Code.

If there's going to be talking in the background, you should leave the room, because we want to make sure that the court reporter can hear everything that is said, and one thing we were told at prior hearings was that the court reporter could not take down the transcript, because people would talk over each other, so they couldn't get all of the information.

Now, please note, today, this is like a court hearing, what's going to happen in this room. Part of this application is quasi-judicial. So we're actually going to swear you in, if you're going to speak, after I finish this talk, and when you speak, we need to get everything in the transcript.

That's for purposes of the public record, and the Court, if there's any review in the

future, because no matter what you say, if we don't get it recorded in the public record, in the transcript, it will be lost for history.

So we want to be able to transcribe everything that occurs today.

Please be concise and to the point. You do not have to use all two minutes, if you do not want to, but you're free to use the two minutes.

We would ask that no person speak more than once today. Everyone in the public hearing will speak one time, for their two minutes.

We would ask that no one delay or interrupt the proceedings today, and I anticipate that will not happen. I'm just going over the rules.

Please be kind and respectful to each other, even with the disagreements and views that probably will be expressed today.

With that, that's a basic review of the rules. Hopefully they won't come up. I know that this is a very respectful audience. We have great respect for our residents, but I do know that there are strong feelings today. So we just ask that you remember, we are going to

try to make -- and by "we," I mean, the City Commission, will try to make the best decision possible.

Now, with that, I would ask the City Clerk to swear in --

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And Mr. Mayor and City Attorney, first of all, thank you for your good rules of decorum. I'm confident that the Commission and the Staff and the community will honor those.

I promised the Fire Marshal that nobody would be allowed to stand. So we have 40 seats, with monitors, outside, close to the restroom and water fountain. We just need to really honor that requirement of the Fire Marshal. We did add extra chairs in here, and we also have 40 chairs outside. So with that, the swearing --

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I do also want to read in the procedure. There will be a brief introduction by City Staff, approximately ten minutes -- five to ten minutes. There will be an Applicant's presentation, which will be presented by the Applicant, Paseo de la Riviera, 45 minutes. There will be a City

Staff presentation of approximately 30 minutes. There will be a presentation by Tucker Gibbs for approximately 45 minutes. There will be a public hearing, where each individual, even if you're -- even if Tucker Gibbs is speaking on your behalf, you'll have the opportunity to speak yourself, two minutes each. There will be a rebuttal by the Applicant, 15 minutes.

At that point, there will be a Commission discussion, questions, and decision on first reading.

In addition, the last thing I need to inform you is that, the first three items are all -- pardon me, the first two items -- there are legislative items and quasi-judicial items. As you know, there's a Comp Plan Amendment today, as well as an amendment to the Zoning Code. Those are called legislative items.

In those items, the Commission, the standard of review they apply, is that they cannot -- they have to -- whatever they do has to be fairly debatable in what they do, and they need to consider the Comp Plan and they need to consider the laws, and then they make a decision in the best interest of the public.

There are items that, though, are quasi-judicial in nature, where we're looking at a specific Site Plan. For those items, the standard -- this is an evidentiary hearing. will be taking evidence. There's Cross Examination. That's permitted. And let me say one thing about Cross Examination. You've all seen it on TV. Sometimes people don't like to be cross-examined. Just try your best to answer the questions and just understand that if you are cross-examined, that legally we're required to permit it. The Commission has no discretion. That's required by law. And if we didn't do that, the decision would be quashed by a Court. So we have to allow that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the quasi-judicial items, when you testify, what you say will be evidence. The Commission will consider that, and then there's three standards. They have to provide due process. They have to follow the essential requirements of law. And they also have to act on competent and substantial evidence. They cannot make a decision on evidence that is outside the record.

So everything needs to be in the record

1	today, and that's what the decision would be
2	based on.
3	So, with that, Mr. Mayor, I will turn it
4	back to you. And I would ask that the Clerk
5	swear in
6	MAYOR CASON: Let's have the City Clerk
7	swear in those that plan to testify today.
8	City Clerk.
9	MR. LEEN: Anyone who plans to speak.
10	THE CLERK: If you expect to testify in the
11	proceeding today, would you please stand and
12	raise your right hand.
13	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And that includes
14	the outside audience.
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: Wait. Yeah, ask them
16	to come in, though.
17	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Craig, are they
18	allowed to do it outside?
19	MR. LEEN: Yes.
20	No. Everyone who is outside, who plans to
21	speak, I'd just like you to come in briefly, so
22	we can see you. This is important.
23	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Just as a
24	clarification, everyone is required, to speak,
25	even the Applicant or no?

1 MR. LEEN: Well, the Applicant is, but not 2 the attorneys. The attorneys are not providing 3 evidence. They're making argument. 4 Anyone who is going to speak. 5 MAYOR CASON: City Clerk. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: We should go out and 7 ask. Give me a minute to go out and ask, because a lot of people went out that door. 8 9 I'm surprised they haven't come back in. MAYOR CASON: And while we're at it, make 10 11 sure that you turn your cell phones off, please. We don't want any phones ringing 12 13 during the session. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: Here they come. 15 MR. LEEN: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner. 1.6 17 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Mr. Clerk. 18 (Thereupon, all participants were sworn.) 19 THE CLERK: Thank you. 20 MAYOR CASON: Thank you all. Please be 21 seated. 22 We'll start off -- yeah. All right. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Just a quick 24 statement. Typically, in these events, these 25 meetings where we have a lot of residents and

we have attorneys on both sides, sometimes the attorneys feel compelled to give us documents five minutes before we vote.

We don't like that. So if you have other documents that you're going to give to us right before we vote, we're going to ask that Mr. Gibbs, and I believe Mr. Bass will be speaking on behalf of the Applicant, give it to us at least by the end of your presentation, before the public comments start, so that we have some time to review it during a break or in certain lull periods.

A lot of time we get a stack of fifty documents five minutes before there's a motion made, and if that happens today, we're not going to consider them. So give us a little bit of lead time to be able to review whatever you need, if you're going to give us something else, okay?

So thank you.

MAYOR CASON: And one last procedural.

Please, no clapping, yelling. We've had that at some of the Planning and Zoning Board meetings. We're not going to tolerate that today.

Please turn off your cell phones.

And with that, we'll ask the City Staff to begin the presentation. We're probably going to have a lunch break around 1:00, depending on how things go.

MR. LEEN: Yes. And just to echo what the Mayor says, I know that we've seen clapping.

It's really -- it's against the rules of decorum. You're not supposed to clap. So please keep that in mind.

MAYOR CASON: Ramon.

2.4

MR. TRIAS: Good morning, Mayor. If I could have the PowerPoint, please.

Good morning, Mayor. Thank you very much for the opportunity to give you a presentation. You have a few materials on your desk.

Following up on the Vice Major's comment,
We have been receiving letters until this
morning. So you have the most updated
information from the public before you. And
we, in fact, even received some revised
drawings by the Applicant last night at 8:40.
I incorporated those this morning into our
PowerPoint. So you have absolutely the latest
discussion ready for you.

I discussed that with Tucker Gibbs. 1 2 They're aware of the updates. 3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So, Ramon, you've given Tucker all of the information that was put into 4 5 the record by the Applicant? MR. TRIAS: I've described it this morning, 6 7 and will be able to see it, but nothing major. 8 I mean, it's just very helpful to see the 9 evolution of this project in the past week. 10 All right. Here it is. Thank you very much. 11 12 The project, as everybody knows, is bound 13 by South Dixie Highway. It faces US-1. 14 then the back area of the project is Madruga 15 Avenue, towards the neighborhood adjacent to 16 the project. Caballero is also one of the 17 boundaries. 18 And that site, as everybody knows, is very, 19 very complex, and it's complex, because US-1 is 20 a major corridor in South Florida. And then 21 there is an existing building, that is 140-feet 22 tall, right next door, which created 23 significant controversy when it was built back 24 in the 1970s.

And as you can see from this aerial,

there's a single-family neighborhood all around it, all around this area towards the back. So it's a very, very complicated site, from an urban design and town planning point of view.

And I don't think it was fully planned by George Merrick, when he came up with the fantastic vision for the City, that we get to enjoy today, 90 years later. So it's an area that has many issues, as it exists.

The current Land Use and the current Zoning are here depicted in these images, and right now the Land Use is Commercial Low Rise and the Zoning is Commercial.

And those are parts of the request that the Applicant has before you.

And, again, an Applicant can request whatever they think is right. It's up to you to make the decision. It's up to the Commission.

I won't go into great detail on the project. I will let the Applicant explain it. But, just, in the nutshell, what we have is a hotel on one side, a paseo throughout the whole site, and then a residential project, with commercial downstairs. So it's a mixed use

project. It's the classic mixed used project that has some high quality pedestrian areas and some arcades and is designed to maximize the pedestrian activity of the site.

It's also a rather controversial project, from many points of view. Many neighbors have expressed their views about the size. As originally submitted, it was 142 feet in height. There were also some issues with the setbacks on the back, as it relates to some of the requirements to protect neighborhoods.

So all of that was submitted and it has been reviewed many times, and the Applicant will have a chance to explain it in great detail.

What I would like to do is focus on some of the issues and some of the opportunities that we've had to have public discussion and conversation, because I think sometimes, when we get to this point, it may appear that this is the first time that a project is being discussed, and I want to make it clear that that's never the case.

When I get a chance, when I get the opportunity to explain a project here, it is

really the result of many people who have worked very hard through the review process, citizens, volunteers, members of our Boards, City Staff, from many different Departments, and so on, on a very, very detailed timeline of reviews.

So there are many opportunities to provide input, and one of the best opportunities is today. In fact, today that's why we're having this meeting, for the citizens to be able to give some idea of what their needs and their desires are, as far as the project.

So the very first step, it's always the Development Review Committee, which is when Staff gets a chance to see the original ideas and give input immediately, for the benefit of the Applicant, for the Applicant's ability to make the project better.

Then the Applicant is always required to have neighborhood meetings. In this case, the Applicant says that they had multiple meetings. At least two of them were part of the official process. There were some additional meetings. I encourage as many meetings as possible, because I think it's very important to

understand the opinions of neighbors.

We also have a very, very professional and extremely effective Board of Architects.

Volunteer architects that dedicate one day a week, and that they review the project. They look at it and they make suggestions. All of that took place.

In this case, the Applicant also had a peer review, which was an additional voluntary process, beyond the requirements of the Code.

The City Commission had a chance to discuss, in concepts, some ideas about US-1. And as part of that discussion -- as part of that discussion, I think there was some interest in having the workshops and Charrettes, and trying to get the citizens' vision more clearly defined.

We had some specific meetings with particular Members of Staff to deal with very important issues, specifically traffic, which I think the cut-through traffic issue has become very clear to me, from listening to the neighbors, that that is one of the major concerns that we need to deal with, and I find it very important that we have some Conditions

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

of Approval, as proposed, that may be helpful in some of those issues.

There's a City-wide review by City Staff.

Everybody -- and I thank them very much,

because they do a great job providing input and
suggestions. Everybody, who has a say on

development, provides that.

We had a workshop, US-1, Red and Sunset Workshop, which is, again, an additional step in the process. It's not a required element in the process, according to the Zoning Code, but simply was the request of the citizens and the request of the Commission to open up the discussion.

There was further Commission discussion, and then -- I'm sorry, and then the project was reviewed by the Planning and Zoning Board several times, because the Planning and Zoning Board, again, they're volunteer members of the community, who dedicate their time to look at the projects and are very conscientious and spend a lot of time -- a lot of time discussing the issues, and then we will see, in the next few slides, that, in fact, there was a lot of discussion and there was no agreement. There

was no agreement or consensus on a final recommendation in some cases.

So that also happens, and, of course, now we are at the City Commission and hopefully there may be a second reading.

What I want to make clear, and I think the attorney has explained this multiple times, is that the first reading is not the final approval. The first reading is just the first reading. Then there's a second reading, and at that point, there's an opportunity, in that time frame, between the two, to perhaps make some revisions and improve the project. So that's the process.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, before we move forward at this time --

MR. TRIAS: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- I want to really highlight the three previous slides that you showed us, and I think that the City Manager maybe can chime in here.

I think it's important that we shed some light on the fact that -- what was the mechanism that we used to get notification out to the residents and the business owners, who

are interested in potentially attending one of those fourteen, so far, events? Did we follow all of the necessary protocols? Were the neighbors potentially notified? What was the radius? As I can see, you're putting up a slide which details this.

I just want to make sure that we followed all of the necessary procedures. In reference to this project, did we go above and beyond the standard call of duty, what we do -- because I see that we've had an excessive amount of meetings.

The US-1 corridor, I was there for that Charrette, but I'm just saying, in addition to standard meetings, what is your opinion, because I know you've been here some time already?

MR. TRIAS: Way beyond typical. Certainly we have a minimum requirement that was met, but, in addition, as you can see -- can I have the slide back, please?

As you can see, those are the times that the public was notified by Staff, by the City. Whatever meetings took place in addition to that by the Applicant, maybe they did a

fantastic job. We don't really keep track of that. We keep track of the required and the City processes.

1.8

So, as you can see, there were letters to property owners twice. The property was posted three times. There was a website posting six times. There were four newspaper advertisements. And then there were also multiple E-news and e-mail invitations.

As you know, as a matter of standard procedure, we list all of the projects in the E-news, in an effort to communicate better with the community. So all of that is going on. So all of that has been going on.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: When you say, "Four times newspaper advertisement," does that take into consideration the great idea that Commissioner Slesnick had?

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: This was the first time that a development project got included in our full page ad in Neighbors on Sunday, and I saw the ad myself.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think that's important. I just want to make sure we highlight that, because Commissioner Slesnick

came up with a great idea, and now it's become standard practice here in the City. We want to try to get as many individuals in this community notified of whatever events are happening. Doesn't matter the importance.

Make sure that we get that information out there, and I think it was a great idea that Commissioner Slesnick came up with and we were able to put this out there.

MR. TRIAS: And through her leadership,
we've been able to communicate much better with
the community. And another thing we always do
is that we have collected all of the letters -again, even last night, I received many
letters, forwarded by Commissioner Slesnick,
from the community, expressing their views.

And all of that has been collected, and it's a very thick document that is included in the binder. So I think that the opportunity to speak before this meeting, during this meeting, and in the next meeting, is there, and I think that the community will have a chance to express their views.

And those are some samples. There were some letters of support, many letters against

the project. So there were a variety of opinions all throughout the community.

And typical comments, I would say that they fell into two camps. One, that the project was out of scale. And other people thought that the project was a good improvement to the area. So all of that is input for you to consider and take into account, whenever you make a final decision.

MAYOR CASON: Ramon, one question.

MR. TRIAS: Yes.

MAYOR CASON: A lot of us get e-mail sent to us directly on the subject. Do you get those or do we have to -- if it's got the word "Paseo" in it, are you getting those or --

MR. TRIAS: If I'm copied, which I believe I was copied in practically all of them, I do get them and I forward them. Otherwise the City Manager forwards them to me.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: If I'm copied, I forward, if he's not, so that he gets it, for the record, as well.

MAYOR CASON: So if any of us have gotten them with no CCs visible, then we can pass them to you --

1 MR. TRIAS: They're all public records. So 2 if I get them, they're listed. 3 MR. LEEN: That's our standard procedure. It's forwarded, and then we put it in the 4 5 record, so everything is in the record. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: 6 Mr. Mayor --7 MR. LEEN: Forward them to me, and then I forward them, for the record. 8 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: A lot of letters 9 10 have come in, in the last week, that were just 11 to the Commission, and Chelsea forwarded them all over to Ramon. 12 13 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. We got many last night, 14 and some even this morning, and they're before 15 you. So you have the latest, as of eight 16 o'clock this morning. So we were working hard 17 updating things. MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 19 MR. TRIAS: All right. So the other thing 20 that I want to emphasize is that, thank you for your leadership, proposing the workshop on 21 22 US-1, and I think that that was a great idea, 23 and I think that probably is a small idea, in 24 the context of what we really need, which is a 25 Charrette for the whole City, to really come up

with a vision, that has support and makes sense to the community, because I think that there was some concern that that was not being done, and I understand perfectly well why that concern was taking place, and I want to make sure that -- my opinion is that we need to encourage public input as much as possible.

For that workshop, we had multiple ways to invite people and get that message across, and certainly Staff is very willing to do it better, and to come up with even better ideas on how to communicate with the community. So that was one thing we did that was unusual.

The peer review was sponsored by the Applicant. The workshop was sponsored by the City. Many of the people in this room, I believe, participated or had a chance to give their opinions. And all of these are just steps. I think none of it is a final moment in which somehow we come up with the last idea.

No, I think it's a work in progress, and I think that the area we looked at in the US-1 Workshop was good, but probably not enough. I mean, we have to really look at the whole City and come up with a more clear vision.

Sometimes Commissioner Slesnick will ask me about the City's Master Plan, and I have to say, there's no such thing.

We have a Land Use Map. We have a Zoning Map, but a Master Plan, like the ones I've had a chance to produce and prepare in prior moments in my career, we don't have, and the City hasn't had that for quite a long time.

Now, as far as this project, there are four requests -- four requests, and it gets complicated because of the multiple layers of review and some, but the requests are four, and some of them -- I would say, at least the first one, which is the Comp Plan request, is a major policy choice.

This is significant, in the sense that the Commission needs to say, yes or no, and can say, yes or no, and the policy choice is about what the future of that area around the Metrorail, on both sides of US-1, should be.

I mean, that really is the best way to frame that question, and that's something that could be discussed later.

And then the details of that, as they're implemented in this project, are Requests 3 and

4, which is the Planned Area Development and the Mixed Use Site Plan. They are different requests, from a legal point of view. From a conceptual point of view, it's basically the same idea. It's just the way the project is designed. So that's basically it.

A major policy decision, in terms of what the area should be, and then the details of the project.

MR. LEEN: I would like to add something there. Item A-4, because it's a resolution, the actual vote on that will be on second reading, if this reaches second reading, but we noticed it today, as well, because we wanted you to be able to talk about both.

Item A-3, though, is -- the PAD review is also quasi-judicial. You're acting as a judge, in that you can impose conditions on Item A-3, and then you can always incorporate those in Item A-4 on second reading.

One other issue. I should say this at this time, the City follows the Jennings Rule. What that means is, you may wonder why you didn't get responses from Commissioners that got into detail relating to the site plan, the specific

Jennings, which is, they act as judges, so they can't have what are called ex parte communications, which means that they can't engage in a discussing with you regarding Items A-3 and A-4.

Now, they can talk to you, of course, and they're your representatives, about Item A-1 or A-2. In A-1, for example, the Comprehensive Plan change, that's a change to the law. So there you're allowed to speak to them. The only reason they couldn't speak with you on the other things, and why we're emphasizing that these things are placed in the record, is that they're acting as Judges, in a sense, for those, and they're supposed to make their decision based on the record here today. That's required by law.

MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Craig.

Now, one of the questions that I get a lot is, what can you do on the site? What is the development that is allowed by right?

And the question is -- the question is asked, because the site has site specific requirements, has a Land Use designation, has

Zoning designation. It's a little bit complicated.

And I want to make it clear, that that is the way that the Code is set up and was set up years ago. None of us have done anything to make this like this, but what happens in the Code is that the site specific Zoning, which is the first column, which says, the FAR, which is the volume, the bulk of the project, is 1.5; the building height is 45 feet or four stories; the front setback is 125; and the rear setback is 50, appears to be what's allowed.

However, because of the way the Code is set up, if you go ahead and propose a project with the Med Bonus, the way that this has been interpreted in the past is that the Med Bonus goes on top of the site specific. That's the standard procedure, that Craig could explain it in more detail, more precisely.

So the reality is that many of those rules that appear so clear to me or to anyone looking at the Code, yeah, I mean, it says 45 feet, are not. And they're not, because the Code also anticipates additional height and additional FAR and additional flexibility with setbacks,

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

also, if the Med Bonus is applied.

And this is, we thought, changing the Land Use. This is without changing the Land Use or the Zoning.

Now, the actual request -- that's the currently permitted. That's -- right there, if one goes to the Med Bonus.

Do you have any question, sir?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. I just want to -since we're talking about the Med Bonus, do you
think it's the appropriate time to maybe
discuss the approval of an MXD overlay, in
reference --

MR. TRIAS: Or that, too.

commissioner Lago: -- because you touched on the setbacks, and I just want to make sure that everybody understands, because there's been some confusion, when I run into residents, when we talk about the overlay, we talk about the setbacks. What exactly happens to the setbacks? Do they stay in place? Or as per the Code right now, what would occur if an MXD overlay --

MR. TRIAS: The MXD overlay, which would be not exactly by right, but, then, again, it's

not changing the Land Use, either, so it's another step in the middle here, and I wanted to keep it simple, but you're right, that would be like the next step. If that happens, then the setbacks, in theory, could be zero. Now, in practice, they wouldn't be zero, because the project has to be reviewed by the Board of Architects and it has to be designed according to some reasonable standard.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I ask that,
because, I mean, in Article 4 of the Zoning
Code, on Page 4 of 24, it clearly states, on
Point 3, that Site Specific standards of this
Code shall not apply to properties seeking
assignments of an MXD overlay. Approval of an
MXD overlay shall deem underlying Site Specific
Regulations as void.

I view that and understand that as, your setbacks of 125 in the front and 50 in the rear would be basically limited. Do you disagree with that or do --

MR. TRIAS: I agree. I agree.

MR. LEEN: Although there's one caveat.

You could impose a condition, though, imposing setbacks, if you feel there's a harm.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to make sure that in Code, that's what it says right now, because there's just been a little bit of -- it's a little ambigious, in my opinion.

2.4

MR. TRIAS: Now, the point that is important is that the Code says several things, and they're overlapping. So it's not as simple as saying, "Oh, okay, the Site Specifics are it."

And you're correct -- you're correct, you can do multiple things.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Ramon, let me ask you a question, because it's the first time we see it in this version, and Commissioner Slesnick and I were just talking, I think it's Tab 1 of our book, and it's Pages 2 and 3.

You show a little bit differently in the book. I think it looks better in the book, because it's got the visual comparison.

MR. TRIAS: Right.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm just saying, for the benefit of the other people that have the book.

MR. TRIAS: Yeah, and what happened is that I tried to simplify it for the public.

I tried to simplify it for the public.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, I know. I understand that.

1.4

commissioner slesnick: Ramon, maybe we should just have dates on some of these when they come out or maybe I should write them when they come in, because, on mine, the proposed by right is 3.0 for the FAR and 50 feet for the height, and you have 72.

MR. TRIAS: It's a little bit complicated, in the sense that the graphics -- and, you know, I'm going to say this, because every time I have to explain this, I feel that I'm not being clear.

And I want to explain clearly that that's the way the Code is. The Code is not clear. The Code is simply very unclear, and I wish I could give a simple answer. That would be my hope. My hope would be to tell you, "Yes, it is exactly what it says right there." That's the way I've always encouraged Codes to be. That is not the Code we have.

Now, it doesn't mean that the Code is bad. The Code is very good. It's just that it's not clear in some things, because it allows for the changes with mixed use and Med Bonus.

This column, the one that I'm showing here, is the one that I worked on last night, to make sure that it was as clear as I could be, okay, and that gives you a sense.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So, by right, with the Mediterranean Bonus, you can build 72 feet or six stories?

MR. TRIAS: Right, or six stories. Yes. Okay. So that's one issue. One issue.

Now, the second issue is that the request by the Applicant is significantly larger, okay. The Applicant is asking for something that is larger than what would be allowed by right or by right plus the Med Bonus and mixed use.

How is it larger? Well, there's a little bit more FAR. Well, significantly more, 3.5 FAR, and the biggest issue, the biggest difference, I think, is the height. The height would get to be 190 feet 6 inches.

190 feet 6 inches is the tallest building that is approved in this City. We don't have any other designation beyond that, in terms -- and, again, even that, in the Comp Plan, it reads 150. 190 and 6 inches is once you do the Med Bonus. So even that issue may be unclear,

depending on how you look at the Map. 1 2 So that's as best as I can do, in terms of 3 explaining some of the before and after, so you're able -- yes, ma'am? 4 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can you go back to the 6 slide you were at? 7 MR. TRIAS: Sure. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: I want to know if 9 everybody that's here and in this audience 10 understands each one of those categories? Does 11 everybody know what an FAR is? Do you know? 12 Do you know? 13 If you don't know what an FAR is, raise 14 your hand. 15 MR. TRIAS: All right. Let me explain it. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Just tell them 17 what it is. Building height is pretty clear. Front setback, all of those things are. 18 19 very briefly say what FAR means. 20 MR. TRIAS: Floor area ratio is a technique that is used by planners to control the size of 21 22 a project. And what it means is that there's a 23 relationship between the building that is 24 allowed and the actual site area. 25 For example, if the site area is a thousand square feet, an FAR of 1 means you can do a building that is a thousand square feet. An FAR of 1.5 is, you can do a building that is 1,500 square feet. So that's what it does. It relates the area of the site to the size of the building.

Having said that, even that -- and if you'll indulge me for one second, even that is an issue of interpretation of the Code, because some things count and some things don't count.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. But it's important that you understand that as the FAR goes up, the size of the building goes up also. The volume of the building on that given plot of land also goes up.

MR. TRIAS: So that's one of the regulatory techniques.

There are additional regulatory techniques that allow to shape a building of higher quality through the design process, through the many steps that I described.

Eventually, the most significant one, I think, is approval of the Board of Architects, where the issue of aesthetics plays a role. So it's not just the size. It's not just the

abstraction of the numbers. In this City, in Coral Gables, the aspiration is to achieve beauty, and that happens through the Board of Architects' review.

And if I need to explain it better, I'll give it a chance later on.

Now, as far as the Comp Plan, the first request, as the City Attorney mentioned, the Planning and Zoning motion to deny the request failed to pass. It was a three to three vote. Meaning, there's no official recommendation to you.

And some of the discussion was expected, because I believe this is the biggest issue, this is the real policy decision, in terms of what this area should be. Some people believe that it was a bad idea and some people believed it was a good idea. So that's why it was a three to three vote.

The Zoning Code Text, which removes the Site Specifics, also failed to pass three to three. It was a follow-up of that discussion. Clearly, they are tied. It doesn't make sense to remove one and not to make some changes.

The other side of the discussion, which

deals with the actual specifics of the project, there was a recommendation of approval, with conditions, and the conditions are significant. There was a recommendation of approval, if the project changes, and the vote was four to two. Even that was not unanimous. Even there, there was discussion back and forth.

. 9

Now, in the big binder, I sent you a memo, a memorandum, in the first tab, which has a series of conditions. That was a summary of the conditions that were discussed by Staff, and by the Planning and Zoning Board Members, and I want to thank them, because they actually did an excellent job of going beyond what Staff had even done. So they provided some really good ideas.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Just a quick question, because I want to get my facts in order. I have a sheet here that has twelve recommendations.

MR. TRIAS: Right, and I forgot one.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Which have been agreed upon by the Applicant. Do those directly correlate with the four-two vote from the Planning and Zoning Board?

1 MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: They fall in line? 3 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: But there is some additional concessions included. 5 6 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. What I added, beyond the request or the recommendations from the discussion from Planning and Zoning, I added a 8 very substantial set of conditions that deal 9 10 with traffic, traffic monitoring in the future, 11 traffic -- because I really think the traffic is one of biggest issues. 12 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: We don't have to go 14 over that now, since you brought up the issue 15 of conditions, but you can go over it later, if you have any --16 17 As of last night, I did get an MR. TRIAS: 18 e-mail confirming that the Applicant had agreed 19 to all of the conditions, except one, and that 20 one has to do with the height of the building. 21 So there's some progress that has taken 22 place in the past week since I sent that 23 original memorandum. I had met with the 24 Applicant a couple of times. We've discussed 25 the issues. And I have a couple of

illustrations, that, again, I got last night.

So you have them as early as possible. And I hope the community understands that my effort here is to give you the latest information, and all of the information, absolutely all of the possible information that we may have.

2.4

So those conditions, we'll go into some detail later on, but, again, the Applicant can say that better. They should say it. But what they told me is that they're in agreement with almost all of them.

MR. LEEN: One thing. On Request Number 3, the PAD, you will be voting on that today.

That is quasi-judicial, because it's an ordinance. You'll be voting on it today.

I wanted you to be aware, you have significant discretion with that item, because it's a PAD, and I want to read into the record one thing that you should be aware of. This relates to PADs. It says, "Relation to General Zoning Subdivision or Other Regulations, where there are conflicts between the PAD provisions and general zoning subdivisions or other regulations and requirements, these regulations shall apply," and they mean the Zoning Code,

"Unless the Planning and Zoning Board recommends and the City Commission finds, in the particular case, One, that the PAD provisions do not serve public benefits to a degree at least equivalent to such general zoning subdivision or other regulations or requirements or, Two, that actions, designs, construction or other solutions proposed by the Applicant, although not literally in accord these PAD regulations, satisfy public benefits to at least an equivalent degree."

The reason I raise that is, this provision can be used to adjust the Zoning Code lawfully, without variance, in order to address harms that you hear today, and so as long as there's evidence in the record that support it, this provision is what gives you the discretion to act and move things around.

It could be to address a harm. It could be for a number of reasons.

Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. City Attorney, can you just define PAD for the audience and give an example?

MR. LEEN: A PAD is a Planned Area

1.8

Development. It's usually used for larger areas, where it gives the City and it gives our Zoning Officials more ability to approve projects, that -- you know, the most recent one, which is a good example, is the Mediterranean Village.

1.5

As you remember, one specific building in the Mediterranean Village might not comply with the Zoning Code, but when it's a PAD and you can look at all of the different buildings and move FAR, you know, which we discussed or other -- or parking or things like that, among the different buildings, it gives more flexibility, and it gives the Commission more regulatory authority to impose conditions and make adjustments to act in the best interest of the public, but ultimately the standard is the best interest of the public and making sure that whatever Zoning Code provision is being adjusted, is being met in some other way. It gives flexibility to the Commission.

MR. TRIAS: So, as I said, the Applicant has updated the project, and in the PowerPoint that I had submitted before, I said that it had not updated the project. At that time, that

was true. At this time, they have made some updates that they will be ready to discuss.

The first update is the one that I described. They have agreed to the conditions, and that's a page that I received last night, and you can see that the Applicant is basically saying, "The Applicant will comply," throughout the conditions, and then the one that they have not agreed on is the height.

The original height, as proposed, the one that you may have seen in the website, was 142. The project, as proposed as of this morning, is 133. So they've come down slightly.

The discussion on the Planning and Zoning Board that received that four to two vote was 120. Okay. So, in blue, you can see what was discussed by the Planning and Zoning Board.

The second issue is that they lowered the podium of the parking garage to 45 feet. 45 feet is what's required, and that was one of the issues. It used to be 56. So they've come down, as you can see, about 10 feet or so.

They have not set back the 100 feet, but they kept the building. That was the other violation or the Code, the other non-compliance

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

with the Code. So, as we know today, as of this morning, the thick blue line is what the Planning and Zoning Board discussed, and the red arrows is where the Applicant is, and that's the outstanding issue, I think.

MR. LEEN: And one issue to say with that is, for a Comp Plan or a Zoning Code change, you're not allowed by law to impose conditions, but you can accept a proffer in relation to them, and what that means is -- you know, one concern that's been expressed is, well, if you change the Comp Plan, this could conceivably allow a 190-foot building in the future, even if in this one, you're imposing a requirement.

What we would do as part of the proffer, they would be proffering a restrictive covenant that will limit the height to what they're proposing. That will be put in our Comprehensive Plan. So that means future property owners would be bound to that, as well.

MR. TRIAS: That is the same information they gave us, two drawings, but nothing different here.

So in the memo, we had recommended

2.0

1	continuance until the Applicant revises the
2	project. The Applicant has revised the project
3	somewhat so let's listen to their presentation
4	and then we can come back
5	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Can we go back one
6	slide, Ramon?
7	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And, Mr. City
9	Attorney, on the Comp Plan, it's my
10	understanding that if we change it just for
11	this, it doesn't apply to the other properties,
12	like the one next door, that was just
13	purchased, or where the Riviera Theater was, to
14	go 190 feet.
15	MR. LEEN: Yes. You can limit your
16	decisions today, both for the Comp Plan and for
17	the site specific, to just this property.
18	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Isn't that also the
19	impact of the PAD?
20	MR. LEEN: Yes, the PAD would be just this
21	property.
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah, there's no
23	precedential effect. It's similar to I
24	mean, we've done that in the past.
25	MAYOR CASON: Yes, the Collection.

1	MR. LEEN: It doesn't set a in my
2	opinion
3	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Is the Collection a
4	PAD?
5	MR. LEEN: In my opinion, it doesn't set a
6	legal precedent, in the sense that you're not
7	bound by it in other cases. You'll have to
8	consider it along with everything else, but you
9	ultimately retain the discretion.
10	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think what you meant
11	to say was the Mediterranean Village, as a
12	similar example.
13	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Is there usually a
14	percentage or amount of land that you have to
15	accumulate to have a PAD?
16	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. It's one acre minimum.
17	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: One acre.
18	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: One acre?
19	MAYOR CASON: Is it one acre?
20	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.
21	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: What's the size of
22	this, for the public? It's a two point
23	MAYOR CASON: Two point something.
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: 2.2?
25	MR. TRIAS: 2.6. I don't remember exactly.
ĺ	

1	It's in the Staff
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: 2.6 acres?
3	MR. TRIAS: 2.6, yeah. I mean, it complies
4	with that requirement.
5	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But there are three
6	or four others along US-1 right now that are
7	larger than one acre sites.
8	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
9	MR. TRIAS: Yeah, multiple sites could
10	comply, absolutely.
11	MAYOR CASON: But each PAD is looked at as
12	an individual project.
13	MR. TRIAS: Yes. Yes. By you. I mean,
14	it's approved by the Commission.
15	MAYOR CASON: It doesn't make it precedent.
16	MR. TRIAS: Right. And it's not by right.
17	I mean, I want to make it clear, it's up to the
18	Commission.
19	COMMISSIONER KEON: And any changes to it
20	have to come back before the Commission? It's
21	a conditional
22	MR. TRIAS: And it's significant
23	MR. LEEN: There's major amendments and
24	minor amendments. Any material change in
25	the common sense of the word there's

1	actually definitions in the Code as to what's
2	material, but a material change would have to
3	come before you, and, of course, Staff can
4	always send even minor amendments to you, too,
5	if they think it's
6	MR. TRIAS: From a practical point of view,
7	the answer is, yes.
8	COMMISSIONER KEON: The PAD gives us much
9	more control over the development, an ongoing
10	control over the development.
11	MR. TRIAS: Yes.
12	MAYOR CASON: Anything else you want to add
13	at this point?
14	MR. TRIAS: At this point, I would like the
15	Applicant to explain the project.
16	MAYOR CASON: All right. Let's have the
17	Applicant come up.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good work.
19	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Ramon.
21	MAYOR CASON: Very complex here.
22	MR. BASS: Good morning, Mr. Mayor, Vice
23	Mayor, Members of the Commission. Jeffrey Bass
24	is my name. 46 Southwest First Street is my
25	address. And it's wonderful to be here. We've

1 been at this for over one year. 2 MR. LEEN: Mr. Bass, could you -- I'm 3 hearing that they can't hear you. Thank you. 4 MR. BASS: How am I doing now? 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Much better. 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Much better. 7 Okay. So let me restate then MR. BASS: that it's wonderful to be here today. 8 9 been at this for over one year. 10 The road we have traveled, in terms of 11 public participation and outreach, is 1.2 unprecedented. We have had small group 13 meetings. We have had intermediate sized group 14 meetings. We have had large group meetings. 15 We have had public professional peer review 16 meetings. We have done everything that has 17 been asked of us, and a whole lot more. 18 I'd like to start by introducing our 19 project team. I'm joined by my co-counsel, 20 Ms. Laura Russo, and Mr. Jorge Navarro. 21 have our project architect, Mr. Jorge 22 Hernandez. Timonthy Plummer, our traffic engineer, Robert Parsley, our landscape 23 24 architect, and our principals, Mr. Brent 25 Reynolds and Mr. Charles Nolan.

Our presentation, I'd like to just give you an outline as to how I will organize our presentation. I will address the legal and macro-planning concepts that will shape your consideration of our applications.

Mr. Hernandez will walk you through the architecture design and specific elements of the plan, placing a particular emphasis on the transition, the elegant sensitive transition of our buildings, as revised, to the context of the existing neighborhood.

And Mr. Plummer will address an issue of traffic circulation and the efforts that we have undertaken to be very confident that we will not be impacting the neighborhood to the south that has been very vocal in this process throughout.

A few housekeeping matters before I get started, although your City Attorney did a wonderful job. I'd like to be sure that the record of this proceeding includes and incorporates the record of the Planning and Zoning Board proceedings and the other instances when we've appeared before the Commission along the way.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, with your permission, 1 2 everything will be incorporated in. MAYOR CASON: Yes. Of course. 3 4 MR. BASS: Thank you, Craig. 5 As well as the reports, recommendations, transcripts and alike. 6 7 I'd also like to take a moment right now, before I get into the merits, to frame what 8 your role is today and how you should process 9 10 the evidence you receive. 11 Your City Attorney advised you, you are 12 sitting today as judges. Judges look at facts. 13 Judges look at evidence. Judges discount 14 irrelevant emotional threatening comments, and 15 we would ask that you do the same. 16 This is not a popularity contest. Your 17 decision is not based, nor can it, as a matter 18 of law, be based on which side has more 19 supporters or opponents, which side cheers or 20 jeers more loudly. It would be legal error to 21 base a decision on that. 22 Next, because the issue of height has been 23 described, I'd like to make it quite clear that 24 Florida does not recognize a legal right to a

No person has a right to claim a view

2.5

view.

over another's private property. No person has a legal right to demand that private property be kept in a particular condition, because they enjoy the light and air that may pass upon it in its natural state.

6 .

That has been the law in the State of Florida since the famous Fontainebleau case, and when you hear conversations this morning about height, I would like you to keep that principle in mind.

But this is perhaps the most important thing that I will say to you, as part of my initial remarks. Heat is not a substitute for light. There has been, and I'd be quite frank to say, an enormous amount of heat thrown around this project. I would ask you to focus not on the heat, but on the facts that come out, that we're going to show here in the light. Facts are evidence. Threatening e-mails, not evidence. Discourteous outbursts, not evidence.

Our supporters have been accosted in their homes by opponents who simply dislike their opinion. This has been made very uncomfortable, very unnecessarily, and I would

ask that you focus the entirety of your attention on the facts that are presented today and discount all of that.

14.

Umpires, judges, make calls based on what they see, based on an objective metric. The ball is a strike, if it's in the strike zone.

It's a ball, if it's not. You don't call balls and strikes based on how loud one side screams.

So that said, let me turn to the merits, because it's vitally important that you recognize that this is the right project, at the right place, at the right time. It is the right project, at the right place, at the right time.

Let me start in my remarks to address the place. Is this a suitable place for a mixed use residential project? That question was asked and answered by our community in the '70s. What we present here is nothing new or novel. In the 1970s, our community came together, and at a public referendum, voted by a margin of two to one, to pass, at the time, the single biggest bond issue in the country, called the Decade of Progress.

Those bonds were used to fund the transit

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

known fact -- it's a little known fact, but the actual groundbreaking ceremony -- I'm going to move these over just a bit -- the groundbreaking ceremony for the entire Metrorail system took place at the University Station. We used to refer to Metrorail affectionately as Metrofail, but look at what has happened. Ridership at both, the University Station and system-wide, has seen unprecedented steady growth. It's happening.

Our project is located in walking distance to the University Station Metrorail System. It is a project that brings bodies there. And we understand the key to a successful transit system is having people living walking distance to the transit system.

Now, when you adopted your Comprehensive Plan, you set out policy decisions and you bound yourself to them. Your Comprehensive Plan is your Constitution for growth.

Your Planning Director framed the issue before you today as one involving largely a policy choice, and I would like to say that you've already made that policy choice. You've

made it in a lawful process, regulated by the State, and you put your answer to the policy question in your Comprehensive Plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Your Comprehensive Plan says, as a goal, locate higher density development along transit corridors and near multi-modal stations. a fact, it is an undisputable fact, that this development is located precisely in the sweet spot of the goal of your Comprehensive Plan.

So the decision to increase density or not increase density here is a decision you've already made, and I dare say, to not increase density here would be for you to make a decision contrary to your Comprehensive Plan. This is the right place to increase density.

Your Comprehensive Plan also enshrines this City's commitment to mixed use. You have policies in your Comprehensive Plan that require you to promote mix used development, to provide housing in commercial services, near employment centers, to support infill housing, to encourage Land Use decisions that encourage infill, the re-development and reuse of vacant or underutilized parcels that support walking,

bicycling and public transit.

This is the right place for this project, by the objective measurements that are in your Comprehensive Plan.

Now, this is the right place for an additional reason. It comes as a surprise to many, but it's in your Comprehensive Plan, the City has adopted what is known as the Gables Re-development Infill District, the GRID. The GRID is a transportation concurrency exemption area. That is a long-winded way of saying that, as a policy decision, this Commission has determined that we need to promote development within the GRID, and we will not stop development within the GRID because of traffic. This is where you want your development to occur, in a compact, centralized way.

If you vote to deny development within the GRID based on traffic, you are taking an action inconsistent with your Comprehensive Plan.

This is the right place for another important reason. The lot depth. This is a uniquely deep commercial lot. It is the largest uniform rectangular commercial block in all of Coral Gables. This makes it uniquely

suitable for the type of mixed use that we are presenting, and when you look at the parcelization up and down the US-1 corridor, you will see that the parcel sizes and ownership patterns are themselves a defense against some of the concerns expressed, that your action here would spawn identical development up and down.

2.0

As the conversation occurred earlier, you have your controls through your PAD and MXD and the action that you take today will not spawn similar requests binding upon you to approve in this area of town.

Planning is all about adjacencies, and this is the right place, because our project is adjacent to the Metrorail. It is adjacent to the underline. It is within the GRID. And this is the right time.

The pedestrian bridge that has been long overdue and much talked about, I'm quite happy to say, is under construction as we speak.

The underline, one of the greatest locally born ideas that I have witnessed in my lifetime, is occurring directly across the street. This is the time when things are

happening here.

Transit oriented development is at present the best way to approach our transportation issues as a community. The Transportation Overlay Development Institute in Washington, DC this week just took the unprecedented step of endorsing Paseo de la Riviera as the embodiment of all of the guiding principles of transit oriented development that we, as a community, locally, regionally and nationally, should be embracing, if we want to, in a meaningful way, shift conversation about mobility away from single vehicle occupant trips and towards reliance on transit.

This is the right time, because, I think, as a community, we have unified on one principle, even our opponents, I believe, that sprawl, no more, that the type of development characterized as urban sprawl is no longer appropriate anywhere.

The regulations that we seek to change are regulations that have served to promote urban sprawl and --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: May I interrupt you for one second?

1 MR. BASS: Absolutely. 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Do you want me to hold 3 my remarks until after, because I have a 4 question in regards to the TOD Institute? 5 don't have any information in regards to that, 6 and want to discuss it. Do you prefer to wait 7 until your 45 minutes are up and then we can go 8 into more of a detailed question and answer? 9 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: The only thing I 10 would say, sir, is, we're going to keep him on 11 a very tight time line. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's why I'm asking. 13 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: So I just want to 14 be careful with --15 MR. BASS: Mindful of the Vice Mayor's 16 warning, we will give out the TOD documents 17 during our presentation. I'm going to ask my 18 co-counsel to gather them for me now. 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: He's a stickler for 20 those time documents. I'm telling you that. 21 This is not the first time that he's brought 22 that up. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It's just got to be 24 within reason. We just don't want to get

sandbagged by either side.

25

COMMISSIONER LAGO: All jokes aside, let me 1 2 strike my comment and give you a little 3 background. We've had certain instances where documents have been delivered to us right on 4 5 the spot, you know, and it's just inappropriate for both parties. 6 7 MR. BASS: I'm going to hopefully make both 8 of you happy and have Ms. Russo hand you out 9 the TOD documents at this very moment in time. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll leave my comments 11 until later. 12 MAYOR CASON: Continue, please. 13 MR. BASS: Okay. Thank you. 14 So that brings me to the H word. I'm just 15 going to say it, height. Let's just get right 16 into it. Let's just talk about the height. 17 I was very interesting to see the comment 18 that the Planning Director put before you as 19 exemplary of the public comments. 20 The public comment that was on the slide 21

The public comment that was on the slide before you said, "This building will cast a large shadow literally and figuratively over an established single-family neighborhood."

That's just false.

22

23

24

25

When you talk about height, it's important

to talk about height within context, okay.

This building is not blocking anybody's view of the ocean. This building is not blocking anybody's view of a national historic monument or some other very significant thing to look at. The only thing this building blocks a view of, if it blocks a view of anything, is the Gables One Tower -- Gables One Tower, which nobody has described as a particularly lovely thing to look at.

2.1

But in terms of the impact of this height on everybody, in a legal sense, there is none. The height of our building interferes in no way with what anybody else needs to do on their property.

George Merrick had a very simple formula, and this is in our book, on how to address scale, and in the same breath, height, at this location.

Under George Merrick's algorithm, which is on the map, the appropriate height of a commercial building in this location is very simple, 1.5 times the width of the adjacent right-of-way. That was a rule of proportionality that created lovely spaces,

both positive and negative spaces.

1.0

Under Merrick's metric, our building comes in at 150 feet. So, historically, this has been planned as a place for taller buildings, because this is a place with wider public rights of way in front of it.

Now, I think it's important to say that

Merrick had a different vision for the

Industrial District, and that's why I think it

would be inappropriate, and, in fact,

arbitrary, to say that the Industrial District

should serve as the metric for our height.

Those streets are more narrow. It is not

directly across the street from transit. But,

importantly, Merrick noticed that there was a

distinction between the Industrial District and

the Commercial District, and he limited the

height in the Industrial District, on the

Merrick Plan, to 10 stories, not a limit placed

on the Commercial, where we are.

distinction between the Industrial District and the Commercial District, and he limited the height in the Industrial District, on the Merrick Plan, to 10 stories, not a limit placed on the Commercial, where we are. Through the decades, this Commission has approved buildings that are, by the standard of today's conversation, tall. We have tall buildings. We have tall buildings next to single-family homes. We have the Gables Club

Bailey & Sanchez Court Reporting, Inc. 28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

on Edgewater Drive. It's a tall, beautiful building. Edgewater Drive is a very narrow street. The Gables Club is across the waterway from million dollars homes in Cocoplum. It is adjacent to duplexes, and then single families, and the world keeps spinning. Nobody's harmed by that building.

There are other examples. They're in our book. I don't need to go through them for you now. They're on Page 42 through 52 of our submission. The Biltmore, a very tall building, very active building, been here for a long time, hasn't hurt anybody. Lovely to look at, single-family homes all around it.

Segovia Tower, the Bacardi Building, in

Downtown Miami (sic). These are tall

buildings, and they're as close, if not closer,

to single-family homes.

You approved Mediterranean Village. You approved very tall buildings right across the street from single-family homes. So tall is fine, if the design is lovely, and our design is lovely.

Now, there were a few other items I'd like to touch upon before I turn it over --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let me stay on height 1 2 for a second. So I understand the -- what you 3 told us, how we can't make a decision --MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Vice Mayor Quesada, 4 5 Commissioner Lago is going to think that I like you more than him, if I let you ask a question. 6 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: But I thought that was 8 true. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, I know I'm just 10 a Commissioner and he's the Vice Mayor, but, I 11 mean -- I'm trying to read through this 12 Institute report. 13 MAYOR CASON: Let's do this, answer the 14 Vice Chair's questions now. We'll add it to 15 your 45 minutes. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'll wait. I'll wait 16 17 until the end. My apologies. I'll wait until 18 the end. 19 MAYOR CASON: Go ahead. Continue then. 20 MR. BASS: Let me wrap up, before I 21 introduce Mr. Hernandez. 22 There have been suggestions throughout the 23 course of our application that this is spot 24 zoning. This is not spot zoning. My 25 opponents, during the course of the P&Z, have

conceded, appropriately, that this is spot zoning. So any suggestion that this is (sic) spot zoning is just simply misplaced.

1.5

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Can you go a little indepth in regards to why it's not spot zoning?

I just want to educate --

MR. BASS: With the permission of the Mayor, which I assume that I would have.

Okay. Spot zoning is a legal concept. The lead case of spot zoning is a case called Bird Kendall Homeowners Association versus Miami-Dade County. Spot zoning occurs when a property owner is singled out and given more privileges than would otherwise be allowed under the Zoning Code.

The suggestion was that by asking to remove the site specific through our Text Amendment, that we are spot zoning. We are not. This is the important part of that, by removing the site specific, we're not being granted anything other than what every other commercial property owner in Coral Gables enjoys, which is an FAR of 3.0.

So we're not spot zoning. What I would say is, we're correcting a case of spot zoning,

which is what we sought to do through out Land Use Amendment.

Did I answer your question?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah. That was a point of confusion, I mean, I'll be honest, with myself, on that issue. I wanted to be very clear about it, because I had received several e-mails, which I have responded to, and I wanted to make sure that was clear, not only for the individuals in the room today, but also -- at least for myself, which is very important.

MR. LEEN: Now, the official position of the City, just to understand, is that the Site Specifics are not spot zoning. Now, the Applicant is free to argue that, of course, but, you know, the Site Specifics are quite complex, and you have to look at each one. A lot of them are based on former governmental approvals. Some of them are in place because of annexations, and I think you have to look at each one in their own circumstance.

Now, you can consider the argument for its weight, though, in term of, you know, whether this is spot zoning.

MR. BASS: I hope it was clear before.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: It was clear. Thank
you.

MR. BASS: So, yes, we have worked very, very hard with your Staff, particularly in the last two weeks. They have presented us with numerous new conditions. We've accepted almost all of them, and we have done so in writing.

They have been vigilant in pushing and pushing, and they have pushed us towards making this a better project.

So we have also worked with the neighborhood, and I'm going to ask that Mr. Hernandez and Mr. Plummer highlight for you, in their remarks, the changes that have been made on this project, based on the 60 plus meetings that we have had with our neighbors, but importantly those changes focus on access, ingress, the flow of circulation, and we have brought this building down in height from 156 feet, as originally submitted then, to 142, and now we're at 133.

So, in this process, certainly this project has been shaped by the input and comments of our neighbors.

1 MAYOR CASON: Could you, at the end of your 2 presentation, read the language of the GRID, as 3 in relation to the University of Miami? 4 think the GRID language had some specific --5 I will. MR. BASS: COMMISSIONER KEON: The infill --6 MAYOR CASON: Right. There's two issues. 8 MR. BASS: There's the 9 GRID on transportation concurrency, but then 10 there's the Comp Plan on infill, and the Comp 11 Plan on infill tells you, you should encourage 12 mixed use and higher density development near employment centers, such as the University of 13 14 Miami, so people can live and work without 15 being dependent on getting into --16 MAYOR CASON: Okay. So it was in that 17 particular piece of --18 MR. BASS: It was in the Comp Plan, on 19 mixed use and increasing residential density. 20 Lastly, your Comp Plan has a very 21 frequently overlooked design element, that we 22 emphasized at the Planning Board, and I'd like

Bailey & Sanchez Court Reporting, Inc. 28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

to emphasize now. The goal of your design

element is as follows: Maintain the City as a

liveable City, attractive in its setting, and

23

24

dynamic in its urban character.

This application ingests long overdue dynamism into an area of US-1 that has, for the better part of the last century, been dominated by strip mall type development, that it is time to consign to our past.

So that said, we would ask for your approval of our applications on first reading. I'd like now Mr. Hernandez to present to you, before Mr. Plummer.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good morning.

MR. HERNANDEZ: -- Fellow Mayor and Commissioners. It's a privilege to be here. My name is Jorge Hernandez, and I reside at 5726 San Vicente, and my place of business is at 337 Palermo Avenue.

This project is about connectivity. It connects people to places, creating community. It ensures development that delivers quality of life, public realm improvements, that promote walking, biking and other alternatives to

conventional vehicular single occupant traffic. And most importantly, it offers opportunity for social exchange, fostered beautifully by a design series of public realm spaces, some imagery of which you're looking at.

2.0

The architecture of this project turns away from the architecture of sprawl, from the architecture of strip malls fronting vast parking lots congested with cars and fenders, adjacent to our narrow City sidewalks on US-1.

It turns away from that, and, instead, it looks towards another paradigm. A paradigm of the architecture of community, open and fostering, as community can, richness and diversity.

Next board, Patrick, please.

The Paseo de la Riviera project connects
US-1 to Jaycee Park and to the neighborhood
beyond. It connects us to the US-1 corridor,
and to the future underline, up the pedestrian
bridge to UM or to the Metrorail, and via the
Metro, to the general greater Miami community
beyond.

Why can this happen here? It has already been mentioned that this is a very unique place

in our City. It is exactly at the same block where the Miami Metro station is, and it is exactly across the same block where the pedestrian bridge is being built.

Most importantly, it is the only rectangular commercially zoned block in this City that is 325 feet deep. Merrick's GRID is 220. Two 100-foot lots, one 20-foot alley. That re-planning, for some reason, occurred in 1949 and 1965, and we don't know why, but it did, and it produced a very unique block right here.

The diagram on your right shows what we call the urban grain diagram. When blocks are less deep, buildings go broad shouldered to the frontage. All of the strip malls on US-1, and, yes, even the Gables One Building, is built broad shouldered to US-1 and creates a barrier.

You cannot walk from Madruga to US-1, unless you go around the entire block. Most of the residents here will tell you that. What we have done here, because of the unique properties of this block, is, we have turned the grain of this project perpendicular.

So rather than the broad shouldered

dimension of the buildings being on US-1, the narrow face of the buildings are on US-1. What does this accomplish? It accomplishes a series of spacial canyons, corridors, spacial corridors from the back of the block to the front of the block.

Those corridor bring light through the block. Luckily, because the block is turned on the southeasterly direction, on Madruga, it bring light and breezes. Our southeast breezes are the prevailing breezes in this part of the world. Brings breezes through the block. Most importantly, it casts all shadows to the north and northwest. So the shadows are cast on US-1 and on other commercial property. No residential property will receive a shadow from this project, regardless of height. It would have to be in the opposite hemisphere to do that. It cannot cast shadow on a residential property.

This is an opportunity that is unique in the City. These are the diagrams that show that urban pattern.

The project -- let me speak briefly about the project. I know that you've all reviewed

it before, but, for the record, the project and the two images I'm showing are the facade on US-1 or the ground plane or the site plan.

The project contains two buildings, a hotel, with 252 keys, an apartment building, with 234 apartments. It has 20,000 square feet of commercial along the ground floor, and there is a parking garage or parking deck which parks \$838 cars, 12 cars in excess of current Code compliance.

But more importantly, the project garners its name from its signature element, the paseo. That element lends its spirit and its name to the project.

So because of the ability to turn the grain, the project focuses around that urban space that you see landscaped there in the middle, landscaped with fountains, public art and totally accessible.

That space is almost as large as Merrick

Park across the way, the symbolic park in front

of City Hall, almost that large, okay.

There are other features of the project.

The project has over 1,000 linear feet of arcades and colonnades; that is, nearly

one-quarter mile of arcades and colonnades.

Those stitch around the entire perimeter of the project, making the project face all of its primary streets, US-1, Madruga, Caballero.

2.4

Lastly, there is a private lane that is introduced into the project. It is our own space, it is private space, and we fashioned it 35-feet wide for the benefits of stacking all cars away from US-1. We will have Mr. Tim Plummer speak about the way traffic has been handled, but when you consider the private lane, the paseo, which is 72 feet wide and 325 feet long, and the arcade on Caballero, one-third of the frontage of US-1 is open, unbuilt. That's very valuable real estate.

And more importantly, one acre of the ground plane, 41 percent of the 2.6 acres of the site, is open, unbuilt. Very valuable real estate, and it's given over to the kinds of spaces that Mr. Bass talked about when he talked about what the vision of design is for this City. Space is a public enjoyment and social communion.

Can I have the next boards, please?

Now, one of the spaces that -- I'm going to

take the mike.

Is this on? It's on now? Yes.

Okay. So one of the spaces -- and I'm very sorry that this is behind the stenographer.

One of the spaces that we want to emphasize on is, in the peer review, Elizabeth Plater-Zyberk said, "US-1 is not a lovely place to walk." I think we all know that.

So some of these pedestrian spaces, some of these public realm improvements, have been directed clearly at making this place a civil, liveable place. They are about what we have done with the sidewalk experiences.

There are sections in your booklets, from Page 38 to 40, that show before and after sections through the sidewalks. I would just like to focus on two of them, if I may.

So this is the section through US-1, and the sidewalk in US-1 is approximately 10 feet wide. You might find that hard to believe, because it doesn't feel 10 feet wide.

Sometimes there's two feet of little planting strips. Often there are large concrete overhead cobra -- what they call cobra lighting posts, and these take up some portion of that

10-foot dimension, in some places, that wheelchairs cannot get even past those sidewalks.

1.5

So here's the current US-1 sidewalk,

10-feet wide, with a wall, that then protects
the private space of the Holiday Inn Hotel, and
this is the sidewalk at the western most
corner. We are setting our buildings back, and
we are building arcades and colonnades and
porticos, and the sidewalk will be almost 42
feet wide there. That is in excess of most of
the sidewalks on Miracle Mile, even after the
sidewalk improvements.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Right here. Thank you,

Commissioner Slesnick. That is right here.

I'm showing it very clear, because, you see,

because of the geometry of the turning radius

or the turn of the property line, those up here

fall on the property line. Five feet away,

this is 42 feet wide. So we're greatly

increasing the sidewalks there.

Can we have the ones for Caballero, please?

I'm only showing you two of them.

This is the condition in Caballero.

Caballero has no sidewalk. Half a block from US-1, as you approach US-1, you're walking in the middle of the street.

1.7

1.8

Again, we are pulling our building back.

We are augmenting the existing right-of-way
with our sidewalks and creating almost an

18-foot wide sidewalk condition on Caballero.

This is just some of the examples of these
public benefits of this project, which has to
do, as you saw, with the PAD.

I'd like to say that this project, we had a very nice discussion about the Code and the layering that the Code promotes for excellence in design. This project is a Mediterranean design project. Not one that looks backward in a retarded terra fashion, but I think one that looks forward and sees Mediterranean design as a living tradition, in the way Merrick saw it, when he inherited from classic and classical architecture, from the Renaissance, from the 19th Century and so on.

So we had discussion about Mediterranean architecture at Commissioner Slesnick's meeting the other day. This is a project that looks at taking Mediterranean architecture, putting all

of the liveability components we talked about, and casting it for a new life. That is my aspiration for the project.

The next slides, please.

So I'd like to talk just briefly now on the issue of scale, height and proportion and transition, and this will be my final point.

You've already heard Mr. Bass mention that the Merrick plan of 1926 was very clear about how to make public space. It was not an abstract dimension, like we think of height today. It was not 140, 150, 120. That's abstract. Merrick tied it to a proportion. A building's height was related to the street in front of it, so it could be classically designed, proportioned after classic proportions.

So on the US-1 corridor, which you see on the left, which is 100 feet wide, Merrick would have envisioned a building 150 feet tall, well within our proposed height. That was before last night's revisions with the Planning and Zoning Director.

Can I have the next one, please? And back to the site plan.

That system of Merrick proportioning has, in fact, enviewed all of the design work of the project. On the left, you have a section through the project, with the hotel on the left and the apartment building on the right, and you can see the tall pink space is also proportioned in these classical manners.

That's the paseo. That would be cut right here, and through here, and the courtyard, which is the focus of the apartment building, is 1.5 times the size of the Biltmore courtyard.

So this project not only stylistically looks at the tradition of Merrick and Mediterranean architecture, it looks at it from the very skeleton, the bones of the spaces, and how they're rendered.

Next, please.

1.

This is the profile of our project. To the left of the first red line is our project, in all of its profiles, and to the right of that red line is what is beyond the project, what is to the south.

So if you start reading it from the left to the right -- I'm sorry if it looks a little bit

like an EKG diagram -- so to the left of the project is 142 feet 6 inches. The step is the 56 feet, which is the top of the parking garage, and we'll talk about the variations that happened last night later. That's the 56 feet. Yeah, let me show you. Sorry.

So I don't know if you can see it. Here,
I'll do this. This is the 142 feet. This is
the 56 feet. This is 39 feet tall, which is
the height of the building just behind the
hotel, and so it goes 142, 56, 39. Across from
Madruga is a duplex. Duplexes are allowed to
be 34 feet high. So that's only a five-foot
step up, and then there's Jaycee Park.

And on Manati, the first single-family house across Jaycee Park, which according to the Code, is allowed to be 29 feet tall.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Hernandez, can you give me an idea, just really quickly, what is the span in reference to the length from the project to the townhome, from the townhome to Jaycee Park, from Jaycee Park to the first residential home? Because I can't read that far. I apologize.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. No, neither could I,

1	if I were sitting where you are.
2	So this is the duplex, not a townhouse.
3	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Excuse me, I apologize.
4	MR. HERNANDEZ: No. No. It's okay. Just
5	for the record. This is a duplex, not a
6	townhouse.
7	This is Madruga, which is a 30-foot
8	right-of-way, okay, and we are, under the
9	submitted project, setting back 15 feet. So
10	this is the end of our project. This would be
11	a 45-foot right-of-way and then the duplex.
12	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: This is in our packet,
13	right? What page was that?
14	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. All of this is in
15	your packet.
16	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Do you know what
17	page this is on?
18	MR. HERNANDEZ: I'll have somebody bring it
19	up.
20	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to go off the
21	diagram. I want to go off the diagram. That's
22	why.
23	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah. Do you want
24	me to go back to the diagram?
25	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No. My question was,

1 from the moment that you have -- from the 15-foot setback to the --3 MR. TRIAS: Mr. Mayor --4 MAYOR CASON: Ramon, can you help on this? 5 MR. TRIAS: Mayor, the presentation that the architect is making is based on the 6 7 information that was submitted before. I mean, 8 the dimensions. So I need to know from them --9 you need to know what they're proposing. 10 133 feet and 45 feet, like I said and like you 11 represented to me last night, or not? The 12 Commission needs to know. 13 MR. HERNANDEZ: Just to clarify, I said that I would talk about the dimensions of the 14 15 project as originally submitted, and I 16 mentioned, in the middle of the conversation, 17 that that original submission --MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It's Page 54. 18 19 MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 20 And I mentioned, in the middle of the 21 presentation, that that submittal was altered 22 last night. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Trias' response in 24 regards to the height, we can discuss that 25 later. We can discuss it later, but what I

want to know is, in linear feet, what is the 1 2 span from when the project ends to the first 3 residential home, with Jaycee Park being in the middle? Just give me an idea. 4 5 MR. HERNANDEZ: It's well over 400 feet. Jaycee Park is a standard block, 200. 6 Hardee is 100-foot right-of-way. That's 300. 8 is a 60-foot right-of-way. That's 360. the duplex is on a 100-foot deep lot. So 9 10 that's -- plus the 30-foot right-of-way. 11 it's well over 400 feet. 12 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Plus your 15-foot 13 setback? 14 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And, Jorge, is the 16 duplex -- the rear of the duplex is on Madruga? 17 That's correct. MR. HERNANDEZ: 18 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So the front is on 19 Hardee. 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: Hardee, which a 100-foot 21 That is correct. street. 22 I'd just like to say that we have been 23 working very well with Staff and hard with 24 Staff, very diligently with Staff, as of 25 recent, to be able to bring the scale of the

project down.

1.6

I was presenting what was originally submitted, because that's what you received in your booklets, given the conversation that we had this morning about late submittals, but as of last night, and into the public record, the project was reworked and the apartment building was brought down to 133 feet, and I'm sure we're going to talk about this later, but what I submitted is what's in the public record, what the community has a right to access as of last night, that was the original project, but, again, because of the neighborhood concerns and because of Staff and our ability and willingness to work with Staff, the project has been brought down.

MAYOR CASON: So the back building is 45 feet, which is the height of the duplex?

MR. HERNANDEZ: The duplex can be, by law, 34 feet, and the back building is now 45 feet.

MAYOR CASON: So you dropped it from 56 to 45?

MR. HERNANDEZ: To 45.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mayor, and we'll

discuss the issue of potentially what the exact 1 2 height is going to be, if there is a second 3 reading on this after. Let's get through the 4 presentation. 5 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. There's only one more 6 point. The point I was trying to make previously is, we've heard a lot about 8 neighborhood scale and transition, and so the 9 project already had a natural transition, 10 because of the single-family homes, and then 11 Jaycee Park, and then duplexes and three-story 12 condos, and we have continued that staircase, 13 if you will, by going to 39 feet behind the 14 hotel, now the 45 feet, it was 56, behind the 1.5 apartment building, and then the 133 feet, the new height, of the apartment building. 16 17 The point is, it's a natural transition in 18 the way adjacency and urbanism should work to 19 the single-family neighborhood. 20 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And the 39 is still 21 39? 22 MR. HERNANDEZ: Excuse me? 23 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: The 39 feet is 24 still 39? 25 MR. HERNANDEZ: The 39 is still 39, yes.

So this drawing to the left talks about the line of sight from Madruga. That property owner, I believe, is here to testify today. He's a supporter of the project. And it shows that the 45-foot height, which we now have brought down from, is the red -- we were at 56 -- but we are not affecting whatsoever the Code intention, which is 45-foot height. His line of sight is free and clear.

The last thing I want to say is that, I've worked with some developers across the ages here. Some of you know, because some of you are young, but I have spent the last 30 years coming here, speaking on behalf of good planning and on behalf of the City, but I can say that except for when I worked with Ralph Sanchez on Old Spanish Village, NPI, and, in particular, Brent Reynolds, has worked very diligently with this neighborhood. He has kept an open door to this neighborhood.

No one has been barred or shut out from coming into the offices of NPI. Conversations have always been civil. Not always were two minds -- not always did two minds become one mind, but sometimes they did. Some people were

convinced and became supporters of the project. In other cases, they're not supporters of the project, but whenever we could, we incorporated their suggestions. Some of them were very good suggestions.

And Mr. Plummer is going to talk about that, in terms of traffic.

I'll talk about the good suggestions we incorporated in terms of the architecture and the planning. We are going to contribute to the underline, which is one of the conditions of request. We have, with neighbors, discussed planting and improving the planting on Jaycee Park, which he is more than willing to do. We introduced the traffic circle, which is a round point at that bad intersection of Caballero, Madruga and Hardee.

We increased the public realm benefits. We augmented more of the streets. And this relationship, I'm sure, will continue.

I'm being tapped in the back. I thank you for your attention, and my time, and I'm sure we'll have more time to talk afterwards.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Could you just quickly

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1	go back and tell me the heights again? On Page
2	53 in this booklet, it is, but they're
3	MR. HERNANDEZ: 54. 54.
4	COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, that's along
5	Madruga. There is also look at Hardee.
6	Okay.
7	So instead of 142, it's now?
8	MR. HERNANDEZ: 142, 133. Was 56, is 45.
9	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Now it's 45.
10	MR. HERNANDEZ: 39 remains 39.
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.
12	MR. HERNANDEZ: Then there is Madruga,
13	which is a narrow right-of-way, and the duplex
14	is allowed to be 34 feet tall.
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: No. I just needed to
16	know what yours were. 133 and 45, 39.
17	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah.
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. Thank you.
19	MAYOR CASON: Okay. At some point, not
20	now, but later, if you would run down the
21	conditions that you've accepted that came out
22	of the Planning and Zoning. There's a whole
23	list of them. But let's get to the traffic
24	now, which I think is one of the key issues.
25	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you very much

1 for your attention. 2 MAYOR CASON: And to the extent that you 3 went over, we'll give Tucker Gibbs the same amount of time, so --4 5 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I also acknowledge 6 that some of the reason why they went over is because of your own curiosity, so --8 MAYOR CASON: I'm sure we'll be curious 9 on --10 (Simultaneous speaking.) VICE MAYOR QUESADA: 11 There's still 12 additional curiosity to dive into. 13 MAYOR CASON: Let's get to the parking 14 aspects now. 15 Good morning. My name is Tim MR. PLUMMER: 16 Plummer. I'm the president of David Plummer 17 and Associates, with offices at 1750 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, here in the City Beautiful. 18 19 I'm going to be taking a few minutes to 20 discuss the traffic related items of the 21 project, including the general transportation 22 characteristics of this area, the GRID, the 23 uniqueness of the roadway system south of the 24 project, the access plan, and how it has 25 evolved with neighborhood input, the traffic

impact study, and finally our mitigation plan.

First, let me talk about the general area.

Obviously, it's been discussed, the project is on US-1, a major arterial. The University

Station, Metrorail Station, is approximately

700 feet away. As we know, the Mariposa ped bridge is supposed to open in late 2016 and that will greatly enhance pedestrian safety and mobility.

The underline hopefully will be coming forward, which will greatly improve the pedestrian and bike amenities in the area.

Also very important to this project is that the project is in the GRID. The Comp Plan says that the City shall continue to pursue and promote infill and re-development in appropriate parts of the City, especially within the City's GRID.

Because the City has deemed the GRID an area where they want re-development, projects are exempt from traffic concurrency. That basically means that projects in the GRID do not need to meet the City's level of service standards.

What the City does do, though, is require

16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

traffic impact studies, to make sure that traffic is mitigated with any operational and safety improvements.

Let me talk a little bit about the uniqueness of the neighborhood to the south. I've got one board up there. And one of the main things about this neighborhood to the south is the physical barriers of the Mahi Canal and the Gables Waterway. Really, the only ways to get into this neighborhood is via US-1 and Maynada intersection, the Hardee bridge, the Granada bridge and the Maynada bridge.

The street grid does not go through in this neighborhood to the south, like many of our neighbors in Coral Gables. This area to the south is very well-protected from traffic intrusion.

I want to talk a little bit about the access plan. Originally we had a full movement access plan from US-1 and Madruga, just like the Holiday Inn has today, where you can go in and out from Madruga, in and out from US-1.

We had numerous meetings with neighbors starting in late 2014, and we listened to their

concerns. The main traffic concern was minimizing project traffic using the residential streets.

Let me take this and go through our access plan.

Is it on? There we go.

I've broken the access plan into a couple of boards, because there's a lot of different movements. First I'm going to talk about the hotel access. Hotel access southbound or northbound from US-1 will have a valet area at the hotel drop-off area. Valet drivers will then take the car, go onto US-1, and access the parking garage.

If you leave from the parking garage on your own, as a hotel user, the only way out is to go northbound on US-1. There will be a gate located at this location, that will prevent anyone from using the parking garage to go on Madruga. The only exception is the valet drivers.

The valet drivers will have control of the gate. They will be able to come out, come back to Madruga, to bring the car back.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let me stop you for a

1 second. I missed it when you first said it at 2 the beginning. Green is, what? 3 MR. PLUMMER: Green is inbound. Green is 4 coming into the site. 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Purple is outbound? MR. PLUMMER: Yes, purple is outbound. 6 7 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I'm sorry to make you do it, but can you just explain what you just 8 9 said one more time. 10 MR. PLUMMER: That's okay. Sure, one more 11 time. 12 If you're a hotel user, you're coming into the site, coming via US-1 southbound or 13 14 northbound, you're going to come into Caballero 15 and drop off your car at valet at this 16 location, at the hotel entrance. 17 The valet driver will then take your car 18 and come onto US-1 northbound and enter the 19 garage. That's where they'll drop off the car. 20 If you get your car on your own and leave 21 the garage, the only way out of this garage, 22 and this is important -- this is for any user 23 of the garage -- you must exit to northbound 24 US-1. There will be a gate here. You will not 25 be able to go south and onto Madruga Avenue.

We did that because that was one of the 1 neighbors' main concerns. They didn't want 3 traffic going through the neighborhood. So the only exception, and, again, this is 4 5 for the hotel user, is the valet will have control of the gate and they will be able to 6 7 bring the car down Madruga, drop it back off at the valet. The hotel user picks up their car 8 9 and goes north on US-1 -- north or south, quite 10 frankly. They can go either way. 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So what is your plan to stop stacking or to deter stacking? 12 Is it the use of the private lane, the 35-foot wide 13 private lane? 14 15 MR. PLUMMER: Absolutely. Yeah, we've got 16 300 feet from US-1 to the parking garage. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Car to car average, what, ten feet? 18 19 About 22 feet per car. MR. PLUMMER: We've 20 got a football field for stacking. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 22 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: For the benefit of 23 those watching at home and in the audience that 24 may not know what stacking is, can you just

please explain what stacking is?

1 MR. PLUMMER: Absolutely. That's just the line of cars, as they're going into a driveway, 3 they're going into a valet area, they're going 4 into a garage. 5 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So when I'm sitting in 6 traffic to drop off my car at valet, if I'm 7 five cars deep, that means we're stacked five 8 cars? 9 MR. PLUMMER: You're stacked five cars. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Plummer, can you 11 also give me an example of a different 12 development that has used this type of football 13 field of stacking opportunity? 14 MR. PLUMMER: Hard to find. This is pretty 15 unique. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And let me tell you why -- again, I'm going to go back to questions 17 18 that I received from, not only residents, but 19 business owners in the area. 20 MR. PLUMMER: Sure. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to make sure 22 that if we do move on to a second reading, that 23 there's actual proof that there's not going to 24 be stacking on US-1. 25 MR. PLUMMER: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: The whole Commission here is very clear, and we're going to discuss later about minimizing traffic in the neighborhoods. I want no traffic in neighborhoods, if possible, but, again, people get lost. You know, sometimes it happens. live in an area, San Amaro, which is across from UM. I mean, we do have our own issues and we're trying to do everything we can to minimize that, but, in this case, since we have a brand new project that is potentially going to go into this area, I want to talk about -besides the traffic, I want to really talk about US-1, because US-1 already is a nightmare, that basically cuts right through our City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know I can't hold you to it a hundred percent, but can you give me some sort of reassurance that stacking will not occur on US-1?

MR. PLUMMER: Yes. Based on all of our studies -- and this is a project that has very minimal traffic impacts, and I'll give you an example, because I get asked about this a lot, too.

1	I've got friends who live in the
2	neighborhood to the south, many friends. This
3	project generates approximately one-tenth of
4	the traffic of Mediterranean Village.
5	One-tenth. This is a very low impact traffic
6	project, especially as what could be built as
7	of right, and I'll get into that in my
8	presentation.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: And that's something
10	we'll discuss later.
11	MR. PLUMMER: We'll discuss later, but
12	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Plummer, will
13	you be here all day?
14	MR. PLUMMER: Oh, yeah, I will be here all
15	day.
16	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I have more
17	questions.
18	MR. PLUMMER: I'm sure.
19	MAYOR CASON: Here all day until three
20	o'clock.
21	MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, absolutely.
22	So let me go over the access plan for the
23	apartment users, the retail and the restaurant
24	users. There's an option, if you're coming
25	southbound on US-1, to use the signal at

Mariposa Court, come up Madruga, and come into the parking garage.

You can come US-1, U-turn to come into the private driveway, or if you're coming northbound on US-1 coming into the parking garage.

Again, very important, the only way out of the parking garage is to go to US-1 and go northbound. Again, to protect the residential streets from some of that traffic impact.

Now, will there be some people coming in from this area to the south? Potentially. And we've addressed that in the traffic study. We think, more or less, it's going to be ten percent. What does that mean for that ten percent? That is, in the morning, one car every twelve minutes, and in the p.m. peak period, one car every eight minutes. It's a very minimal amount.

Now, we do recognize we haven't stopped everybody, but we anticipate it to be a very low number, and your City Staff has reviewed it, your independent traffic consultant has reviewed it, and has agreed with those numbers.

Now, one of the things we also did is,

added an additional valet drop-off area here, 1 as well, for the hotel -- for the restaurant 3 and retail user. We've got a 300-foot 4 length -- 300 plus foot length of cueing. 5 One of the things that we listened to, as well, was, originally, the service vehicles 6 7 were going to do their services off of Madruga 8 Avenue. We heard from the neighbors. 9 didn't want that unsightly, so we've moved that 10 internal to the site, off of the private drive. COMMISSIONER LAGO: What are the effects of 11 12 making that change, in reference to the design, in reference to the cost, just to get an idea, 13 of making that change that residents requested? 14 15 MR. PLUMMER: The service vehicles? 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, at the resident's 17 request. I would have to have Jorge or 18 MR. PLUMMER: 19 -- but there absolutely was a cost to it. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Jorge, is there any 21 change? 22 MAYOR CASON: How many cars can you 23 accommodate in that -- your private lane, 100, 24 maybe? 25 Well, we have a 35-foot wide. MR. PLUMMER:

So if we ever had to, in a peak condition, that 1 actually can be two inbound lanes. 2 3 COMMISSIONER KEON: And the length of it is? 4 5 MR. PLUMMER: The length of it is 300 feet. It's about 22 feet per vehicle is what we 6 7 usually go with, to give a little distance 8 between the bumpers and everything else. that's over twenty vehicles. 9 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I wanted to get a 11 response in reference to --12 MR. HERNANDEZ: If Mr. Mayor would allow 13 it, I'll happily answer it. 14 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. 15 MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Through the Mayor. 17 In reference to the change that was made 18 with the service vehicles at the request of the 19 residents, what financial impact does that have 20 on the project, just design-wise? Does it take 21 away from FAR? Does it take away from the 22 buildability of the project? I just want to 23 get more of an idea. 24 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. The loading dock is 25 two stories high, so it cuts out that footprint

for two levels of parking, not just one level of parking. So it makes -- you know, you lose that much parking. But, as I've said, the project was overpacked. We'll talk about parking later.

Of course, the 34-foot lane that we created in our own property for stacking and car management did give us sufficient turning radius, and it was a far -- we welcomed it, because it improves the beauty and the quality of the project, but, yes, there was a cost.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: So in two lanes, you have something like 700 feet to park cars?

MR. PLUMMER: Yes. That's correct.

And, again, the point here is, we had many meetings with the neighbors. We listened to their concerns and we addressed them to the best of our ability, to make sure we had adequate stacking, that we minimize the impact to the streets to the south and that we handle the service vehicles in a way that they thought was reasonable.

I'm going to just briefly talk about the traffic study. We did five intersections, a.m.

and p.m. peak periods. The City and the City's independent traffic consultant asked for additional studies, including the delay study at US-1 and Caballero, a valet operation study. We submitted all of that information. It's been thoroughly reviewed. We've been given an approval. The study does meet the City standards and it's been approved by the City and their independent traffic consultants, Atkins.

Now, since the Planning and Zoning Board meeting, the Applicant has agreed to fund an independent traffic calming study, administered by the City, one year after opening, and pay — and will be paid — and the Applicant will pay for any warranted traffic calming devices. So that study won't come from me. That will come from someone that the City chooses.

Further, the Applicant has agreed to fund an independent annual traffic monitoring study, administered by the City, for five years. If the project traffic exceeds, what I've estimated, by more than 10 percent, then the City will conduct a traffic impact study and determine if any mitigation is needed. And all

of that, the study and any mitigation, will be funded by the Applicant.

Now, let me give you a little context on that for the City. That last condition especially, that's almost straight from the Village of Merrick Park Development order. The project traffic impact from this project are somewhere, if it's Mediterranean Village, a tenth, a fifteenth or a twentieth of what Village of Merrick Park is. So these are very conservative traffic conditions. Some I really haven't seen in the City for a project of this size.

MAYOR CASON: In your traffic study, you referred to five or six percent and a one percent figure. Could you explain the impact from Route 1, Dixie Highway?

MR. PLUMMER: I'm not sure exactly what you're asking me.

MAYOR CASON: In the study, you talked about, the total impact would be something like one percent coming into Caballero.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ten percent through --

MR. PLUMMER: Yes.

1.5

1 MAYOR CASON: Could you explain what -- in relation to what? 2 3 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You have that on Page 4 -- he's talking about Roman Numeral Page IV. 5 MR. PLUMMER: The traffic study basically looks at the distribution of traffic, how it 6 7 gets in, how it gets out. The vast majority is 8 on US-1. As I said earlier, we anticipate 9 about ten percent coming in from Hardee. 10 expect Caballero -- because the hotel is one of 11 the smaller uses, about ten percent of the 12 overall traffic will use Caballero leaving and either go south or north on US-1. 13 14 MAYOR CASON: So that's coming into the 15 project. That's --16 MR. PLUMMER: That's coming in and coming 17 out. 18 MAYOR CASON: And if I'm not mistaken, I think I got some statistics yesterday that 19 20 there's something like 100,000 cars that 21 average daily transit on Dixie Highway. 22 No, that's not correct. MR. PLUMMER: 23 MAYOR CASON: What's the numbers? 24 MR. PLUMMER: I can give you the numbers. 25 The numbers on US-1, from the Florida

Department of Transportation, in 2014, 79,500 daily two-way trips.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

MR. PLUMMER: That's from their database.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: What does that mean, a two-way trip?

MR. PLUMMER: Two way. So they measure northbound and southbound all day long, and they take those statistics for quite a few days and average them. And what's been interesting, because we've heard from the neighbors and, I get it -- you know, I've lived here a long, long time, is, you know, the volume is so high on US-1 and we're in gridlock. And there are issues with that, no doubt about it.

Just so you know, FDOT, same numbers, in 1999, the volume was 76,500. Now we're at 79,500. Now, in between -- it's a little cyclical, sometimes a little higher, sometimes a little bit lower, but from 1999 to now, there hasn't been much growth on US-1.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Just to clarify a little bit further, so you said it's 79,500. So that means -- does that mean just about 40,000 in each direction or is that 79,000 in

each direction? 1 2 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, because usually what 3 happens is, when someone goes northbound on 4 US-1, they generally comes back southbound on 5 US-1. So that's a 24-hour period. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So that's both 6 7 directions? Sometimes the directions are 8 MR. PLUMMER: 9 52 percent, 48. I mean, it fluctuates a 10 little. 11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I always assumed it 12 was more. 13 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah. No, it seems that way. 14 It seems that way. 15 Another important thing to note about US-1, 16 the County and the City has adopted a level of 17 service standard of 150 percent of capacity. 18 150 percent. Why? Because we have the 19 Metrorail adjacent to US-1. It's a major 20 arterial. This is where the County and the 21 City has said, "This is where we want our 22 growth and re-development." 23 Let me just quickly then go through the 24 improvements on the project. I'll start with 25 Madruga Avenue. One of the things that we

1 noticed right away with Madruga, and we heard 2 from the residents, there's speeding that goes 3 on back there. As a matter of fact, a friend of mine, that I ran into during breakfast 4 5 yesterday, had no idea there was a street name. He thought it was an alley. 6 7 He said, "People go up and down the alley." And I said to him, "You know that's an 8 actual street in the City." 9 10 "No, it's not." "Yes, it is." 11 12 So what we're going to propose is to narrow 13 that street a little bit, to 22 feet, to help 14 control some of the speeding. 15 The other thing, in the existing condition, 16 when you come Madruga Avenue westbound, it 17 comes in at an skewed angle, very difficult, 18 unsafe. It's very difficult to look over your 19 left shoulder to see oncoming traffic. 20 going to fix that, and have Madruga come in at 21 90 degrees. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: At what point is that, 23 as it's approaching Caballero? 24 MR. PLUMMER: As it enters Hardee. Ιt 25 enters Hardee --

1 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. Right. As a --2 MR. PLUMMER: Right. 3 (Simultaneous speaking) 4 MR. PLUMMER: -- come in at 90 degrees, so 5 when a driver gets there, they'll have much better sight distance, better safety. 6 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And by tightening up the street and making it 22 feet wide, the 8 9 excess is obviously going to be made into 10 sidewalks -- additional sidewalks, additional 11 green landscaping opportunities? That's correct. 12 MR. PLUMMER: And that 13 will help control the speeding. The narrower 14 the street, the less people speed. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: The problem with that 16 street, Madruga, and I don't know if you can 17 address it, is that, you know, it sits behind 18 some of those strip malls and whatever, and it 19 ends up as a parking alley for trucks and 20 whatever else. 21 I mean, so somehow you need to address the 22 issue of that not being -- that it is a street, 23 it needs to be treated as a street, and you 24 can't have parking and loading and unloading on 25 that street.

1 So, you know, from our enforcement issues, Manager, we need to -- the police now need to 2 3 begin to address that issue, anyway, because 4 it's very hard to get through there even at the 5 width that it is, because it's -- it is treated 6 as an alley by the people that use it, and you 7 need to enforce the parking and put the signage 8 there now, regardless of what happens with this 9 project. 10 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Understood. 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It really needs to 12 be re-landscaped, too, and there's a lot of 1.3 utility poles in the back, and it is the back 14 of the duplexes across the street, on the south 15 side. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right, you know. 17 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So you have a lot 1.8 of parking. It looks very ugly. It looks like 19 an alley, because it's --20 MR. PLUMMER: It does look like an alley. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, the duplexes 22 treat it as an alley, also, because they use --23 you know, all of their trash bins and things 24 are all visible from there. We need Code

Enforcement to take a look at that entire

1 street, and whatever -- irrespective of this development, so that it is treated as a street 2 3 and not an alley. MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: We'll have Parking 4 and Code --5 6 COMMISSIONER KEON: Great. And Police. 7 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: -- take a stroll. COMMISSIONER KEON: 8 If you can get those 9 three to work together and address those 10 issues. Thank you. 11 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: Understood. 12 Understood. MR. PLUMMER: And, then, lastly, we're 13 proposing a mini-roundabout at Caballero and 14 15 Hardee. Again, that will help control some of 1.6 the speed, and it will make it easier for 17 pedestrians to cross into the park or the 18 residents that are coming from their homes 19 coming to the project. 20 A couple of things since the Planning and 21 Zoning meeting, and if we can put up the 22 PowerPoint. The project, as Mr. Bass 23 mentioned, received a very enthusiastic 24 endorsement from the Transit Oriented This 25 Development Institute in Washington, DC.

is a national planning initiative to promote and accelerate the roll out of walkable mixed use communities around rail stations. This is Paseo de la Riviera.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Just for individuals like myself, I don't know if my colleagues are aware of this, can you give me a little bit more information in reference to the magnitude of this institution? I mean, is this something that they just give out to anybody?

Is this institution at the forefront of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{what}}\xspace --$

MR. PLUMMER: My understanding is, this is the first -- yeah, I haven't spoken to them. They're just wrapping up. I think they had a three-day conference, and if you go on their website, you'll see all of the speakers that they had from across the country.

My understanding is that this is the first time they've endorsed a project, and they've taken a policy stance, when they see projects like these, that meet their standards, they want to stand endorsing them, because, you know, across the country, transit oriented development is very, very important.

And what the report states is that this project is a groundbreaking opportunity for the City to achieve its stated goals of reducing traffic congestion, while increasing Metrorail ridership.

Now, again, after listening to the neighbors during the P&Z hearing, we heard many themes like, we're worried about cut through traffic, drivers aren't going to U-turn on US-1, and how are these apartment users going to get to Publix. So let me just address a couple of these things.

Again, especially with the apartment users, we really think, when they're coming from

Downtown Coral Gables, Downtown Miami, wherever they work, they're going to come south on US-1, use Mariposa Court to come in Madruga. Will some U-turn? Yes, they will, and some of that depends on the time of day.

Now, how about Publix? How are these apartment users going to get to Publix? Well, basically, they're going to get there the same way the residents south of them get there.

Again, they have to exit northbound on US-1.

They're very likely going to use Maynada, to

South Alhambra, to get to Publix.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Which is the route that I use.

MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, absolutely.

Now, you know, the concern about cut through traffic and going to Publix or wherever you're going, again, as I mentioned, the physical barriers of the Mahi Canal, there's no reason for these folks -- you can't get there. You can't get anywhere you really need to go, because you have the physical barriers of the Mahi Canal and the Gables Waterway.

Now, I also think, potentially, again, depending on time of day, Saturday morning, Sunday morning, whenever you do your shopping, some of these apartment users will also go on US-1, go to the Stanford Drive intersection, and make the U-turn, and come in and use US-1 to get to Publix.

Now, we looked at one street. It could have been any of them, but we looked at Alfonso Avenue. Again, just to stress the point about the cut through traffic, if someone is exiting the project and goes south on Maynada, which is a collector, why would they use Alfonso Avenue,

for instance? You know, if you go west, it's a cul de sac. If you go east, there's nowhere to go. You run into Granada. You run into the Gables waterway. And you could use any of these streets north of here as an example.

MR. LEEN: You know, at this point, I would just raise, for the Commission, for Mr. Gibbs to speak, to have about 50, 55 minutes. That will take us to noon at this point. The City is not going to present any further.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, that's perfectly fine. Mr. Gibbs can take as much time as he wants.

MR. LEEN: So if you could --

MR. PLUMMER: I'll conclude, Craig. Thank you very much.

Again, so in summary, this is a very unique location, on a major arterial, approximately 700 feet from the University Drive Metrorail Station, in the GRID, which is important, which makes this project exempt from traffic concurrency.

We did a very thorough study, and it meets the City standards. Your Staff Report states, "Traffic analysis has been reviewed by City

Staff and its consultants, and determined it is compliant."

1.5

We provided a traffic mitigation plan, with traffic calming, operational and safety improvements. We modified the original access plan to better meet the needs and desires of the residents to the south.

Your Staff Report states, "The proposed circulation and operations of automobile traffic minimize impacts on neighborhood streets." It also goes on to say that the traffic impacts have been minimized through site plan design and the valet operation plan, which incorporate input from the neighbors.

Now, I mentioned just briefly the as of right commercial scenario that could happen on this site. And that project could generate 100 percent more traffic than we're talking about today with the Paseo de la Riviera project. Put another way, this project generates about half of what a project as of right could generate on this site.

The Paseo de la Riviera project is a very traffic friendly project, compared to what could be built on this site. We've listened to

the residents. We've adjusted our plan to minimize traffic impacts on residential streets. City Staff and their independent traffic consultant agree with our traffic study conclusions. The area to the south is very well protected from traffic intrusion, one of the best in Coral Gables, and the Applicant has agreed to fund future independent traffic studies to ensure any traffic related impacts are addressed.

And I will be here all day to answer any questions that you might have.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Madam City Manager,
just a quick question through the Mayor,
briefly. The City consultant that Mr. Plummer
has mentioned, who gave his independent
approval of what the Applicant has provided,
was that paid by the City or was that paid by
the Applicant?

 $\label{eq:ms.swanson-rivenbark:} \mbox{No, I believe it} \\ \mbox{was paid by the City.}$

No? It was paid by the Applicant? Oh, my apologies. I'll find out, sir, and let you know. I'll have Staff come --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to make sure,

1 because that may be part of -- I want to bring 2 that up after, you know, if there is a second 3 reading. It's something that I need to 4 discuss. 5 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I understand. 6 understand. I will get that corrected. 7 believe we are the client, regardless of who pays for it, but we'll find out who and how 8 9 much. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Again, we're dealing 11 with professionals, but I just want to make 12 sure that there's no cause for concern and that 13 we --14 MR. TRIAS: The City Manager is correct. 15 The City is reimbursed, but the City is the 16 client. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Perfect. 18 you. 19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: If it's okay at this 20 time, Mr. Mayor, I have several questions for 21 Mr. Plummer. 22 MAYOR CASON: Yeah, sure. Go ahead. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I just want to stay on 24 the traffic topic. 25 Now, Tim, I know I -- in all projects, I

1	bug you quite a bit on these, but I know
2	MR. PLUMMER: I know you from the old
3	Traffic Advisory Board days.
4	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: There you go.
5	Exactly. That's where this all began.
6	Okay. So I'm looking at Page 1 of your
7	report, and there were responses to the Atkins
8	comments on behalf of the City of Coral Gables.
9	So we had asked a question, and this is a just
10	term I had never seen before.
11	MR. PLUMMER: I'm going to go grab my
12	report.
13	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Grab it, but
14	listen to the question as you're walking over.
15	It says, in Section 2.2, Traffic Counts, what
16	is "Was the axle factor applied?"
17	I had never heard the axle factor before,
18	so I just want a little clarification on what
19	the axle factor is.
20	MAYOR CASON: Tractor-trailers versus
21	passenger cars. More axles.
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Is that what it is?
23	MAYOR CASON: Yeah.
24	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah.
25	MR. PLUMMER: Absolutely. What the axle

factor is, and it's an adjustment for trucks, all different kinds of trucks that have more than two axles. The standard car has two axles. An eighteen wheeler has many more. So when they get counted, they get counted as many cars going over that traffic count. So there's an adjustment, based on the axle factor, to get it to passenger vehicles.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Understood. Okay. Got it.

MR. PLUMMER: That's all it is. So it's a factor that increases it.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. To give you a more accurate count of actually how many vehicles came through. Okay. That makes sense.

MR. PLUMMER: It makes a truck into a couple of passenger vehicles.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: All right. I'm looking at Page 7 and 8 of your report or Page 65 or 66 of our large booklet here. You have two diagrams here, Exhibit 2 and Exhibit 3, existing lane configurations, and then you have existing peak period traffic volumes. I was hoping you'd have this blown up, because this

1 blow up is -- I think it's great. It talks 2 about, really, the ingress and egress, and you actually have the traffic counts. 3 4 A few questions for you on this. 5 MR. PLUMMER: I'm sorry, again, what page 6 are you on? VICE MAYOR QUESADA: 7 It's going to be Page 8 66 of the large document that we have or Page 8 9 of your report. 10 MR. PLUMMER: Yes. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: It would be great if 11 12 we can get this to the screen, for those at 13 home and those in the audience, but, if not, I will try to do my best to describe what we're 14 15 looking at. 16 We're looking at a diagram of an aerial of 17 the streets and it has the exact number of traffic counts of how many vehicles they 18 measured inbound and outbound. And, then, I 19 20 believe, on Page --21 MR. PLUMMER: And this is existing. 22 very important. This is --23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: This is the existing, 24 and then I'm going to compare it with Page 69,

25

which is future.

I think this draws a nice comparison for me, because I want to know what the actual impact is going to be, based on your studies. I know we see you more than any other traffic consultant in the City, so I consider you to really know the City well when it comes to traffic.

I see here, we were talking about Madruga. We were talking about Hardee and that interplay of the intersection. You showed us a diagram of the traffic circle. When I compare that diagram to Page 69, I don't see much of a change in the traffic counts. Am I reading this improperly?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Vice Mayor Quesada, what about Page 74? That one says, "Future with project peak projections traffic volumes." That's without the project.

MR. PLUMMER: That's without the project.

COMMISSIONER KEON: That's without the project. So you need to go to --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Oh, I'm sorry, it wasn't a true apples to apples.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You need to go to 74 to compare apples to apples.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Thank you for clarifying that.

MAYOR CASON: Would you discuss, then, the extra traffic between now and the future, if this is approved? What is the addition, because --

MR. PLUMMER: Yes. So we do an analysis, that we always do in all of our traffic impact studies, called Future Without Project, and we research any traffic studies that have been approved and we incorporate those traffic volumes to those traffic studies, and then we also put some growth on the traffic counts, knowing that, you know, we take a count in 2014, the project is not going to be built until 2016 or 2017, so we increase what we call the background volume a little bit.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So if I'm reading this correctly, the most amount of traffic -- and I'm going no exclude US-1, because the US-1 numbers -- is this over a two-hour period?

MR. PLUMMER: This is during -- we take a peak period. So we look at the highest two hours in the afternoon and the morning, and then we take the highest four consecutive

fifteen minutes within that two-hour period.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. So on US-1 -- I sort of don't even want to apply the US-1 numbers, because there are so many that really just don't apply -- I'm looking down, coming down Caballero, and that seems to be the street that you anticipate that it's going to have the most traffic around the project. And the existing, as we sit here today, the inbound coming off of US-1, is 130 vehicles in a.m., and the p.m. is 72 vehicles. And with the projected peak traffic volumes in the future, after, you know, the Applicant's proposed project is built, assuming it's built exactly the way they want it, it's a jump of five vehicles in the morning and a jump of four vehicles in the evening.

MR. PLUMMER: Right.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: How accurate are you, typically, with these things? I know that's a terrible question.

MR. PLUMMER: Yeah.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So I've been sitting here five years and I was in the Traffic Board before then, and I've seen a lot of your -- I

1

2

3

4

5

6

1 guess I should answer that question, because 2 I've seen your studies and then I've seen the 3 projects come in and out. Do you typically do 4 benchmarking after the fact? 5 I know at the end of your presentation now 6 you said that the Applicant would be willing to 7 do future studies. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: As part of development. 9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: In the past, 10 historically, have you done those studies and 11 do you keep records of how accurate you've been 12 or not? I know that's -- I don't have the data 13 in front of me, so you can say anything you 14 want, but I'm asking you, since we're sitting 15 here in this -- I'm going to ask you to be --16 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah, the answer is, it 17 depends. You know, if there's a condition on a 18 project, like this one has, then the client 19 will have us go out, because they're required 20 The Village of to, to measure the traffic. 21 Merrick Park is an excellent example. 22 For five years after the Village of Merrick 23 Park --24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You did the 25 projections on Merrick Park on the traffic.

MR. PLUMMER: I did the projections on Merrick Park, right, and I -- and that's a perfect example. That's probably my best one that would make sense to everyone. Everyone knows the project.

So we had a condition that we had to

So we had a condition that we had to monitor the traffic for five years after final CO, annually for five years, and measure the traffic, the ins and outs, to see how we did on projections. And if it exceeded more than ten percent, this is almost a literal condition from the Village of Merrick Park --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Is that standard? Is that standard?

MR. PLUMMER: No. No. Now, that was a development of regional impact.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Agreement.

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: No, but, sir, this was -- the concern that the residents had was that the traffic projections would not be realistic. So we -- the Commission built in that annual requirement, and it actually --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's one of the good things about having you here, because you actually played a significant role in putting

this deal together.

2.4

MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It actually came in less than what was projected.

MR. PLUMMER: Yes. I would say,

Commissioner Quesada, and don't exactly quote

me on the numbers, we never got near a hundred

percent, but they generally came in at about 80

to 85 percent of what the projections were. So

we were well conservative on the numbers.

Now, does that happen in every study? I can't tell you that for a fact, because it's very rare that we go back and measure. This will be one of them. Mediterranean Village will be another one that you're going to get to keep your eye on, but rarely does it happen. It only happens in major, major projects, as part of a development order condition.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay.

MAYOR CASON: Let's hold any other questions for after hearing from Tucker Gibbs, so that we can have the citizen input, as well.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Mr. Mayor, while Mr. Gibbs is coming up, before we break and before we take citizen input, can we address this issue of the GRID? I'd like Craig Coller to

talk about it, because I think it has a much longer and a pretty significant history here, other than just our City and ordinances that our City passed, and I would like if Craig Collier and Ramon could just address that issue quickly, when Mr. Gibbs is done.

MR. LEEN: Not right now.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah.

MR. LEEN: After.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. After.

MR. GIBBS: Good morning. My name is
Tucker Gibbs. I have a Law Office at 3835
Utopia Court, in Coconut Grove, and I'm here
today representing the Riviera Neighborhood
Association, and my clients object to this
application as an unwarranted increase in
commercial intensity, residential density, bulk
and height, abutting along an established low
density residential neighborhood, their
neighborhood.

This is a complex proposal. I mean, it's taken an hour and a half to describe it, and you all still have questions. And this multi-faceted proposal seeks to more than double the allowable square footage on the

site, and a member of the Commission -- I forget who -- talked about FAR, and I just wanted to give another comment about FAR.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

While FAR is a measure of allowable square footage, it's also a measure of intensity, and that's one of the issues we're here today about, is intensity, that this project is very intense.

And when you look at going from an FAR of 1.5 to 3.0, and I'm not a math genius, but I can tell you, that's a big increase, and that's the problem. That's one of the problems here.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm sorry to interrupt I just want to make sure that everybody understands. Can you give, in your own words, an explanation of the difference between intensity and density, so the homeowners who are listening today can actually have a grasp of that, if they're not already aware of it?

MR. GIBBS: FAR is a measure of intensity. It's the square footage that you have in your building, and I'm being very broad on that. It's probably a net square footage.

The density is units per acre. So if you

acre -- I think the Comprehensive Plan in Coral Gables says the maximum in high density residential is 75, with bonuses, 75 units an acre. So that's the difference.

But here they're asking to more than double the allowable square footage. They're also asking to more than triple the allowable height, and your Planning Director talked about the fact that even though it could go up to 190 feet, they're not planning on going to 190 feet. I think the latest iteration is 133 feet, is what I heard today.

So they're seeking to do that. And also eliminate setbacks and step backs established to protect the adjacent low density residential neighborhood, and those are the site specific regulations. That's part of the PAD, part of the MXD issues that we're going to be discussing today later on.

And all of this expansion, all of this growth, is happening without a City approved plan regarding the future development in this area. This massive project is being presented as the exemplar of future development in the area, and that's how it was described by the

City's own consultant at the peer review. This is going to be the place setter. This is going to be what's going to allow other people to come in and develop in the area.

And at its core, the application seeks to cram as much commercial and residential development on this 2.66 acre property as you all will let them, and protection of the adjacent residential neighborhood is taking a back seat to its relationship with US-1, with Metrorail and with the University.

That's the focus of this project, and that's what you've heard a lot about, it's how it's going to relate to Metrorail, how it's going to relate to US-1, how it's going to relate to the University, and how it will allow people to come in through the Paseo, into Jaycee Park.

But this project will be a massive increase in intensity, height and bulk. It's over 400,000 square feet -- that's how much -- facing a residential neighborhood, and you can talk about that nice little drawing that showed the distance between the building and the duplex across the street, Jaycee Park, and the

first building, but the fact is, it's 400,000 square feet. It's a massive, massive building.

What makes this worse, and I'm not sure what the application says now, because the application itself said that they were going to ask -- the Applicant was seeking to include not only its property, but -- the Holiday Inn, but also the Gables One and the shopping center as part of the Text Amendment application, releasing all of the regulations for all of Tract A, and I'm not sure if that's the case or not any more because --

MS. RUSSO: If I may address that, just to clarify the record?

Good afternoon. For the record, Laura Russo, with offices at 2655 Le Jeune Road. If you read your minutes of the Planning and Zoning Board record, I stood up and made the representation that the Text Amendment was limited solely to our portion of Tract A.

So I just want to say that the Site

Specific Text Amendments are only for our

portion of Tract A and I made that perfectly

clear on the record at the Planning and Zoning.

MR. LEEN: That's true. Mr. Gibbs, we

accepted that. I mentioned that at the beginning of my remarks. They would be limited to Tract A based on the objections that have been raised by you and the proffer.

1.0

MR. GIBBS: Right. And I just wanted to be sure about that and I wanted to have it on the record from the Applicant and I appreciate that.

So what my clients want is a plan in place before a project like this is even considered by the City. A well-thought-out plan, that's been vetted by City Staff and the Planning Board, and with the citizen buy-in, and approved by the City Commission, that truly protects the abutting and nearby low density neighborhood.

Until then, any development that involves increases in intensity, bulk and height, like this project, should be tabled or rejected.

Mr. Mark Alvarez is our planner. He is here today to speak, and he will be discussing the context and scale of the proposal.

I will follow him with a review of the specific applications. So I will leave it to Mr. Alvarez, who is our professional planner.

1	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.
3	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So we didn't bring our
4	binoculars with us.
5	MR. ALVAREZ: I know.
6	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: If you have a smaller
7	printout to hand out of that or no?
8	MR. ALVAREZ: It's okay. I just want to
9	talk about that briefly.
10	MR. ALVAREZ: My name is Mark Alvarez. I'm
11	a professional planner, address at 3109 Grand
12	Avenue, Number 331, Miami, Florida 33123.
13	I'm representing the Riviera Neighborhood
14	Association. They asked me to review this from
15	a planning perspective, the application.
16	Don't look at that yet. I'll tell you
17	about it in a minute.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: All right.
19	MR. ALVAREZ: I just wanted to save time
20	and get it up there.
21	I think I want to start well, first of
22	all, I need the PowerPoint, whoever is in
23	charge of that.
24	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It's up.
25	MR. ALVAREZ: Before I start, though, I

want to start -- I went to the Riviera

Neighborhood Visioning Report from 2007, when

it was finalized, and I read something here

that I want to read to you, just to open this

up. And it says, "The report has been

undertaken as a result" -- and they state the

purpose -- "to be proactive, so that we can

arrive at the ideas for our community which can

hopefully guide the City, as well as the

commercial interests, toward planned

redevelopment. We would like future

construction to be cohesive architecturally, of
an appropriate scale and with specific design

standards."

It went on to talk about buffer, but the important part, "By planning in this manner, we feel that we would be able to welcome changes and encourage appropriate updating in the commercial areas, with predictable outcomes that we feel our property values would be stable and our lives will be more tranquil" It's a very important statement.

And in contrast to -- Mr. Bass opened up, and he said this in the Planning Advisory
Board, too, he said, "Planning is all about

adjacencies," and that's partially true. I agree with that, in part, but planning is also -- in Coral Gables, it has been about adjacencies and replicating the ones that work, and when they don't work, such as the Gables One Tower, to reject them and go forward in a different path, but it's also about predictability.

1.8

We all know that Coral Gables has to grow and will change, and especially when we have something like Tract A, where there's a very suburban zoning -- excuse me, site specific zoning, but how does it grow? It has to grow in a way that people anticipate what's going to happen, not something that's completely out of bounds.

The Land Use plan today goes two steps up. So I'm going to talk to you not about views and breezes and sunlight. I'm not going to talk about those aspects. I'm going to talk about the planning perspective.

And I brought that chart up that you can't read from there, which was a response to -- the Applicant gave out their supplemental book, which looks like this, and they had ten

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

examples of places where high intensity development is next to low density residential uses.

And when we looked at that, we found, among the ten -- and I'm going to grab the mike -- we found -- what I looked at is, of course, the Biltmore is very predictable. It was just about the first thing in Coral Gables in 1932.

I know you can't read it.

But the Biltmore is also -- it's fifteen stories, but it's just the tower. That's all it is. The rest of it is about eight stories, and it's surrounded by vast open space, and the houses that are there, that are adjacent to it, the oldest ones were built about the same time.

So it was predictable. If you moved there, you liked it, and it's okay.

The rest of these, up to the last two,

Bacardi Building, 396 Alhambra, Coral Gables La

Roc, Segovia Towers, Gables on the Green, David

William Condos, the condos that are over here,

I guess, to our west and south a little bit,

they're all part of a planned area. That whole

area on the Future Land Use Map, four blocks of

it -- four big blocks, is dark brown. That

area was planned as high density residential.

And, again, so people who live across the golf course, they expected it. They knew.

This was planned.

The Bacardi Building, 396 Alhambra, Gables Club, Le Roc, they're all in the CBD. If you are in the CBD -- if you live next to the CBD, you expect that you're going to have tall buildings there. It's part of the expectation. So you moved there, because you like that.

Down here, we have Gables Club and Gables
Waterway Towers, I would suggest that they're
not very good examples of good planning,
because Gables Waterway Towers is a
non-conforming structure. It's low density, in
the Future Land Use Map. It's non-conforming.
And I understand the Gables Club is a result of
litigation and a court order.

So I just went through that, because I thought, how could this mistake happen ten times? And it doesn't, because it's not about adjacencies. It's about what's planned to happen there. So that's where I want to focus. So I'm going to start the presentation.

So the request -- there are five requests

for -- I'm going to take this down -- there are actually four requests, because I separated the parking covenant. And the ones I'm going to speak about, really, are the change in Land Use and the PAD, and the reason why, is that as a planner, and I told people in the Association this, and most of them agree with it, too, nobody has a problem with the mixed use. We agree, that's the way forward. That's what needs to happen.

1.2

1.5

1.8

And the other is the Zoning Code Text

Amendments to release it from the site specific zoning. Again, it's something that -- the way we have to move in the future -- thank you,

Craig -- to allow those buildings to come forward, be urban and not have a parking lot in front of them.

So I'm really speaking to the Future Land Use Map change, and, to some extent, the PAD approval. So what I want to start out with -- this is a little small. I expected to have a larger screen, but I'll do my best with it.

What I started out with is just a quick introduction to the project. It has the paseo, which is what it's named after, a very large

70-foot entrance in the front, a smaller archway in the back, about 20 feet.

But here's the -- and when we look at this project, I think we've all been attracted by the fact that it has a very large sort of open space area that's public benefit. And that's fine. But, again, remember that that's in exchange for the MXD, the PAD and the Mediterranean Bonus.

What I want to focus on are the numbers, and I think three times this morning somebody has been asked to explain FAR, density, and their relationship to the building's mass. So I'm going to do the same.

Floor area ratio is called intensity, and it's used in commercial buildings, and it's a measure of the floor area -- it's the ratio of floor area in the building, on all of the floors, to the amount of land that's below it. However, it does not include all of the area. It does not include parking. It does not include a number of spaces that are exempted. It's actually a really good measure of understanding how many people in a commercial space are there

during the daytime, because it really looks, for lack of a better way to put it, at the leasable, the pay area of a project, but it doesn't give us a good idea of how big the project is, because there's parking, because there's mechanical spaces, there's lobby spaces in hotels. All of that is not counted.

So to look at that and just look at it and misstate it and say, that's the size of the building, it's not. The building, for example, in this one, the residential building is a courtyard building, and it becomes bigger to the outside, because it's hollow in the middle, and FAR doesn't tell us that.

So I wanted to point out, this project, of course, if it's within its FAR, like two one-thousandths, no development would ever miss FAR and give up much there, and that's fine. However, I just want to point out the size of the building. And the size of the building, if you add all of the floor area, this is why the parking pedestal is big, this is why the building is big, if you add it all up, it's about seven and a half times those 2.7 acres on the ground. That's why it looks big, even

though it's got a density that's not really so much.

And then the other thing is called -- I think I used the word, density. That was all intensity.

Density is residential density, and it's defined in your Zoning Code, it's used in your Comprehensive Plan, and in the City of Coral Gables, in density, residential density is dwelling units per net acre. Some cities use gross. You use net acres. It's just the size of the lot.

And it's expressed in dwelling units per acre. So that's pretty simple. Dwelling units in your City does not include hotel. I can tell you, in a lot of jurisdictions, it does include hotel. The County uses hotel. So I can't use the statement I usually say about it, and I usually say, it gives you an idea of how many people are in the project and require your services, who are there at nighttime. They're nighttime users. Here we miss some of them, because you don't include hotel as part of your density.

And, in fact, because it's a commercial

site, you don't measure the density at all, but I'll tell you what the density is. Just for the residential, it's 86.4. That's a very high density project. 86.4 units per acre. We're talking about a lot of density here, by any standards.

And if you were to include the hotel, and I used gross on that case, because that's the way the County does it, there's 125 units per acre on that property. It's a very dense project, from a housing standpoint.

Now, again, Mr. Bass will probably get up here and say, "Well, we don't care. We don't use that. That's not our metric, because it's commercial property," and that's true, but I want you to be aware of the size of this project and the potential impacts not just to traffic, but people require services, parks, water, sewer, et cetera.

These slides are going to be difficult, because they just changed the height. So I'm going to have to go with it as best I can, and I know they're also hard to read.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let me ask you a question. These documents, have you provided

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

1 these documents to us before? Has the Applicant had a chance to review them? 2 Has our Staff had a chance to review them? 3 No, it's okay. 4 5 MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. 6 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I understand it, but 7 that's why I started at the beginning of the 8 hearing saying that I hate the sandbagging 9 tactic, because we don't have the time to 10 review it and analyze it. 11 I have a lot of questions with the 12 documents, because I want our Staff to review 13 it.. Mr. Gibbs --14 15 MR. ALVAREZ: If I could answer. 16 MR. LEEN: Mr. Gibbs is indicating that's a 17 legislative issue, so he -- you know, 18 technically, under our Code, for

MR. LEEN: Mr. Gibbs is indicating that's a legislative issue, so he -- you know, technically, under our Code, for quasi-judicial, they don't have to be provided in advance. That's technically true, but what I would just say is that you heard the Commission's request, so going forward -- you know, if there's a second reading on this item, obviously you will be able to make objections then.

19

20

21

22

23

24

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mr. Gibbs, all I want is an opportunity to have a chance to review it and speak to the Staff Members. I'm not an expert in planning. So he's talking about the FAR. He's talking about massing, which is helpful, which is great, I want to hear it. I just want to have time to digest it and fully understand it, prior to voting on a topic either way.

MR. GIBBS: And I understand --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I mean, you're an attorney. I'm an attorney. You know, when you go to litigation, you go to court, you can't surprise the other side with documents. So I just want to be fully informed and prepared just to vote.

MR. TRIAS: May --

MAYOR CASON: Ramon, do you have a point on this?

MR. TRIAS: Let me tell you factually what happened. This was provided last night to the television folks, and as a result of that, I did see it last night, the presentation, but that's the factual issue.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: If you feel comfortable

and have no issues with it, I mean -- but I agree with what the Vice Mayor is saying. I want you to hand over all of the documents. I just don't want to be a disservice to you, flipping through something up here, while I'm not paying attention to what this gentleman is saying.

MR. GIBBS: And all we're doing is making a presentation. This is a courtesy. We don't -- I mean, if you want, we hand it out. If you don't want, we don't hand it out and Mr. Alvarez can speak to it.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want you to hand it out, but, in my opinion, and I think the Vice Mayor will agree and my colleagues will agree, it's kind of a disservice to you if I can't review this. Look, I got these documents how many days ago, Madam City Manager? A week, would you say?

So I've been able to review these documents. I haven't been able to review what you're about to give me.

MR. GIBBS: And we understand that, and part of it was, he was going to make a presentation, and all this is, is to support

1 his presentation. It's basically --2 MAYOR CASON: Why don't you continue with 3 your presentation then? 4 MR. GIBBS: Okay. Because I'm happy to --5 because we only had -- we weren't even going to 6 hand these out. We were going to put them on 7 the record and give them to our opponents. 8 didn't hand them out. We didn't want to hand 9 them out to you, so you'd be sitting there 10 reading them. 11 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can you just make sure 12 you hand them over to the Applicant and over to 13 Mr. Trias, so that we can ask some questions 14 about it later, just clarifying? That's it. 1.5 MR. GIBBS: Absolutely. And this is first 16 reading and we're able to --17 MR. LEEN: Yes. And it's in the record 18 that we've accepted -- we're accepting 19 everything in the record. 20 MAYOR CASON: Go ahead and continue, 21 please. 22 MR. ALVAREZ: I was careful of this part of 23 the presentation. Quite honestly, there's a full report that I have. We did not hand that 24 25 out today, because we were not in time for the

rule. Assuming this gets to second reading, we would give that to you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: You're going to have all the necessary time that you need. Just understand that.

MR. ALVAREZ: No. No. No. I mean, there's a report that we would give later, if we go to second reading, that covers all of this, again, where you can ask questions.

I tried to limit this to, I bring no new data in, that this is just from the record.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

2.5

MR. ALVAREZ: If that helps.

I want to look at the project scale, and the height has been reduced, so these are going to be a little bit difficult, but, again, I'm looking -- again, this is not about the views, it's not about the sunlight, the shadows. This is about, what do we expect in this area, and the expectation of what goes on in that commercial corridor right now and what could go on in that commercial corridor and what goes on across the street in U of M.

And when we talk about the massing of a building, we always talk about height, but

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

height -- of course, a tall, skinny building is less massive than a very fat tall building.

They're both at the same height.

So we have to look at that facade and see how big the facade is, and, actually, your Board of Architects, although they don't do this exactly, they do look at the width of facades and they look at these things to some extent. So the practical solution was, let's look at the facades and how they compare.

And so I went around the building, just took all of the measurements, based on yesterday's measurements, not last night's, and the facade of this is about 43,000 square feet area that it presents, with the paseo in the middle, not including the paseo.

The size of it, unlike the Gables One
Tower, which nobody seems to like as it is, at
least that's fairly tall and skinny on its
side. The side of this project is, actually,
again, quite massive and the side of it is
about 42,000 square feet of area that people
would look at it. That's the bulk of the
building facing you at Jaycee Park or at the
canal. That's the Caballero side, I'm sorry.

And to give you an example, the Gables One Tower is about 13,000 square feet of area facing that park. So this is quite a lot larger.

1.4

We move around to Madruga, to building -actually, this is the largest amount of area on
that building, because the paseo has some
structure in it at this point, and it presents
about close to 46,000 square feet of area to
the neighborhood. I'm not talking about sight
line and sunlight and breezes, but this is the
bulk that faces the neighborhood, and it's more
bulky than even that side of the Gables One
Tower, which, again, is about 36,000 square
feet facing the neighborhood.

And then we move around to what's in many cases the most bulky side of it, the north side, that would face the lane. It's about 42,000 square feet. Again, Gables is only 13,000. It's just a comparison, so you understand that this is not only the tallest building from Brickell to -- along US-1, from Brickell to Dadeland, this is a very large building, as it presents itself. Partly because of the courtyard, a lot because of the

parking and the plinth that's underneath it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The paseo does relieve that, but not to the extent it should.

Yeah. We need a bigger screen next time.

I did a context comparison with everything that'a around this building, and this, of course, is much more larger than single-family homes, about 22 times the size, but it's silly to even say that. It's larger than everything around it. It's larger than the Bank United Center in its facade. It's not taller, but it's much larger. It's larger than -- if we were to develop the two blocks on US-1, north of here, which are not part of the sitting today, they could not be as massive in their facade as this building. They could go up to about 36,000 square feet in their facade, if they used all of their zoning, which it would be hard for them to do, because it's a narrow block.

It's larger than the Coga right behind it, the very long three-story building, the Coga Building, the apartment building that's right behind it on Madruga. It's much larger than that. That's also about 30,000 square feet.

Again, this, in the context of where it is, of what people would expect, it is much, much larger than everything else, and anything that we would expect the City to do in its need to grow.

Next I want to talk about Merrick's vision. Merrick's vision was brought up in the Planning Advisory Board, and it was brought up in the context of height, and, again, it was a scale issue. And I remember looking at this and I thought, wow, that's very interesting. Could George Merrick have thought, we're going to do this on US-1, and that's a common planning idea of 1.5 times the right-of-away, which is called enclosure, but would he have ignored the neighborhood behind it?

And so I look at this, and, first of all, just to put this in perspective -- I'm really sorry you can't read this, the policy -- you actually have a comprehensive policy that says, "To promote George Merrick's vision, consistent with the established historic and cultural fabric of the City."

So, in fact, when the Applicant brought this up, they were right to do it, because my

first thought was, well, who cares? It's irrelevant. We go by the New Code. But I understand George Merrick's vision has great gravity in the City, and always had, and it's what guides it to be the City that you are.

1.0

So what George Merrick did, he had four height districts, and there's a map here, and you can barely see it up there. The red lines along US-1 are the fourth high district.

That's the highest. The green is -- there's green and blue, second and third, and then the fourth height district is, more or less, everything else, which is white, and the fourth height district is basically limited to 40 feet, to, in those days, they said, the ceiling line, approximately the roof line.

The first height district, the red one, which everybody has talked about, is the one that says you should build a building to one and a half times the right-of-way in front of it, 150 feet. Well, George Merrick didn't let you down, because I looked at it more carefully and I realized, if you look at it, when you blow this up -- yeah, you can see it -- the block that we're talking about has the arrow,

and at that time, the plating had an alley mid block, and Madruga was on the other side.

And this fourth height district only goes halfway through the block. In other words, Merrick's vision was that the half of the block that faces the neighborhood is the fourth height district, consistent with that street, consistent with that neighborhood. Only the part on US-1 goes tall, consistent with that street.

And if we look a little further, this gets worse, because Tract A moved Madruga. The old platting, that block was 250 feet wide. So Merrick's vision didn't go all of the way back -- I mean, his split on the block didn't go to where Madruga is now. It was to where Madruga was before.

Let's go back to that map.

All right. You see, Madruga is a straight line. Madruga was in line with where Madruga is to the north of Mariposa Court and south of the waterway. It was all one Madruga. Then Tract A moved it. Tract A, as -- I think, Ms. Russo talked about it in the peer review, Tract A moved Madruga over and then the Coga

1 project cut it down from 60 to 30 feet from the 2 other side. 3 So Merrick's vision was actually that this first 125 feet of the block is where the 150 4 feet counts. The rest of it was supposed to be 6 low, kind of what your Zoning Code says to do, 7 although this was a little more stringent. 8 It cuts right through the midline of the 9 buildings on the project, that 125 feet. 10 could be 150 and the rest was supposed to be 11 40. 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Let me stop you for a 13 second. I don't understand the slide. So what 14 am I looking at exactly? 15 MR. ALVAREZ: I'm sorry, that's -- the plan 16 view from the application is the roof view. 17 It's just the roof line view, without looking 18 at the site plan. It's the view from the roof. 19 So that's the --20 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: Rearward extent of 21 Fourth Height District. 22 MR. ALVAREZ: Correct. 23 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So, on the left, what 24 street is that? 25 MR. ALVAREZ: On the left would be

Caballero. On the right would be -- can you hear me -- on the right would be where the lane is. Madruga is on the bottom and US-1 is on the top. It doesn't come out very well, and I apologize. I will bring the screen next time.

So this is going from the right-of-way back 125 feet, and the rest of it would be the Fourth Height District.

So George Merrick's vision was, in fact, not at all to have this whole block be 150 feet tall. And, in fact, when we compare this to -- Mr. Trias had a diagram in his report that showed -- the red line is the existing Code. The building -- and, again, to orient you, the right side of the building is US-1. The gap in the middle is Madruga, and this is the house across the street from Madruga.

His slide had the red line and he showed you the requests for the setback relief that the building -- the pink building, which you're looking at it from -- oh, gosh, from US-1 to Madruga. I can't say south and north here. That the building intrudes a little bit into the setback requirement, which is the red line.

But Merrick's vision, I put on there, is

2.0

the green line, and you see how the building, as it is now, doesn't conform at all to

Merrick's vision. It's much, much more through our building to the back, where it was supposed to go low, to respond to the neighborhood, to respond to Madruga.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I --

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Just clarify for me.

You're saying, on the Highway, the height

visioned by Merrick was 147? Is that what that

number says up there?

MR. ALVAREZ: No. The Fourth District
Height would be of one and a half times the
right-of-way of US-1, which would be 150. They
said they're within that.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So 150 would be the height. And you're saying the difference between what is being proposed and Merrick's vision is the area -- the depth of the building from the green line to the red line? You're saying that is what's not consistent, right? It's not the height, it's the depth of the building between the green line and the red line?

1	MR. ALVAREZ: No. The depth of the
2	building, from the edge of the building to the
3	green line is what's not consistent with
4	Merrick's vision. The slide I used was
5	Mr. Trias' slide, telling you that the red line
6	was where they're not consistent with your
7	Zoning Code requirements now.
8	COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. So it isn't
9	height, it's the depth?
10	MR. ALVAREZ: It's height and depth.
11	COMMISSIONER KEON: Both?
12	MR. ALVAREZ: It's both. It's how it
13	responds to the neighborhood.
14	COMMISSIONER KEON: You're saying the
15	height is the same. It appears to be the
16	depth, is what that slide seems to be?
17	MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. In absolute terms, it's
18	not the height. It's really a setback issue.
19	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think that's an
20	important statement, though.
21	MR. ALVAREZ: I'm sorry?
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Because what you're
23	telling us is, this is not an issue about
24	height right now.
25	MR. ALVAREZ: It's a little of both. It's

that the height extends back too far. So it's how you want to read it. It's a height or setback issue.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. So it's the height next to the neighborhood, not the height along US-1?

MR. ALVAREZ: Right. The height along US-1 is fine. So let's call it a setback issue.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. All right.

That's exactly what I need, a little bit more clarification. It's not the actual height, it's the height that faces the neighborhood -- that abuts the neighborhood, excuse me, not the one on US-1.

MR. ALVAREZ: I guess I'm going from this historically. I went left, from 1930, Merrick's vision, and the next thing that was on the list was to look at the peer review.

And I had hoped when I -- I wasn't there, but I read the transcript twice, and the stated purpose of that review, as it was introduced by Mr. Bass and the Applicant, was to see -- look for the role of the Paseo de la Riviera as a model project for the corridor, you know, to see if there was any, as I said, DNA that they

could put into the rest of the corridor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It was introduced. Mr. Bass talked about it being on the GRID, and that it should be more dense, because it's near Metrorail, but the disappointment was that although there was some questions about the height -- Elizabeth Plater-Ziberg asked for some clarifications about the height. There was never a discussion about what the height should be or what the bulk should be of the building, and it's one of the central issues, and it was completely silent on a recommendation as to what it should be.

I thought that was a little bit the definitions were and how the plinth seemed

disappointing, from a peer review, because that's clearly one of the big issues. was a lot of discussion about connectivity, about the pedestrian connectivity of it, about its location with regard to Metrorail, but the absolute bulk was never really discussed, other than a few comments, again, by Ms. Plater-Ziberg, about how the -- she called it the Courtyard Building, the residential building, was massed on the surfaces and how

a little big and how the hotel building seemed to be a more attractive or a more likeable building. But other than that, they never really made a recommendation, and I found that silence to be -- it left me thinking, why did we have this, if we didn't get to a conclusion that we needed?

The community perspective is the next thing that comes up, and this is the Red Road, US-1 Workshop that was held in the summer. There were a number of poling techniques -- can you read that? That is really bad -- there are a number of poling techniques that they used to understand what the community wanted for this corridor, and there was a question and answer session, which is on YouTube.

There were one word response cards. There was an open-ended survey, visual preference surveys, and Mr. Bowl (phonetic) has documented all of that in his report.

The one word survey, where people put down one word or one short phrase on their card, to say what they think about the existing corridor and what they think they want for the future corridor, what's put into what's called these

word diagrams, where the larger the word is, the more that thing was said, the more they heard that. The smaller word is, the less they heard it. And if the word doesn't exist there at all, it just never came up.

So we see that in the existing corridor, people talk about it being dangerous and ugly and too much traffic, but what I'm really interested in is the future corridor vision that came out of those one word responses, and we see very big words, mixed use, pedestrian and boulevard, and I told you, we all have no issues with that. I have no issue, as a planner. I think mixed used is good for this area, but the question is, what scale? How big should it be?

And the only word that addresses scale on that diagram, you'll see it in the middle in white, it says, "Low rise." Never did anyone say anything about mid rise or high rise in those surveys. There was no discussion about it, apparently, at least, and I'm using what's in the report, and they've documented all of the responses that went into those diagrams.

So what you come out with is, low rise.

People are looking for low rise. And I'm pointing to the word, "Low rise."

The community perspective -- and you can't read this, I realize -- to go further, they had a question. It was Question 4. And it said, "What character should the US-1, Red Road, Sunset areas have in the long-term future?"

And I pulled all of the responses out that had anything to do with height or scale, and there are five -- about eleven of them, and the green arrows pointing down were people who thought it should be lower. There were a few people who thought density or intensity or height should increase. But, by far, the majority of opinion on those survey forms, where people handed in a card that was open-ended to that question, people said -- I'll read the red ones. Somebody said, "Greater density and height along US-1 and remove most use restrictions."

The other one said, "Transition from height on US-1 to medium density, four-story development, and three-story townhomes in lots behind US-1."

Next one, "Low rise buildings along US-1,

1 45 feet, with parking underground and heavy 2 landscaping. No visible parking." 3 The gray one, no objection. Really, it could have been either way. I included them 4 5 all. 6 The other gray one, "Height is not the 7 It's design flow through connectivity, 8 substantiality and public spaces." 9 That's fine. I agree with all of that, 10 except height still is an issue. Green, "Lower density, with spacial 11 12 continuity." 13 The red one, somebody again thought that we should allow development that's needed, but 14 15 they said, "Density cannot be the argument to 16 oppose, unless you qualify what kind of 17 density." 18 I agree completely with that. I agree, 19 because we probably need to increase the 20 density here, but we don't know how much, and 21 that's the problem. 22 Somebody said, "Development must maintain 23 height restrictions to prevent overwhelming 24 presence in adjacent neighborhoods. Parking

must be contained in garages versus on the

1 street." 2 Again, the overwhelming presence, "No high 3 rise buildings greater than five stories." Somebody said, "Zoning for different 4 5 segments, requiring specific height and 6 setbacks." 7 And then somebody said, "Limit building 8 Be careful not to create a Brickell 9 like canyon," and that was from somebody who was apparently a 37-year resident. 10 11 That's what came out of the workshop. 12 MAYOR CASON: How many people participated 13 in the workshop? 14 MR. ALVAREZ: I don't recall. I wasn't 15 I'm using the document -there. COMMISSIONER KEON: Ramon can tell you. 16 17 MR. TRIAS: On Friday night, I counted 130. 18 On Saturday, about a hundred. 19 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you. 20 MR. ALVAREZ: Okay. To go a little further 21 on community perspective, I looked at this report -- which I quoted from in the beginning. 22 23 I went too far -- The Riviera Neighborhood 24 Vision. The Riviera Neighborhood Vision 25 happened at a time when the City was changing

its Zoning Code. The same pressures were going on about commercial development along the edge of the neighborhood, and the neighbors were concerned about the intensity of the commercial development, was the biggest one; how the Mediterranean Bonus would affect that intensity, and height was also an issue that was addressed, and, of course, there was traffic and all of these others.

And there was a height plan developed in that study, and the height plan was basically based on the existing heights that were going on. And the highest project at the time was down here by El Santona, I think, and the statement was, up to seven stories was acceptable, because that one was at seven stories, but it came back to four as it went to the low density neighborhood. And that's what happened at Riviera.

And then I just wanted to give a little context. During the presentation, both PAD and here, a lot of smart growth concepts have been talked about. This project is all about new urbanism and smart growth. It's not part of your Code, but that's what's being presented to

you, and so I wanted to just touch on that a little bit.

Smart growth, new urbanism talks about a transect, and they categorize the City into six basic transects, from T-1 to T-6. T-1 is natural, T-2 rural, T-3 suburban, like the neighborhoods behind here, single-family homes, T-4 is more dense residential areas. What was of concern to us, that we would be thinking about, is this a T-5 or a T-6.

And you'll never be able to read this, so I'm going to explain it.

The most salient difference about a T-6 designation, which is the high one, is that it's generally the urban business district of a City. Cities have one of those. And this isn't the CBD. This isn't the Central Business District. This is a corridor about two miles south.

Okay. And in that, you would have buildings that are vertically mixed uses attached or aligned fronts, setbacks small to none, FAR very high, coverage is very high, structured parking typical, net density range of 25 to 100 units an acre. That's for the

core. And that's what we see. And this we see in your City, too.

And Miami has Miami 21, and that's what we see in some of the T-6s there. There are some gradations in Miami.

T-5 is described as what's called the center. It said, "It's similar to the core in having buildings that are vertically mixed uses, as well as horizontal mixed, but the geographic character is more of a main street" or arterial, in our case, "rather than a two dimensional interconnected Downtown."

By its location, this is a T-5. Everybody knows that. This isn't the Central Business District. This is a corridor. And T-5 has a lower FAR than the CBD, more open space, building heights in the range of five stories, some places you'll see six, depending on where you look at these transfix.

Density allows for some surface parking, transit is often viable, and that residential density is in the range of 15 to 40. We're in a place that should be a T-5. And, in fact, what you have now conforms to that.

So as I went through all of these things, I

found that there was convergence to an answer about the scale of this project, and whether we looked at Merrick's vision or the peer review, community workshops, the neighborhood, Riviera, smart growth, we find out two things, basically, the project as proposed is too big. And where we have some answer to scale, we keep coming to this area of seven stories, mid rise. Seven stories.

And mid rise -- oh, you'll never read this -- do we have a -- I promise, the next presentation, I'll have a big screen.

I'm going to have to read through these.

But mid density -- just to give you the overall view, mid density, you can do mid density in the Land Use category that it has now. They're allowed 77 feet, with Mediterranean Bonus.

Actually, if you didn't change the Site Specifics, 72 and a half feet. That's enough for seven stories. It's a little tight in Coral Gables, because you can't put the things on top of the roof, but 77 feet is enough for a six or seven-story building. It's enough for the mid rise that keeps coming up as a convergence on the answer.

We don't need to change the Land Use Map to gain the density that's being talked about. We can do it without changing the Land Use Map.

It's just unwarranted.

So I made a chart and I went through all of the -- and I promise, for second reading, if we have one, that you will have this on a book. I went through all of the goals, objectives and policies that are relevant to this proposal, and I'm going to go through some of them.

And the columns to the right, the one that's the first colored column, would be how that goal, objective or policy is supported in the current Land Use Plan designation, and then the next one would be, if we go up two steps, to high intensity commercial, is it still supported, or, in some cases, maybe there's an affirmative response, that says you should go up to that high when you go from red to green.

If you see green to red, we shouldn't be doing it. If we see green to green, it's okay, it doesn't contradict the Comprehensive Plan, but you don't have an affirmative, positive answer that says, there's this policy that motivates you to do this. You don't have that.

So I'm going to read through a few of them, not all of them. Future Land Use Policy 1.1.1 talks about depicting the Future Land Uses found to be in the public interest, and they are the basis for regulations program, actions and rules of the City. In other words, what you have on the Future Land Use Map is considered consistent and it is the basis of what development shall be, and it's supportive of the Comprehensive Plan as it is now, as it is. And if we change, we're not sure yet.

Future Land Use Objective 1.11, "Maintain a pattern of overall density residential use with limited medium and high density residential uses in appropriate areas to preserve the high quality character of residential neighbors."

This is about protecting the residential neighborhoods and creating transition.

Right now we have a Land Use category that supports that. If we go two steps more dense, it's really getting hard to say we support preserving the high quality character of the residential neighborhoods, because we've put much more mass in here than we ever expected in this area, much more than anything that exists

there, even the building that people don't like, the Gables One Tower.

Policy 1.14.1 talks about, "The location and the extent of residential and non-residential Land Uses shall preserve the character of existing neighborhoods." The same issue.

Policy 1.1.1 under -- Design Policy 1.1.1 talks about promoting George Merrick's vision within the context of the cultural and historic fabric of the City.

I'm sorry, I skipped one, Future Land Use Objective 115 talks about the importance of comprehensive planning, and that's what I'm trying to do through this whole presentation, is talk about the area, how does this relate to the area? And I'm not talking about views and sunlight and wind and all of that. Just how does this fit into the block as it is? Are we looking at it comprehensibly? What's going on in the rest of the area?

"Housing Objective, support infill of house," well, yeah, it supports that, because we're putting housing in a commercial area, where we wouldn't have it.

Mobility Objective -- I'm sorry, Mobility

Goal, "To provide accessible attractive
economically viable transportation options."

Well, something close to Metrorail, and with
the pedestrian access and so forth, in both
cases, it supports it, but that's by design.

It's not because of the Land Use Plan Amendment
that's being requested. That would be
supportive under both, whether it's Commercial
Low Rise or Commercial High Rise.

Mobility Objective 1.1, "To reduce the impacts of vehicular traffic."

I would say that it's supported, in both cases, by design, but we have to be careful, because Mr. Plummer talked about the possibility of what could go there now, and everybody does this. It's the sort of theoretical thing that you could get an FAR 3.5 retail center in that small site. You really can't. But, theoretically, yes, that site could create horrendous traffic impact.

For example, Home Depot needs 10 acres to develop. So, you know, Walmart is more -
COMMISSIONER LAGO: Could I ask you a question just in regard -- because you made a

statement and I just want to clarify it, for my own edification.

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. Please.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: You said it wouldn't be feasible for a grocer or some sort of retail outlet to go into this parcel. Can you give me a little explanation of why you say that, because I have -- from Staff, Staff has told me that that's as of right. It's a possibility. That's something we're going to discuss more in detail later. I just want to hear your opinion of why you don't concur with that statement.

MR. ALVAREZ: I mentioned it, because it was brought up, and it's typically brought up as the worst case scenario of what could be there now, could be, say, yes, a Publix Supermarket or, theoretically, something -- an FAR of 3.5, that's all retail, but practically it couldn't get in there, because the site is just not large enough, because you need parking and most retail is not developed that way.

And whenever you hear that, you have to think, well, does that change when I go to the high density commercial? It doesn't. It actually would get worse.

No, I'm sorry, in this case, the FAR stays the same. It wouldn't change at all. So, in both cases, you could get the Publix in there or whatever it is that's so horrible, under both Land Use designations, the same thing could go in, because, technically speaking, in the long term, 30 or 40 years, this project is not tied to the Land Use designation. So something worse could always go in there. It is a theoretical idea and they sort of brought it up and I wanted to cover that, that it's not --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but I also wanted to have a discussion back and forth, because, like you say, it's theoretical, but as of right is as of right, and when you're talking about, you know, traffic patterns and congestion, that's a fact.

MR. ALVAREZ: It's as of right in both cases.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, but whether one usage or one end product is worst than the other, again, in design, that's not what I'm here to discuss. What I'm here to find out is, what's more impactful to the residents that

live in that neighborhood and how can we try to minimize that as much as possible and provide a great product. So I'm trying --

MR. ALVAREZ: I understand what you're saying, but the difficulty is, you have four approvals here. And the approval for a Land Use Plan doesn't affect that. You can get something horrible in, in either case. The Land Use Plan Amendment doesn't affect the horrible traffic situation in the worst case scenario. It doesn't affect the worst case scenario, because all that amendment does is change the height. It's the same FAR. It's the same size of land.

So the worst case scenario, to be fair, happens in either one, and it shouldn't be used to judge the Land Use Plan Amendment. It's a perfectly good way to judge the project itself and the PAD and the MXD, but not for the Land Use Amendment.

MR. LEEN: Could you conclude, because I know that Mr. Gibbs has about 15 minutes?

MR. ALVAREZ: Yes. A few minutes, I know.

Mr. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Alvarez, this is an excellent presentation, but if we

had gotten this two or three days ago -- I stayed up really late last night finishing all of the reading and then we also got -- I think all of us got about 20 or 30 more letters since eight o'clock last night, which we read.

Can we have this printed during the break or something? I mean, this is a lot to take in and it's like a whole course, and as much as I've tried to understand everything, it's just very difficult and I have it all blown up over on this screen. So I don't think the audience is really taking this all in, either.

MR. LEEN: One thought. We have it on the record.

MR. ALVAREZ: I'm going to let you answer that, actually.

MR. LEEN: We have this in the record.

I'll make copies for each Commissioner and they
can always ask you questions at the end, after
the public hearing.

MR. ALVAREZ: Okay. Yeah. I apologize. I actually had other things to present, but I cut them back, because it required a report, and I'm just going with this. And I know it's a lot. It's our fault. We were not in before

the five-day deadline and we did what we could to try to follow it.

So I'm going to end on one last thing, on one last policy. Mobility Policy 1.1.3. This is the one that Mr. Bass has presented as sort of the positive affirmation to increase the density. The whole reason why we need to go from a future Land Use of Commercial Low Density to Commercial High Density, and that policy says, "To locate higher density development along transit corridors and near multi-model stations."

Here's the problem. I don't want to be disingenuous, we all know you need to increase density to serve the Metrorail Transportation Oriented District aspect of this. We don't know how much. But the real problem here is that this policy, if it's to be used as the policy we hang this whole change in Future Land Use Map on, it doesn't say what we're doing.

Because the change from Commercial Low Rise has the same FAR, 3.5, as Commercial High Rise, and that's intensity, which this doesn't talk about -- this talks about density -- and you don't measure density in a Commercial Land Use.

It sounds like a technicality, but this is the policy that they hang the whole thing on, as to why they need more density. That policy talks about residential density. All that we're changing, and we could increase the density within the Land Use that it has right now -- right, it's a hotel of about 152 rooms. We can put more in there right now -- all this changes is the height, and this policy doesn't speak to the height.

This policy says, we're doing something, we're changing something in the Future Land Use Plan, because that something will give us higher density, and this change does not give us higher density. We're getting it anyway, but it doesn't conform to this policy, and so this policy is not really relevant to the Land Use change.

So it really is just upon you, as the Commission, to decide this, but the actual basis that they presented, I think, is irrelevant, because it's the wrong metric. It's residential density and we don't affect residential density.

MR. LEEN: Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask one question?
2	What is the document you're quoting from?
3	MR. ALVAREZ: Your Comprehensive Plan.
4	MR. LEEN: Do you have a point of order?
5	MR. BASS: I have some questions for Mr.
6	Alvarez.
7	MR. LEEN: You have Cross Examination? He
8	has a right
9	MAYOR CASON: We have 15 minutes for you at
10	the end. Is there anything else you want to
11	say, any other points?
12	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, he wanted to cross
13	examine him.
14	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Go ahead, and then
15	we
16	MR. LEEN: He has a right to cross examine
17	him. Go ahead.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think Mr. Gibbs
19	wanted to make a statement.
20	MR. LEEN: No. No. But before Mr. Gibbs
21	speaks, he has the right to cross examine,
22	because you just heard evidence.
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to make
24	sure that everybody understands what's going
25	on, that we're following the proper procedures.

1	This is not out of norm.
2	MR. LEEN: Yes. You have the right to
3	cross examine.
4	MR. BASS: May I proceed?
5	MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.
6	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor.
7	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
8	MR. BASS: Mr. Alvarez, nice to see you.
9	MR. ALVAREZ: A pleasure, as well. Thank you.
10	MR. BASS: Always a pleasure. Just making
11	it clear, this is going to be a very, very
12	Coral Gables friendly Cross Examination. I
13	don't want any like tension in the room. We're
14	good. But I just have to clean up the record
15	on a few areas.
16	Mr. Alvarez, the visioning report you
17	referenced, do you have a copy of it there?
18	MR. ALVAREZ: I'm sorry, which one?
19	MR. BASS: The RNA visioning report.
20	COMMISSIONER KEON: The 2007.
21	COMMISSIONER LAGO: The April 25, 2005,
22	this one?
23	MR. BASS: I just want to make sure that
24	that's the one, yes.
25	Do you know whether or not this has been

1	adopted by the City?
2	MR. ALVAREZ: This is
3	MR. BASS: Yes or no, please. Has this
4	been adopted by the City?
5	MR. ALVAREZ: No.
6	MR. BASS: That's a, "No." Thank you.
7	You talked about our Future Land Use
8	Amendments.
9	MR. ALVAREZ: Correct.
10	MR. BASS: Can we develop residential on
11	our property?
12	MR. ALVAREZ: Yes, you can.
13	MR. BASS: Without changing the Future Land
14	Use?
15	MR. ALVAREZ: The residential comes in as a
16	mixed use, which is the Zoning.
17	MR. BASS: I'm not asking about the Zoning.
1,8	I'm asking about the Future Land Use Amendment.
19	We are here seeking a Future Land Use
20	Amendment. At present, can we build
21	residential under our existing Future Land Use
22	designation?
23	MR. ALVAREZ: You could build residential
24	under a lower designation with the MXD.
25	MR. BASS: Yes or no, Mr. Alvarez, without

1	any other changes, could we come in today and
2	build on our property? With its existing Land
3	Use Map designation, can we build residential?
4	MR. ALVAREZ: My understanding is that on
5	high and medium, that you can.
6	MR. BASS: If that's your answer, that's
7	your answer.
8	You indicated earlier that you were without
9	objection to the height that we proposed on
10	US-1, correct?
11	MR. ALVAREZ: No. What I said was that the
12	height on US-1 was within the range of George
13	Merrick's vision, on that part of the
14	presentation, for that first 125 feet of the
15	block.
16	MR. BASS: And could we come within the
17	range of George Merrick's vision for height
18	without a Future Land Use Map change?
19	MR. ALVAREZ: No.
20	MR. BASS: Thank you. I have no further
21	questions.
22	MR. ALVAREZ: But that was a maximum.
23	Well, but to understand, that was a maximum
24	that George Merrick's vision had.
25	MR. LEEN: Okay. Mr. Gibbs.
	1

MAYOR CASON: 1 Thank you. 2 And after Mr. Gibbs speaks, we'll have the fifteen minutes, if you need that much --3 4 MR. BASS: Just a point of order, Mr. Mayor. We had agreed, my fifteen minutes would 5 6 be at the close, after all of the public 7 speakers. 8 MAYOR CASON: That's fine. 9 MR. GIBBS: And for brevity sake, again, my 10 name is Tucker Gibbs. 3835 Utopia Court is my office. 11 12 Today I'm only going to speak to the -- I 13 was going to speak to the Land Use change. 14 Mr. Alvarez spoke to that. 15 I'm going to speak to the two issues, 16 mostly the PAD, but also the MXD proposals. 17 What I wanted to do is -- so I have to go 18 through my papers here -- in dealing with the 19 PAD, the developer -- according to your Staff, 2.0 the Developer wants to use the PAD option in 21 order to avoid two provisions in the Zoning 22 Code, which are Section 3-502.C.9, which 23 requires a maximum height of 45 feet within 100 24 feet of the adjacent right-of-way.

And I understand, from speaking to

Mr. Trias, that last night there was some agreement that they've met the requirement of the 45 feet, and I just want to be sure about that.

And the second issue -- but not all of the way back 100. So I just want to be sure.

So that provision has not been totally satisfied. And the Number 2 issue was, Section 4-201, which is in Table 155 or I-15, requires a vertical step back of 10 feet, at a maximum height of 45 feet, on mixed use buildings.

I'm saying that, because I want to talk about the City Attorney's comment earlier in the evening -- earlier in the day, regarding the PAD provisions.

And Mr. Leen read, and I'm going to read it again, this is titled Section B in the PAD regulations, and it says, "Relation to general zoning subdivision and other regulations.

Where there are conflicts between the PAD provisions and general zoning subdivision or other regulations and requirements, these regulations shall apply, unless," and the Planning and Zoning Board recommends and the City Commission finds, and they have the two

issues that Mr. Leen quoted to you all earlier today, that will allow you to -- well, will allow you to look at this and make a choice, and this is the issue: Staff has said in its report that the Applicant can use the PAD provisions to essentially waive provisions which require a maximum height of 45 feet within a hundred feet of the adjacent right-of-way, and Mr. Leen has kind of implied that, and I don't want to put words in the City Attorney's mouth, so --

MR. LEEN: I said, "Adjust," but it has to be -- adjust, but they have to still meet -- whatever the purpose of that provision is, they have not -- the Commission had to determine, find, that the adjustment meets the same need, and they can impose conditions.

MR. GIBBS: And I understand. That's the concern that we have, and our position is, it's incorrect, because it talks about conflicts, and it talks about conflicts between two provisions, the PAD provision and a provision in the Code relating to that PAD provision.

So if there's a provision --

MR. LEEN: Mr. Gibbs, the reason I was

2.0

raising it, was partially in favor of you,
because I thought that -- I wanted the
Commission to know that, for example, they
could reduce parking requirements to lower the
height of a building, for example.

2.0

MR. GIBBS: Right. And I understand that, and I think that -- well, I won't go into that. I'm talking about it, in the terms of this issue of the step back and the rear setback, and that's pretty important, because it talks about a conflict, and the condition precedent to you all making a decision is that there must be a conflict between the PAD provision and a provision in the Code, and I wanted to say, as to the issue Number 1, which is the PAD provision that requires a maximum height of 45 feet within a hundred feet of the adjacent right-of-way, there is no conflict, and I'll tell you why.

The PAD provision says 45-foot height within a hundred feet of a right-of-way, and that's in 3-502B. The Zoning Code provision, in the C District, because the assumption -- and maybe I'm wrong in this, the assumption is that you're going to go back to your C

Regulations. They're requesting that you get rid of the Site Specifics provisions, which go back to regular old C Zoning, and the C Zoning requires, in 4-302D5B, which requires a 45 feet height within a hundred feet of the C property line, which would be the Madruga right-of-way, which is the same issue.

And, also, in the MDX (sic) regulation, if you give them MDX designation, overlay, whatever, they require the same 45-foot height, within a hundred feet of the right-of-way, next to residential property. That's in Section 4-201E6.

What I'm trying to say is, no offense, you can't have that, for lack of a better word, total discretion to play with your Code, if there isn't a conflict, and that's the concern, and there is no conflict here.

So that's my position. It's my client's position.

So in the PAD, the whole issue of waiving any portion of that 45 feet, a hundred feet from the right-of-way, you cannot do, because there is no conflict. Now, if the Applicant wants to waive it and change it, there's a

variance process, and the Applicant can go
through that variance process, but they can't
use the PAD to get out of that setback and out
of that height issue.

Now, the height issue is superfluous, because they've already agreed that they're going to be 45. They just haven't agreed that they're going to go back a hundred feet.

That's the concern, and that's one of my issues on the PAD.

MAYOR CASON: And, Craig, I'd like you to opine.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You know what, I would like either Craig or Ramon to respond to that, because I really am having a lot of trouble understanding what you're saying. I'm having trouble following you.

MR. GIBBS: I'm raising a legal issue.

MR. LEEN: He's raising a legal objection, for purposes of the record, which he is entitled to. The way I read it is that it does talk about conflicts between the PAD provisions and general Zoning regulations, and it says the PAD provisions apply, but then it also says, unless the Planning and Zoning Board recommends

and the City Commission finds, in the particular case.

1.7

You know, there's an unless, which, to me,

I feel takes it out of the conflict issue, and

it says -- the one that I think is more

interesting is the one that says that actions,

designs, construction or other solutions

proposed by the Applicant, although not

literally in accord with these PAD regulations,

satisfy public benefits to at least an

equivalent degree.

So the way I read that is that you do have flexibility to adjust. If they propose something or you impose a condition, that meets these needs, I still retain the opinion that you can do that. It's within your discretion. And that's the way that we have interpreted the PAD provisions. But he's perfectly entitled to raise his objections.

MR. GIBBS: And I don't necessarily disagree with that aspect of it. I'm talking about, the threshold issue is, is there a conflict. That's the threshold issue. Once you say there is a conflict between those provisions of the Zoning Code that are

applicable and the PAD provision, if there's no 1 2 conflict there, you don't get to go to Sub 1 3 and Sub 2, which deal with that issue. 4 my legal issue. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. But our attorney is advising us that that is not the threshold 6 7 issue. Is that right? 8 MR. LEEN: That's not the way that we view 9 this language or have interpreted it. There's 10 different ways to approach the language. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: We're being advised 12 that it is not. 13 MR. GIBBS: No, I understand. 14 I'm sorry, I didn't mean to MR. LEEN: 15 interrupt. 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: No, I'm sorry. You're 17 telling us -- I just want to be clear, because 18 you're telling us that is your opinion, and our 19 City Attorney, who is the advisor to the Board 20 on these issues, is telling us it is not. 21 Okay. So there's a disagreement between you 22 and the City. 23 MR. GIBBS: Right. 24 MR. LEEN: He's saying it's a condition 25 present.

MAYOR CASON: So we have two opinions.

COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, you disagree with the Applicant, and that's fine, but I need to know when you don't agree with the attorney.

MAYOR CASON: And, for the record, the Planning and Zoning Board voted four to two for the PAD?

MR. LEEN: Yes.

In my view, if you approve it pursuant to the PAD, then it does comply with the PAD provisions, but may be in violation of the Zoning Code. And so to me, the action itself creates the conflict. This is the way it's been interpreted, and that's part of the purpose of a PAD, is to be able to have that sort of flexibility.

Mr. Trias.

COMMISSIONER KEON: To have that flexibility.

MR. TRIAS: The two issues that were raised are separate. The setback of 10 feet, the Planning and Zoning Board was okay with that one, and also the Board of Architects, and that has to do with the fact that the hotel, if you

were set back ten feet, it would interfere with the paseo, which then creates some issues that are not beneficial for the public. So I don't think there's an argument about that request.

However, the Planning and Zoning Board recommended compliance with the 100-foot setback and 45 feet, as far as the four-two vote.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.

MR. GIBBS: Right. Okay.

So I'll leave it at that, as that we have a disagreement. It's our position, though, that they would have to seek a variance.

Okay. And, finally, I'm going to finish off with the issue of density again. The issue of density is important. Mr. Alvarez talked about it in a very technical way, but very important. That if you're looking at just the number of units, you have 86 units an acre on this site.

If you look at your Comprehensive Plan, the highest density residential is 70 some -- 60 units per acre and 75 dwelling units an acre with architectural incentives. That's just your residential. And I'm not talking about

anything else. It's just a point of measurement of what you all are approving.

Not only are you increasing, tripling, the floor area ratio, the intensity of the project, you are vastly increasing the number of dwelling units per acre and the density, and those issues are very important. Not to mention what Mr. -- I will mention it,
Mr. Alvarez's issue about the massing. Very technical argument there, but the point is, that's a big building. And why is it a big building? Because the inside has an atrium up in there or something hollowed out in it.

That makes that building look, from the outside, massive. It has a massive face to the neighborhood. And that is an issue for my clients, as well, and what I'd like to do is -- we have other issues we will bring up at second reading. We will present a report to you prior to second reading. I will present an outline to you prior to the second reading, as well, so you all will have it --

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So there are issues that you're not discussing today that, in anticipation that this moves to second reading,

1 you will bring them up for the first time at 2 the second reading? 3 MR. GIBBS: Yes. VICE MAYOR OUESADA: I don't like that. 4 5 That's not playing fair. Well, I mean, we're going to 6 MR. GIBBS: 7 put notice out. And I will tell you, we have 8 argument that we're presenting. No. No. That's fine, but 9 MR. LEEN: No. 10 can you present it five days in advance, like a 11 summary? MR. GIBBS: 12 Yes, absolutely, and that's 13 what I said. That's what I said I would do. One other thing, and you may 14 MR. LEEN: 15 want to stay up here, since there's been a debate on this issue, I do want to read two 16 17 other things that I think are relevant, when 18 you read these all together. 19 3-503, you're required to make certain 20 findings when you approve the PAD. I want to 21 read two of them, which I think supports the 22 interpretation I've given you. 3-503A, one of 23 the findings you'll make is, in what respects 24 the proposed plan is or is not consistent with 25 the stated purpose and intent of the PAD

regulations. And then B says, and it's read together, the extent to which the proposed plan departs from the Zoning and subdivision regulations otherwise applicable to the subject property, including but not limited to density, size, area, bulk, and use, and the reasons why such departures are or are not deemed to be in the public interest.

2.4

What this implies -- really, it says it expressly, but how I read this is that you can depart, as long as you deem it to be in the public interest. That's part of the finding you make.

MR. GIBBS: We'll have to just agree to disagree.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ramon, what we just discussed, the last few comments that were made by this gentleman in regards to the unit count per acre and how we're basically deviating from the standard norm --

MR. TRIAS: Yeah. What happens is that the mixed use regulations allow for no unit count, no density limits, in the Downtown, and up to 125 units for acre in the other areas, such as this one. So there is some regulation --

COMMISSIONER LAGO: 1 Flexibility. 2 MR. TRIAS: Yeah, but 125 is the maximum, 3 unless you are Downtown. Unless you're in the Central Business District. 4 In that case, 5 there's no limit. COMMISSIONER LAGO: There's no limit. 6 MR. TRIAS: For mixed use, once the Commission approves a mixed use project. 8 9 MAYOR CASON: Let me ask the Applicant, 10 when do you plan to exhibit -- to discuss 11 Exhibit P and what this means? Do you want to 12 do this at the end? Because there's nothing 13 attached to it that tells you what it is. 14 MR. BASS: Sorry. Yes, that will be part 15 of our rebuttal, our closing and our rebuttal. 16 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Fine. 1.7 Do you all want to ask any more questions? 18 Otherwise we'll take a lunch break and come 19 back. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like to take just a 21 few minutes, and it shouldn't take more than 10 22 minutes, to have this discussion about the 23 GRID, because the GRID keeps coming up from 24 both sides of this presentation, and about the 25 infill GRID and whatever else, and the City

Ordinance that's addressing the infill GRID.

It's 3148, that was passed, when --

MAYOR CASON: Okay. And then after discussion of the GRID, we're going to take -- can you all do a half an hour lunch break?

COMMISSIONER KEON: This is from 1995, and we're being told that, you know, the City of Coral Gables passed this, because the City of Coral Gables supported this and wanted this and whatever else.

I would ask Mr. Coller to please address this issue, because it's my understanding that this infill GRID was determined and identified through the MPO by the County, and it was the County's legislation, and so that there was consistency between the cities and the municipalities and the County, that the City, in turn, adopted this. That this was not originated by the City, and didn't just come out of the blue from the City, as to how we wanted to develop, but it was a regional issue, and to have consistency, it became a municipal issue.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, if I could just introduce him.

Zoning Counsel. He's one of the recognized people in the field. He was the former -- he recently retired from the County. He was the Chief of the Land Use and Zoning Section of the County Attorney's Office. Very knowledgeable person, and we're fortunate to have him here to give us advice.

1.6

1.8

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Just before you go into it and answer the Commissioner's question, I just want to know, your fees and everything that's associated with you is being paid by the City, correct?

MR. COLLER: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.

MR. COLLER: Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor and the Commission, thank you.

With respect to the issue of concurrency, in 1988, when the County adopted its Master Plan, one of the first Master Plans under the new Chapter 163, we created an exception -- we, the County, sorry -- created an exception area, called the Urban Infill Area, which is east of the Palmetto Expressway.

And the problem with concurrency, it had

the unextended consequence of encouraging development, urban sprawl and placing pressure on the UDB. So that is what the County did.

16.

1.8

The County's Master Plan, although not required compliance by the City, there's a provision called the Intergovernmental Coordination Element. The concept is that the County and the cities coordinate their development.

So this concurrency exception is not a new thing, but it was encouraged for the cities to follow suit, particularly in this circumstance, where we have Metrorail, and the County shows this as being a high intensive area, to take advantage of Metrorail.

And that was the purpose for it.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. And part of it is, in order to do that and to receive Federal funds and whatever else for the Metrorail, there were certain things that were required, and some of it is these issues of development around transportation sites and a number of other issues.

So although, you know, we are subject to it, I think, you know, anyone portraying that

this was initiated by the City, for the purpose of the City, because we wanted to see that level of density, I think it's a little bit of a misinterpretation of the facts.

Thank you.

MR. LEEN: And just for the record, it is part of our Comprehensive Plan. That's recognized and it's perfectly appropriate to bring up. I think the issue that the Commissioner is raising -- this is tied into a broader matter.

COMMISSIONER KEON: It's a regional -MR. LEEN: It's a regional issue.

COMMISSIONER KEON: And it's not --

MR. COLLER: It's a regional issue to encourage density along the Metrorail, to make Metrorail a successful operation. So that was the purpose.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. And in accordance with Mr. Bass' statement about facts and your referencing of baseball, you know, that it's either -- it's a strike or it's a ball, sometimes, you know, it's a ball, but it's a ball that hits the batter. So, you know, it's still a ball.

1.2

And in that sense, you're given the opportunity to mitigate that being hit by getting on base, right?

2.

So, you know, I think I look at this now as, it may be a ball or it may be, you know, a strike, but the mitigation is, it's hitting the neighborhood. So it's not so clear, that it's not either just a strike or just a ball and only has the same consequences of a strike or a ball in every setting, because the other factor is, somebody is getting hit by it and that changes it.

MAYOR CASON: All right. We're going to take a lunch break. Come back at 12:05, a half an hour and -- 1:05 and continue.

(Lunch recess taken.)

MAYOR CASON: I'm going to call five people at a time, so they can sort of line up that way. We'll see who is not here and we'll go in groups of five, but the first five will be, Alicia Fernandez, Tais Sanchez, Menachem, is it Fellig -- did I pronounce that right -- Mark Trowbridge, and Brooks Miller.

MR. LEEN: Remember the time limit is two minutes. It's going to be strictly enforced.

The reason why it will be strictly enforced is, we want to make sure everyone can speak. We believe we have -- Mr. Mayor, is it 60, 59?

MAYOR CASON: We have at least 60. So at two minutes, it's still several hours, and with the in between.

MR. LEEN: So please keep it to two minutes.

MAYOR CASON: And, remember, also, we've gotten hundreds -- you know, hundreds of e-mails and we've read the transcripts of the Planning and Zoning, so a lot of people here, we know your position. So try to give us something -- as he said, it's not a popularity contest, it's not counting numbers, it's give us arguments for your point of view, that we can take into consideration, and tell us if you're for or against.

Alicia.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Alicia Fernandez.

MR. LEEN: One other thing. Mr. Clerk, everyone has been sworn in? I just need to state it on the record. We're continuing. You're still under oath.

Thank you.

MS. FERNANDEZ: My name is Alicia

Fernandez. I live at 6000 Granada Boulevard.

I have been a Coral Gables resident for more than 50 years.

Thank you.

I have a been a Coral Gables resident for more than 50 years, lived on my current address for 30 years, within the Riviera neighborhood, an area that's been highlighted recently, as the Developer is proposing a mixed use project for the current Holiday Inn site on US-1.

My home is within walking distance to the project. I value this community, and I am grateful for all that it is, and that's why I am excited for the change that the Paseo de la Riviera project will bring. This project will improve the area, as it will bring much needed open community space and neighborhood oriented retail, that will be accessible through just a short walk from our homes. The prospect of a family friendly place is very exciting.

When I first heard about the Paseo de la Riviera project, I was a bit fearful it was going to be too large and out of scale. When I

learned more about it, I came to understand 1 2 that it is appropriate in scale and much needed 3 for the neighborhood. 4 The Development Team has answered all of my 5 questions and is committed to working with the neighbors. I fully support this project. 6 7 Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 8 9 Tais Sanchez. 10 MS. SANCHEZ: Good afternoon, Mayor, County Commissioners. I am Tais Sanchez. I live here 11 12 for 48 years, in the beautiful and safe Coral 13 Gables. 14 I am so happy with the new project, Paseo 15 de la Riviera. I approve that. 16 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 17 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Menachem Fellig. 18 MR. FELLIG: Menachem Fellig, 1251 Hardee 19 20 I'm here to support the project. 21 reason for that is -- well, first, a few 22 things, it's really saddens me to see the kind 23 of vigilant hatred which has been going on. 24 community is made up of many different options. 25 We all have different views of how exactly the

City should look, and that's great, and it would be great to have a great conversation, which I believe the Developer has had with anybody who has cared to listen, and they've developed into their project many of the ideas and points, and have taken into consideration, and they've really redrawn and made efforts to make this, you know, everybody's vision together.

You know, before, I was standing in the hallway, and somebody, you know -- I was talking and somebody came up to me and just pointed to me and said, "You're the enemy," and I find that just absolutely unacceptable.

This is crazy.

But the project is beautiful. The

Developer is open to listen. They've listened.

From day one, they've come to me, and they've asked, "This is our vision. What's your vision? What are your concerns?"

I live at 1251 Hardee. I'm right behind the project. And every step of the way, this is what we're thinking, what do you want, what do you want to see this, and they've incorporated most of what

they could into the project.

11.

I'd rather have a taller building, which is beautiful and beatifically designed, with a closer setback to my home, than a deeper setback into the property and an ugly short squatted building.

Currently the gray building that's there is tall, but in no way -- does not infringe in any way on my life or my lifestyle. It was there when I bought the home. I knew what I was buying. And since then, I've lived there ten years, it has absolutely no repercussion on the height.

The door of the developer is open and will continue to be open once the project is built. It's a single owner apartment building. So if there's an issue, you know who you're going to talk to. If there's a problem, you know who to talk to. You're not dealing with dozens and dozens of homeowners that don't care. They have to rent it out from year to year, the apartments. They have to rent out the storefronts and that guarantees that it's going to be a beautifully, well-maintained project.

There's something for everyone. The

ability to walk out of my home and walk to a -- and walk to a place that's great, and I think, for everybody, this is a great project.

MR. LEEN: Time is up.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Sir, could you just come back one second? I just have one real quick question. I know you're pressed for time. I appreciate you being here, all of you. I appreciate all of you being here.

When you say you live right behind the project, how far are you from the setback of the property?

MR. FELLIG: 1250 -- well, I don't know in feet, but 1251 Hardee Road, you can spit from the -- from my end to the other end. I mean, that's just across from Madruga. So literally right there. We are the closest home to the majority of that property.

So we really -- when I look out my back window of my home, I'm looking at the parking lot of the building. When I look up out of my second story, when I look across, I'm looking into the hotel rooms.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: So you would consider

yourself to be the closest resident to 1 2 property, the proposed project? 3 MR. FELLIG: Without a doubt. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Without a doubt. 4 5 ask you, because I've driven by the property 6 several times. I was there again last night, 7 stopped. I just can't remember the exact 8 addresses. I apologize. 9 MR. FELLIG: Right. So 1251 is the corner 10 property, on the left side, facing the canal. 11 The left side is the park, Jaycee. The right 12 side is the property. And there's a corner 13 property, which Madruga and Hardee -- where 14 they hit Caballero. So that's it, ground zero. 15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. Thank you, sir. 16 I appreciate it. Thank you for being here. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 I don't see Mark Trowbridge here. 19 here? If not, we'll move on to Brooks Miller. 20 MS. KAWALERSKI: Mayor, Brooks Miller had 21 to leave. He conceded his time to me. 22 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 23 And if Mark Trowbridge comes, we'll pick 24 him up later on. 25 The next five will be, Amanda Rich, Matt

1 Rich, Debra Register, Bob Rich -- I guess 2 that's the same family -- Bob Rich and Rachel 3 Bixby. I'm going to yield my time. 4 MS. RICH: 5 MAYOR CASON: And you're Amanda? Thank 6 you. Okay. 7 MR. LEEN: So just regarding yielding time, we do have a rule about that. I just want to 8 be clear. If time has been yielded to you, the 9 person has to be here. You have to let the 10 11 Mayor know when you start, and he doesn't have 12 to give you all of the time, but he may give 13 you an extra minute or two, but then those 14 people can't speak. So just understand that. 15 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. I would prefer people 16 -- if you're going to speak, to speak, keep it 17 to the two minutes, because we're going to be 18 hours as it is. 19 You are? Is this Matt? 20 Are you going to yield or --21 Debra Register. Okay. You are? 22 23 MR. ACOSTA: Amado. 24 MAYOR CASON: You're not yet. 25 MR. ACOSTA: I thought you said Amado

first.

1.5

MAYOR CASON: No. Next I have -- no. Matt Rich. Have we done the two Riches? Excuse me -- no, I don't think so. No.

MS. REGISTER: My name is Debra Register, and I reside at 1240 Placetas Avenue, Coral Gables, Florida.

Unfortunately I'm just outside the thousand feet, and I'm not getting notices of a lot of the activities, which I think that, One, that it should be expanded, but what I'm here mostly about is parking.

Presently UM has a building, which is the eyesore of our neighborhood, as far as height, and it's not enough parking. They park on our side streets. The people from the hotel also park on our side streets.

When we take away the parking under the Metrorail, where are those people going to go? Where are the buses going to go that come in and bring the teams that will stay at the hotel? Where will the cabs park, that park on our side streets now, waiting to take people to the airport or wherever they may go?

This project does not provide for enough

1 parking, and I'm afraid that they will turn and let UM lease their spaces. 2 We will bring in students that will --3 4 because these are not condos with permanent 5 residents, we will bring students in. Students 6 leave and come. With that, you have U-Hauls. 7 How are they going to, one week as everybody is 8 coming in to move back to go to UM, and you 9 have all of these U-Hauls that pull in to bring 10 their stuff? 11 Parking is a problem in our area for the 12 side streets, for our whole neighborhood, and 13 they say that it doesn't affect the south part, 14 which is Maynada, but Hardee south it does. 15 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 16 MS. REGISTER: So I'm here as relating to 17 the parking. 18 MR. LEEN: Time is up. 19 MAYOR CASON: And I'll ask, I'm sure the 20 Applicant will address some of those points, 21 allegations made, at the end. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: Is that your primary 23 objection to this project, is the parking 24 elements? 25 MR. REGISTER: No, I have many.

1	MAYOR CASON: Okay.
2	COMMISSIONER KEON: Oh, okay.
3	MAYOR CASON: Bob Rich.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much, by
5	the way.
6	MAYOR CASON: Says he will yield on the
7	card.
8	All right. The next five will be Rachel
9	Bixby, Paul, I think it's, Mass, Susan
10	Kawalerski, Daisy Hayworth and Amado Acosta.
11	First Rachel Bixby. Is she here?
12	If not, next will be Paul, I think it's Mass.
13	MS. KAWALERSKI: And he left, saying that
14	he's against the project and is yielding his
15	time.
16	MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Sue.
17	MR. LEEN: So exactly how many people are
18	you speaking for?
19	MS. KAWALERSKI: The Riches, a brother and
20	sister team, the Riches, and also Paul Mass,
21	who had to leave, and also Brooks Miller had to
22	leave, and he wanted to make sure that he
23	conceded his time.
24	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I would suggest
25	giving her four minutes.

1 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. Four minutes. You 2 think you can -- make it concise. 3 MS. KAWALERSKI: I will try my best. 4 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thanks. 5 MS. KAWALERSKI: And good morning Mayor 6 Cason, Vice Mayor Quesada, Commissioners, City Manager and other Clerk. My name is Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 8 9 Gratian Street, in the Riviera Neighborhood, That's 10 where I've owned my home since 1998. almost twenty years. 11 12 And I have to say, I live in one of the 13 best neighborhoods in Coral Gables. I live 14 amongst people who are very active in the 15 community, in our currently walkable community. It is just a phenomenal community. And you're 16 17 going to hear from a lot of them today, by the 18 way, who are making a real effort for their voices to be heard and for you to understand 19 20 the importance of your decision regarding this 21 project today. 22 In fact, you've heard me before, I think, 23 maybe a couple of Commission Meetings ago, 24 talking about the Visioning Report, and I

really thank you for holding that two days'

worth of visioning workshops, because that was very important for us residents, to express ourselves, and I have to give a special thank you, also, to Commissioner Slesnick, for, after that, holding a massive meeting at the Biltmore, where over 300 residents -- I would say that most of them were residents -- really had a chance, up close and personal, to express how we feel, not only about this project, but about the entire US-1 corridor, because whatever happens on the US-1 corridor will ultimately affect our neighborhood and the other neighborhoods along the corridor.

1.0

So thank you for these two opportunities, and thank you for this opportunity.

Our planner, Mark, happened to mention something that I'm very fond of talking about, and that's the Visioning Report. The Visioning Report, the Word Cloud that he showed you, is something that I emphasized when I spoke to you last time.

That Visioning Cloud encapsulated every person's opinion on what should be done with the corridor, and the only reference to any height, size, dimension, whatever, was the

term, low rise. That's the only thing that came out of the Visioning Report that mentioned scope and scale, low rise, and that's exactly what I'm here to tell you that the Riviera Neighborhood is all for. We are all for low rise.

1.4

The Paseo project obviously is not low rise. Our planner talked about the amount of residential units per acre, which far exceeds -- I mean, it's in the scope of high rise. It's not even mid rise. It's definitely high rise. When you're talking about 80 plus units per acre, it's nothing but high rise. That's totally against what the Visioning Report came out and recommended.

It's totally against what the 300 plus residents came out and recommended to Commissioner Slesnick.

The project is simply too big. We are not against progress, but we are concerned about the scope, the scale and the character, as it pertains to our adjacent single-family home neighborhood. It's too tall. It's too dense. It's too intense.

And by the way, I don't know how us

residents could ever have been heard today,
because I didn't understand most of what was
happening this morning. You have to be a
lawyer or a planner to understand this stuff,
don't you?

And so we had to hire a lawyer and we had to hire a planner, because we would be in weeds, truthfully. We would be talking about emotion, instead of fact, and with our lawyer and our planner, we're able to talk about fact.

And Commissioner Lago, you said you wanted to hear about fact, when we spoke last time. We're now going to base our arguments not on emotion, but purely on fact, and you've heard the facts this morning.

This project is not low rise. It's high rise. It's dense. It's intense. The name Paseo should be called, really, the Pass Through, because it will be a pass through. It's going to be a pass through, with heavy traffic -- car traffic into our neighborhoods, and as much as people are discounting -- planners and Zoning people are discounting the traffic element in these projects, I don't know how you can ignore traffic as being the most

1	impactful thing that this project will deliver
2	to the neighborhood.
3	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
4	MS. KAWALERSKI: Is that my two minutes?
5	MR. LEEN: I have four minutes. That's
6	four minutes.
7	MS. KAWALERSKI: Was that my four minutes?
8	MAYOR CASON: Yeah.
9	MR. LEEN: Yes.
10	MS. KAWALERSKI: Hay caramba.
11	MAYOR CASON: I'll give you another minute.
12	MS. KAWALERSKI: So let me just say
13	MR. LEEN: No, time is up.
14	You said to go ahead?
15	MAYOR CASON: Give her another minute. I
16	gave her another minute.
17	MS. KAWALERSKI: One minute? Okay. Let me
18	get my place here. I have to go down the list.
19	Give me one minute.
20	We're against the project. We're not
21	against progress. Please consider, the scope,
22	the scale and impact and traffic is important.
23	Parking what one of the last speakers said,
24	the parking is going to be an issue, okay.
25	We want to make sure that our community is

1 walkable. I don't want cars going down my street so fast that I can't cross it. 2 3 So, Commissioners, you have a big responsibility today as representatives of us 4 residents. That's your job. You represent us, 5 6 the residents, okay. We've lived here for a 7 long time. So there are probably voices in 8 your head right now, a lot of conflict, a lot 9 of voices in your head right now. 10 Probably one of those voices is a voice 11 saying, "Forget those residents, we need 12 progress, okay." That's your responsibility, 13 progress. COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't think so. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I don't have that voice. 15 16 COMMISSIONER KEON: I don't think there's a 17 person sitting up here that has that. 18 MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. I'm saying, that 19 might be one of the voices, one of many. 20 No, it's not. COMMISSIONER LAGO: 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. No. 22 MS. KAWALERSKI: But I'm telling you, some 23 of you may have that, and all I'm saying is, 24 let one voice be very clear in your 25 decision-making. Let that be the voice of

1	integrity. That's a value system that you
2	can't deny, and I appreciate your votes.
3	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
4	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
5	MR. LEEN: There's Cross Examination now.
6	MAYOR CASON: You want to clarify
7	something?
8	MR. BASS: Yes, please.
9	Thank you for your time.
10	MS. KAWALERSKI: You're welcome.
11	MR. BASS: And thank you for your
12	participation in this process.
13	MS. KAWALERSKI: Thank you.
14	MR. BASS: I just have one or two quick
15	questions.
16	MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
17	MR. BASS: You live on Gratian Street?
18	MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I do.
19	MR. BASS: You cannot see the Gables One
20	Tower from Gratian Street, correct?
21	MS. KAWALERSKI: I didn't say I could.
22	MR. BASS: I would just like to be clear,
23	you cannot see the Gables One Tower from
24	Gratian
25	MS. KAWALERSKI: I cannot see the Gables

One Tower. 1 2 MR. BASS: Okay. And you will not be able 3 to see this project from Gratian Street? 4 MS. KAWALERSKI: It's not a visual impact I 5 was talking about. 6 MR. BASS: But when you said it was too 7 big, I just wanted to be clear on its impacts 8 to you. So you'll agree with me, it will have 9 no visual impact on you, at your home? 10 MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, let me clarify, sir. Big in terms of intensity and density and the 11 12 flow of the traffic as a result of that into my 13 neighborhood. I will be able to see all of 14 those cars zipping by on South Alhambra. 15 will be able to see that. 16 MAYOR CASON: Any other Cross Examination, 17 any other point? 18 MR. BASS: No, just one other point. 19 You live very close to a school zone? 20 MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes, I do. 21 MR. BASS: And cars don't zip through a 22 school zone, do they? 23 MS. KAWALERSKI: No. As a matter of fact, 24 because we have a wonderful Parking Department 25 and Enforcement Department in Coral Gables, I

1 actually have parking ambassadors on my street, 2 morning and afternoon, to divert traffic off of my street, and back onto Sunset, and they 3 cannot park on my street, and they're there to 5 enforce the laws. 6 Thank you Commissioners. 7 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you. 9 MR. LEEN: Well, just a comment. There may 10 be some Cross Examination. You just have to 11 understand, the law requires it, and you're 12 supposed to answer with a yes or no. 13 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. MR. BASS: 14 Thank you. 15 MAYOR CASON: Daisy Hayworth. COMMISSIONER LAGO: How are you? 16 Thank you 17 for being here. 1.8 MS. HAYWORTH: Good afternoon. Thank you 19 for letting me come in today and voice my 20 opinion. I'm Daisy Hayworth. I live at 1042 21 Cotorro Avenue. And after listening to all of 22 the arguments today, I am more convinced that 23 the Paseo project was thoughtfully designed, 24 and, in my opinion, most certainly satisfies

the aesthetics of the City Beautiful.

1	My husband Steve and I thoroughly support
2	this project.
3	MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.
6	MAYOR CASON: Amado Acosta.
7	And, then, after that, the next five will
8	be
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think Mr. Acosta is
10	having some time yielded to him, so he may be
11	requesting additional time.
12	MAYOR CASON: Who yielded to you?
13	MR. ACOSTA: I'm not yielding to anybody.
14	COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I'm saying, not
15	you, sir. I think you had mentioned before
16	that someone was yielding time to you.
17	MR. ACOSTA: No.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. All right. I
19	just want to make sure.
20	MAYOR CASON: But after you, we have Phil
21	Rinaldi, Tony Friguls, Isia Albert, and
22	Cristina Santa-Cruz.
23	MR. ACOSTA: Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor,
24	Mr. Vice Mayor, Commissioners, Ms. Manager, and
25	Mr. Attorney. My name is Amado Acosta. I live

at 1225 South Alhambra Circle, which is the street where the City -- the traffic expert said the traffic will come through to go to Publix, okay.

So, yeah, no impact on the neighborhood.

The second thing that I want to say is that this City did adopt the Visioning Report. Here it is, with the date and everything -- you have a handout of this now -- where the City adopted this.

Then, when the City adopted it, it was referred to the Planning Department. The Planning Department took a Visioning Report and started looking as to how to implement it.

So what happened is, then the City got into a re-write of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan and the Zoning Code. So it was not until 2009, when that work was finished, that the Planning Department was able to answer.

In your handout, you have a letter from the then Director of the Planning and Zoning

Department, to our President, Josie Ramirez, in the RNA. In there, he enumerates -- he makes an attachment, and he has a chart, and he goes point by point the issues that are raised on

1 the Visioning Report. 2 And then he has a column, where all of the 3 items, how the items are being met by the 4 Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use 5 Plan. 6 Now, you tell me, can the citizens depend 7 on government, when government issues a report 8 saying we're complying with this? I think the 9 answer is clear. 10 Another thing is, it was mentioned that --11 it was mentioned that if one building gets 12 approved, not all of the other buildings get 13 approved --14 MR. LEEN: Time is up. 15 MR. ACOSTA: -- because it won't apply to 16 them. 17 MAYOR CASON: That's correct. 18 MR. ACOSTA: If you believe in that, you 19 believe in fairy tales. 20 MAYOR CASON: Are you talking about Judge 21 Juan Ramirez? 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: 23 MR. ACOSTA: I'm not talking about -- Josie 24 Ramirez. Juan Ramirez is her husband. 25 MAYOR CASON: Yes. He sent an e-mail this

morning being in favor of the project, for the record.

Phil Rinaldi.

MR. RINALDI: Mr. Mayor, Vice Mayor, Commissioners, City Departments.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.

MR. RINALDI: Thank you for the time to speak. My name is Phil Rinaldi. I reside on San Esteban Avenue and I'm actually quite distant. I'll answer the question now, I cannot see the project from my house.

The fact that I can't see the project doesn't mean I don't have an interest. I support development, certainly re-development of sites in the City that are underdeveloped, underutilized, as happened in the industrial area, and as was asked, we need to talk about facts, rather than emotions. I don't have data to support what I'm going to say, but I do think facts also can be stated without hard data.

Three issues I'll raise with you in the interest of time. First is this issue of scale, which I don't know whether that's density or intensity or mass, but clearly this

project is significantly larger than anything in existence today adjacent to these residential areas.

9.

And the fact that we can debate whether the FAR is the right FAR and whether it's Mediterranean and mixed use, we are essentially bringing Central Business District type development down into the US-1 corridor, and I suggest to you, if we're not careful, we will end up with a Downtown Dadeland look, along this corridor, through our City, where despite Mediterranean, will be quite unattractive.

The second issue I think I'll raise is traffic. I have significant doubt about the traffic studies. I don't know what the data says exactly, but the idea that you could have several hundred residents inside this complex and we would get a handful of cars per hour at peak is a pipe dream. Most of the people in this community do not go to work at the University of Miami or in areas adjacent to public transportation in Downtown Miami. So this will have traffic impacts, not to mention going northbound on US-1 and making U-turns.

MR. LEEN: Thank you.

1	MR. RINALDI: The third issue, quickly,
2	you're supposed to make your decision taking
3	into consideration the public interest.
4	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
5	MR. RINALDI. Public interest isn't one
6	citizen, it isn't one developer. The public
7	interest is all of us, and all of us, the
8	residential citizens, are going to lose
9	MR. LEEN: Respectfully time is up.
10	MR. RINALDI: the quality of life.
11	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
12	MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Appreciate it.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
14	MAYOR CASON: Tony Friguls. I can't
1.5	pronounce that. I'm sorry.
16	MR. FRIGULS: Good afternoon.
17	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
18	MAYOR CASON: Hi.
19	MR. FRIGULS: My name is Tony Friguls. I
20	live at 1131 Manati Avenue, just two blocks
21	from the site project. First of all, I would
22	thank all of you for giving us the opportunity,
23	and listening to our comments before you really
24	make up your mind and make your final decision
25	on whether to allow the project to be built, as

presented, or not.

After all of the mumble jumble that we have heard this morning, you know, the only thing that I understand is like this proposed project probably is three times the size permitted by the current Zoning.

Said in another way, I think the existing Zoning Code does not permit the project as presented.

Having lived in Coral Gables for 40 years,

I have learned, as a homeowner, how strict the

City is with all of the Zoning regulations, and

it is a good thing. As a way of an example,

not too long, my next door neighbor was given a

citation for painting his house on a Sunday.

One neighbor complained to the City, and the City came to enforce to existing Zoning Code, not painting on Sundays.

Some people may consider it an overkill enforcement, but in Coral Gables, the Zoning Code must be protected.

I'm sure you are all familiar with this magazine about the City Beautiful. They give the 90 reasons why to live in Coral Gables, and among those reasons, they refer to the top ten

1	reasons to live in Coral Gables, and they call
2	it the bragging rights. Among those bragging
3	rights, and I read, is the Coral Gables Zoning
4	and Planning have been the backbone of its
5	control development. Coral Gables has the
6	unique look today primarily because of vision
7	planning and the street buffer Zoning Code.
8 .	Am I going to be killed or
9	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
10	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
11	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Finish. Take 15
13	seconds. Finish.
14	MR. FRIGULS: Fifteen seconds.
15	Well, just the point is that you know, I
16	think this bragging right has been earned,
17	because all of the Commissioners before you,
18	for the last 90 years, that has made the right
19	decision to keep this in place. Today you have
20	the opportunity to do the same.
21	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
22	MR. FRIGULS: So I will not take any more time.
23	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
24	MAYOR CASON: Isia Albert. Not here?
25	Cristina Santa-Cruz.
j	

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.

MS. SANTA-CRUZ: Hi. My name is Cristina Santa-Cruz. I live at 1212 Manati Avenue, and I'd like to commend the architect for his beautiful design, and I think that the paseo with the retail and restaurant will be a very nice addition to the neighborhood, a chance of changing to a mixed use facility and the reduction of setbacks on US-1. Nobody wants to be driving by and just see a sea of cars.

I think that the traffic coming in and out of US-1 has been well thought out and I really don't think there's going to be a big impact to the south. However, I am opposed to the height variance that's being requested.

I don't see that there's any compelling reason or benefit to the community to granting the height variance. The appropriate scale for a building on this site has already been determined and it's determined by the Zoning Code.

The attorney for the Developer spoke as though getting this height variance was their due and that's not the case. What they're due is what's in the Zoning Code, and they're

requesting a change to that.

11.

The diagrams provided by the architect regarding the step down on the heights, they actually indicate the maximum heights allowable by the Zoning Code, not what is actually there right now. So, in fact, the step downs are going to be much more drastic than what is there. The setbacks along the rear of the property aren't in keeping with the vision of the City.

I would like the Commission to consider the fact that this project will set a precedent along the US-1 corridor. If we're not prepared today to change the appearance of that whole corridor, then I strongly recommend that you vote against approving the height variance.

The fact that your experts, the architects and land planners, who know what they're talking about, who know what they're looking at, that are on the Planning and Zoning Board, were not able make a recommendation and had reservations about this project, should be a warning to you that this project, at its current height, is not appropriate for this site.

1 Thank you. Thank you. 2 MAYOR CASON: 3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 4 MAYOR CASON: Eric Santa-Cruz. 5 The next five will be Daniel Diaz-Leyva, 6 Parker Thomson, Roger Terrone, Suzanne Dockerty and Haen Taudt. MR. SANTA-CRUZ: Good afternoon. 8 My name 9 is Eric Santa-Cruz and I have lived a 1212 10 Manati Avenue for fifteen years. 11 I would like to voice my opposition to 12 several of the variances requested by this 13 project. The City of Coral Gables is known as 14 the City Beautiful because of the vision of 15 George Merrick. 16 The original vision has been re-examined on 17 numerous times in the City's history, most 18 recently in 2007, when the City undertook a 19 complete re-writing of the existing Zoning 20 Code. 21 At this time, there were numerous community 22 meetings, consultant reports and countless 23 hours of work that went into the Zoning Code, 24 resulting in a seamless update of Merrick's original vision for the City. 25

Why are we now looking at spot zoning a site that was discussed exhaustively during the 2007 Zoning Code re-write?

The Applicant's project has some good points and some bad. As stated, the City Comprehensive planning notes to locate high density development along transportation corridors, but this density should be increased in compliance with the Zoning Code.

The peer review of the project by DPZ, a world renown planning firm, supported site planning, but was silent regarding height and massing. Reluctance to endorse the height and massing indicates that they are not in favor, and clearly understand the benefits of densification within the current Zoning Code.

In summary, everything, peer review, community meetings, good planning practices, existing Zoning Codes, all point to the fact that this project is too large.

Please uphold George Merrick's vision for the City and deny the variances for height and massing that are being requested by this project.

Thank you.

1	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
3	MAYOR CASON: Daniel Diaz-Leyva.
4	(Unintelligible speaking.)
5	MAYOR CASON: Okay. That's fine, yes.
6	MR. ARRIZURIETA: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
7	I also would like to speak, and I guess
8	I'll wait my turn, but Mr. Diaz-Leyva asked me
9	to read his my name is Jorge Arrizurieta,
10	and I reside at 1118 Placetas Avenue.
11	"Dear Mayor and Commissioners. My wife,
12	Cristina, and I recently purchased a home at
13	1232 Manati Avenue, on June 1st, 2015.
14	"As an owner directly in the line of sight,
15	and one standing to be immediately impacted by
16	the development, I believe it was important to
17	voice my opinion.
18	"I had previously submitted, for the
19	record, a letter in support, dated June 19th.
20	Attached please find same.
21	"Please allow this e-mail to serve as
22	further confirmation of our support for the
23	Paseo de la Riviera project. We learned about
24	the project while we were considering making
25	our purchase. We took the time to learn more

about it, from the scope and use, to the traffic impact and beautification efforts for the neighborhood.

"We came to the conclusion that the project will be a positive transformation to the neighborhood and enhance its value.

"We appreciate that the developer, NPI International, will put in place the necessary traffic calming devices to create flow for the hotel's valet parking, to substantially limit the impact to the neighborhood.

"Additionally, the developer will build an access point for ingress and egress through the -- to and from US-1 only for the apartment building. This should substantially mitigate the concern for increased traffic from the development of the project to the neighborhood.

"We also learned that the developer will implement beautification initiatives to enhance not only Jaycee Park, but also Madruga Avenue, which currently appears as an unkept alley running behind the commercial properties along US-1.

"Finally, we look forward to a more urbanized feel to the neighborhood, when the

1 project is complete, including having high 2 quality restaurants and cafes walking distance 3 from our home and in proximate access to a 4 transit center for Metrorail and trolley 5 service. 6 "It is for these reasons that we support 7 the project. As a resident not only of the 8 City of Coral Gables, but one standing to be 9 immediately impacted by the project, I 10 respectfully request that you vote in favor of 11 it. 12 "Thank you for your consideration, Danny 13 Diaz-Leyva." 14 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 16 MAYOR CASON: Parker Thomson. 17 COMMISSIONER KEON: I think Parker left. 18 MR. BASS: Mr. Mayor, Mr. Thomson was here 19 all morning. He had to leave. He did submit a 20 letter and would like his support of the 21 project recognized. 22 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you. 23 Roger Terrone. Not here? He wrote he was 2.4 in support of the project. 25 Suzanne Dockerty.

1	MS. DOCKERTY: Good afternoon.
2	MAYOR CASON: Hi.
3	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
4	MS. DOCKERTY: I'm Suzanne Dockerty. I
5	live at 1230 Catalonia Avenue. I've been a
6	resident for 30 years. I have my law practice
7	in Downtown Coral Gables, and my husband and I
8	own investment property in Coral Gables.
9	We are definitely in support of this
10	project. I believe the density, intensity is
11	exactly what's required on the US-1 corridor,
12	with the Metrorail.
13	It's a beautiful project and the developer
14	has gone through extraordinary outreach to the
15	community and set a great example.
1.6	So please support the project.
17	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
19	MAYOR CASON: Hagan Taudt. And it says
20	here he was in favor of the project. He lives
21	on 5105 Granada.
22	The next five will be Chris Hernandez,
23	Heidi Roth, Patrick Nolan, Jorge Arrizurieta
24	and Elizabeth Marcus.
25	MR. HERNANDEZ: Hi. My name is Chris

Hernandez. I live at 5726 San Vicente Street.

I've grown up in that home for 23 years, and my father is Jorge Hernandez, the architect of the project. I'm not here to support him today, but more so to support the project.

1.3

Originally when I came to the Planning and Zoning hearing, I came to support him, with my brother and my mother, and as I sat here and watched people testify, I realized that there was a large demographic that's not being represented very well in this room today, as well as before, and that's the young professionals in Coral Gables.

Like myself, a lot of my friends grew up in the Gables. We've lived there all of our lives and we really like it a lot. So I talked to them after the Planning and Zoning hearing, after I spoke on an impulse, and kind of got a gist of what everybody's opinion was from my peers and they were all largely in favor, for two reasons.

The first reason was that it would provide a social environment for us to grab a cup of coffee, have a bite to eat, and to just socialize, in the Gables, without having to

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

cross US-1 or venture into the South Miami area, but the more important reason that there was a large support from people my age was that it would provide an opportunity for us to live in the Gables.

I've lived in the Gables for 23 years. I still live with my dad. He'll probably kick me out soon. But I like the idea of being able to stay in the Gables and raise my family in the Gables.

I have friends who just started their first families, a lot of them just starting their careers like myself -- I'm here on my lunch break -- and just the possibility of potentially living in the Gables for a reasonable price, rather than the sky high property values that exists now in single-family homes, is an idea that's appealing to me and my peers.

So I did come to speak on that demographic, that there's not a lot of here today. Thank you very much for your time.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: Heidi Roth.

MS. ROTH: Hello, ladies and gentlemen, the Commission, Mayor Cason. My name is Heidi Roth. I reside at 5105 Granada Boulevard. I've have been a devoted resident of Coral Gables for over 40 years. I've maintained my law practice here for over 30. And I'm here in support of this Paseo project.

We can't live in a time capsule. Change is inevitable. And the City has always developed and allowed change in a very well-thought-out manner, and the plans for this project certainly capture that.

The City has gone from a sleepy town, to a sophisticated beautiful city, but we have this corridor along US-1 that's replete with eyesores, and the existing structure, the Holiday Inn, is one of them.

This project would not only allow to change that, but in a beautiful way, it would create a destination, a pedestrian friendly location, a place to go, a place to meet, and would also promote much needed access and usage of our Metrorail system.

It's certainly consistent with the concept of the City Beautiful, and it would also allow

1 us to avoid something like another CVS or 2 Walgreens that appear to pop up everywhere. 3 That would not be consistent with our City plan. And, therefore, I'm here in support of 4 it. 5 And, also, my husband's name, who was 6 7 called. He sat here since 9:00 a.m. He had to 8 leave shortly before the afternoon break, and he asked me just to also express his support. 9 10 He had operated a restaurant in this town, 11 The Charade, for over 20 years, a full, devoted 12 supporter of Coral Gables, and he also wanted 13 to mention his daughter's views, also, a Coral Gables resident, an architect, Cornelia Taudt 14 15 Ehrling, who resides at 1021 Placetas, close to 16 the project, and she asked to express her 17 support. 18 Thank you. 19 MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. That was a great 22 restaurant. It was a great restaurant. 23 MAYOR CASON: Pat Nolan. 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon. 25 MR. NOLAN: Good afternoon. I'm Patrick

Nolan. I live at 915 South Alhambra Circle, and, I mean, there have been several people that have been trying to channel the wishes of George Merrick today, so far I've heard, and I thought it would be interesting to actually have a quote from George Merrick, who said, "It's my earnest desire that the people of Coral Gables shall be given the opportunity to express their wishes in all matters affecting the City, and that the wishes of the citizens so expressed be carried out."

Okay. So he actually said that. It wasn't, you know, something that he might do.

I would also quote from an editorial in 1960, the Coral Gables Times. It said, "The future of Coral Gables is at a crossroad. The decision is whether to follow the road that leads to a highly urbanized community, with multiple dwellings and a big city atmosphere, or to continue on the road which will keep the quiet residential atmosphere in which all of us are so proud. Coral Gables has been faced this dilemma, but has never come to grips with it. The future cannot be put off. It must be plotted now. Too much Coral Gables planning

has been after the fact, instead of before it. 1 2 "The fairest way to determine the future of Coral Gables is to leave it to the people who 3 4 live there." 5 This editorial was prompted by two 6 high-rises that were built in 1960, and in response, there was a citizen's group, The 8 Committee for the Preservation of Present 9 Zoning Ordinances. This early effort goes to 10 show that one of the wonderful things about 11 Coral Gables people -- I should say, residents 12 and voters -- have not just cared about the 13 quality of life recently, they've always cared 14 about it. They always tried to have input in 15 the City, and we hope that you do the right 16 thing. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 Jorge Arrizurieta. 19 Did Jorge leave? No, here he is. 20 MR. ARRIZURIETA: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 21 I've also got retired Judge Juan Ramirez and 22 Josie Ramirez's letter. May I read that? 23 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 24 MR. ARRIZURIETA: Do I get additional time 25 for that?

1	MAYOR CASON: If you read their letters.
2	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I would suggest maybe
3	three minutes total, then. How long is his
4	letter?
5	MR. ARRIZURIETA: It's probably about a
6	minute and a half long.
7	MAYOR CASON: Okay.
8	MR. LEEN: Then four minutes, maybe.
9	MR. ARRIZURIETA: Four would be great.
10	MAYOR CASON: Speak fast.
11	MR. ARRIZURIETA: I can do that.
12	"Dear Mayor, Commissioners and Mr. Trias.
13	I have lived in Coral Gables for over 35 years
14	and have lived at 1200 South Alhambra Circle
15	for the last 18 years.
16	"My wife, Josie, was the president of the
17	RNA in the past.
18	"As a judge, I could not be active in the
19	association, but since my retirement, I am now
20	free to take positions.
21	"I think if the Mughal Emperor Shah Jahan
22	were trying to build his Taj Mahal in Coral
23	Gables, he would be voted down. I don't
24	pretend the Paseo project will become as
25	famous, but neither will it be so mammoth.

"I have seen a presentation on the project, and I think it will add tremendously to our community and beauty and sophistication. Sure, it will add some traffic, but so did the Shops at Merrick Park, yet it's my favorite shopping center in South Florida.

"Will it impact traffic? Of course, but so did all of those homes that were built in Homestead and those did not add anything to our community, as they commute between Downtown and South Dade.

"I believe a lot of the users will cross the street and make use of the Metrorail. I urge you all to consider this project favorably and approve it. I think it will be a great improvement of the current use with the Holiday Inn.

"Thank you for your consideration. Very truly yours, Juan Ramirez, Retired Chief Judge."

Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor and Honorable
Members of the City of Commission. My name is
Jorge Arrizurieta. My wife, Mari, and I, have
been living in this great neighborhood for the
past 15 years. We purchased our home at 1118

Placetas Avenue in June of 2000.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, as some of you might know, I have been a consultant to NPI on a number of matters, including this one, and as a result, I am a registered lobbyist for MPI.

We have been living four blocks from the eyesore that the Holiday Inn has been for this neighborhood, and, frankly, never understood why such a beautiful neighborhood would have such disparity on the busy and commercial US-1 corridor.

I will take this incredibly tastefully designed building, with courtyards, public spaces, along with a neighborhood restaurant, coffee shop, that I can walk to with family, instead of the unsightly and unsafe establishment we currently have in the Holiday Inn.

Frankly, irrespective of where you sit on this issue, and, as we know, it's always a matter of opinion, it is really hard to not appreciate the strategic location of this property. This is as good a transportation hub as you're going to find in our entire County. It is located across the street from UM,

Metrorail, a trolley stop, bike path, the future Underline, and the future long awaited pedestrian bridge.

Another strip retail center, such as its neighbor to the east, or a big box retail operation, which can be built as of right, would be a very tragic result to this process.

We must embrace inevitable change, a quality development that improves the quality of life of the neighborhood and the City, increases property values, not what has been falsely argued.

My neighbors, who argue traffic, frankly, I'm not sure why they bought their homes in this area that sits right off of the Highway that US-1 has always been and will always be, with or without this quality project.

Not withstanding MPI, who has been incredibly flexible in understanding, not to mention, generous, has agreed to pay for the additional traffic calming circle on Caballero, to ensure that the traffic is further curtailed and calm.

It's time we embrace an opportunity to bring the look and use of our City's US-1

1 corridor, from 57th Avenue to 42nd Avenue, to 2 the current century. This project has sparked a much needed discussion about what this 3 corridor should be and what it should look 4 5 like. 6 There seems to be a consensus that as much as we appreciate a nearby CVS, Denny's and 7 8 Starbucks, et cetera, our City's border shall 9 not continue to solely be the collection of 10 outdated suburban type of strip retail centers that it has been for far too long. 11 12 I trust, with your careful analysis and 13 excellent judgment, Paseo de la Riviera will become the excellent start of a much needed 14 15 thoughtful, tasteful and balanced 16 re-development of the City's US-1 corridor. 17 We ask you for your support of this worthy 18 project. Many thanks for your service. 19 thanks for your leadership. 20 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 2.2 MAYOR CASON: Elizabeth Marcus and then 23 Mark Trowbridge. 24 No, there are many more. No. No.

Mark.

1	And following will be
2	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Ladies first.
3	MR. TROWBRIDGE: I've never been accused of
4	being a lady.
5	MAYOR CASON: Mark, go ahead.
6	MR. TROWBRIDGE: All right. Thank you very
7	much.
8	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
9	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Thanks for the invitation.
10	I'm Mark Trowbridge, President of our
11	Chamber of Commerce. We're located at 224
12	Catalonia Avenue, in the City Beautiful.
13	Mr. Mayor, Mr. Vice Mayor, Members of the
14	Commission, Madam Manager, and Mr. Attorney,
15	Mr. City Clerk, thank you for inviting and
16	allowing the Chamber of Commerce to join you
17	today, as you deliberate on the Paseo de la
18	Riviera project, that is currently seeking your
19	approval.
20	We appreciate your commitment to our
21	community and the thoughtfulness that you'll
22	put into today's decision, and how you move
23	forward with this project in Coral Gables.
24	I was joined earlier today by our Chairman,
25	Wayne Eldred, by our incoming Chairman John

Allen, and other members of the Chamber, including Laura Russo, a past Board Member.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Our chamber has been part of the Coral Gables community now for 90 years. We grew up with the City. We share a similar history with the City Beautiful.

Our mission has always been to develop business, build community, while fostering the economic interests and financial prosperity of our business, first and foremost.

As a Chamber, we believe that the Paseo de la Riviera project is an ambitious one. while height issues and density are not our Chamber's purview, we do see this project as a catalyst for the current and future redevelopment of the US-1 corridor, the commercial corridor. An often forgotten part of our business community.

This part of the community needs a little It needs our attention, the City, Chamber Community, and this project puts the opportunity to shine a spotlight on it front

We're excited to see energy around this part of Coral Gables, that is not tied with

traffic or congestion or potential consumers whizzing by at 50 miles an hour, never thinking about stopping or spending.

2.4

We have an opportunity to change the conversation and take an outdated property and make it much better, make it much better.

Today, if you drive behind the Holiday Inn, you see a broken fence and several dumpsters overflowing with garbage. Not exactly our idea of Coral Gables. Not anybody's idea of the City Beautiful. And even harder sell to those businesses who may wish to locate here and bring their families and employees and customers to the City Beautiful. Better yet, how about the visitors, who can help tell our story around the country and the globe. They, as it turns out, are our best cheerleaders and sales people.

I've stood before you many times over the last decade, and shared that it is our belief that in order for Coral Gables to remain competitive, we need to put our muscle behind those types of projects and opportunities that allow us to achieve great things as a community. They don't come around very often,

1	and if we send a message that we are not open
2	to change, they may not come again.
3	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
4	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Thank you.
5	MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.
6	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
7	MAYOR CASON: Jerry Marcus.
8	MR. LEEN: Mr. Clerk, the timer is not
9	beeping.
10	MAYOR CASON: You need to re-beep it.
11	After Jerry Marcus, will be Roberta Neway,
12	Krista Rios, Nancy Iliffe and Nathan Zeder.
13	MS. LEVINSON: Good afternoon. My name is
14	Sandra Levinson, and I live currently at 918
15	Alfonso Avenue. We've been voters and
16	registered citizens and registered voters
17	since 1989.
18	Liz Marcus was here earlier, and had to
19	leave, as many other people did, and ask if I
20	could speak for her
21	MAYOR CASON: Sure.
22	` MS. LEVINSON: myself and the Riviera
23	Neighborhood Association. This is her letter.
24	"When my grandfather moved to Coral Gables
25	in the '20s, he specifically chose Riviera

Neighborhood, because it had the strictest
Building and Zoning Codes in the country.
These Codes are now being threatened by the
proposed Paseo de la Riviera mixed use
development, which, if approved, would replace
the Holiday Inn on US-1.

"My parents built their home on Caballero in 1957, and I moved into my own home, on the same street, in 1973. I have witnessed this neighborhood grow and develop, yet it has remained quiet and peaceful, where the streets are safe to walk your dog and for your children to play.

"The first disruption to our neighborhood came in the late '70s. While residents were away on vacation one hot summer, a high rise building, known as the IRE Building, was constructed.

"Thankfully, Dorothy Thompson, who later became Mayor of Coral Gables, placed a moratorium on high rise buildings along US-1, leading up to Riviera Drive, protecting the high rise -- protecting the quiet residential neighborhoods, like mine, from commercial overdevelopment.

1 And I'll paraphrase. 2 I and other residents of this neighborhood 3 are asking the Coral Gables Commission -asking the Coral Gables Commission to follow 4 5 the Zoning laws that are already on the books and set standards for the future of development 6 7 along the US-1 corridor, adjacent to low density residential neighborhoods. 8 Any changes should be made with the 9 complete feedback and approval of the community 10 in mind. 11 12 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 13 MS. LEVINSON: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you very much. 15 MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much. 16 Jerry Marcus. No clapping please. 17 18 Jerry Marcus. 19 MR. LEEN: Just to remind you that there 20 should be no applause. 21 MAYOR CASON: Yes. 22 MS. NEWAY: Good afternoon. I'm Roberta 23 Neway. I live at 1236 South Alhambra Circle. 24 I've always been at that address. That's 25 always been my permanent address.

I walk and I bike a lot. For about the last fifteen years, I've been crossing US-1, at South Alhambra, on foot, to commute to work. I also walk to Publix, Whole Foods. Today, to get here, I walked, I took the Metro, I took the trolley. I probably know what conditions are like for pedestrians better than anybody else in this room for this neighborhood.

I also know that we need density near mass transit, but I feel that the Paseo is too dense and that it will discourage, rather than encourage, walking and biking.

It's a beautiful building on paper, but given its bulk and its proximity to smaller dwellings, I'm afraid it won't be as pretty once it takes form. Moreover, unfortunately, we do not have the infrastructure to support the traffic it's going to generate.

For one thing, they talk about people making U-turns on US-1. People do make U-turns during rush hour. I have to deal with it.

It's dangerous. It encourages road rage.

People get reckless. Not a good idea.

Then, there's the traffic that's going to happen on the connector streets, not only

25 happen on the connector streets, not only

1 because of Publix and Whole Foods, also people 2 taking their kids to school, people going to 3 South Miami. I don't mind cars on my street, but we need to have our traffic controlled. 4 5 need our streets safe. Right now South Alhambra, the blocks right 6 before US-1, they're very unfriendly to 8 bicyclists. They are not friendly to pedestrians. And Caballero needs sidewalks 9 10 along the entire street. 11 How are people going to get to the Metro, 12 how are people going to get to the underline on 13 a bike or walking if they're afraid to do so. 14 Please, work to make this development 15 friendlier to bikers, pedestrians and less 1.6 dense. 17 MR. LEEN: Time's up. 18 MS. NEWAY: Thank you for your time. 19 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Thank you. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 21 MAYOR CASON: Krista Rios. She wrote down that she's in favor of the 22 23 project. 24 Nancy Iliffe. 25 Oh, you're here?

MS. RIOS: Good afternoon. My name is
Krista Rios, and I live at 1251 South Alhambra
Circle. I've lived in Coral Gables for my
entire life, 26 years, and my current home for
the last 21.

My home is just a block down from the proposed development, so I grew up in the immediate area where this project is being placed. I know what it's like to be a little kid, a teenager and a young adult and live in this area, to grow up with my family there in that very neighborhood. I also went to college and law school at the University of Miami, across the street from the proposed development, and I now practice in Coral Gables, at a firm very close to it, just off of Ponce.

As a long-time resident, former UM student and current professional working in the area, I have the opportunity to -- I'm so sorry -- I have the opportunity to look at proposed development from various angles. Not only is the design absolutely beautiful and will undoubtedly be a tremendous asset to the surrounding area, as a means of uniting the

1	community, job creation, better, safer and more
2	access to restaurants, shops, et cetera, but
3	the project, in turn, will help to greatly
4	boost the infrastructure of the City as a
5	whole.
6	I think this project is something immensely
7	important for Coral Gables, especially the
8	areas directly around the project. It has my
9	utmost and complete support, and I respectfully
10	ask that it has yours, as well.
11	Thank you.
12	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.
15	MAYOR CASON: Nancy Iliffe.
16	COMMISSIONER KEON: Nancy Iliffe. She went
17	to work. She said she was coming back.
18	MAYOR CASON: Okay. She said she was a
19	proponent. If she comes back, I'll let her
20	speak.
21	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: You said she was
22	MAYOR CASON: A proponent, in favor of the
23	project.
24	Nathan Zeder.
25	COMMISSIONER KEON: Zeder.

MAYOR CASON: How are you?

MR. ZEDER: Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor,
Members of the Commission, Nathan Zeder. I
live at 1025 Cotorro. I've lived in the
general area my whole life and in my current
property for two years. I could not be more in
favor of this project. I think it's an
incredible improvement architecturally over,
obviously, what we have now. It will provide a
business area, a place to meet and congregate
in an area right now that we do not have, other
than, you know, an ugly Starbucks, as well, or
a couple of Thai restaurants.

The ability to improve that whole aspect, to bring people in, to have a hotel that's nice, that people can stay at, I really do not see anything bad about this project and I'm in full support.

Thank you very much for your time.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR CASON: The next five will be John Allen, Aramis Alvarez, Hilario Candela, Daisy Hayworth and Valerie Quemada.

Daisy spoke? Okay.

1.4

So is John Allen here? John Allen? And he said he was in favor of the project. If he comes, he can speak.

Aramis Alvarez.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: He had to leave.

MAYOR CASON: He says he's in favor of the project, lives on South Alhambra.

Hilario Candela.

And after these next two, we have Max

Ferrari, Sonia Chao, Jose Antonio de la Torre,

and Antonio Facio.

MR. CANDELA: Good afternoon. My name is Hilario Candela. I live at 6201 Granada Boulevard. I have lived in the Gables for the last 50 and some years, and I have practiced in the Gables for that same amount of time as an architect. I love Coral Gables, and I have always adhered to the vision of what Coral Gables is all about.

I have studied the project personally and professionally very carefully, and I can attest that the project, as carefully designed by Professor Hernandez, meets the vision, which I know pretty much in detail, as well as the planning guidelines of Mr. Merrick.

1	I urge you, the City Commission, to give
2	this project your serious and positive
3	consideration, move the project forward and
4	approve it.
5	I thank you very much.
6	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
8	MAYOR CASON: Valerie Quemada.
9	MS. QUEMADA: Good afternoon.
10	MAYOR CASON: Good afternoon.
11	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
12	MS. QUEMADA: Thank you for the opportunity
13	to speak. I'm here wearing a couple of hats
14	this morning.
15	MR. LEEN: Please state your name and
16	address.
17	MS. QUEMADA: I'm sorry, Valerie Quemada,
18	and I'm here wearing a couple of hats this
19	morning.
20	MR. LEEN: And address.
21	MS. QUEMADA: Oh, and address? I'm at 10
22	Aragon Avenue.
23	So, as a resident, I do support the
24	project, but I'm also a realtor in the
25	community, and I'm here actually speaking on

behalf of a couple of people that do live in the more immediate area. So I'm going to go ahead and read the e-mails that they had sent forth to me.

I have Ike and Connie Newton, that are at 1265 South Alhambra. She wrote, "Valerie, Ike and I discussed this and we agree with you. We believe that this would be a beneficial project, that would enhance property values along US-1. We are, as you are, confused as to why this new development would create traffic issues. It would certainly create no more than a Holiday Inn would."

That was Connie and Ike.

And now we have Michelle Curtin, who is at 1205 Mariposa Avenue, and that is in Villa Capri, and she wrote me a little bit more details.

"Dear Commissioners, as a condominium owner in the immediate area, on Mariposa Avenue, I strongly support and urge you to pass the Paseo de la Riviera Project Development Plan.

"I bought my condo ten years ago, when my daughter was a student at the University of Miami. We loved the area, when she arrived,

.7

and I therefore kept it after her graduation. However, the area has degraded significantly over the last ten years. Improvements with an eye for the future, not merely replicating the past, is vital to maintaining property values in the area and to enhancing the quality of life for the residents.

"Nationwide, the most successful trend has been pedestrian oriented areas, with multi-use buildings and businesses, which allow for residents and commuters to use public transportation and walking exclusively. Few cars, no pollution.

"I look forward to a resident and business owner area, with beautiful open spaces, and businesses which will best interest serve the community. If we fail to do this now, the area will continue to lose value due to lack of forward thinking vision. This would be irresponsible to your present and future owners and investors.

"Please do what is best for the community and pass the Paseo project.

"Sincerely, Michelle Curtin."
Thank you for your time.

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 2 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 3 Max Ferrari. MS. CHAO: Good afternoon. As you can 4 5 tell, I'm not Max Ferrari. He's my husband. Ι am Sonia Chao, the next person on your list. 6 7 MAYOR CASON: Okay. All right. 8 MS. CHAO: He had to leave, unfortunately. 9 MAYOR CASON: Let me say that he was in 10 favor of the project. 11 MS. CHAO: Correct. He's also the legal representative of the 12 13 owner of the house at 1111 Alfonso Avenue, where we currently, temporarily, reside, while 14 15 our own home is being fixed. 16 I am an architect and an urban designer, 17 and I'm here to support this project. 18 believe that this project is a bridge between 19 Merrick's original vision of the City, when he 20 determined that building heights be one and a 21 half times the width of the public right-of-way 22 on which they front, but that the proposal is 23 also, aside from being very handsomely 24 proportioned and well-designed, in the spirit of the characteristics of Coral Gables, it also 25

speaks to our overall County's increasing challenges, including climate change.

We know that approximately 300 new residents are arriving in Miami-Dade County each day, whether they're legally entering or illegally entering. We know that this also means that there are projections by the Miami-Dade County Planning Office that projects that by 2050 there will be over three million individuals, closer to three and a half million individuals, living in our County. You can also find this data at the Florida Clearing -- Clearinghouse Data site.

We know that ever since the late 1990, when then Governor Bush commissioned a study entitled Eastward Ho, that there have been scientists and urban designers and planners coming together to think about the challenges in front of us, to propose new ideas in which we can think about how to better steward our natural resources, while reducing our CO2 emissions, as well as understanding that we have a responsibility to accommodate newer populations -- growing populations in our area.

What this meant, and since that time, there

1	have been many other studies, more recently by
2	the Climate Compact that you all might very
3	well know, as well as the 750 Study that has
4	been done, recommendations that align
5	themselves with your own Commission's Comp
6	Plan, essentially all underline the need for us
7	to move away from sprawl and move towards more
8	urban types of development.
9	That's why, in closing, I ask all of you to
10	please consider not only Merrick's vision and
11	his intention, but think about our children and
12	need for us to be more close to transportation
13	and living in communities that reflect the
14	future.
15	MR. LEEN: Time is up. Time is up.
16	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
17	MS. CHAO: Thank you very much.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
19	MAYOR CASON: The next five will be Jose
20	Antonio de la Torre, Henry Pinera, Antonio
21	Facio and Ana Lam and Tony Friguls.
22	MR. DE LA TORRE: Good afternoon.
23	MAYOR CASON: Good afternoon.
24	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
25	MR. DE LA TORRE: My name Jose de la Torre.

I live in 6601 Maynada Street, since 1989. have worked in the City Beautiful for almost thirty something years, and I don't going to sell my house. I am going to keep living in the same house.

The project, for me, is a positive one. I think it's going -- we need something new, that bring new blood and new life to this part of the City, that is without any new projects in the last, for me, forty years.

And as you can see, living in Maynada, I have enjoyed the traffic for morning and evening every day, and I don't think this project is going to bring more traffic to this area.

We have to let the 21 Century be in that part of the City, and I prefer to have this project than another commercial property, when the building of the Holiday Inn will be down, sooner or later, and I would prefer to have the Paseo than to have a Walgreens or another commercial property, that it would bring more traffic and other issues.

Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: What is your name,

1.5

1	again, sir?
2	MR. DE LA TORRE: Jose de la Torre.
3	MAYOR CASON: De la Torre.
4	MR. DE LA TORRE: Jose Antonio.
5	MAYOR CASON: Henry Pineda.
6	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
7	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
8	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE SPEAKER: I would like
9	to yield my time to Henry, my two minutes.
10	MAYOR CASON: All right.
11	UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: And so would I.
12	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, I would suggest four
13	minutes, then, total.
14	MAYOR CASON: Four minutes.
15	MR. LEEN: Which is the prior precedent
16	that was set.
17	MR. PINERA: Actually, I'm here also
18	representing a lot of the neighbors that don't
19	have a voice and aren't here and I will explain
20	why.
21	MR. LEEN: You have a presentation?
22	Mr. Mayor, at most, I would suggest, five
23	minutes, at most.
24	MAYOR CASON: Yes, at most, because people
25	from my perspective, people should come and

1	speak if they feel strong about it.
2	MR. LEEN: And there will be an opportunity
3	to cross examine, too.
4	MR. LEEN: Do you have an objection?
5	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Henry, do you feel
6	comfortable with five minutes?
7	MR. LEEN: Our Code allows them to speak on
8	behalf of people. It says that.
9	MAYOR CASON: Do what you can on five,
10	please.
11	MR. LEEN: You're not representing them as
12	an attorney? You're not an attorney, are you,
13	sir?
14	MR. PINERA: No.
15	MR. LEEN: They've asked you to speak and
16	they've given you their view? Okay.
17	MR. PINERA: Yes. Yes.
18	MR. LEEN: All right. Mr. Mayor, how much
19	time are you giving?
20	MAYOR CASON: Five minutes.
21	MR. LEEN: It should be strictly enforced.
22	MR. PINERA: Okay.
23	MR. LEEN: Thank you.
24	And still please put your address on the
25	record.

MR. PINERA: Okay. My name is Henry
Pinera, and I live at 1215 Aduana Avenue, and
first I'd like to go ahead and present to you
guys the visual representation that we have
here, as well as the petition that we turned in
to the City Clerk. We turned in a signed
petition, with 788 signatures, mainly Coral
Gables residents.

1.5

We wanted to have local views of how this was.
We then took those local views and represented more specifically the Riviera Neighborhood sentiment. The red color indicates that someone from a household signed against the project as it currently stands.

You'll also note that we did attempt to capture the public sentiment in favor. So if somebody, while we were going around, and this is representing like 12 people getting petition signatures, if somebody would tell us, "Oh, I'm definitely in favor of the project," we tried to capture that in green, as well. So we wanted to try to give you as accurate a representation of how the Riviera Neighborhood feels about this.

7	
1	So with that, I'd like to go ahead and get
2	started on my comments.
3	MR. LEEN: I need to ask you, so you're
4	saying, under oath, that's accurate?
5	MR. PINERA: Yes.
6	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Did you turn in that
7	petition?
8	MR. PINERA: Yes, the Clerk has that.
9	MR. LEEN: Mr. Bass.
10	MR. BASS: I'll have Cross Examination.
11	MR. LEEN: Okay.
12	MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.
13	MR. PINERA: The Clerk has that.
1.4	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Thank you.
15	MR. PINERA: Okay. Outside of a few
16	election contributions, I have never been
17	involved in politics or activism. This project
18	changed that. Approving this project at
19	anywhere near the proposed intensity will
20	transform our neighborhood.
21	These two massive structures will overwhelm
22	the park that I love to take my two baby boys
23	to. When I purchased my home almost six years
24	ago, I made the decision to live in a truly
25	residential community. I did not choose the

Brickell corridor. I did not choose the Gables
Central Business District. I did not choose to
live by the Industrial District.

This is the area where the two buildings that Mr. Trias cites as comparable to this building, to justify its intensity.

I chose the Riviera Neighborhood because of the idyllic characteristics it had to offer. When I made this choice, I and many of the others in the audience, made it with an implicit trust that Coral Gables would not drastically change its Zoning in the manner being proposed.

Gables' reputation is largely built on good Comprehensive Planning. The piecemeal approach that you see before you is not good planning. The entirety of the Holiday Inn has a 350-foot linear profile on US-1. So 350 feet of US-1 is what is covered, in terms of the facade.

The entirety of the holiday -- okay, yet according to the peer review of this project, this will be the built example that embodies a new vision for the corridor. Does allowing a Developer's vision for 350 linear feet to be the template for a two-mile stretch of US-1

sound like good planning?

In this area -- in this area right here, there are a total of 182 residential units. What is being proposed is that -- 234 residential units are being proposed in the apartment and 250 hotel rooms are being proposed right here.

Does that sound like good planning? This area is a fraction of the size of this area, yet it has multiple times the number of occupants. It is also being positioned at a strategic egress point for the neighborhood, one of two strategic egress points, right here.

Does that sound like good planning?

We need you to do the right thing, as our elected representatives, and vote, no, on the transformation of our neighborhood, and request that a Comprehensive Plan for the corridor be put in place.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you very much.

MR. PINERA: And I would like to make an amendment to what I said earlier, because I realized that -- I did not necessarily color all of this in, so I want to make sure I get that on the record, and clarify my statement.

2.1

I will testify that the submissions of the petitions, that all of the signatures on the petitions are accurate, to the best of my knowledge, and that this is as good a representation of that.

I can't testify that there's not one little block that may have been miscolored, but it is representative.

MR. LEEN: I need to say something for purposes of the record. I know there's going to be a Cross Examination.

You cannot consider, particularly in the quasi-judicial proceeding, simply whether people are for or against something. You have to act as judges, and sometimes you may have to act against the popular sentiment.

Now, on the legislative matter, you can consider their view, but you still, in the end, have to act in a fairly debatable manner. And the only reason I asked you that was because, in terms of evidence, this is simply demonstrative, then. You can see it for what it's worth, but what's been put in the record is the petitions, and those are the evidence that will be put in the record.

1	MR. PINERA: Thank you, Mr. Leen, for
2	clarifying that.
3	MAYOR CASON: Cross Examination.
4	MR. BASS: Mr. Pinera, nice to see you
5	again. Good afternoon.
6	MR. PINERA: I look forward to being cross
7	examined. I think I'm doing something right.
8	MAYOR CASON: Go ahead.
9	MR. BASS: You live on Placetas?
10	MR. PINERA: Aduana Avenue.
11	MR. LEEN: Microphone.
12	MR. BASS: Aduana.
13	MR. PINERA: 1215 Aduana Avenue.
14	MR. LEEN: Please speak into the microphone.
15	MR. PINERA: 1215 Aduana.
16	MR. BASS: Can you see the Gables One
17	Building from your home?
18	MR. PINERA: No.
19	MR. BASS: I have no further questions.
20	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Antonio
21	MR. PINERA: Come on. Come on. Give me
22	something more.
23	MR. LEEN: Please. Please.
24	MAYOR CASON: City Attorney.
25	MR. LEEN: There should be no argument.

1	Mr. Gibbs well, there should be no
2	argument. You made your point. Both sides
3	made their point.
4	MAYOR CASON: All right. The next speaker,
5	and I think I mentioned these, were Antonio
6	Facio, Ana Lam, Tony Friguls.
7	Is Antonio Facio here?
8	He was in favor of the project.
9	Next Ana Lam? Is Ana here? She wrote that
10	she was in favor of the project and she lives
11	on Ortega Avenue.
12	And Tony Friguls. Against. Okay.
13	The next five will be Lucien Gordon, Eva
14	Gordon
15	MS. GORDON: I'm sorry, I signed up first.
16	I'm going to go before him.
17	MAYOR CASON: Okay. Let me finish saying
18	who is the next up, so they can be ready.
19	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.
20	MAYOR CASON: Gordon Gregory, Stuart Rich,
21	unless he's already talked earlier, and Chris
22	Zoller.
23	MR. LEEN: So you have a presentation, as
24	well?
25	MS. GORDON: Yes, if you'd like to pass
	

1 this around. 2 MR. LEEN: Are you able to do this in two 3 minutes? 4 MR. GORDON: Yes. Very quick. 5 MR. LEEN: Okay. 6 MS. GORDON: You can just pass it around. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. name is Eva Gordon. I live at 1020 Andora 8 9 Avenue, and I am opposed to the proposed 10 project, because it is against our existing 11 Zoning Code. The rest was stated in my letter 12 to you on July 28, no need to repeat it. 13 I would like to propose that we stop 14 approving exceptions and we start with a real 15 visioning session and a real traffic study, not 16 in the summer, and not during the holidays. 17 My vision is right there. You can see it. 18 There are several nice buildings, not Renzo 19 Piano or anything, or not Jorge Hernandez, but 20 nice buildings, that have been built in the 2.1 last ten years, 500 and 550 South Dixie, 5100 Riviera Drive, 1121 Madruga, 1430 South Dixie 22 23 and the University Inn Condo, which is a little 24 older, but still pretty, and it should be an 25 incentive for us to consider.

All are compatible and work with the adjacent residential neighborhood and show respect to the surroundings. They were built according to Zoning Code and the developers made money on them, and some of them were my clients, when I was still a Senior VP at Gibraltar Private. I served on loan committee. Financed many commercial and residential buildings, some with Jorge Hernandez, whom I love and adore, and we shared many clients. So I know that some of these people made money, made lots of money, and that was good for them and good for me.

I'm not interesting in interpretations of the vision of George Merrick or anybody else. I spoke to many young families. I was shocked how stunned they were, when they found out about this project. Some of them -- and this is where our future lies -- paid 1.3 million dollars, 1.9 millions just the other day, two and half million, and they have little kids, and they gave up Brickell, they gave up the new urbanization for a residential peaceful surrounding.

And that's what I'd like to propose,

1	please.
2	Thank you very much.
3	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
4	MR. LEEN: Mr. Mayor, are the photos
5	excuse me, ma'am, are the photos in that book,
6	are they the same as the ones
7	MS. GORDON: The same.
8	MR. LEEN: Okay. So we're not going to put
9	the demonstrative in the record, but we will
10	put the photos.
11	MAYOR CASON: The booklet is in, right.
12	MR. LEEN: Thank you.
13	MAYOR CASON: Is Lucien Gordon going to
14	speak, as well?
15	And, then, as I said, we'll have Gordon,
16	Gregory, Stuart Rich and Christopher Zoller.
17	MR. GORDON: I'm Lucien Gordon. I live at
18	1020 Andora Avenue. I don't want to take away
19	any of Eva's thunder. Please excuse me.
20	We do not want to compound the clerical
21	errors that occurred on Edgewater Drive and the
22	result in traffic on Edgewater Drive and Ingram
23	Highway.
24	In 1973, some clerk goofed and permitted
25	the construction of 90 Edgewater. We walked up

1 13 flights to pick our apartment on the penthouse floor, and enjoyed it until we left 3 five years later. As a result of the clerical error, the 4 5 Goulds sued, and after ten years, were permitted to build 10 Edgewater and 60 6 7 Edgewater Drive, with the result in traffic complications thereof. 8 9 We have a similar situation on US-1, where 10 some aberration in the governmental processes 11 permitted the construction of the Gables, 12 whatever you call it, Tower. As a result of 13 that, we are now confronted with the current 14 construction projects. 15 Please do not allow history to repeat 16 itself. Thank you. 17 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. 19 MAYOR CASON: Gordon Gregory. Not here? 20 Stuart Rich. Did they speak this morning? 21 You are? 22 MR. RICH: Stuart Rich. 23 MAYOR CASON: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER KEON: Stuart Rich. 25 MAYOR CASON: Okay.

1	MR. RICH: Stuart Rich, 1222 Aduana.
2	COMMISSIONER LAGO: How are you, sir?
3	MR. RICH: Nice to see you.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: You, too.
5	MR. RICH: And I'm going to speak on behalf
6	of one who cannot speak for itself, that is to
7	say, Jaycee Park. Everybody has said a lot
8	of words going around here, a lot about Jaycee
9	Park. I'll show you
10	MR. LEEN: Would you give us your name and
11	address, sir.
12	MR. RICH: Stuart Rich.
13	MR. LEEN: And address.
14	MR. RICH: 1222 Aduana Avenue, Coral
15	Gables.
16	MR. LEEN: Thank you.
17	MR. RICH: Okay. These are actual
18	photographs. They're worth a thousand words,
19	so my speech will be short. My daughter took
20	this picture of the park, Jaycee Park,
21	recently. It's from the corner of Manati and
22	Caballero.
23	It's a gorgeous park. Trust me. If you
24	haven't been there recently, go there and take
25	a boxed lunch, you'll enjoy it. Everybody

does.

Little kids are in the picture. It has every amenity you could want. It does not need improvement by developers. Thanks anyway.

It's grown in size thanks to the City.

Thank you very much. It's perfectly manicured.

Thanks, again, to the City employees that do

this. And it's been improved several times.

It has all of the amenities, okay. That's

where we stand. That's what we want.

Okay. Now, speaking of truth, this is the official submission by Lawyer Russo with the application for the Paseo.

Okay. And here's the view from the park of Paseo, and I guess it's not lost on you that all of the upper floors are hidden by a tree, which, as far as I can see, I can't find it, okay.

My daughter also took this one, and this is a true photograph, dead center of the park.

There is some grassy areas where you can play Frisbee. Dead center looking at the Holiday Inn.

If the Holiday Inn -- and I worked this out by proportion ratios, if it's 30 feet tall and

1 the proposal is something in the neighborhood 2 of 145 -- that number seems to keep varying --3 this is where the top of that will be, and this is a terrific imposition. This is not low 4 5 density and low volume. Thank 6 This needs to not happen as planned. 7 you very much. 8 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, Mr. Rich. 10 MAYOR CASON: Christopher. 11 MR. ZOLLER: Good afternoon, Mr. Mayor, 12 Mr. Vice Mayor, Honorable Commissioners, Madam 13 Manager, City Attorney. MR. LEEN: 14 Thank you. 15 MR. ZOLLER: My name is Christopher Zoller. 1.6 I reside at 900 Bayamo Avenue. I've been a 17 resident of Coral Gables since 1987. I've been 18 in my home, on Bayamo Avenue, since June of 19 1991. 20 Just a quick aside, for an extra 30 21 seconds, yes, Mr. Bass I can see the Gables One 22 Tower, and I can hear the Metrorail from my 23 driveway. 24 For 18 years, my offices were at 1360 South 25 Dixie Highway. At the same time, I was there

with Commissioner Slesnick, our entrance and exit was only on Caballero Boulevard.

I can promise you, Vice Mayor Quesada, there is already stacking on Caballero Boulevard. Trying to get on or off US-1 from Caballero is a nightmare, especially if you're heading southbound of US-1 and you think you're going to be able to turn left onto Caballero. You'll wait and wait and wait for the light at South Alhambra.

Meanwhile, while you're waiting and trying to go southbound from Caballero, you'll wait even longer trying to get across US-1. It's a very, very difficult, and has been for many years, access. So there is stacking already.

I say this only because my most recent opportunity to voluntarily serve this City is with the Vice-President on the Transportation Board. I've also served on the Property Advisory Board, the Budget and Finance Board, and, of course, I'm a past Chairman of the Coral Gables Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. Bass was right on two things of his rights, his three rights. This is the right time and this is the right place for a brand

1	new wonderful development. There are many,
2	many things about this Paseo de la Riviera that
3	I really like. I love the components of having
4	some retail, some restaurants. I do think we
5	do need a wonderful hotel there. I also think
6	that there's a need for some apartments. It's
7	just that there are too many hotel units, too
8	many apartments, and the original proposal
9	asking for 834 parking space does not describe
10	to me a public transit friendly development.
11	So I urge you two things, please do not
12	grant a rezoning of this entire 1,100 foot
13	block and please ask the Developer to come back
14	to the table with something a little more
15	reasonable.
16	Thank you.
17	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir.
19	MAYOR CASON: I think we had someone, if
20	I'm not mistaken, speak for Daniel Diaz-Leyva
21	earlier?
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
24	MAYOR CASON: We did, okay.
25	Next is Terri Scandura, Tracy Kerdyk,

Vivian Haydar, and Paul Van Walleghem.

MS. SCANDURA: Good afternoon, Mayor Cason, Commissioners. My name is Terri Scandura. I'm a professor at the University of the Miami. I live in the Riviera Neighborhood and my house is on that chart.

I've been a resident and a registered voter in Coral Gables for over ten years. Before I lived on South Alhambra Circle, I lived at 710 Santurce Avenue.

Prior to living in Coral Gables, I lived in Pinecrest and I lived in Schenley Park, and I dreamed of living in the Gables. And I saved my money and I bought a home in the Gables. I didn't wait for someone to build a condominium that I could move into.

Pinecrest and Schenley Park are wonderful communities, but they can't hold a candle to Coral Gables. It's one of the most beautiful cities I had ever seen, and it's due to the committment and foresight of leaders, such as you, that our residents have such a high quality of life.

I've never spoken at a meeting like this.

I'm not politically involved. But I must tell

you that I strongly oppose the proposed development. This project will negatively impact the quality of life that I cherish in Coral Gables. It will result in high density rental units and more traffic in our neighborhoods and on the roads that I travel to, daily, to and from work.

This sets a precedent for further development along the US-1 corridor. The area, as it is currently zoned, is acceptable, and new projects should be consistent with this.

So I'm asking you to vote, no, for the project, to preserve the quality of life in Coral Gables and stop the spiraling development along US-1.

And I wrote to all of you, but I'm going to add something else that I didn't have on my letter, because I watched the presentation this morning and I learned a lot. The size and the scope of this building would be a dream for the University of Miami, to build for educational purposes.

I've watched the University of Miami be turned down, time and time again, to build buildings of this scope, and the University of

1 Miami was asked to stay within the Building 2 So I'm asking you to ask these 3 developers to stay within the current Code or 4 else turn around and let the University build 5 their buildings, for educational purposes, of 6 this size and scope, because it would only be fair. 8 Thank you. 9 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. Tracy Kerdyk. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 11 MS. KERDYK: Good afternoon, Mayor, 12 Commissioners, City Attorney, City Manager, 13 Staff, and everybody else who's here. 14 Of course, my name is Tracy Kerdyk. 15 native of Coral Gables, Florida, and I've lived 16 here for the 49 years that I've been alive. 17 currently reside at 935 South Alhambra Circle. 18 As you know, I come from a family that has 19 been in politics -- in Coral Gables politics 2.0 for several decades. I have watched them make very tough decisions, including sometimes 21 22 losing their best friends over it. 23 Their philosophy, though, was always to try

to do the best for the citizens of Coral Gables

and their quality of life.

24

I am here today, never spoken at a Commission meeting, but I'm here today to ask each of you to do the right thing for the citizens and our community.

The Paseo de la Riviera project is a massive project, that does not meet the current Zoning Codes. I know that over the past ten years there have been several studies, and each time, the Commission has decided that the current Zoning Codes were correct for the property.

So why now are we trying to build something that excessively exceeds this Code? I'm against this project, because of the size, the density and impact it has on the surrounding neighborhoods, including my neighborhood.

However, I perfectly understand what can be built on the project site, and I'm willing to live with the current zoning and beautification of the area.

I've heard from several people, saying that they love the ideas of the restaurant, the hotel. I'm not saying, don't build something there, but keep it under the current Zoning Codes.

The other major point I want to bring up is 1 the future of the US-1 corridor. I am very 3 concerned that if this project passes, it will 4 create a precedent of unfounded massing, 5 creating future traffic issues and diminishing the quality of life for the citizens. 6 In closing, I just have one thing to ask 8 If you took any tall commercial building 9 in the City of Coral Gables, Downtown, and took 1.0 and put in the property, you would have to put 11 two of them. A typical building in the City of 12 Coral Gables, usable space, is 200,000 square 13 This project is over 400,000 square feet. 14 You'd have to put two there. Would you feet. 15 want that in your neighborhood? 1.6 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 17 MS. KERDYK: Thank you. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 19 MAYOR CASON: Vivian Haydar. 20 MS. HAYDAR: Good afternoon. My name is 21 Vivian Haydar, and I've never spoken in public. 22 MR. LEEN: Speak into the microphone, 23 please. 24 MS. HAYDAR: Sorry. Good afternoon. Му 25 name is Vivian Haydar. I've never spoken in

1 public. This is the first time. But I'm so 2 against this project --3 MR. LEEN: Could you provide your address? 4 MS. HAYDAR: Oh, I'm sorry. 5905 San 5 Vicente Street, Coral Gables, Florida. 6 MR. LEEN: Thank you. The reason I'm here today and MS. HAYDAR: 8 I stayed the whole morning listening to 9 everyone, the ones that -- come, was because, 10 the reason I moved to Coral Gables and I bought 11 more than twenty years ago, was to be able to 12 have quiet, peacefulness and no traffic. 13 I think Coral Gables is unique. If you 14 compare it to other cities in Miami-Dade 15 County, you can see the difference, in the 16 sense that people go out with their children, 17 walking their dogs, everything, especially the 18 Jaycee Park. That's where I take my 19 grandchildren to play. It's going to be 20 over -- it only has like ten parking spaces. 21 That's not going to be -- after they build the 22 800 parking spaces across the street from 23 Jaycee Park, that's going to be, I mean, 24 complete change. 25 And for me, I have seen grow up -- Coral

Gables grow from places where you couldn't 1 2 build high rises, now -- in ten years we're not 3 going to have the same City Beautiful if we continue at this trend. 4 5 This is the first project on US-1 on this 6 -- with this high rise, and it's not going to 7 stop there. It's going to continue, after they change the Code. 8 9 So I urge you to please consider -- the 10 majority of residents in Coral Gables, we want 11 to keep the neighborhood that we have right 12 now. 13 Thank you very much. 14 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. MAYOR CASON: Paul Van -- I can't read this 16 17 very well. (Inaudible speaking.) 18 19 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Thank you. 20 The next five will -- unless you've already 21 spoken, Sonia Chao, Elsie Miranda, Courtney 22 Thompson, Aramis Alvarez and Max Ferrarati. 23 Is Sonia Chao here? 24 She spoke in favor of the development? 25 Elsie Miranda. Okay.

MS. MIRANDA: Good afternoon, Mayor and Members of the Commission. My name is Elsie Miranda. I live at 1114 Aduana Avenue. I've lived there for 22 years. And I've lived in the City of Coral Gables for 44 years.

The project before you today has the attention of a vast majority of citizens of the City of Coral Gables, as well as various property owners of commercial land in the area in question, who are interested in the decisions that you will make regarding the commercial -- regarding -- sorry -- the use of the land and the US-1 corridor, and how it will impact the future of our neighborhoods, particularly since this project requires variances to the Code, which more than doubles in height and density.

To that end, I wish to use an event that occurred last month on Le Jeune as a metaphore for the issue before you today. Last month, after leaving the Publix near Miracle Mile, I witnessed a small Daschshund getting spooked by a very loud sound, and it got loose from its owner, and it began what seemed like an eternal jaunt across Le Jeune Road.

There was a trolley fast approaching, and the owner, unable to do anything about it, screeched, and I closed my eyes, waiting for the worst.

Then, suddenly, to my surprise, the trolley driver applied the brakes and the short legged creature made its way safely across Le Jeune Road.

Metaphorically speaking, I believe that the Dachshund represents the City's current Zoning Code and the beauty and integrity of our neighborhood. The Paseo project, while lovely, is like the trolley barreling down the road, which threatens to kill the dog, but I beseech you today, as elected representatives of all the residents of the City, to apply the brakes to this project and to allow the project to come before you again within the Code that the City currently upholds.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Courtney Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Good afternoon,

Commissioners, Mayor, City Manager, friends and

neighbors. My name is Courtney Thompson. I reside at 5307 Orduna Drive. That is within the scope of this neighborhood. However, I will upfront say, I do not look at this building, however, my area of the neighborhood abuts up to all of the frontage of US-1.

So one of my concerns -- and, by the way, most of you -- those of you in the room who know me, along with the Commissioners that know me, know I'm an entrepreneur, a businessman here locally for the last 35 years. I'm a capitalist, and I'm also a community oriented person, but I'm also a centrist.

So I come to you today with the overview of saying that, Number One, I have a three-fold concern, and one is the overall Zoning, which you've heard over and over today. Does this fit into our Zoning scenario, and inclusive of the fact that this was addressed, I think, seven years ago, and where we are today in that? Are we going to re-zone everything? Are we going to re-zone all up and down US-1?

Second is the overall density and scope and intensity of this project. I think it's a beautiful project. You did a marvelous job.

It's absolutely gorgeous. My wife is a designer and an architect, and she thinks it's wonderful, in terms of its look, and we think that if it's taken into the proper scope, we'd love to see something replace the Holiday Inn.

1.0

Also, I would like to ask for an additional one and a half minutes. I have a letter here from Dorothy Thompson, but I will also say that we heard a lot of facts and statistics today, and those facts and statistics are often very confusing. We filled the room up with numbers today.

It is the right place. It is the right time. It just may not necessarily be the right project in its scope. I think we should also consider the transit multi-model study that's going to go on. That's going to take approximately one year. I think that's an important tale-telling scenario.

We need the hotel. There's no question we need a good hotel there. However, I think what should be also taken into consideration are the time periods of which people who will be staying in the hotel, what that egress and ingress will do to that specific sector.

I also have a traffic study that was sent to me by the Coral Gables Police, that I would like to enter and have our Clerk enter in, for your consideration. And I will have those numbers sent over by e-mail to you, as well. That concludes what I have to say.

I would like to say the following on behalf of Dorothy and Jack Thompson. Dorothy wrote this to me approximately a week ago, and she said, "Courtney, I am out of town this week, therefore, I will not be able to attend the Commission Meeting on Thursday, October 22nd. Please include, as part of your presentation, my recollection and opinions concerning zoning and re-zoning along the US-1 corridor from Red Road to Le Jeune.

"Long before I sat on the Coral Gables
Commission, there was a plan to locate what is
now the Dadeland Shopping Mall where the
University Shopping Center currently sits and
has been for over 50 years. The neighborhood,
under the leadership of Judge Carroll, rose up
in opposition to a Dadeland-sized commercial
development at that site. Later, the
University Shopping Center was built and

welcomed by the neighborhood. It was a sensible alternative.

1.0

2.0

"Sometime in the late 1970s, the formerly named IRE Building began to be built, startling the neighborhood with its 13-story oversized proportion to the surrounding residential area. No other building of its size existed along the Coral Gables corridor at that time or probably anywhere else along US-1.

In 1979, responding to the concerns of that neighborhood of the IRE Building, and being a resident there myself at that time, I ran for the City Commission seat being vacated by Commissioner Bob Brake (phonetic).

"I won the seat and soon after I called for a moratorium to be imposed for a period of six months, to my recollection, in order for the City to do an indepth study of that corridor, which resulted in a rezoning, to allow no more than four stories, which has been the case up until now.

"The City has maintained --"

MR. LEEN: Time is up.

MR. THOMPSON: "-- an attractive passageway for residents, visitors and commuters while

25 for residents, visitors and commu

1	driving through that short stretch of the Coral
2	Gables US-1 corridor."
3	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
4	MR. THOMPSON: "Stately Royal palms line
5	the median and accentuate the open space,
6	uncluttered by high rise structures. It is my
7	fervent hope that the City Beautiful ambiance
8	will not be altered.
9	"As an added comment, when the County broke
10	ground on the Metrorail stations at Riviera and
11	Douglas in 1978, there was a promise made to
12	the City
13	MR. LEEN: Time is up.
14	MR. THOMPSON: " that no commercial
15	buildings would be allowed within Coral Gables
16	City limits.
17	"Thank you for reading this letter and
18	thank you for the time."
19	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you, sir. I
21	appreciate it.
22	MAYOR CASON: Aramis Alvarez.
23	COMMISSIONER KEON: He had to leave.
24	MAYOR CASON: Leave? Said he's a proponent
25	of this project. It was high quality and I
l	

7 consider it an enhancement to this community, 2 where I've lived since 1972. 3 The next five will be Carolina Estefan, Max 4 Ferrarati, Maria Masvidal and Hilario Candela. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: He already spoke. MAYOR CASON: He did? 6 7 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Hilario already spoke. MAYOR CASON: All right. And then Stephen 8 9 Diener. Carolina Estefan? 10 11 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon. 12 MS. ESTEFAN: Good afternoon. 13 MAYOR CASON: Hi. 14 MS. ESTEFAN: My name is Carolina Estefan 15 Chahine and I live in 6506 Leonardo Street, Coral Gables. I grew up in this neighborhood. 16 17 I appreciate it for what it is truly, the City 18 Beautiful. 19 I am a mother of three small children. The 20 neighborhood has following generations, like 21 me, that have grown up. They've moved back, 22 because they appreciate the landscape of the 23 neighborhood. 24 We have Jaycee Park, which will be 25 completely overshadowed by this project.

It's personally outrageous to me that this is being even considered for development in this area, being the zone that it is. It is not suitable for even a T-5 zone. I just don't understand how this could happen.

21.

But now that it is under consideration, I would like to discuss the massive nature of this project, and how incompatible it is with the historic nature of the City.

We all pay a lot of money to come and live there. Home values are high in that area. And it's, you know, one of the few neighborhoods that that has, you know, the landscaping, the lighting, the right-of-ways for pedestrians, the sidewalks.

Just in the last two months, I have two of my kids that have learned to bicycle ride, and I feel perfectly safe doing so in this neighborhood, because the traffic does not allow so much congestion and vehicles to go to very high speeds.

Now I'm very concerned about this. We are very invested in this. All my life, I've lived there, and, you know, I'm hoping that we can preserve the nature of our area for our future

1	generations.
2	Thank you.
3	MAYOR CASON: Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.
5	MAYOR CASON: Max Ferrarati. Not here.
6	He was at Alfonso Avenue and said he's in
7	favor of the development.
8	Maria Masvidal, and then Stephen Diener.
9	MS. MASVIDAL: Good afternoon.
10	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Good afternoon.
11	MS. MASVIDAL: First of all, I think that
12	everybody here that has said
13	MR. LEEN: Name and address, please.
14	Remember, your address. Name and address.
15	MS. MASVIDAL: Maria Masvidal-Visser, 421
16	Maya Avenue. I'm part of the Dutch South
17	African Village or adjacent to it.
18	One of the reasons we moved 30 years ago to
19	this area was because it's a very quiet area.
20	I submitted a letter October 19th with six
21	points. I think they've all been said here
22	today.
23	So what I'd like to add are basically two
24	things. One, in my case, we have multiple
25	members of different ages in our home. I have

a mother that's 90 years old, and that needs sometimes assistance in regards to our first responders. We also are close to the facility where the Urgent Care is and the way that we go there is either through Caballero or through Mariposa. And it's already very difficult to get in and out. There's hardly any parking.

So I do also ditto what Chris Zoller was saying in regards to stacking. It is incredible, the traffic. So I think that's something that we really have to consider.

In regards, also, we are all taxpayers and we do need services. And I think a project of this magnitude will actually press those first responders. So, you know, they have to cross Dixie Highway, too. So I think that you have to take that into consideration.

Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.

MAYOR CASON: Stephen Diener. And, then, after that, it will be the last five, Maria Longo, Pat Parker, Sandra Levinson and Wayne Eldred.

MR. DIENER: My name is Stephen Diener. I

live at 10 Aragon. I may be a little different here, so I'd just like to explain something.

In the last 30 years, I have lived and traveled all over the world, but I always come back here. It's like a dog. This is the third time. I live here. So I see the progress, you know, different things, and I was here at your last meeting, actually, the Budget Meeting, and I found it very interesting.

And I talked to a lot of people here. Without being pandering, I find this a very special place. No kidding. And I've been on the Riviera. Lived there. This is a real special town.

But what's interesting is, when I travel and talk to people, "Come from Miami? Fine." You say you come from Coral Gables, they know there's something going on. They're not even from here, U of M, but they know you've got a special thing here, okay.

Now, the only thing that I can say is, you pay a price for being on the board of faceless towns in this country, who have no idea of their heritage or where they came from.

They've got population, they've got their

shopping centers, you know, the whole deal, right, but it seems to me you've got a very positive burden, with respect.

I like the idea that I know about this town and what this Mr. Merrick did, and I spent time in Spain, and it looks a lot like this in certain areas, and it was seventy years ago, right?

I think that's something to be preserved.

I may be corny.

Now, the only thing that I know, as an outsider, with respect -- these guys have done tons of work, there's no question, the variance of what they're proposing to your current Code, right, is really extensive.

It's like you've been talking about automobiles and stationwagons and these guys are bringing in an eighteen wheeler here, and I just don't understand it.

And I personally think of two things. It's seems excessive, if you believe, which I do, that's why I'm here -- I wasn't born here -- you've got something going. There's a friendliness. I cannot walk to Miracle Mile enough.

1	So if I live in a building, I'm sorry, and
2	I have to drive three minutes to get to
3	Downtown to have a meal, then poor me.
4	MR. LEEN: Time's up.
5	MR. DIENER: And one thing before I leave,
6	don't kill the Downtown business. That's
7	happened in other suburbs.
8	MR. LEEN: Time's up. Thank you, sir.
9	MAYOR CASON: We won't do that.
10	MR. DIENER: The guys around town will have
11	a story.
12	MAYOR CASON: Maria Longo.
13	MR. LEEN: Thank you, sir.
14	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: Thank you.
16	MAYOR CASON: Pat Parker.
17	MS. PARKER: Hi. I'm Pat Parker. I live
18	at 8855 Southwest 83rd Street. I work with
19	Coldwell Banker at 4000 Ponce, and I've lived
20	here since I was 16 years old, and I've sold
21	homes in Coral Gables for the last 38 years.
22	I've talked to every one of you at different
23	times.
24	This is really, really important. When we
25	sell a home in Coral Gables, we tell the

people, "You will always be protected by the City. The City Commission will watch your interest. The zoning will be kept." I make sure my customers stay in compliance with the Building and Zoning, and I'm asking you to stay in compliance with Building and Zoning.

If people live in an area where they expect a building to only be a certain height, they expect that building to be only a certain height.

The traffic is terrible in that area. I drive there all of the time. I'm all around Caballero, Hardee. The traffic is fast, and it's gotten an awful lot of traffic already, let alone add all of these units and all of these people.

You keep talking about just the hotel.

What about the component of the apartments and how many people and possibly students is going to drive into the apartments?

That little park is so precious and we've always gone to protect the children in that park, nobody is talking about protecting the children in that park, putting that many people -- the commercial being right by the park, the

1 safety of our children has always been 2 paramount. 3 So I'm asking you to be real cautious in 4 any change you make, and someone said that this 5 is an individual decision for this property. 6 It is not. You know how many parcels have already been sold, the University, the one where my pet gets groomed, all of those have 8 9 been sold already. Everyone is looking to you to make the right decision. 10 11 Coral Gables has always protected its 12 citizens and we're asking you to do the same. 13 MAYOR CASON: Thank you. 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Thank you. 15 MAYOR CASON: The last two, Sandra 16 Levinson, and then Wayne Eldred, and then we'll 17 close the public -- okay. So Wayne Eldred. VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I think Wayne left. 18 19 MAYOR CASON: Wayne is -- said, in favor of 20 the project. So that ends the public comment. 21 Do you all want to take a break or do we 22 want to hear -- do you want to have your 23 rebuttal now and then we'll take a break? 24 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Can we just take a 25 five-minute break?

1	COMMISSIONER KEON: Five minutes.
2	MAYOR CASON: A true five.
3	MR. LEEN: So you'll do your rebuttal when
4	we come back. Yeah, and the public hearing is
5	closed on first reading now.
6	MAYOR CASON: Yeah. True five, please.
7	(Short recess taken.)
8	MAYOR CASON: We are going to continue.
9	Please take your seat.
10	All right. Now we're going to give the
11	Applicant a chance to address anything that has
12	been raised, that you would like to bring up.
13	MR. BASS: While we're waiting for
14	Commissioner Slesnick, Mr. Mayor, we have some
15	additional letters of support, which we're
16	going to give to the Clerk at this point in
17	time.
18	MR. LEEN: Where is Commissioner Slesnick?
19	Let me get her. I'll be right back.
20	MAYOR CASON: Before you start, I want to
21	thank everybody that participated today. This
22	was a great example of civility. We didn't see
23	that at some of the Planning and Zoning, and we
24	had some rather obnoxious e-mails,
25	unfortunately, but I think today everybody was

a great representative of Coral Gables. We've had a very civil discussion and I want to thank you all for that.

MR. BASS: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Commission, just a few points in rebuttal. I don't expect to use my fifteen minutes. I really -- you all have been wonderfully patient.

I would just like to highlight a few points, so that everybody has a clear understanding of where we are, and I'd like to address some of the comments that I've heard from the objectors, and how the conditions imposed by your Planning Department address and resolve them.

So there was a concern raised by a neighbor that we would be leasing parking spaces to users who were not our own. There is a condition that has been imposed by your Staff, which we have accepted, that prohibits that. So that concern has been fully and completely addressed and resolved through that condition.

There was a comment made about how we would manage taxicabs, and that is addressed in a condition, with respect to how that will be

managed, and we have accepted that condition. So the issue of taxicab management and the hotel operations are all subsumed within a condition, which we have accepted.

I'd like to talk a moment about traffic, while I'm on that topic. I'd like to highlight for you what the evidence showed and what it did not.

The evidence by Mr. Tim Plummer, a professional, whose report was reviewed and approved by Atkins, your professional, confirms that traffic is not an issue with this project. This is a very traffic friendly project, was the expert testimony. You heard nothing to the contrary by anybody qualified to give you that opinion and the opinions offered by Mr. Plummer were validated by Atkins.

I think it's important to emphasize that an as of right project, without any public hearings, would generate 100 percent more p.m. peak traffic than this, which is another way of saying, we generate 50 percent less traffic than what could be built there commercial as of right.

I'm not a big fan of quoting the media, but

I think it's important to note, as you sit
here, that the Herald and its independent
reporter went out and observed the traffic
conditions in the neighborhood, and reported
that there is not a traffic problem in the
neighborhood, at least in the eyes of that
reporter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to talk about what you get from this project, and I'd like to focus this portion of my rebuttal on the public realm improvements that you get from this project, which you would not get from an as of right project, and I would like to support the conclusion that through your PAD, and the design and the architecture, we have brought forward a project which gives more to this community than could otherwise be achieved through the literal interpretation of the Zoning Code, as written, and Jorge Hernandez went through them, but I'd like to just highlight a few, because they're substantial, and, importantly, they support your finding that the PAD purpose is served by this application.

Open space. This is a commercial property

on precious commercial land. Yet we are giving to the public an acre of ground floor open space. That's just incredible, if you stop to think about it. Forty percent of our ground floor is open to the public.

We have a thousand linear feet of arcades, covered sidewalks, creating walk-ability for the public.

We have our paseo, which is a half acre in size. This is a very substantial public realm gesture. Sure, one could build a very uninspired, undifferentiated slab as of right there, and you would get none of this, and the quo for the quid, what you get in exchange for the application we are making, is something everybody agrees is a beautiful project.

Even our opponents agree that this is a beautiful project, in terms of its design. I have not heard anybody, in the any of the multiple proceedings, come out to say that the design is somehow beneath the quality of design, the fitting of this great City.

To a certainty, our design is beautiful, but beyond that, our design integrates very important public realm improvements that we

believe both necessitate and justify the approval of our PAD on this project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One-third of our frontage on US-1 is open. These are not little gestures on insignificant properties. These are substantial gestures towards making this project as absolutely lovely as it can be.

Mr. Hernandez showed you what I believe to be a quite compelling illustration of the fact that the tallest part of our project are right up against US-1 and the project observes a very gracious, sensitive, and natural step down from its tallest piece, to its intermediate piece, to its shortest piece, to Madruga, and that a natural barrier exists between our project and the nearest single-family homes on the other side of Jaycee Park, but if you heard one vitally important fact this morning, if you wanted to boil it all out down, Rabi Mendi Fellig stood before you, the person singularly most impacted by this project, and he stood before you, and what he said was, he's a supporter.

But what he also said, and I take this, as

treated through this process was one of open dialog, was one of inclusion, was one of hearing about a legitimate concern and addressing it, and for Mr. Fellig and his home on Madruga, what we propose is a vast improvement over the condition that exists at Madruga, and that comes from the person who is absolutely most impacted by it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I thought it was quite honest by those who spoke before you to concede that most of them can't see and won't see this project. That's a fact. And so while there has been a great amount of discussion about this issue of height and scale, I think the necessary predicate for that discussion is, from where is scale observed? Where are you perceiving this height? Are we having a discussion about the perception of height for that 45 to 90 seconds, when you're in your car, on US-1, heading north and you can see it and now it's in the rear view mirror? Is that the conversation we're having?

I can tell you the conversation we're not having, is that the height of this building is interfering with the use of anybody's property.

private property, in any way. It is not casting a shadow on Jaycee Park, literally. That's an impossibility.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the suggestion that it is, is just absolutely unsupported by the evidence.

And I think it's important to note, as Mr. Plummer showed you, the traffic issue on the adjacent streets, I drive them every day. I drive them every day, and there is no reason for any person who lives or is staying at this property to exit onto US-1 north and cut through their neighborhoods. Where would they be going, unless they were driving in a giant circle? That would make no sense. They're not driving to an employment center to the south. They're not driving to an airport to the south or any other major urban center. There's nothing to the south. There would be no reason to leave this property and drive on Alfonso. You get nowhere if you do.

So, yes, there is concern about traffic.

Our project does not contribute, in a

meaningful way, to the traffic that exists

there at present. In fact, we dare say, it

would reduce it, because many people would live

at our project and take the Metrorail, who are already driving through that area at present, and I would say that with the University of Miami medical facility coming onboard there, this would be an absolutely fantastic place for people to live, who work there, and who could achieve the heretofore impossible dream in our City, which is living and walking to work. It is almost impossible anywhere, but with our project, it will be possible here.

And I'd like to emphasis another important fact. This is US-1. US-1 doesn't belong to any one neighborhood group. We heard the evidence. Approximately 79,000 people a day pass through US-1. This is not as if we were proposing some development deeply imbedded within an established residential neighborhood. We are not.

We are proposing a project on a major north-south arterial, walking distance to transit, exactly where a project like this should be proposed.

We have, as part of our approvals, committed to improve the safety and flow of vehicles through installing the -- I think

they're now called round points, re-adjusting the alignment of Hardee, making landscape improvements to Jaycee Park. All of them, we believe, to make our project something that people should emulate when they come to you and seek to build something in your City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You have heard and you have seen, the evidence is undisputed, that there are no other parcels in this segment of US-1 of a substantial depth to accommodate other projects of this scope. What you heard as a basis for objection from many was that you would be establishing a precedent, but we all know that that's not true, because you have your PAD ordinance and you have your jurisdiction, and anything and everything that wants to be built there, in accordance with your PAD regulations, needs to travel the mighty difficult and long road that we've been traveling for the last year. It ain't easy, and you're not going to be surprised. You will have absolute control over the other projects that come forward.

Mr. Pinera showed you a map. We didn't have the benefit of any advance notice of seeing that. I might go out on a limb and

suggest that our methods maybe differed from Mr. Pinera in how we approached people in their homes to get their support, but what you have, this exhibit, Mr. Mayor, that you had mentioned prior to the lunch break, and it's exhibited here, the yellow dots show the supporters for our project, and these were supporters who have shown their support to us in writing as the owner/resident of the home. Those are the yellow dots there.

MAYOR CASON: This one has blue and purple.

MR. BASS: The blue and purple, that shows
on both sides, and then we separated yellow in
the exhibit that is before. It's just
supporters, because those colors became hard to
read.

MAYOR CASON: Okay.

MR. BASS: So to be sure, there are supporters and opponents, but there are also supporters. There are many of them and you've heard from many of them.

MR. LEEN: Mr. Bass, and you put that together and you know that they're supporting?

MR. BASS: We put them together. We have a letter supporting each yellow dot from the

1 supporter. 2 MR. LEEN: And you're proffering that as 3 counsel? 4 MR. BASS: I proffering that as counsel, 5 and I will say, in our brief inspection, for 6 example, of the other exhibit, Mr. Daniel Levya, whose comment was in the record as a supporter, is shown as an opponent on our brief 8 9 spot check of their map. 10 So that said, I'd like to make a couple of more clarifications of the conditions, the 11 12 recently imposed conditions of your Staff, and our acceptance of them. I don't know that I 13 14 need to go through each and every one, but I 15 would like to say that Staff has asked us to 16 commit, as we have, in writing, to forty 17 conditions, I think it was. We've agreed to all of them. 18 19 Where we part company is only minor, in my 20 opinion, only minor. We're talking about a 21 differential in height from the 132, which we 22 propose on the residential, to the 120 --MAYOR CASON: 133 or 132? 23 24 MR. BASS: I'm sorry, 133. 25 MAYOR CASON: 133.

I'm undercafeinated at this hour 1 MR. BASS: 2 of the day. I apologize, but I was close. 3 From 133 to 120 on the residential building 4 only. They have asked for 120. We are at 133. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: On the hotel, you're 6 at? MR. BASS: 142.6 on the hotel. And on what I'll call the step back metric 8 9 that we went through before, we believe that 10 we're providing actually a better condition in the adjacency, through the lowering of the 11 height, as we did through the vertical and 12 horizontal plane, the way that we lowered the 13 14 garage feature. 15 MR. LEEN: And at this moment, you're proffering a restrictive covenant that reflects 16 17 that, you said, I believe? 18 MR. BASS: Yes. I am re-affirming our 19 prior commitment of voluntary --20 MR. LEEN: And you're okay with that being 21 put into the Comp Plan, as well? 22 MR. BASS: We are okay with that being put 23 into the Comp Plan, and we are also okay, as we 24 talked about previously, but let me just 25 affirm, because it goes to the issue of a

potential fall of dominos, that the request that we're seeking has been modified on the Zoning Text Amendment to reflect only our property.

And if the City Attorney were to opine that we didn't need that, because with the PAD we're okay, we could give up the request entirely, if we had to. I don't know that we have to. I think we can solve the problem --

MR. LEEN: I've given the opinion that I didn't think we needed to amend the Site Specifics. That's up for the Commission to decide.

In terms of consistency, we thought it's better for the site specific to reflect the actual facts on the ground, but it's discretionary.

MR. BASS: I agree. It's just a different way to chop up the same -- we get to the same result either which way. It's whichever way gives you all more comfort.

So I believe, that said, the time is now for me to thank you, again, for your incredible patience in listening to us, and to ask that you vote to approve our applications before you

on first reading, and to schedule a second 1 2 reading sometime around the first week of 3 November, if such is the will of this Commission. 4 MAYOR CASON: You all want to ask questions 5 6 while he's here? I have a couple of questions 7 to start with. 8 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Are we going to hear 9 from --MAYOR CASON: I don't know. Craig, are 10 11 we --12 MR. LEEN: No. Under the procedures, it's 13 now in your hands. You can ask questions. 14 MAYOR CASON: It's in our hands right now. 15 I wanted to ask you, who will your clients 16 be on the apartments? They're relatively small 17 units. Are you looking to get professors? Ι 18 remember earlier on there were some people 19 saying, "I know you're going to rent these to 20 students," and, for some reason, that was a bad 21 thing. I don't understand that. 22 But how does that fit in with the 23 University being across and traffic? 24 MR. BASS: I'm going to answer the question 25 as honestly as I possibly can. Given the

limitations that we have under the Fair Housing Act and other laws that sort of limit how one can control who comes and who doesn't, this is designed to be for young professionals, who, as an alternative to living in Brickell or in Downtown, don't want to have a car, but who work in the Downtown area or who work at the University of Miami, whether because they're working in a new medical facility or because they're on staff there or I'm not going to rule out the possibility that they could be a graduate student there or a student there. It could happen. It's not designed for them.

But the organizing principle is, for people who need to commute to work. This is where you can live and not have to do so in a car, but the direct answer to your question is, this is not designed as student housing. It is not intended to be student housing. It is intended for, like we had a witness come before you, those folks who would love to be able to continue to live in Coral Gables, can't afford to buy a house in Coral Gables, want to use all of your amenities and eat in your restaurants and shop in your stores, may have to get to

Downtown for work, but would do so on the Metrorail.

MAYOR CASON: Will these be rentals or condos or are you not sure yet?

MR. BASS: These are, right now, rentals. And we talked about that long and hard through this process, and clearly there are some who would view condos more preferable to rentals. There is a real need for rentals, nice rentals, in this area, and we would suggest to you that, as it relates to our ability to control the quality of the operations, the quality of the amenities and the behavior of the occupants, we have maximized that control, by continuing to own them ourselves, and then staying in the property, as we intend to do, to make sure that that asset shines at all times.

MAYOR CASON: And the last question I have for the moment is, why do you need the floor between 120 and 133? What's your economic argument for that? If you didn't have it, what would you have to give up? What can you tell us?

MR. BASS: Well, let me start with where we came in. We came in with originally 156. We

went down to 142. And like a rubik's cube, you can't twist one side of one of these buildings without precipitating a whole host of other cascading changes that need to be necessitated, in terms of parking, in terms of how the mechanical elements of the building work, how the loadbearing engineering elements of the building work.

For us, also, because this is not a hobby, it needs to work economically, and as you cut to 120, we get dangerously close to this being economically non-viable, in terms of the money that we would need to borrow, the cost of that money, the time of that money, and the risks involved.

We were uncomfortable going to the 133, but have done so to address -- we're getting vitally close to not being able to make it work, if we take the residential down.

MAYOR CASON: Let me just finish up my last question. How does the possibility of shared parking fit into the rubik's cube?

MR. TRIAS: Mayor, if I can make a comment-

1.0

on this. The Applicant has agreed to the 133. However, I don't believe that they've had the time to completely re-design the project. I will look forward to the opportunity to work with them, prior to second reading, to see how close we can get to the 120.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's what I was going to ask. I was going to ask, you know, obviously, our goal, if we do approve on first reading, we move, I have several conditions I'm going to talk about.

The first one would be, I would hope that you, through our City Attorney and through our City Manager, would meet with representatives from the RNA, and just to discuss different options on the project.

Number One, I think that we need to lower the scale of the project. I want to see -- and I imagine the Commissioners probably agree with me, going off on what the Mayor just stated, I think that hopefully we could come to an appropriate number, where we can get support from the neighbors. That's Number One.

Number Two is the issue of what we talked about, shared parking. I had requested from

Staff, I'm not sure if Ramon has it, was to really discuss our parking requirements, which are the most stringent of any municipality, and as the Vice Mayor has mentioned before, and I don't want to misquote you, but we have floors of unnecessary parking in this City, that make buildings excessively bulky.

The appearances are there. You can't get rid of them. And they're required, as per the Code. Nobody parks in, I think, one to two floors of Merrick Park, no matter if it's Christmas, no matter if it's Thanksgiving, no matter if it's Black Monday or Black Tuesday. I don't know which one it is.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Black Friday.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Black Friday. You can tell my wife is the one that's out there shopping. Whatever it is.

The point being is that I think that we can really tweak the project, if we discuss shared parking. It's not that the building is going to be underparked. So that's one thing.

I've read Points 1 through 12, which

Mr. Trias has done a great job, in regard to -
I don't want to use the word concessions, but

agreements --

1.7

MAYOR CASON: Conditions.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: -- conditions to the Development Agreement. Point 3 talks about exclusive parking. It's very clear when you read it. It says, parking spaces may be not be sold or rented to those who are not users or residents of the Paseo de la Riviera.

I want to be clear. You have to put,
University of Miami will not be renting spaces
across the street. I don't want to just single
out the University of Miami. I want to single
out everybody.

MR. BASS: We have accepted that condition.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And that condition has been accepted. So I think that's important, that the residents are aware of that, because they have, you know, a concern, and I understand that.

So I ask you, depending on what happens today, before we go to second reading, I want to make sure, and I spoke to the City Attorney before, and I hadn't spoken to the Manager, that we get all parties in a room, and we discuss how we can make this project less

1	intense and dense.
2	I don't know if I can get some
3	MR. BASS: I, on behalf on my client, we
4	agree to participate in that.
5	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.
6	MR. BASS: We have participated in that
7	before with your City Attorney, through
8	Counsel, but I'll say again, you tell me where,
9	you tell me when, and we'll be there
10	open-minded.
11	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Mr. Bass, when
13	you're scaling back, are you scaling back on
14	the apartment building?
15	MR. BASS: The apartment building.
1.6	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: The number of
17	units?
18	MR. BASS: We have taken a floor off and
19	I'll just confirm
20	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: It used to have
21	three-bedroom units, correct, and now you've
22	got a variety of sizes?
23	MR. BASS: It always had a mix of one, two
24	and three, but when we took the floor to get to
25	the 133 let me get an answer to the question

of the number of units that we took away with 1 2 that, if any? 3 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: While he's asking, 4 Craig, just as clarification, if their tenants 5 are not -- if the parking has to be only purchased or rented by the tenants, what 6 7 prevents the tenants from subleasing it to 8 others? 9 Well, that would be a condition 10 of approval. We could use Code Enforcement. 11 mean, we could pull the approval. 12 MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: I understand. don't think we want to be in the business of 13 enforcement. I think we want to obligate the 14 15 developer to be the enforcer and that it should 16 negate -- there should be a penalty if a tenant 17 subleased it to somebody else, because that is 18 usurping what your intention is. 19 MR. LEEN: Certainly. You could put 20 additional conditions. You can make them 21 report to us. You could make them monitor 22 There's a lot of different ways you 23 could address that. If that's a concern, we 24 can meet with them to impose more conditions

that would basically require you to ensure that

25

1	that's not done. Is that something that you
2	would be willing to proffer? I know you were
3	talking
4	MR. BASS: I'm sorry, I was trying to get
5	an answer may I answer Commissioner
6	Slesnick's question first, and then
7	MR. LEEN: Yes.
8	MR. BASS: If you could refresh me with the
9	question, I'll get you an answer.
10	MR. LEEN: Sure.
11	MR. BASS: In the height reduction, we
12	eliminated a floor of parking, but we did not
13	change the units of the apartment building. So
14	the unit count
15	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So it's a floor of
16	parking, so you're out so many parking spaces.
17	MR. BASS: Correct, to get to the height
18	reduction that we got to.
19	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: So you still have
20	the same number of units.
21	MR. BASS: Same number of units.
22	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay.
23	MR. BASS: Same number of units.
24	MAYOR CASON: So is that without any
25	consideration up to now of shared parking under

a PAD, which we did in the Agave project? 1 2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Or we're going to 3 have shared parking. 4 MR. LEEN: I thought that involved shared 5 parking. 6 MR. TRIAS: Mayor, I want to clarify that 7 Staff has not reviewed the re-design. 8 still had to be submitted. What the Applicant 9 has agreed to do is cap at 133, and internally 10 they have done some re-design. 11 Now, we would have to do all of that review 12 prior to second reading. 13 MAYOR CASON: Right. But my question is, 14 is there a potential in the discussion going 15 between first and second reading to reduce another floor of parking, to get it dropped, 16 17 without touching your revenue generating parts? 18 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mr. Mayor, 19 respectfully, we can just force it on them. 20 MAYOR CASON: But I'm just wondering --COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm trying to say, 21 22 listen, what we're looking for is to 23 continue -- so, no, I'm trying to be nice. 24 Again, that's why we're having this discussion. 25 So basically the Commission, as it has

1 stated, we're looking to trend in that 2 direction. 3 MR. BASS: I hear you. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Your hear me clear? 4 5 You hear me clear? MR. BASS: I hear you. 6 7 MR. TRIAS: If we could get your goal, what 8 you would like to get to, I plan to work with 9 the Applicant to get as close to that as 10 possible. So if you could tell us what you would like --11 12 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Well, Mr. Trias, and 13 Mr. Bass, I think when we eventually make a 14 motion up here, what we do, I think, will be 15 very clear as to what we would want to see, if 16 anything. 17 MAYOR CASON: If it's the 13 feet that 18 we're talking about, is that a parking floor? 19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Could be mixed. Could 20 be a mix. I mean, that's what they have --21 MAYOR CASON: But, I mean, you're talking 22 about how you're close on your economics on 23 this, but the parking doesn't add anything to 24 your economics. It probably lowers your costs. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: It lowers your costs.

It's about \$20,000 per parking space to construct. So you're actually seeing -- I think you're averaging probably about -- correct me if I'm wrong -- I had one of several meetings with Mr. Trias, you're talking about between 90 to 100 parking spaces per floor. So you're talking about a cost savings of two million dollars per floor.

MAYOR CASON: So that's something you can explore.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I mean, I would like nothing more than to, like I've mentioned before, was the issue of potentially doing what we did with Merrick Manor, where we, going off what the Vice Mayor just said, we basically forced the hand of going underground parking.

My understanding is that the geotechnical report here states that that is not a feasible opportunity for this project.

MR. HERNANDEZ: That is correct. That is correct. The Hundred Year Flood is at six.

The floor level is eleven. You cannot park in five feet of height.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to make sure we mention all of these things, so that

the residents understand, we're exploring every option to try to push this as much as we can, and --

MR. BASS: And just for the court reporter, that was Jorge Hernandez speaking. So, please, just say your name.

MR. HERNANDEZ: And I know that there are a number of you that are very interested in energy efficiency and climate change. The data for this area is from the 1930s. So when I say the Hundred Year flood level is at six, it's from the 1930s.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: And another concession that I want to mention, also, that Mr. Trias helped me, along with the City Manager, and the City Attorney, really get included in this agreement -- this proposed agreement, is the fact that this building would be LEED.

You know, again, we're in the process of, next Commission, I think -- Ramon, if you want to stay one second -- we're going to be putting forth an ordinance, which all of this style of buildings, as of right buildings, which have been approved, because we have many as of right projects that have been approved lately in the

1 City of Coral Gables, that have become LEED, 2 because this Commission has requested that they 3 have that LEED style of construction. 4 MR. TRIAS: Yeah. The first amendment to 5 that is coming before you, and will make it 6 mandatory for mixed use projects. COMMISSIONER LAGO: Right now this project doesn't have to be mandatory. 8 9 MR. TRIAS: Right. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Right now, this 11 project, we're requesting that they make sure 12 that this project is LEED. 13 Can I just talk about one thing that's 14 really important? 15 MAYOR CASON: Go ahead. 16 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I really want the 17 residents to understand and my colleagues, because maybe they haven't been afforded what 18 19 I've been afforded, I got to sit down with the 20 Developer on three different occasions, and I got to sit down with Mr. Chip Withers, 21 22 Commissioner Withers, who is not here today, on 23 three occasions. I was supposed to get 24 together with him yesterday, but he's not able 25 to join us today, even though he sent a letter

of support -- excuse me, not support, excuse me, opposing the project. I want to get that correct.

One of the things that I was able to understand, after sitting down with the Applicant, is what potentially an as of right project would look on this piece of property, and I don't want to disclose too much of the information that you shared with me, because I don't know if you shared it with anybody else, and I know it's, you know, certain information that maybe you want to keep private, but I think there's a big misconception here in reference to what can be put on this property as of right.

And I want to make sure that I can discuss that here in public and not --

MR. LEEN: I think now you have to put it in the record, actually.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want to make sure that everybody is aware, because I want to be transparent. I want to be transparent about this.

You know, you're talking about potentially having a big box retail that would be an as of

right project, with two or three levels of parking, with three levels of medical office on top of that. So you're talking about six or seven stories.

In the discussion that we had, I wanted to find out, and I heard before the traffic specialist, Mr. Plummer, speak in regards to the fact that there will be a hundred percent increase in traffic from what is being proposed today.

Has that been vetted? Has that been like shown to be fact? Can anybody give me a little bit more information in regards to that?

MR. LEEN: Yes, and I do need you to put that in the record. Please put in the record what would be as of right there, what the Commissioner was informed.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Oh, no, what they showed me was basically what --

MR. BASS: To answer your question, let me just take it one by one.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Of course.

MR. BASS: To answer your question about traffic, let me have Mr. Plummer supply you with the assumptions for the as of right

1 project that generated the conclusion, so that we do apples to apples. COMMISSIONER LAGO: I want everyone to be 3 aware, especially the residents, of what truly 4 5 is an as of right project, that does not have to come before the Commission for approval, 6 because there's a lot of -- I met with some of 7 the residents, and they say, "That's not 8 9 allowed, that would have to come before the 10 Commission to get a variance." 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Before Tim starts, 12 are we talking about not requiring the 13 four-story limitation on US-1? 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Well, it already says, with a Mediterranean Bonus, you'd be allowed to 15 16 have six. That's an as of right project. MAYOR CASON: 17 Yeah. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Right now you have 45 feet, four stories. If you produce a 19 20 Mediterranean style design, it would be six 21 stories, even seven stories. 22 MAYOR CASON: 77. 23 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Or 72. 24 MS. RUSSO: The answer is that we don't --25 MR. LEEN: I need to interrupt. I'm sorry.

1 I need you to put into the record what is as of 2 right, and what was informed to the 3 Commissioner. That needs to happen, and I need 4 someone who is testifying to that. So please. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Bass, the project 6 that potentially would come in this location, 7 that has been offered to the client, which is a shopping center style, with a grocer in the 8 9 bottom, please discuss that, so the Commission 10 is aware of it. 11 MR. BASS: Okay. I'll go as far as I can, 12 but I want to answer the question that was 13 asked, which was a slightly different question, 14 but let me answer the City Attorney's first. 15 Yes, there has been interest expressed in 16 the property by end users, who would be grocers 17 and medical office providers, who would 18 conceivably build a combination of both, retail 19 and professional offices, with structured 20 parking on that site. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. And you have a 22 letter of intent showing interest in this? 23 That I don't know. MR. BASS: 24 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. I'm asking --25 I'm asking a question, to see if that --

1 MR. BASS: But what I think is important, and I'll see how far I can go with the 2 3 disclosure there, because -- and I think it's important for this conversation --4 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: The reason why I ask is because Mr. Plummer before made a statement 6 that there's a hundred percent increase from 8 what is being proposed today, if we go in an as 9 of right fashion with the product that you're 10 just mentioning right now. And I want to be 11 clear, and I want to give -- if you want to 12 talk about it, please, you know, come forward. 13 MR. BASS: And Mr. Plummer will give you 14 with specificity the assumptions he made for 15 the as of right project, that he modeled the 16 comparative traffic analysis from. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's what I want to 18 hear. 19 MR. BASS: Which is what I thought you 20 wanted to hear. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Exactly. 22 MR. BASS: So he will tell you, in terms of 23 the type of use and the amount of that type of 24 use, the corresponding traffic generator.

Yes.

COMMISSIONER LAGO:

25

Mr. Plummer, thank you, sir.

MR. PLUMMER: Again, Tim Plummer, with David Plummer and Associates, 1750 Ponce de Leon Boulevard.

The program I was given as an as of right plan includes 89,000 square feet of medical office, about a 5,400 square foot restaurant, and 16,000 square feet of a supermarket.

And as I stated on the record previously, in the p.m. peak hour, that generates about a hundred percent more net new trips compared to the Paseo de la Riviera project. I can submit this into the record.

commissioner LAGO: And that is based, obviously, on your experience, adjacent Trader Joe's, which I've gone to, which I will never go back again -- I mean, let's be clear. I Mean, if anybody's gone there on a Saturday, you can't find any parking.

COMMISSIONER KEON: I've never gone there because of that.

MR. PLUMMER: These numbers are based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual, which is what our impact study is based on, which is what's professionally accepted.

With the same assumptions that we used in our traffic study regarding transit ridership, internalization, those kinds of things, same assumptions, and that's what the conclusions are.

1.7

MAYOR CASON: Laura, I think it would be helpful, because we haven't actually gotten to the conditions that you've agreed to, we've gotten bits and pieces, but I think it would be good for people that are watching to know, the questions that came out of the Planning and Zoning and elsewhere that -- we heard, 40, and then we heard, 20, just quickly go through what it is. In addition, there was screening on the back, there was all kinds of lights and --

MS. RUSSO: Sure. And it depends on how you look at them, there are twelve conditions, but there are subconditions within the condition, so by counting each and every one of them, I totaled 42. And, as Jeff said, we're agreeing to 40 of the 42, and he already made the exemption as to the two that we wish to preserve, which is the 142.6 for the hotel site, and 80 feet of depth to the 45 height.

But other than that, we've agreed to the

traffic condition, which is one year monitoring, and we've agreed to five years of traffic monitoring after Certificate of Occupancy. We've agreed on a construction staging plan, working with the Parking Director, Mr. Kevin Kinney, in terms of making sure that construction workers, during construction, are not parking in the neighborhood. I've already even spoken to Mr. Kinney about that.

We've also agreed to address with

Mr. Kinney the valet operations, as well as
taxicab parking, and all of this is done during
the construction staging and between
construction and operations, for some of the
operational components.

MAYOR CASON: Screening of the back, there was something --

MS. RUSSO: The screening, we've agreed to screen the back of the parking garage, and we've also agreed to activate the first level of the parking garage. Before, the first level of the parking garage, on the Madruga elevation, had been parking. We've agreed to line it with an active use, and we've agreed to

1 also make it a green a wall in the back, and 2 these were conditions that were imposed by the 3 Planning and Zoning Board. 4 So we've agreed to all of those. 5 Do you have a list of our conditions? 6 And, of course, we've agreed as a restrictive --Ms. Russo, do you -- the parking 8 MR. LEEN: 9 issue --10 MR. RUSSO: With respect to the parking, 11 yes, we will agree to additional conditions to 12 make sure that the parking is not subleased by 13 tenants to other users. We'll make sure that 14 the lease agreements with the tenants make that 15 a violation of the lease agreement, so the 16 landlord will have the ability then to sue the 17 tenant, if they were to violate that. So it's our intent to do everything that we 18 19 can to make sure that parking is not shared 20 with others, other than the users of the 21 building. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like another 23 condition for you. 24 MS. RUSSO: You want another one? 25 COMMISSIONER KEON: I do.

MS. RUSSO: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like you to ensure that you will house all employee parking on site, that every person that is employed in those buildings will have a parking space within your parking garage. There is no reason for anyone, not a valet, not a housekeeper -there is not one reason for anyone to park on any neighborhood street anywhere.

MR. BASS: Just as a friendly amendment, and I got it, I'm not fighting with you --COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. I'm just asking you that that is --

MR. BASS: One of our ideas was to subsidize their transit pass.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Good. And if they choose not to do that, and if they come in a car, I don't want to see one single employee, not one single employee, park in anybody's -on any public street, in any swale, on any public street.

MAYOR CASON: And there's another thing that you all, if we get to a second reading, should --

MS. RUSSO: We'll accept that condition. MAYOR CASON: -- discuss. It's the possibility of doing something with a trolley that will help reduce the need for parking, because we've used -- we're planning to expand the trollies anyway. UM's got a trolley that doesn't connect with our trolleys, and there possibly could be some things done with UM, but it seems like that would obviated the need for some of this overparking that we've seen. So to discuss that and see if that's feasible.

2.0

MS. RUSSO: Yeah. In our response, you will note that what we did is, we're going to take time, between first and second reading, to look at some options. Some of it being, discussing with the University of Miami -- we know they have the Hurry Canes buses, and to look at different options to be able to expand the connectivity between the project and other business sections between the project, the University Station, Merrick Park.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, because I've raised this with the new president of UM as one of my priorities, is to -- because their trolly goes Coconut Grove, you can't get from the University --

1	MC DUCCO. And Couth Miomi
1	MS. RUSSO: And South Miami.
2	MAYOR CASON: And South Miami, but you
3	can't get to the Gables.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah, but just like we
5	did with Mediterranean Village, where we got
6	them to comit over 15 years to have, I think
7	it's four extra trolleys, correct
8	MAYOR CASON: They offered four for 15
9	years 25 years.
10	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Four for 15 years. We
11	need to have something similar.
12	MS. RUSSO: Yeah, and what we'd like to
13	do
14	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm not asking it has
15	to be that scope, because that project is four
16	times the size of this project.
17	COMMISSIONER KEON: Exactly.
18	MAYOR CASON: Ramon.
19	MR. TRIAS: Condition 7 is a mobility
20	contribution, okay, and it anticipates
21	negotiating the amount and the type of user
22	that we can use. So if you request it more
23	specifically, we'll just add that into the
24	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: But I'd ask that
25	you not be more specific at this point, that we

would be able to sit down and really work through those issues, so that we know that what you want we can deliver.

MAYOR CASON: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: But I will give you one specific item, and I agree with the Manager, I want to make sure that if there's any trolleys, they don't go to the heart of the residential neighborhood. I want them off -- away. You know, obviously, continue to push anything that has to do with the residential neighborhoods, protect the neighborhoods.

MAYOR CASON: Frank.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. I feel like this conversation has made an assumption that hasn't been decided yet, whether we're for the project or against it. The conversation, I feel, skipped a step.

So I just want to give my opinion on where I'm at right now. I would just want to say a few things, based on what I've heard today, based on the evidence that's been presented, because I know that's what we have to apply in this situation and the standard that was explained to us this morning, we -- first thing

first, you know, I think the Holiday Inn is a tired building, in the sense that it's shown a little bit of age. I think it's inevitable that something will come there. Obviously, we just want to make sure it's the right thing, it's within the vision that, you know, our founders had and the vision that we have for the City, as elected officials.

You know, and I think my comments and my thoughts on it are summed pretty well -- there were a few individuals that spoke, that, you know, I really agreed with their opinion. I like the way they phrased it. They phrased it very well, and it's a combination of what Courtney Thompson, Chris Zoller -- I'm sorry, one more person that I really liked what she said -- Cristina Santa-Cruz, they like the look of the project. They don't like the size, and they don't like the scale of it.

Then I believe Commissioner Lago said something, that he didn't like the scale, either. Correct me if I'm wrong. I'm not trying to put words in your mouth.

So I like the look. I like the design. I really like the first floor, I'll be honest

with you, from the designs that I've seen.

Jaycee Park, I've -- you know, I have a
love-hate relationship with Jaycee Park. I
love going there, but everytime I go, my wife
kicks my butt in tennis.

So, you know, I know the neighborhood well.

I've been going in that area a long time. I

know that's outside of what I need to base my

opinion on, but my opinion here, you know,

today, based on the evidence that's been

presented, as what we've seen, you know, I

really want to see a sit down conversation

between the residents and the developer after

this meeting.

You know, I am very much inclined to make a motion to allow it to move to second reading, and the reason for that is, I'm trying to be fair on both sides here. Number One, that neighborhood, it's a true residential neighborhood. You know, I don't want the impacts of traffic or a commercial development impacting that residential neighborhood.

That's very important.

But at the same time, and part of the conversation that Commissioner Lago was having

with Mr. Bass, as well as Mr. Plummer, there's still quite a bit that can be done in an as of right setting, that we would have no control of stopping. So a lot of times up here, residents or a developer will get mad at us or happy at us for reasons that sometimes we don't realize why it's happening, and I think it's important for you to understand what's at least going through my mind. It's a delicate balance of, you know, where the as of right begins and where the variance ends, and there's somewhere in between that we're always looking at.

You know, one of the first items that I voted on, as an elected official, was actually the Somerset Academy, and I believe Mr. Gibbs was there, as well.

MAYOR CASON: That was the longest meeting we ever had.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. You know, and it's funny, and I apologize, I've digressed a little bit from the issue, but I think it's important to understand how that ended up.

Number One, Mr. Gibbs didn't surprise us with so much information at the hearing, which I think he saw I was visibly upset with that,

but, you know, what ended up happening is, it was a healthy conversation. We went back between readings, and the neighborhood was satisfied, and the developer was satisfied, and I think it was a win-win situation that came out of that.

So I just want to be very clear, when it comes to traffic in the neighborhoods, you know, I have great respect for Mr. Plummer, just because he's analyzed more projects in this City than, I think, anyone else, and he represents the City the vast majority of the time. However, Mr. Zoller's statements threw me off a little bit, because I served on the Traffic Board with him.

I think, when you serve on a traffic board or you sit up here, you look at traffic, you really learn to understand what the impacts are on traffic. So I know he lives in the area and I know he was on the Traffic Board with me, and we served together. So he understands the nuances.

So the fact that there currently is stacking -- was there an opportunity, I had a chance to speak to you -- someone over here cut

28 W. Flagler Street, Suite 555, Miami, Florida 33130

me off from questioning, Ms. Madam Manager, which I want to come back to those questions after, if I feel it's a consensus that the project -- we want to continue going into some of these nuances, but I think it was important for all of us to understand at least where were at, because if the votes aren't there to move forward, then I think we're wasting everyone's time.

1.8

So the traffic, obviously, is big concern. When I look at the numbers here, when I look at the person who has put it together, when I look at -- there was another traffic consultant looking at it, it makes me feel like the traffic impact is going to be severe. However, again, what Mr. Zoller said really jumped out at me. It's what some of the other residents have said, Ms. Kawalerski, as well, which knows the -- you know, I greatly respect her opinion when it comes to the pedestrian walkability and the bike paths and the interplay with traffic, just because of her experience and my dealings with her. So that throws me off a little bit.

So I want to hear more about the traffic aspect, and if it does move to a second

reading, I want additional drill down into those specifics.

As far as the parking, Debra, one of the -I think she spoke fifth or sixth, she mentioned
parking in the area is currently a problem
coming from the -- what is it called -- Gables
One Tower? Is that the one -- the formal name
of it?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Something that we did, that was very successful in the past, North Ponce, we implemented residential parking restrictions and we did it around actually Commissioner Lago's neighborhood, before you lived there, around the University of Miami, and that was very successful.

I know it's not an issue today; however,
I'm going to ask that at the next Commission
Meeting, it's an item that we put up for
discussion, to put residential parking permits
around that building, based on some of the
comments we've heard today, and, obviously, if
this Commission decides to continue the
conversation, I would make it another
requirement, that we make residential parking

permitting sections there.

2.

And I know there's a lot of intricacies with every neighborhood, because, if you go visit a friend, you don't want to get towed, because you're visiting a friend, and I know that Kevin Kinney, in the Parking Department, has done a good job to figure that out.

So I just wanted to give you my thoughts of where I'm at. I want to see this move to a second hearing. However, if it does stay at the same scale that it's currently at, my vote at second hearing will be, no, it will be against the project, but, again, I think we have an opportunity here, as a neighborhood, because I do think we have a nice design, and I do think we have a developer that's willing to work with the neighborhood, and, you know, they've worked some, and I think we need to make them work some more. And that's where I'm at right now.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to add a few things here in reference to what the Vice Mayor --

MAYOR CASON: Yeah, go ahead. Then I want to ask the Attorney --

that when we do have -- like I requested before, when we have the Applicant and the neighbors get together, either it'd be with the City Attorney or City Manager, whoever we decide to be present, I want to make sure they discuss certain issues. Like I'm a huge fan, and I know that Commissioner Slesnick mentioned it before, and this whole Commission, as a whole, mentioned it the other day when we met, we talk about open public spaces, right?

It's my understanding, Ramon, and correct me if I'm wrong, that open public spaces, as per the Code, has to be 21 percent. Is it 20 or 21?

MR. TRIAS: 20 percent.

MR. LEEN: 20. So you're talking about here 40 percent of this project is going to be open space. I mean, that's significant. I mean, that's what we want to push for, and I brought that up the other day, when we discussed the potential development that may be coming next to Merrick Park, with that green space, which we've all heard rumors that there may be some sort of boutique hotel coming.

Again, we have to make decisions at that point. I think that it has to be a give and take between the Applicant and the residents.

Do we have more open space, and we have to give a little bit of height, or do we get rid of that open space, and have more of a box?

1.8

And to me, I'm in favor of just, you know, making sure there's a delicate balance in regards to that issue, and I think that if we're not careful, we could get into a situation where we could just have a box, like I mentioned before, and I know that maybe right now we're not going to talk about it in full detail, but Mr. Plummer submitted into the record the actual traffic study, and I want the Applicant to sit down with the residents and explain what could be potentially done, and what the interest is from a proposed partner that they're courting, if this project does not move forward. I want them to be aware of that.

I think it's good to put everything on the table and be as transparent as possible, and if we could have our City Attorney and Mr. Trias sit down and explain why that's as of right and does not have to come before the Commission.

That is my biggest fear, because my biggest fear is stepping into a situation where we really spin out of control in regards to traffic.

So, please, make sure, and I want to put that on the record today, that if we do move forward to a second reading, and once the Applicant and the residents do sit together, that has to be a focal point. We need to look at the options that are on the table.

MAYOR CASON: Mr. Attorney, would you explain what's required if we're going to move to a second, and then we'll have discussions, but I want to get the legal part first?

COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay.

MR. LEEN: So Item A-1, that's the
Comprehensive Plan change, that's what would
move the designation from Commercial Low Rise
Intensity to Commercial High Rise Intensity.
That's where the issue about height is.
There's been a proffered covenant. You've
heard what the proffered covenant is.

For purposes of first reading, I would recommend that you accept that. It doesn't mean that at second reading you have to accept

it, and I doesn't mean that you can't impose something different as a condition of approval of the site plan, for example, but in terms of the Comp Plan, all you can do is accept whatever covenant is proffered. So if you end up approving this, I would accept whatever is the proffered.

2.1

Now, if you vote, yes, on A-1, you can move to the other ones. If you vote, no, on A-1, this whole matter dies at that time, because if that doesn't pass first reading, you can't approve the rest of this project.

So Item A-1 is the Comp Plan change, and you should vote on that first.

Based on that, Item A-2 is the change to the site specific, and it's been proffered that this will only relate to this particular property, and it will be written like that for second reading. If you vote -- I've given a prior opinion that you don't necessarily have to vote, yes, on this, although it's preferable. If you vote, yes, on A-1, it's consistent to vote, yes, on A-2, but you don't have to, because you have A-3, and A-3 is the site plan.

When we've been talking about the quasi-judicial component, that's Item A-3, and of these conditions we're discussing, that are meant to address the harm, and any other condition you want to impose, they're all imposed on Item A-3 or they're proffered. In fact, all of the conditions being discussed right now are being proffered. You've accepted them all, except for the issue regarding the height, and the Commission has indicated that they want you to work with me and with Mr. Gibbs to try to address the scale and height.

MAYOR CASON: So a question. If in order to move to a second reading, where all of these things can be discussed and we'll make a final decision sometime in November --

MR. LEEN: You would need to vote. In order to go to second reading, you would need to vote, yes, on all them.

MAYOR CASON: If any of these were voted, no, other than A-1, could you come back in the second reading and vote?

MR. LEEN: I mean, you could continue with A-1, but I would not recommend a vote of, yes, in A-1 and, no, on any of the other ones,

1 because then you're allowing the Comp Plan 2 change without any of the conditions of approval or anything like that. 4 MAYOR CASON: That was my point. 5 MR. LEEN: I would look at the three 6 together. However, if you vote, no, on A-1, 7 this cannot proceed to second reading. 8 MAYOR CASON: Okay. Pat. 9 COMMISSIONER KEON: I do. I'd like to 10 start with asking Ramon -- you know, I've heard 11 a lot of discussion here about the 12 70-story building -- or the 100, and whatever, 13 42 --14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: 133, 140. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: 140, whatever. The IRE 16 building, how it appeared overnight. You know, 17 that's not -- I don't know that personally, how it came about, so I'd like to know -- was that 1.8 19 built with variances or was that -- what was 20 the story at that time? 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: That's 145 feet. 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: If you know off the top 23 of your head. 24 MS. RUSSO: I have the information. I did 25 research on the IRE building, because we

constantly heard how it was --1 2 COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, I'd like the 3 facts on that just put on the record. 4 MR. RUSSO: I have the facts. 5 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. MS. RUSSO: I have the City's Zoning Sheet. 6 7 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okay. 8 MS. RUSSO: It did obtain some variances. 9 The variances it obtained were for setbacks. 10 As you know, it had the front setbacks, the 11 same ones we have of 125 feet, and 50 in the 12 It obtained setbacks, because it has its 13 parking garage -- as you know, it's a half 14 level up and a half level down. The parking 15 garage is five feet from the setback. So that 16 was considered. It did not get a variance for 17 height. Its only variances were for the 18 parking garage structure. 19 COMMISSIONER KEON: Okav. 20 MS. RUSSO: These variances for the project 21 were approved in May of 1971, and I have an 22 article here written by Edwin Knight, Decision Changes Building, and I have a zoning 23 24 worksheet, and I have an article that showed up

in December of 1971.

noticed. People went to the project. It was the permitted height. So it did not come in the middle of the night. And the real controversy came, later on, after it was built, because it didn't have signage and it was when the IRE became either a major tenant, and it put the fluorescent signage on the side of the building, which was something that was seen from miles and miles away.

1.

I'm going to turn in here -- I have the Zoning Sheet that was calculated. And just so you know, the Zoning Code -- there were a lot of different components to the Zoning Code back in 1971, and an article here from The Times from 1971.

COMMISSIONER KEON: All right. So that was the permitted height at the time that that building was permitted and it was built.

MS. RUSSO: And just so you know, there were two other buildings that were approved on the site, that did not get built. One of them was called the Coral Gardens Apartments

Shopping Center and the other one was the Gables Tower Luxury Apartments. They were

approved in the '60s.

So, you know, the Holiday Inn was built in '61. The University Shopping Center was already existing. So this was the middle parcel, and there was a mixed use building that was approved in 1964, which was geared for University of Miami students and University of Miami faculty, and it was a high rise. At the time, it was 150 feet, and it got approval, and it was approved.

There must have been some change in the market, because shortly thereafter, there was a revised site plan that was presented to the City for the Gables Tower Luxury Apartments, with high end retail on the ground floor.

So that site, you know, had three different, and one was actually built -- three different high rises approved for the site.

COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. So it seems that that site, where the University Shopping Center is now, the IRE Building, the current setting of the Holiday Inn, were -- at that time, could build to the height that the IRE building is, and it was -- up until then, it hadn't been, but it could be they built it and

there was a reaction from the community, that, you know, gasped and said, "Oh, my God, how could you allow that," and the reaction was to make it four stories, which is -- you know, when you look back, whether it was good planning or bad planning, it doesn't seem to be. It seems to have been reactionary, not really a planning issue at all, but just a reaction.

So I certainly can -- I don't -- I think the site warrants more than four feet. What I don't like -- I don't like is, I don't like strip malls. I don't like large asphalt services -- surfaces along our street fronts. I think as you go down LeJeune Road and you look at, you know, the buildings now, as you come out -- you go by Merrick Park, you start to come up, you see duplexes, whatever, you come in toward the Downtown, and you see some office buildings, and then you hit the asphalt parking lot of the Publix, and I think it's a very, very unattractive space, for a very, very beautiful City. And, you know, and then you move along and you don't see it again.

I don't like that along the highway,

1

2

3

4

5

either, either the University Shopping Center or the Holiday Inn part now. I think it's very unattractive. I don't think, you know, where the Rivera Theater is and where Swensen and that is all built, isn't quite as back, because it's not quite as deep, but it's not attractive.

Having attractive buildings front our streets, I think, is a much better way to build and a much better way to develop our cities.

What's going to happen in turn, though, is, it means that you're going to hide parking, and so parking is going to be built and decks are going to be built underneath, and so, in turn, what you're going to have to do to get rid of what I think is very, very unattractive development, is you're going to have to allow height. You're going to have to go up, to improve the appearance and the aesthetics of a community.

I also think that the development that is before us today, by its design, is -- again, I mean, everyone has said, is really a beautiful design. I think the paseo is beautiful. I think the open space is very nice. I think the

green -- the grass and the greenness around it is very attractive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think, their building transit oriented development at Dadeland or Datran Center is very, very unattractive, because it is all It's all concrete. concrete. There are no -you know, there is, I guess, one kind of roundabout that has some sculpture or something in it, but, you know, for the community as a whole -- and, you know, I think we need to serve the residents of the Riviera Neighborhood Association, but, you know, we need to serve our community as a whole. We need to serve every resident, and sustain the aesthetics of our community in general, and I think that changing the building type along that corridor will do that.

So, you know, actually, I hope in some ways, not the height, not the density, but the type of footprint that this building will create, with the paseo, with some open space, with covered walkways, I hope it will be the design that will follow as some of these other buildings in these spaces are re-developed and we move away from asphalt parking lots and

whatever. It is a much, much more attractive look, and much more in keeping with he aesthetics that currently exist in the City of Coral Gables and have always been -- always been important to the City, from Merrick's time, you know, forward.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I do have a concern about is just the density and the size of the project. isn't anything else along -- you know, that once we leave our Downtown, as you go along, in the City of Coral Gables, anyway, there isn't anything that goes to that 142 feet height. mean, even as we go into the area -- as you continue down US-1, and, you know, you turn left and you into -- you know, along Sunset and that whole kind of commercial area that is our southern border there, also is not at that height, and I think that it should be -- what is built along the Highway there should be more in keeping with the density and the height and the massing of, you know, what continues into that southern part of our City.

So I like the project very much. I really -- I will tell you everything that I like, but I will not, in the end, approve a

project if you don't bring down the density and bring down the height of that project.

And not -- either not just by removing, you know, parking. It's you need to remove some of the units, too.

You know, and I know that every time you remove salable space, it reduces, you know, your return, but, you know, you buy a piece of property with the hopes that you're going to be able to develop it. You kind of know what's going to be there. And that's a risk that you take.

So, you know, in someplace else, you may make a little more money, but, you know, here, there is -- you know, there is a very strong commitment to aesthetics and to planning and whatever in this community that I think that we have to hold everyone to that develops here.

So it needs to be -- I think the 120 that the Planning Department has been talking about is probably a better scale even for both of them, but I think you need to come down, and I don't want to see it happen at the expense of the green space or the paseo or everything else.

I know there are a lot of conditions here that are imposed on you, and I think some of them are rather costly. Personally, I would rather see you bring down the density than expect that you should, you know, provide a lot of amenities to the City that maybe are not directly related to your project.

1.2

But I would like to see it down -- you know, to come down a little bit, and I know it is a transportation corridor, there is increased density, but, you know, we are increasing the density, but not maybe as much as you would like to see.

And I feel very strongly about this issue of employee parking. I mean, I find it very distressful that when you go up Sunset Drive, the swales along the Sunset Drive, in front of the Women's Club and the Presbyterian Church and all of that, are filled with cars, that are employee parking for some businesses that are in the Gables, along Yumuri and in that area, that had no for employee parking and employees are now parking there.

I think it detracts from the appearance of Sunset. I think it is -- what was a very nice

grassy strip and very pretty, is now dirt.

It's just dirt, because employees and people have parked there.

So I want to make sure that going forward, that whatever we allow, that we ensure that employee parking is dealt with, within what you build. I don't want to see anybody parking on anybody's swale anywhere in this City, unless you invited them to come park there.

MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Slesnick.

I'm still back on asking questions, because I didn't want to take up your time. I worked at EWM, right next door, on Caballero, for 20 years, and we had usually 40 people in the office and filled the parking spaces in the University Inn Complex there. If we had office meetings of even 20 or 30 more people, we had cars on the City streets, on Caballero, and at the park, where we were ticketed and everything else, and that was only 50 or 60 cars.

And I know still a lot of people park in that area at the park, when they really need to be parking -- I've been told, the residents have seen them walking over around the corner

to the Gables One Tower. So I think we need to look at enforcing that, if that's a problem.

Someone wrote me a letter last night, that said that perhaps Hardee should be closed off or made one way somewhere near the park, so that the traffic doesn't go in or come out, at least one part of that, and I was just looking at the numbers that you have, where there's 136 cars coming. That's one of the real fears for the people that live on Hardee down that way, because I remember, when I was with EWM, we had a no right-hand turn sign when we came out of our parking space, and yet half the people, half the agents in our office, turned right and went up Hardee Road.

It wasn't enforced. There were no police officers there. You're making an illegal right-hand turn.

What do you think of that idea, as far as making Hardee Road one way into Caballero or one way out, at that point, just so that you can get to the park?

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Commissioner, because you asked it, I received the same e-mail. That was sent to us by Mr. Ojeda, Tony Ojeda.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Thank you. I didn't know. We got a lot of letters last night.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, I responded to him, also, whatever. He's here, just in case you want to refer to him in person.

MR. PLUMMER: That's a possibility. That would be a potential traffic calming alternative. I thought of Commissioner Quesada, because that came up in another project. We did something very similar, when City National Bank and the Bacardi Headquarters came forward on Le Jeune, at Sevilla, when the traffic signal came in.

There was a concern that as people left the parking garage, they were going to come onto Le Jeune and go west on Sevilla. So we made -- as you know, Sevilla now is one way eastbound, just for that section, so you cannot go into Sevilla and go westbound when you leave.

Now, to answer your question previous or your comment, there was another traffic study for that project, where we put in a bunch of traffic calming on Anderson, and that traffic calming device on Sevilla.

There was a concern for the residents to the south, the next few streets, before you get to Anastasia, "Well, Mr. Plummer, everybody is just going to continue down south on Le Jeune and then they're going to cut through our street."

So one of the conditions was, a traffic calming study, I believe it was six months after opening -- six months to a year, and we did the analysis and those streets had almost no impact on them. The volumes that we did -- we had before counts, before the project was built, after counts, and it didn't come anywhere near meeting the traffic calming threshold. So improvements like that, if that's something the residents are interested in, are potential traffic calming improvements that, One, would have to be approved by the City and the County, because it's a traffic flow modification, and that is one way to control traffic. It's more restrictive.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: What are the chances of having a light out there on Caballero, because that was another problem?

If you want try to go left on Caballero, you

really can't. You can barely get right. 1 2 MR. PLUMMER: Right. That came up 3 constantly in our meetings with the neighbors. The State is very stringent on their traffic 4 5 signal spacing. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Because there's one 6 two blocks --7 MR. PLUMMER: Because of the one at South 8 9 Alhambra, it would be like a 70 percent 10 variance, which, you know -- I never say, 11 never, but the chances really are, it will 12 never happen. It's too close to each other. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I appreciate that 14 honesty. That's what I want to hear. 15 MR. PLUMMER: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I know, along, in 16 17 front of EWM, which has just been recently 18 sold, and I understand there's going to be 19 townhouses -- lovely townhouses that are two 20 million up on the water, right across from 21 Jaycee Park, and that the former EWM site, 22 they're talking about making a restaurant and 23 so forth there. 24 Again, you're going to have more traffic if 25 that becomes a restaurant. I mean, it's all

going to be developed sooner or later, but it seems like it's really going to bog down if we don't have -- right now you can go -- you can't go left on their alleyway right in front. It says, don't go left -- cars go that way all of the time, too -- so you can get over to the light.

Do you think there's any way of working with the owner of that property?

MR. PLUMMER: Yes. When those projects come forward, one of the things they're going to have to do in the traffic impact study, if this project moves forward, they will have to take into account the traffic impact from this project. It's part of our background traffic that we talked about, the future without their project. And then they're going to have to go through the same process and address those issues and make sure that the traffic impacts are taken care of, and that could be a potential solution.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yeah. That's it. The fact that it will be studied one year, if this is approved, one year after construction, and any necessary changes made, is a safety measure

that I'm not willing to compromise, and I know that -- you know, I imagine you wouldn't compromise, on, and I know that -- you know, I imagine you wouldn't compromise, either. If anything, I would extend it from one to two years.

COMMISSIONER KEON: But that's one of the benefits of developing under a PAD, is the amount of control that the City will maintain over this site with regard to all of the conditions and things that we will impose. So it gives us a much greater ability to continue to work with what happens there, in protecting the neighborhood.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Just some final comments.

Thank you, Tim.

2.4

MR. PLUMMER: You're welcome.

and Mr. Gibbs both made excellent presentations here today, and you're commended for doing such a fine job, keeping it civil, as well as the whole audience. I like the project and I especially like Jorge Hernandez's style. I've always admired -- I worked on the museum with

him and the building is beautiful.

I really would love to see this over on one of those vacant parking lots next to the BankUnited Center, since this is going to have a lot of students or graduate students, and so forth in the rental building, and they need a hotel.

I still don't understand, most major universities have hotels right on campus, their own hotels, that would service the University Health Center or whatever is going on there, service the people coming to stay and visit at the University, have -- you could use the building, the rental building, for long stay for professors who are only coming in here for six months.

I mean, I would rather -- I think the lady right behind you mentioned that she would love to have the University have more right, in order to build higher. This is a perfect project for an empty parking lot, that's right over there, that doesn't have anything going on, just to the north of the Bank United.

No clapping.

MAYOR CASON: We'll be having a chance to

meet, I think in early December, with the Board of Trustees and the new president of UM. We can make suggestions as to future development there, as a separate issue, though.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But, I mean, it's so well-designed and it would be perfect for being there on campus if we can give the University of Miami more space.

I would like to say that I wish all of you could have been at brainstorming. I know you had other things, and you were in Africa, a little bit far to come, but we had 300 to 400 people at the brainstorming session, and everybody was thrilled to have input and to be heard, and that's why they really appreciated being there.

But out of that brainstorming session, the surveys are coming back, and I'll share them all with you, is that the majority of the people there feel like we're moving too fast and just giving so many variances away, that the Comprehensive Plan is really not being followed, that we're giving variance, after variance, after variance, after variance, and when I ran for office in March, that came up, too, and people

just kept saying, "I appreciate your thought in trying to keep this a little bit under, not controlled development, but smart development, for Coral Gables."

And, for me, the Comprehensive Plan change, according to our City Attorney, are legislative in matter, and that they should be really vetted more and heard more from the citizens, and I would like to have something in January or February or March, another Charrette, as Mr. Ramon Trias suggested, having a Charrette where we study changes throughout Coral Gables.

I find US-1 very unattractive in most places, especially where the Rivera Theater used to be, the Holiday Inn, the shopping center where Fridays is. They're all very unattractive. I remember when people didn't want palms down US-1 and we had to fight to get the palms down US-1, and now they're lovely. You know when you're in Coral Gables because you have palms most of the way.

I really, really feel we need to look at changing all of the zoning along US-1, raising the height limitations, and have our Planning and Zoning Board be the ones that make the

rules and regulations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And Mr. Bass was talking about baseball, and this is a great analogy, because we're near the World Series, the way to win games is to have a good pitcher on your team, and good pitchers know where the strike zone is, and I feel the City is in the same mode. The City has been well run for 90 years. It has a good Comprehensive Plan, and good Zoning Laws, and that the pitchers, the City Commission, and the Staff, should aim for the strike zone, and have a winning game, and I think by going out and spreading balls out all over, that a development's here, and a development's here, and we have about seven or eight more -- if you go to the City website now, the E-news came out, and I encourage all of you to sign up for the E-news, because our City Manager put all of the projects on that, that are already under construction, going through the process, that have been permitted or that are in the pipeline coming up, where they're going to be in the same mode, that they're going to have to keep coming back to the City Commission to get variance, after variance, after variance.

So go to your City E-news, on the City website, and look at the 43, 46 projects that are coming to our City.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Herald wrote that we need to be progressive and we need to have some of these projects and so forth. Everybody wants to come to Coral Gables. Everybody wants to come to Coral Gables. That's why their money is a good investment here, and I don't blame everybody wanting to come here and build wonderful projects and this is a beautiful project.

I think the residents are sick and tired of having to come before us and argue their points, when they feel their neighborhoods aren't protected, and they have to get an attorney and come here -- and take off work and come here and talk to us in order to be heard.

We have a Zoning Code, which is a strike We have a Zoning Code, and we should follow it, and variances should only be given when there's an emergency or when it will make something -- a neighborhood, in general, very pleased with it, and the rest of the citizens of Coral Gables.

The citizens of Coral Gables want to be

1 heard, and I remember when 90 Edgewater came None of you were around then. You're too 3 young. 4 Laura, you were here. 5 90 Edgewater came in, and people fought it. And the next elections after that, all three of 6 7 the Commissioners that were there were voted 8 out of office, because 90 Edgewater came in, 9 and the new Commission at that time wanted to 10 stop the further development in that area, and 11 that's where we got into a lawsuit for four 12 years. 13 And eventually we have 10 and 60 Edgewater. 14 COMMISSIONER KEON: Right. And it also 15 cost the City three million dollars. MS. RUSSO: That was the settlement. 16 Ιt 17 was an eight million dollar judgment. 18 COMMISSIONER KEON: It was a three million 19 dollar settlement, because instead of 20 planning --2.1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Planning, in 22 advance. 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: You reacted. And you 24 know what, you cannot be reactionary. 25 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And I feel we are

1 being really very, very reactive and being in 2 emergency room mode, when we should be slowing 3 down and just considering everything, and 4 studying this beforehand. 5 And that's why I really would like to push 6 my follow Commissioners to have a Charrette in 7 January or February or March and just postpone 8 all of this for three months in order to study 9 it. 10 I think this is a good project, but I wouldn't vote for more than six stories or 11 12 eight stories. COMMISSIONER LAGO: But six stories is as 13 14 of right, with the Med Bonus. 15 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Okay. With 16 Mediterranean. Okay, if you wanted a 17 Mediterranean style office building or a 18 doctors' building, that would be an attractive 19 building, with some stores on the ground floor. 2.0 I'm just saying, I would really not vote for more than --21 22 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: But the question is, 24 though, when you see the amount of traffic that

comes in with that type of situation, do we

want more traffic? 1 2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Again, I don't No. 3 believe there's going to be more traffic. 4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Mr. Plummer --5 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I know what he 6 says. COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm not a traffic 8 engineer. 9 MAYOR CASON: Wait a second. COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I worked next door 10 11 for 20 years, next door, and I just know what 12 60 cars can do to a neighborhood, and if you're 13 still going to have a garage and you're going 14 to cut back 90 spaces, so you're going from 835 or whatever, minus 90 spaces, you can only have 15 700 cars, 750 cars. 16 17 750 cars going in and out on the 18 neighborhood and trying to weave your way in 19 and around, and I hear you want bicycles and so 20 forth, and maybe we'll have more bicycles, but 21 the neighbors are going to have their 22 neighborhood intruded upon, no matter what, and 23 if you have a store there, an office building 24 in the front, you can have people come in, in 25

the front. You can regulate where the traffic

1 is going. 2 You can cut off Hardee Road, so that they 3 have to come in and out of US-1. Everybody would have to come in and out of US-1. 4 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So why don't we have our independent traffic consultant review the 6 7 as of right project with a traffic study? 8 MAYOR CASON: Yeah. 9 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Well, that's what 10 we ask. But I feel we're just being asked to 11 vote today --1.2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: No, but I'm saying, our 1.3 own -- the one that we -- Atkins -- why don't 14 we have Atkins vet that and see if that is 15 truly legitimate. 16 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I just feel like 17 we're just rushing into everything. 18 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Let me answer, because 19 you've mentioned it several times, in several 20 meetings. Currently, right now, there's a 21 moratorium in North Gables of any development. 22 I don't think we're rushing. 23 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No. No, not 24 anymore. It ended in August. 25 COMMISSIONER LAGO: But right now we

1	haven't had any projects come from North
2	Gables
3	COMMISSIONER KEON: Well, anything that's
4	not as of right.
5	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Not anything that's not
6	as of with.
7	MR. LEEN: That's been lifted. That's been
8	lifted.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Wait. That was lifted
10	as of two weeks ago, right?
11	MR. LEEN: Yes.
12	COMMISSIONER LAGO: But, hold on, let's
13	address it. And I know that we've mentioned
14	several projects that have been coming to the
15	City
16	MR. LEEN: A month ago.
17	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: No, lifted as of
18	August 26th.
19	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yeah, it was in August.
20	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: It wasn't two weeks
21	ago.
22	COMMISSIONER LAGO: But, I mean, again, I'm
23	doing a little bit of research, because I've
24	heard the comments about the projects that have
25	been coming to the City, a lot of the projects

1 that have come to the City have been as of 2 right. The problem is that they have to 3 come --4 MAYOR CASON: A vast majority. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: They have to come to the Commission, like the 13-unit building that 6 came -- that's going next to Sir Pizza, because -- excuse me -- it's a Site Plan 9 approval. That's an as of right building. 10 MR. LEEN: Yes. 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But that's an 12 industrial area, too, and that --1.3 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Hold on. How about the 1.4 hotel that's under construction, about 2020 --15 COMMISSIONER KEON: Aloft. 16 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: That's in the 17 Central Business District, 2020 Salcedo and 18 2020 Ponce. We have two 16-story buildings --19 COMMISSIONER LAGO: But I'm giving you an 20 example, where I want to be very, very careful, 21 when we say that there's ramped overdevelopment 22 and that there's -- when you can look back at 23 the projects that are under construction or 24 have been approved -- for example, the 25 Collection Residences was an as of right

1 project. The only thing we did on that project, which we all, as a Commission, as a 3 body, approved, was we provided them with not a 4 density increase, not an intensity increase, not more units, not more density, what we just provided was a better footplate in regards to 6 7 the product, and everybody agreed upon that. 8 So I'm with you. I agree. We need to be 9 very careful, you know, in reference to what we 10 approve and how we approve projects, but I want to be even more prudent, when we speak about 11 12 what we've approved in the City. I don't want to start fear -- instilling 13 fear in people that we're overdeveloping and 14 15 people are scared. People want to invest in 16 this City. That's why you see people coming 17 here. For example, Oficina, that was approved on 18 19 Ponce, as of right. 20 I mean, every project, and I've been here 21 for two years, except maybe --COMMISSIONER KEON: Med Village. 22 23 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Med Village is one -give me one second, Mr. Trias, I'm making a 24

point here, okay --

25

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: And then I'm next. 1 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okav. Mediterranean 3 Village, which had been on the docket for four 4 years -- I've been here two years -- that's the 5 only project that we have approved with 6 significant variances. I mean, I can go down the list of items 8 that we've approved since we've been here, 9 where they've been as of right. The project 1.0 that we approved last week, correct me if I'm 11 wrong, was that as of right? 1.2 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: How about the A Loft Hotel? 13 14 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I wasn't here, but that 15 was as of right. 16 MAYOR CASON: That was as of right. 17 Ramon, you want to say something? COMMISSIONER LAGO: I just want to make 18 19 sure -- and I love -- listen, I love having 20 healthy discussions. We can have as 21 many Charrettes. I'm for it. I think it's a 22 great idea to have Charrettes. I think it's 23 spectacular to hear public input. That's why I 24 told you to make sure that every time we have 25 these Commission Meetings, that we put up how

many times we've taken public input. It's important.

That's why every individual in this room, that has written me an e-mail, gets a response, and it says, "If you want to meet with me, here's my cell phone. If you want to have coffee with me, I'm more than willing to meet with you and have a discussion with you."

What I don't want to do is instill fear in people that we're out there giving away the City, which we're not. As of right -- and I'm willing to sit down with you and go project by project by project -- right now we currently have, in the City, two cranes. Two cranes in the City, okay, in this entire City.

What do you want to do? Do you want to stop development in the City as a whole, just stop giving out building permits? I don't think that's what we want in the City.

What we need to do is very prudent and get the best design possible and have as much public open space as possible. That's what we need to do. We need to protect the residents, have as much open space as possible and have the best design possible. That's what George

1	Merrick has always wanted. But I don't want to
2	instill fear in people and say that we're
3	you know, development is ramped, when we have
4	two cranes in our entire City.
5	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But we have more
6	coming.
7	COMMISSIONER LAGO: An as of right project.
8	You can't stop as of right
9	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: That's fine, but
10	MR. LEEN: Okay. The rules say that there
11	cannot be arguments among Commissioners.
12	MAYOR CASON: Yeah, listen.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: We're not arguing. The
14	last thing I want to do is argue. I'm having a
15	conversation. I have too much respect for her
16	to argue with her.
17	MAYOR CASON: Let me just ask if you have
18	one last comment, before we have motions on
19	this.
20	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I have a motion.
21	MR. TRIAS: It's just to correct the
22	terminology. When I hear, as of right, what I
23	think you mean is that there is no rezoning or
24	change of Land Use.
25	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes, sir.

1 MR. TRIAS: That's what you --2 MR. LEEN: Yes, because the mixed use 3 building comes to you for site plan review, but 4 you don't have a basis to just reject it. I 5 think that's what's being said, but they do 6 come -- you're allowed to impose Conditions of Approval, but we do allow mixed use buildings in our Zoning Code, but they come before you 9 for Conditional Use Review. 10 So it's something a little bit in between. 11 COMMISSIONER KEON: Can I ask --12 MAYOR CASON: Nevertheless, last thoughts. 13 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I think Commissioner 14 Keon had a comment. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: I'd like -- through the 16 Mayor -- if Mr. Trias could just, for proper 17 nomenclature -- would you define a variance? 18 MR. TRIAS: I'm just trying to help a 19 little bit. 20 COMMISSIONER KEON: You know, it keeps 21 being said that we're granting variances, we're 22 granting variances. These are not variances. 23 Could you please define a variance, and how it 24 differentiates from the change in Zoning or the 25 change in Land Use.

MR. TRIAS: Yes. The variances are approved by the Board of Adjustments and they have to do with dimensions, for example, setbacks, details of development.

Just to clarify, so there's no confusion, the way I hear your discussion, when as of right has been said, what you really mean is that it's not a Change of Land Use or Change of Zoning.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes, sir.

MR. TRIAS: The only distinction, like the City Attorney said, is that many times you have a Conditional Use Review for a mixed use, okay, which is not a Rezoning or a Land Use, but it's not really as of right, either, because you have to take action. So if we could say, Rezoning and Land Use and forget about variances and other terminologies, so we're clear, then I think you're correct.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm going to ask a simple question, Ramon -- two questions. How many years have you been here? How many years have you worked for the City of Coral Gables?

 ${\tt MR.}$ TRIAS: Over three years.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. In those last

three years, how many projects has this Commission granted additional density and intensity? I can name one, Mediterranean Village.

MR. TRIAS: I think you're probably right.

COMMISSIONER LAGO: So I just want everyone to hear that. We have -- this Commission has granted one project, one project, additional intensity and density. I'm willing to sit down with anybody. I have all the stats. I'm willing to sit down and go over it, if you'd like.

I just want to be clear, because sometimes things are said, and before you know it, they become fact. They become fact, and I need to make sure that we talk real fact, not hearsay.

COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes.

MAYOR CASON: And I'm going to propose that in one of the future Commission Meetings, we have a discussion of the 42 projects that are before the DRC or that didn't get through the DRC, that were proposed and people are counting as upcoming projects -- a lot of them have been abandoned, have been reduced, after they go through the process that you described in the

1 beginning. 2 We have some that are coming for 3 permitting, some that are permitted, very few 4 that are actually under construction, and then 5 we can have a discussion of facts on the 6 question of development and overdevelopment. I don't think this is the place right now. 8 I just wanted to ask, did you have any final 9 thought, anything you wanted to say before -- I 10 think the Vice Mayor wants to make a motion. 11 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: I just wanted to 12 ask, if a big box store came in, does the 13 100-foot setback on the Caballero side take effect? I mean, won't they have to have 14 15 100-foot setback? 16 MR. TRIAS: If they don't go through any 17 kind of mixed use --COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Variance? 18 19 MR. TRIAS: No. 2.0 COMMISSIONER LAGO: It's not a variance. 21 MAYOR CASON: You don't use "variance." That's not a word we use. 22 23 COMMISSIONER KEON: It's not a variance. 24 MR. TRIAS: And I hear you, and I wish the 25 Code was as clear as that.

1 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: But as of right, they would have to address that 100-foot 3 setback? 4 MR. TRIAS: On US-1, yes. 5 COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: Yes. Okav. that would be a smaller store? 6 COMMISSIONER LAGO: 7 No. 8 COMMISSIONER KEON: No. It would be the 9 parking lot. 10 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Then the problem is 11 this -- this is the additional, Article 4, 12 Zoning Districts, .3, "The Site Specifics 13 standards of this Code shall not apply to 14 property seeking assignment of an MXD overlay. 15 Approval of an MXD overlay shall deem 16 underlining site specification regulations as 17 void." 18 When you do that, immediate, correct me if 19 I'm wrong, the individuals here from our City, 20 you would immediately lose the rear and front 21 setbacks, front setback, 125 feet; rear 22 setback, 50 feet, would immediately evaporate. We, as a City, if an individual, entity 23 24 decides to build a big fox, 45 feet, plus the Mediterranean Bonus, 77 feet, they would 25

1	immediately apply for what I just mentioned
2	before. Would they be granted, Mr. Trias, that
3	release from the setbacks?
4	MR. TRIAS: Yes, but it would have to be
5	approved by you.
6	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: You know, sir, we
7	really want to be accurate and careful with the
8	answers. It's been a long day.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I understand.
10	MS. SWANSON-RIVENBARK: And so I just don't
11	want to put Ramon in the box, so to speak,
12	regarding that.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Again, I'm not putting
14	him in a box, but I want to make sure that when
15	questions are asked, people get detailed
16	responses.
17	MR. LEEN: I mean, I know the answer to
18	this. It would have to be a mixed use project.
19	So it would have to qualify, and then they
20	don't apply. However, you can impose them.
21	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Will they have to go to
22	the Commission?
23	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
24	MR. TRIAS: The answer is, yes.
25	COMMISSIONER KEON: If it's a mixed use, it

would have to come --1 2 COMMISSIONER LAGO: So they can have the 3 option to have their setbacks removed? 4 MR. TRIAS: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Okay. 6 MR. LEEN: We've given that opinion in this 7 case, because, remember, I told you, you don't 8 need to amend the Site Specifics here. 9 principal reason you don't, aside from the PAD, 10 is that there's a mixed use overlay that's 11 going to be coming before you on second 12 reading, which eliminates the Site Specifics. 13 MR. TRIAS: The fundamental issue is, 14 there's a real distinction between a Change of 15 Land Use and Change of Zoning and everything 16 else you do. So I will recommend the 17 discussion is narrowed and it deals with a 18 Change of Land Use and a Change of Zoning, and 19 then everything else. 20 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm sorry I put you in 21 the box. 22 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Mr. Mayor, I would 23 like to make a motion. 24 MAYOR CASON: All right. We're going to 25 have -- the Vice Mayor is insisting on a motion

1 on A-1. 2 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Unless there's any 3 other --4 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Wait. I want to say one last thing, before we make a motion, and 5 6 just go for it. I want to reiterate, Applicant, representatives from RNA, our City 8 Attorney, our City Manager, whoever else needs 9 to be in that meeting from Staff, Mr. Trias, 10 his staff, I would like to please schedule a 11 meeting immediately, to sit down and discuss 12 the future of this project and make the RNA 13 representatives aware of everything that's on 14 the table. 15 COMMISSIONER KEON: You have to allow him 16 to make the motion. 17 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'm just asking. 18 want to make that my colleagues -- I'm saying, 19 do my colleagues accept that? I just want to 20 reiterate that again, because I think that's 21 important. 22 I want to have the residents involved in 23 every step, if we do move forward. 24 MAYOR CASON: Mr. Vice Mayor. 25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I would like to make a motion at this time. I just want to give a little bit of clarity, briefly. This is for those that don't know, watching at home or in the audience, this is first reading. There are two readings to this. The final decision on whether the project moves forward or not, in what capacity, occurs at second reading. That's the finality of it.

MR. LEEN: Yes.

VICE MAYOR QUESADA: With that being said,

I would like to make a motion -- I move this

dais to approve Item A-1, based on the comments

I made earlier, that if the scale is not cut

down by second reading, if the Applicant

doesn't proffer a reduced scale of the project,

my vote will be, no, at second reading, but

right now my vote is, yes, because I want to

continue the conversation moving forward on

Item A-1.

MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?

COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it.

MR. LEEN: Commissioner, would your motion include accepting, for purposes of first reading, the covenant that was proffered?

25 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Can I just add one
2	thing? Would your motion accept a reduction in
3	the size of the paseo, if the building can be
4	brought down lower?
5	COMMISSIONER KEON: No.
6	MR. LEEN: That should be on A-3.
7	COMMISSIONER KEON: That's part of the
8	negotiation.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I know. I know. I
10	know. That's part of the negotiation. I just
11	want to leave it open to see to make sure
12	that everything is on the table.
13	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I will incorporate
14	that into my motion for A-3, not in A-1.
15	MAYOR CASON: Okay.
16	City Clerk.
17	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
19	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
20	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
21	THE CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
23	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
24	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
25	THE CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
	•

1	MAYOR CASON: Yes, because I think that
2	based on what we've done before, between the
3	two lawyers involved in this, with some time,
4	we've been able to make a lot of progress, and
5	I think that this project can be improved in
6	the time between now and the next time it is
7	brought up. So I think it's worth it, so I
8	vote, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I agree wholeheartedly.
10	MAYOR CASON: A-2.
11	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So moved.
12	MAYOR CASON: Vice Mayor makes the motion.
13	COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the motion.
14	MAYOR CASON: Commissioner Lago seconds.
15	MR. LEEN: Can I ask? Is the motion
16	accepting the condition that's it's only for
17	thisit's not a condition, but it's going to
18	be limited to just this property?
19	MAYOR CASON: This site.
20	COMMISSIONER KEON: This site.
21	MR. LEEN: This site only? This specific
22	site.
23	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
25	I'll second it.

1	MAYOR CASON: It's too late. Commissioner
2	Lago did. You can second the next one if you'd
3	like.
4	City Clerk.
5	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?
6	COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.
7	THE CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
8	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
9	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
10	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
11	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
12	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
13	THE CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?
14	MAYOR CASON: Yes.
15	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I move on Item A-3,
16	with the amendment that Commissioner Lago
17	mentioned earlier.
18	MAYOR CASON: Do we have a second?
19	COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it.
20	MR. LEEN: And as part of that motion, you
21	accept all of the proffered conditions?
22	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
24	MR. LEEN: And then you're including the
25	condition about obviously you're accepting

1	the proffered covenant, as well, and you are
2	saying that you want this lower and you want us
3	to work together.
4	COMMISSIONER LAGO: And I also want to make
5	sure that you take into consideration
6	Commissioner Keon's statements in reference to
7	what's been proffered, and that if some things
8	can be, you know, taken back, to make the scale
9	even smaller, we're more than willing to
10	listen.
11	MAYOR CASON: And also to look at the
12	possibility with shared parking and the
13	trolley, to be able to reduce the height.
14	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: So amended.
15	COMMISSIONER KEON: And the employee
16	parking.
17	MAYOR CASON: All right. City Clerk.
18	COMMISSIONER KEON: I'll second it.
19	THE CITY CLERK: Vice Mayor Quesada?
20	VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yes.
21	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Slesnick?
22	COMMISSIONER SLESNICK: No.
23	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Keon?
24	COMMISSIONER KEON: Yes.
25	THE CITY CLERK: Commissioner Lago?

1 COMMISSIONER LAGO: Yes.	
2 THE CITY CLERK: Mayor Cason?	
MAYOR CASON: Yes.	
4 MR. LEEN: Mr. Trias, we do not nee	d to
5 vote on A-4, do we?	
A-4 is just going to be carried wit	h the
7 other three, because it's a resolution s	so it
8 only requires one reading.	
9 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I want to do a	n add-on
motion, as well. I want to make it a	
11 requirement for the Applicant, if the Ap	pplicant
is going to present the next time around	i,
they're required to meet with Mr. Gibbs	prior
to the next meeting, sort of an informal	L
15 COMMISSIONER LAGO: I'll second the	motion.
VICE MAYOR QUESADA: mediation.	
MR. LEEN: So you want a mediation.	Also,
are you going to okay. Do this one	first.
19 There was another issue raised.	
VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Yeah. There's	one
21 more issue. That's my second	
MAYOR CASON: All right. So on the	
question of mediation, do you want to sa	эy
24 anything?	
I control of the cont	,

1 record. Always happy to spend time with my friend, Tucker Gibbs. The question is, is that 3 in addition to the mediation -- is that in --4 VICE MAYOR OUESADA: In addition to the 5 mediation? What other mediation has been discussed? 6 There was a requirement that we MS. RUSSO: 8 meet with the City Attorney and the City 9 Manager and Members of the RNA. 10 MR. BASS: Because Commissioner Lago had 11 imposed the condition. 12 MAYOR CASON: We had already put that in 13 there. 14 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: I just want to ensure 15 that there is a meeting between the two of you 16 prior to the next time. Whether the Manager is 17 present or the Attorney is present --18 Yes, but it's the same meeting. MR. BASS: 19 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: -- it doesn't bother 20 me. 21 COMMISSIONER LAGO: And, please, again, I 22 don't want to hear later that the neighbors did 23 not receive the information in reference to 24 what is available as of right. Please, that's 25 important.

1 I need to make sure everybody is clear and 2 understands what's on the table. 3 MR. TRIAS: And, Mayor, if I could, we need 4 to work all this out before we schedule the second reading. 5 6 MAYOR CASON: Right. You have to do your studies. All right. Do you want to keep that 8 9 motion? They've already agreed to it. 1.0 VICE MAYOR QUESADA: Okay. Then I will withdraw the motion. 11 12 And the last one is actually not a motion, 13 it's just a statement. Mr. Bass, Mr. Gibbs, I 14 would like you to write this down, Section 15 3.304 Subsection C, Submission of Evidence. 16 You're laughing. I'm not. We need to be fully 17 informed. 18 The presentation today, there was a lot of 19 detail that you guys provided. I don't 20 appreciate that tactic. I don't. It drew my 21 attention away from the substance and made me 22 focus on the procedural aspects, which I 23 shouldn't be focused on. 24 When we are not fully informed ahead of 25 time, I feel like you're trying to do it at the

```
last second to try to trip us up or the other
 1
         side up. So it goes to both of you.
                                                 I don't
 3
         want any surprises. Everyone should be fully
 4
         informed before we get here, so that we can
         make a true, you know, decision, with some
         finality, based on the evidence presented to
 6
 7
         us.
              So I know you're both professionals.
 8
 9
         please, you know, respect our Code. Thank you.
10
              MAYOR CASON: All right. With that, the
11
         meeting is adjourned.
                                 Thank you.
12
              COMMISSIONER KEON:
                                   Thank you all very
13
         much.
14
              COMMISSIONER SLESNICK:
                                       Thank you.
15
              COMMISSIONER LAGO:
                                  Thank you.
16
              (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 4:58
17
    p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	ss.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	
7	
8	
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
14	stenographic notes.
15	
16	DATED this 10th day of November, 2015.
17	
18	
19	
20	in Da
21	
22	NIEVES SANCHEZ
23	
24	
25	