City of Coral Gables

405 Biltmore Way Coral Gables, FL 33134 www.coralgables.com



Meeting Minutes

Thursday, December 19, 2024

3:00 PM

Police and Fire Headquarters, Community Meeting Room

Historic Preservation Board

Chairperson Michael J. Maxwell
Vice Chairperson Alejandro Silva
Board Member Ana Alvarez
Board Member Javier Banos
Board Member Michelle Cuervo Dunaj
Board Member Xavier F. Durana
Board Member Cesar Garcia-Pons
Board Member Margaret Rolando
Board Member Dona Spain

The Historic Preservation Board will be holding its Regular Meeting on December 19, 2024, commencing at 3:00 pm EST.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 2021-118, the City of Coral Gables has returned to traditional in-person meetings.

Accordingly, any individual wishing to provide sworn testimony shall be present physically in the Commission Chambers. However, the Historic Preservation Board has established the ability for the public to provide comments (non-sworn and without evidentiary value) virtually.

Accordingly, only individuals who wish to provide public comment in this format, may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.

Members of the public may join the meeting via Zoom at https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88413827534.

In addition, a dedicated phone line will be available so that any individual who does not wish (or is unable) to use Zoom may listen to and participate in the meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88413827534

Meeting ID: 884 1382 7534

One tap mobile

- +17866351003,,88413827534# US (Miami)
- +16465189805,,88413827534# US (New York)

Dial by your location

- +1 786 635 1003 US (Miami)
- +1 646 518 9805 US (New York)
- +1 929 205 6099 US (New York)
- +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
- +1 470 381 2552 US (Atlanta)

Meeting ID: 884 1382 7534

Find your local number: https://us06web.zoom.us/u/kbrgrlLN6G

305-461-6769 (Coral Gables local number)

To speak to the Historic Preservation Board on an Agenda Item, please "Raise your Hand" or send a message to one of the meeting hosts using the Zoom Platform.

If you joined the meeting via telephone, you can "Raise your hand" by pressing *9.

I. CALL TO ORDER

This meeting was Called to Order at 3:04 PM by Chairperson Maxwell.

Staff Present:

Anna Pernas, Director
Kara Kautz, Assistant Historic Preservation Officer
Stephanie Throckmorton, Deputy City Attorney
Amos Rojas, Jr. City Manager
Joe Gomez, Assistant City Manager
Hermes Diaz, Public Works Director

II. ROLL CALL

Present: 8 - Board Member Durana, Board Member Alvarez, Board Member Banos, Board

Member Rolando, Board Member Spain, Vice Chairperson Silva, Chairperson

Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Excused: 1 - Board Member Cuervo Dunaj

III. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

1. 24-8420 Historic Preservation Board Meeting Minutes for October 16, 2024

A motion was made by Board Member Banos, seconded by Board Member Garcia-Pons, to approve the minutes for the October 16, 2024, Historic Preservation Board meeting. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana, Board Member Alvarez, Board Member Banos, Board

 ${\it Member\ Rolando, Board\ Member\ Spain, Vice\ Chairperson\ Silva, Chairperson\ Chairperson\$

Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Excused: 1 - Board Member Cuervo Dunaj

2. <u>24-8421</u> Historic Preservation Board Meeting Minutes for November 20, 2024

A motion was made by Board Member Banos, seconded by Board Member Garcia-Pons, to approve the minutes for the November 20, 2024, Historic Preservation Board meeting. This motion passed by the following vote:

 $\textbf{Yeas:} \quad \textbf{8-} \quad \textbf{Board Member Durana,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Alvarez,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Banos,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Banos,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Banos,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Durana,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Banos,} \\ \textbf{Board Member Banos,$

Member Rolando, Board Member Spain, Vice Chairperson Silva, Chairperson

Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Excused: 1 - Board Member Cuervo Dunaj

IV. CITY COMMISSION ITEM

3:11 PM - Board Members left the room to view the sample of the proposed window replacement for City Hall. No discussions occurred during this break.

3:17 PM - Board Members have returned and meeting resumes.

1. <u>24-8422</u>

Historic Preservation Board review of the window replacement proposal for the City Hall Complex

Ms. Pernas read from the Staff Analysis as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

Presentation on Windows:

Natividad Soto, President - Ferguson, Glasgow, Schuster, Soto, Inc. Claudia Noval, Project Architect - Ferguson, Glasgow, Schuster, Soto, Inc. Amos Rojas, Jr. City Manager Joe Gomez, Assistant City Manager Hermes Diaz, Public Works Director

Please refer to Exhibit A attached for the verbatim transcript.

Public Comment Received by Staff:

Karelia Carbonell on behalf of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables

Public Comment:

Venny Torre, Torre Companies & Development

A motion was made by Board Member Banos, seconded by Board Member Garcia-Pons, to defer this item for additional information about the windows and doors to be provided by the city and that it will not proceed to the City Commission until further review by this Board. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Excused: 1 - Board Member Cuervo Dunaj

V. CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

- Concluding the statement on Ex-Parte Communications, Chairperson Maxwell invited the Board Members and the public to view the mock-up of a window proposed for City Hall.
- 2. The Staff received a request to hear the City Commission Item City Hall Windows item as the first item in the Agenda.

VI. PUBLIC HEARING

VII. LOCAL HISTORIC DESIGNATIONS

1. 24-8411

CASE FILE LHD 2024-013: Consideration of the local historic designation of the property at **720 Madeira Avenue**, legally described as Lot 7, Block 7, Coral Estates Section, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 19, at Page 7, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Ms. Guin read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

On Behalf of the Applicant:

The Property Owner, Venny Torre, Torre Companies & Development, was present and stated his support of the application.

Letters of Support Received by Staff:

Ellen Dyer, Vicki Cerda, Bruce Fitzgerald, Maribel Biamon, and Karelia Carbonell on behalf of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables.

A motion was made by Board Member Garcia-Pons, seconded by Board Member Rolando, to approve the Local Historic Designation of the property at 720 Madeira Avenue (legally described as Lot 7, Block 7, Coral Estates Section, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 19, at Page 7, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida) based on its historical, cultural, and architectural significance in accordance with Article 8, Section 8-103 of the Coral Gables Zoning Code. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

2. 24-8412

CASE FILE LHD 2024-002: Consideration of the local historic designation of the property at **3519 Toledo Street**, legally described as Lots 18 & 19, Block 40, Coral Gables Country Club Section Part Three, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 10, at Page 52, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida.

Ms. Pernas read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

For the Property Owner:

Followed by a presentation by the Owner's representative, Mr. Zeke Guilford, Guilford & Associates and their Expert Witness, Mr. Steve Avdakov, Heritage Architectural Associates.

Letters of Support Received by Staff:

Jamie & Zully Pardo, Vicki Cerda, Ellen Dyer, Maribel Biamon, Bruce Fitzgerald, Daniel Ciraldo on behalf of the Miami Design Preservation League and Karelia Carbonell on behalf of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables.

A motion was made by Board Member Garcia-Pons, seconded by Board Member Banos, to approve the Local Historic Designation of the property at 3519 Toledo Street based on its historical, cultural, and architectural significance, specifically criterion number 4, exemplifies the historic, cultural, political, economic, and social trends of the community, architectural significance number one, portrays the environment in an area of history characterized by one or more distinctive architectural styles, specifically, Art Moderne and Mediterranean Revival and architectural number two, embodies those distinguishing characteristics of an architectural style or period or method of construction based on the substantial competent evidence presented by Staff and particularly the second paragraph of the summary statement of significance. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana, Board Member Alvarez, Board Member Banos, Board Member Rolando, Board Member Spain, Vice Chairperson Silva, Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Excused: 1 - Board Member Cuervo Dunaj

7:00 PM - Break

7:09 PM - Meeting Resumes

VIII. SPECIAL CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATNESS

1. 24-8413

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-035 an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **1200 Anastasia Avenue**, the Biltmore Hotel, a Local and National Historic Landmark, a lengthy legal description is on file in the Historical Resources Department. The applicant is requesting design approval for the demolition and reconstruction of the Gondola Building.

Ms. Pernas read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

On Behalf of the Applicant: Daphne Gurri, Gurrimatute

Letters of Support Received by Staff

Karelia Carbonell on behalf of the Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables

A motion was made by Board Member Garcia-Pons, seconded by Board Member Durana to approve the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at 1200 Anastasia Avenue, the Biltmore Hotel, a Local and National Historic Landmark, for the demolition and reconstruction of the Gondola Building, including salvaging and reusing of any salvageable elements. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

2. 24-8414

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-037: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **809 Coral Way**, a Contributing Resource within the "Coral Way Historic District," legally described as Lots 12 & E 20' Lot 13, Block 34, Coral Gables Section B, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 111 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for the addition of an elevator at the rear.

Ms. Kautz read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

On Behalf of the Property Owner: Albert Rodriguez, Dalima Studio Architecture Inc.

A motion was made by Board Member Banos, seconded by Board Member Spain, to approve the design proposal for the addition and alterations to the residence at 809 Coral Way, a Contributing Resource within the Coral Way Historic District, legally described as Lots 12, 13 and 14, Block 34, Coral Gables Granada Section, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 111, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, and approve the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

3. <u>24-8415</u>

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-034: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **1203 North Greenway Drive**, a Contributing Resource within the "Country Club of Coral Gables Historic District," legally described as Lots 17 & 18 to 114, Block 4, Coral Gables Section E, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 8, at Page 13 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for grey tinted glass windows.

Applicant was not present.

A motion was made by Board Member Rolando, seconded by Board Member Silva, to defer this item to the January 16, 2025, Historic Preservation Board Meeting. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

4. 24-8416

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-004: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **3800 Granada Boulevard**, a Local Historic Landmark, legally described as Lots 112 to 114 & the South 20 Feet of Lot 115, Block 50, Coral Gables Country Club Section Part Four, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 10, at Page 57 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for additions and alterations to the residence and sitework.

Ms. Kautz read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

For the Applicant: Yasmin & Walter Gonzalez (Applicant/Owners) Oscar Carrera, Architect, Colin Brown, Landscape Architect

A motion was made by Board Member Spain, seconded by Board Member Banos, to approve with conditions 1 through 22 as noted in the Staff report, the design proposal for the addition and alterations to the residence at 3800 Granada Boulevard, and approve the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness, condition six, work with Staff to determine if the arched leaded glass on the front window is to remain, and condition thirteen, the metal roofs for the rear covered terrace and the semi-circular connector to the storage room cannot be copper, the stepped up roof needs to be studied, storage room addition at the front façade is to be lowered to be below the eve of the existing garage structure which is acceptable, that the proposed grading be further studied and that further clarification of the infill proposed at the arched entryway also be discussed with Staff. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana, Board Member Alvarez, Board Member Banos, Board Member Rolando, Board Member Spain, Vice Chairperson Silva, Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

5. <u>24-8417</u>

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-026: An application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **909 N Greenway Drive**, a Contributing Resource within the "Country Club of Coral Gables Historic District," legally described as Lots 35 & 36, Block 32, Coral Gables Section B, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 5, at Page 111 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The application requests design approval for additions and alterations to the residence and sitework.

Ms. Pernas read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

On Behalf of the Applicant: William H. Arthur, Architect Michael Sheehan, Owner

A motion was made by Board Member Garcia-Pons seconded by Board Member Durana, to approve the design proposal for additions and alterations to the residence and sitework on the property located at 909 North Greenway Drive and approve with the conditions noted in the Staff report in addition to removal of the chamfer on the new addition, make it a single edge, and work with Staff to enlarge or re-think the window above the arch facing the front elevation and approve the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 6 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

Nays: 2 - Board Member Alvarez and Board Member Banos

6. 24-8418

CASE FILE COA (SP) 2024-036 an application for the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness for the property at **2701 Indian Mound Trail**, a Contributing Resource within the "Church of the Little Flower Historic District," legally described as ALL Blocks 6-6-A & 7, Coral Gables Section D, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 25, at Page 74 of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The applicant is requesting design approval for the new construction of a one-story, approximately 19,123 square foot, multi-purpose building.

Ms. Pernas read from the Staff Report as the PowerPoint presentation played on screen.

For The Applicant: Suzanne Dockerty, J. Patrick Fitzgerald & Associates Michael Ehrling, Zyscovich Architects

A motion was made by Board Member Banos, seconded by Board Member Rolando, to approve the design proposal for the new construction of a one-story, approximately 19,123 square foot, multi-purpose building on the property located at 2701 Indian Mound Trail, a Contributing Resource within the "Church of the Little Flower Historic District," legally described as All of Blocks 6-6-A & 7, Coral Gables Section "D," according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 25, at Page 74, of the Public Records of Miami-Dade County, Florida, approve with the conditions in the Staff report one through seven and look at the detailing carefully and approve the issuance of a Special Certificate of Appropriateness. This motion passed by the following vote:

Yeas: 8 - Board Member Durana,Board Member Alvarez,Board Member Banos,Board Member Rolando,Board Member Spain,Vice Chairperson Silva,Chairperson Maxwell and Board Member Garcia-Pons

IX. OLD BUSINESS

X. NEW BUSINESS

XI. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 1. 2025 Meeting Dates Meeting dates for 2025 will be on second and third Thursday of the month. Board Members may have an issue with Thursdays.
- 2. CMR Board Member Rolando requested improvements to the audio visual and placement of screens.
- 3. Mediterranean Moderne Board Members requested Staff to study this and clarify.
- 4. City Hall Board Members requested Staff investigate the process for National Landmark Designation for City Hall. Is it possible for this to happen by the centennial.
- 5. TDR Commissioners and the public are interested in expanding the TDR receiving and sending sites. Will discuss at the January meeting.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

This meeting was Adjourned at 8:59 PM.

NOTE

1	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
2	HYBRID FORMAT
3	THURSDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2025, COMMENCING AT 3:00 P
4	Board Members Present: Michael J. Maxwell, Chairman
5	Alejandro Silva, Vice-Chairman Ana Alvarez
6	Javier Banos Michelle Cuervo Dunaj
7	Xavier F. Durana Cesar Garcia-Pons
8	Margaret Rolando Dona Spain
9	
10	City Staff and Consultants:
11	Anna Pernas, Historical Resources & Cultural Arts Director
12	Stephanie Throckmorton, Deputy City Attorney Kara Kautz, Assistant Historic Preservation Officer
13	Yvelisse Bonilla, Administrative Assistant/Board Secretary
14	Amos Rojas, Jr., City Manager Jose "Joe" Gomez, Assistant City Manager
15	
16	Also Participating:
17	Venny Torre Nati Soto
18	Claudia Noval
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
THEREUPON:
1
              (The following proceedings were held.)
2
 3
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. The first item is
 5
         a City Commission item, Number 24-8422,
         Historic Preservation Board review of the
 6
         window replacement proposal for the City Hall
         Complex.
8
             Staff.
 9
             MS. PERNAS: If you can give me one second
10
11
         to join back on the Zoom, to get the
12
         presentation up.
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL:
13
                                Okay.
             MS. PERNAS: Thank you.
14
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you.
15
             While we're doing that, we'll do a little
16
         bit of housekeeping. Does any Member of the
17
         Board have an ex parte communication or site
18
         visit to disclose at this time?
19
20
             MR. GARCIA-PONS: Yeah. Yeah, I had a
         meeting with Staff to view the window
21
         yesterday.
22
23
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Very good.
             MR. BANOS: I reviewed -- I didn't look at
24
         the windows out here, but I went with Staff,
25
```

probably a couple of weeks ago, and we looked at the existing windows outside City Hall.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Very good.

MS. THROCKMORTON: Mr. Chair, if I may, while Anna brings up the presentation, I just wanted to remind this Board and the public that this item is coming in a little bit of a different posture to you all than a normal Certificate of Appropriateness.

This Board adopted a motion about a year ago, which went to the City Commission and was adopted, that directs that any alterations to the City Hall windows, if they're going to proceed pursuant to Section 1-104 of the City Zoning Code, which I believe the Manager has elected to do, that those windows be presented to the Historic Preservation Board, with a Staff report on the alterations, for non-binding review and feedback prior to any public hearing held pursuant to that section of the Zoning Code.

So it's coming to you today not as a COA, but, rather, for your review and recommendation and feedback to the City Commission. I think it would still be preferable to have a motion

1	from this Board one way or another, just to
2	direct your feedback to the City Commission,
3	but it's coming in a little bit of a different
4	posture.
5	So I'm happy to answer any questions about
6	that, but it will be presented to you by City
7	Staff, as soon as we get that up.
8	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you.
9	MR. BANOS: Through the Chair, just to
10	understand.
11	So what happens after it goes through here?
12	It goes directly to the Commission or does it
13	have a bi-way stop anywhere else?
14	MS. THROCKMORTON: So the Manager appears
15	to be proceeding under Section 1-104 of the
16	Zoning Code, that requires a public hearing at
17	the City Commission for proceeding under that
18	section. So there will be a public hearing at
19	the City of Commission for approval of any
20	alterations to the windows.
21	MS. PERNAS: I'm sharing my screen, but
22	if we could put the Zoom feed up.
23	All right. Thank you.
24	Anna Pernas, Preservation Officer.
25	I'm going to quickly review the Staff

analysis that I circulated to the Board and just have it on the record.

This is an application before you. Like mentioned before, the City Commission requests the Historic Preservation Board, a non-binding review and feedback of the window replacement proposal for the City Hall Complex.

The proposal includes the replacement of the existing wood windows with a steel window product by Hope's Windows.

Over the years, the building has undergone minor modifications and general repairs, but many of its original features remain, including the original wood windows and doors. The City is considering the replacement of these elements.

On September 6th, 2023, the City Commission passed a Resolution that any alterations to the City Hall Complex windows proceedings pursuant to Section 1-104 be done in consultation with the City's Historic Preservation Officer, and be presented to the City's Historic Preservation Board.

The original wood windows at Coral Gables
City Hall are an integral part of the

building's Mediterranean Revival style. The windows are characterized by their wooden frames, divided light patterns and large size, allowing natural light into the building.

These elements contribute significantly to the building's architectural appeal and historic integrity.

The intent of the proposal is to replace
the windows -- the wood windows with a steel
window product that would replicate the
existing windows and type configuration and
operation. To ensure compliance with the
Secretary of Interior standards for
rehabilitation, any modification of original
wood windows and doors at City Hall should be
carried out in a manner to protect the
building's historical and architectural
integrity. Before replacement is considered, a
thorough evaluation of the current windows
should be conducted to determine their
condition.

Today, we have Nati Soto and Claudia Noval from -- I'm going to butcher the firm's name -- to talk to you about the process of choosing this window and -- they have a short

presentation for you.

MS. SOTO: Good afternoon, everyone. I'm

Nati Soto, with Ferguson, Glasgow, Schuster,

Soto, FGSS. We will be rebranding soon to be

able to make it easier for everyone. We are at

901 Ponce de Leon Boulevard. And with me is

Architect, also, Claudia Noval, who has been

working with the City, to assist them in this

process.

So we were asked to assist the City, in review of the existing condition -- a brief review of the existing conditions and options that would be available to improve the conditions, because the windows are not in good shape. Some of them are literally falling apart, as we found in our project that we're doing on the Third Floor, where one of the contractors opened the window, and it -- basically, it didn't want to close anymore, it didn't want to exist anymore.

So we looked at several options of what could be done here. First option was, obviously, restoring the existing windows. The sashes, with custom profiles to match what's there, finishes, again, consistent with what

would be a historical study of what the original colors were, so that we could replicate it as much as possible.

The frames would be repainted -- repaired, then repainted in the field, hardware repair, and -- if possible. A lot of it is no longer there. And we would be consistent with the existing items.

Obviously, there is pros and cons to that. The pros is, obviously, that it retains the exact aesthetic and material properties of the existing historical building.

And we also considered the possibility of converting to fixed sashes, instead of operable windows, as requested, so for energy conversation reasons, and we decided that that wasn't really what was appropriate for the building. Obviously, the main con is that it's not a hurricane resistant product, so it leaves the building vulnerable to hurricane forces from any storm.

And what's happening is that the windows require bi-annual maintenance to treat and prevent rot and damage, and even if we evaluated and fixed as much as possible, to

make them resistant to wind forces, they would never be brought up to meet the current wind pressures from the increasing hurricane forces.

Also the windows do require annual painting and sealing. There's a limited amount of window restoration companies in Florida, unfortunately, and we reached out to one, that's very well-known, and we've had some difficulty getting feedback, because they know it's only -- not an immediate need. So that is one of the challenges as to getting the windows repaired.

Then, we also looked at the possibility of leaving the thing as it is and repairing the windows and providing -- using the shutters.

Right now, the shutters that are there are attached to the stone facade. They're really not meeting the wind pressures for the negative wind pressures and would likely fly off, Number One. Number Two, it takes the City, I think they said, three days -- long -- more -- up to three days to put whatever products that they've had stored. They need to get a company out, with a lift, et cetera. So there's some increasing challenges to that, because the

storms have been not as clear in their path as they used to be. So there is the challenge of when to come out, how to mobilize that protection right away. And, obviously, the shutters are not really embedded structurally, to prevent them from flying, to resist the current wind forces.

Another item that was explored was interior storm windows. Basically, a combination of repairing the existing windows, once an assessment was made as to what the exact position is and condition of each of the openings, and what had needed to be done there. So the option was to install storm windows on the interior.

To do so, it would be similar to -- to the procedure of putting a new window, which is the existing structure around each window needs to be reinforced, because the building is built out of primarily terracotta, and that needs to be reinforced for any attachment of any product that would withstand the hurricane forces.

So, for that particular purpose, obviously, you have to go through the same process of reinforcing the existing building. The

interior is obviously affected, because you have to take off what's on the inside. We can try to save it or restore and try to save some of it, but it's a big challenge, and then put everything back exactly the way it was, either with new materials or such. So the cost of that would be extensive. And, obviously, the aesthetics of the interior, it would be obvious that there's a storm window inside, Number One. Number Two, you would no longer have the benefits of an operable window, that you could open the window, if necessary, for whatever process.

And then the obvious other con is that the regular annual and bi-annual maintenance of the exterior windows would still be necessary, and the interior storm window also provides some unusual conditions to the interior of the wood, because it's basically sealing the gap. That gap that's created between the wood window and the storm window need to be treated in a certain way, and the windows operated very often, so that those gases and things that form, the heat that forms in that cavity, doesn't destroy the restored window.

So then the --

MR. BANOS: Would the Chair allow for a question on this point or do you want wait for her to finish the --

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: I'm sorry, let's wait until the presentation is over, please.

MS. SOTO: I would appreciate it.

So then the next -- the next step was to say, okay, what does it take to replace windows here? So we looked at aluminum windows, we looked at wood windows, and we looked at steel windows, all three with impact and hurricane force resistant products, South Florida approvals or local Miami-Dade County Notices of Approval.

There were various products that we looked at, and what we did is, we analyzed the profiles and what could be done with those products, within their approval process, that would allow to basically have the aesthetics of the existing windows, but also the impact resistance. And of those, we saw that the Hope's products could be customized by applying moldings and such to the exterior, made of steel, as well, so that they would -- the look,

1 the aesthetic would -- and the profile of the window section would be matching as closely as 2 possible to the existing wood windows. 3 that's what we -- that's what this sample is. This is, obviously, a work in progress. 5 6 That sample that you've seen outside, that is almost there, but it's not totally inclusive of all of the extrusions and moldings that are 8 planned for the project, if it goes through, 9 because there's only so much material that's 10 available, without extruding the exact shapes 11 that are necessary for that finished product. 12 So that's what I've got for you guys. 13 Ana, any other questions or things you want 14 to cover? 15 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you. 16 Mr. Banos, you had a question? 17 MS. PERNAS: Did you want to go over the 18 proposal with them, the section -- sorry that 19 my internet is not -- I'm disconnected, so it 20 cut off the presentation to go over the 21 section, but -- if not, we can take discussion 22

maybe now while this is getting restarted.

MS. SOTO: It was in the package.

in the Board package.

23

24

25

1 MS. PERNAS: Yeah, they all have it. Once I get back up, I can put some photos on. 2 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Mr. Banos, you 3 have a question? Then, for those Board Members, just raise your hand and let me 5 6 recognize you, if you would, please. MR. BANOS: It wasn't clear to me, when you did this explanation, what's the difference 8 between functionally and monetarily? Don't you 9 have to reinforce the structure anyway, to put 10 in the window that you have out there? 11 MS. SOTO: Yes. 12 MR. BANOS: So why would -- the 13 reinforcement that you would have to do to put 14 an internal shutter, okay, why would that be an 15 impediment, if you have to do the reinforcement 16 anyway? 17 MS. SOTO: It's not. It's the same 18 process. The only -- the big difference that 19 you're adding between the steel new window --20 impact window and the storm shutter is 21 basically the cost and the viability of 22 23 restoring the existing windows. MR. BANOS: Right, but it would be a 24 truer -- it would be a true restoration of the 25

1 existing windows, because you will not be getting rid of them? Like they would be 2 maintained there. You would have to, you know, 3 do whatever essential work is necessary in order for some of those windows to be restored 5 6 to what they're supposed to be, replacement of the wood in some areas, et cetera, but the original windows will be kept, and then you 8 would have hurricane protection from the 9 inside, and if -- do they give the same level 10 of protection when it comes to -- in the 11 commentary by the Commission sometimes, and 12 I've heard it from Staff, I understand that 13 there's some issues with humidity and -- would 14 that give the same protection if the shutters 15 would be put inside or would it be different? 16 MS. SOTO: Well, structurally, it's a 17 similar process. 18 MR. BANOS: Okay. 19 MS. SOTO: You have to attach the product 20 to a substrate that's going to handle the 21 structural conditions. 22 23 MR. BANOS: So it would --MS. SOTO: From a structural standpoint. 24 MR. BANOS: So it would have the same 25

1	benefit of giving the building the general
2	protection from the outside elements, it would
3	just be an internal shutter, right, as opposed
4	to I mean, it has the added benefit, with
5	the expense, I understand, but with the added
6	benefit that we can keep the original windows
7	on the building?
8	MS. SOTO: Well, the likelihood is that if
9	there's a hurricane that would pass the area, a
10	large amount of those windows would get sucked
11	out and damaged.
12	MR. BANOS: Well, a couple of issues with
13	that statement there.
14	MS. SOTO: Okay.
15	MR. BANOS: The building has been there
16	since what time, 19 what?
17	MS. SOTO: We've been very lucky. Since
18	1925.
19	MR. BANOS: So, I think, you know, it can
20	probably withstand another hurricane, and
21	plus
22	MS. SOTO: I don't know.
23	MR. BANOS: if we so desire, we can
24	always put the same protection that we have
25	stored, we can put it outside, to protect the

windows themselves. This would just be an internal protection so there's nothing else to be there.

I'm trying to achieve a goal, where some of these windows, I mean, they may have wood rot or things like that, but the windows themselves, you know, they're original Florida pine. That's a very resistant type of wood.

And, then, it's a beautiful window out there, but it's not the original window. It doesn't have all of the moldings. It doesn't have -- it changes, in my view, the view of the building, but that's just an opinion.

I just want to ask the question specifically, structurally you would have to do the same work, to replace the window than to put the shutter internally, right?

MS. SOTO: Okay. Structurally, to protect the interior of the building and the roof, structurally, it's a similar situation.

MR. BANOS: Okay.

MS. SOTO: Obviously, any damage to the windows and the exterior created by the damage to the windows, would not be protected at all.

MR. BANOS: Okay. That's it.

1 MS. SOTO: And to be honest with you, I'm not sure -- the assessment hasn't been done yet 2 as to whether those are Dade County pine 3 I don't believe they are. CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Ms. Rolando. 5 6 MS. ROLANDO: I have a couple of questions about how you ended up selecting the steel I've had, in a historic home -window. 8 MS. THROCKMORTON: Can you please speak 9 into the microphone a little closer? Sorry. 10 MS. ROLANDO: I've had steel windows and 11 they rust and rust together and require annual 12 maintenance, as well, and I've also had wood. 13 And you have -- you've presented us with the 14 steel option. Is that -- what kind of coating 15 or what is the anticipated maintenance on that? 16 And then you also showed a possibility of a 17 wood window, that, to me, the texture and the 18 appearance, if you're recommending replacement, 19 20 should we be considering a like kind

MS. SOTO: Okay. The wood window -- the wood window products that we explored, that have impact resistance, the profile of the sash and the frame was much wider.

replacement?

21

22

23

24

25

1	MS. ROLANDO: Okay.
2	MS. SOTO: So the existing windows have a
3	pretty narrow profile for a wood window, which
4	is very nice, and the new windows the new
5	wood windows are much wider in the profile.
6	The finish, I know, Claudia, you know about
7	it a little bit better, it's like a twenty-year
8	paint finish.
9	MS. NOVAL: For the wood windows or
10	MS. SOTO: No, the metal.
11	MS. NOVAL: It would
12	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Please speak into the
13	microphone.
14	MS. NOVAL: Ten year sorry. For the
15	finish, I think the ten years is what the
16	for the finish.
17	MS. ROLANDO: So the finish on the steel
18	windows comes with a ten-year guarantee?
19	MS. NOVAL: I believe so.
20	And to Nati's comment, when we the
21	one I believe there's only one wood window
22	product available, and it was the one that we
23	listed, and that one, they in order to keep
24	the Florida product approval, they can't make
25	them any thinner. So it would substantially

change the light in the building, in the window.

MS. SOTO: They also were hesitant to apply any of these very unique pieces that make the City Hall windows, like the bottom trim, the drips and such, they -- I mean, their new windows they don't have that at all, and they would not participate in altering any of that.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Ms. Alvarez.

MS. ALVAREZ: Did you explore other wood manufacturers, because I know of a few others that make an effort to copy the exact profiles and --

MS. SOTO: We explored the ones that had a product approval -- product approval and we were not able to identify anything with that narrow profile on the sashes.

MS. SPAIN: You might want to give them names of companies.

MS. ALVAREZ: Is that appropriate? So I'm not sure if this is applicable, but a few years ago I attempted to use Hope's Windows on another project, and back then, the frames came from the manufacturers, somewhere up north, but the glass was installed here.

MS. SOTO: Yes. 1 MS. ALVAREZ: So it created some issues, 2 you know, with the contractor, you know, how do 3 they --5 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Ana, please speak -there you go. Very good. Much closer. 6 MR. DURANA: -- and warranties, et cetera. Do you know about that or is that no longer 8 applicable? 9 MS. SOTO: I know that the window product 10 is a long-term warranty. Whether they still 11 have difficulties, you know, I have not heard 12 that. I have not heard that. 13 MS. ALVAREZ: Okay. So I was thinking of 14 other projects in the City that, you know, have 15 16 had the windows replaced. So I can think of the Merrick House. So, in that case, maybe 17 Staff could speak to that, how that was done. 18 That has the storm panels and the original 19 windows restored. 20 MS. KAUTZ: The windows were restored and 21 we kept the panels. 22 23 MS. ALVAREZ: One last question. So if you did have to reinforce the structure on the 24 existing windows, whether you do replacement or 25

1 some storm paneling system, do you have an idea of what that detail looks like? Do they have 2 to do some kind of cavity to reinforce or --3 MS. SOTO: No. We have -- we do have an 5 idea. I know that -- what -- what we've done on the third floor is, the perimeter of the 6 window has been carved out, and we are enforcing installed, and then it was filled 8 with grout. 9 MS. ALVAREZ: Was that done without 10 damaging interior finishes or --11 MS. SOTO: Oh, no, all of the interior 12 finishes were removed. On the Third Floor --13 MS. PERNAS: No, the interior finishes are 14 remaining on the Third Floor. They cut the 15 16 plaster around the trim of the existing opening. 17 MS. KAUTZ: The windows, yeah. 18 MS. SOTO: Yeah, not the -- the window 19 itself was not -- is still in place. It's 20 still there. It's like floating in this 21 crevice. Actually, it's been done, so it's not 22 23 a crevice anymore. MS. NOVAL: The entire perimeter of the 24 window is -- on the Third Floor, the entire 25

perimeter of the windows have been reinforced, 1 but the window, in essence, they're still 2 there, even the sill, the molding. They were 3 able to just -- what has been removed is 5 basically the wall finish around the window box, let's call it. 6 MS. SOTO: Yeah. MS. NODAL: And that's how they reinforced it. 8 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. 9 MS. ALVAREZ: Okay. 10 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Are you finished, Ms. 11 Alvarez? 12 13 MS. ALVAREZ: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Mr. Durana. 14 15 MR. DURANA: For me, I mean, I'm pretty much okay with replacing the windows, if the 16 existing windows are in bad condition. My only 17 concern is what Peggy brought up, is the steel, 18 'cause it will eventually rust. I mean, I 19 don't know -- you know, if we could maybe find 20 a little bit better on the warranty and what 21 they do or what type of maintenance is 22 23 required, because I know that that could be a problem, but I understand that the steel ones 24 25 are the ones that have the best profile, you

1	know, to mimic the original ones, I think.
2	But that's something that we'v got to
3	consider, 'cause that could be a maintenance
4	issue later down the road.
5	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Ms. Spain.
6	MS. SPAIN: I just have a couple of
7	questions.
8	First of all, on process, whatever we end
9	up with, the recommendation to the City
10	Commission, when is that going to the
11	Commission? I mean, is that something that
12	is
13	MS. THROCKMORTON: That's a question for
14	MS. SPAIN: you don't need to do
15	anything else and you'll just take it to the
16	Commission?
17	MS. THROCKMORTON: It's a question for City
18	Staff and the City Manager. There's no timing
19	requirement in this process that's happening
20	here today, but
21	ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GOMEZ: Good
22	afternoon, Mr. Chair, Vice Chair and Honorable
23	Board Members. Joe Gomez, Assistant City
24	Manager for the City of Coral Gables.
25	Our intent would be to bring this to the

1 Commission either in January or February of 2025. 2 MS. SPAIN: Okay. So from what I'm 3 hearing, there hasn't been any type of study 5 done as to the condition of the existing windows. Are you planning on doing that, 6 before January or whatever it is? Because, I mean, that was in the report and that's what 8 they've said. So you really don't know what 9 condition these windows are that you're wanting 10 to take out, that have been there for a hundred 11 years, what condition they're in. 12 Yeah, one of them may have fallen apart, 13 but one of them may be okay and we really don't 14 know that now, right? 15 MS. PERNAS: No complete window assessment 16 has been done unit by unit. 17 MS. SPAIN: All right. So I believe that 18 should be done, if we're talking about removing 19 That's my first comment. them. 20 And, also, you said that there had to be a 21 bi-annual window repair, if you put in the --22 23 if you restore these windows. Is that what we --24 MS. SOTO: That's what we -- is recommended 25

1	for wood windows.
2	MS. SPAIN: Okay. That's not something
3	that's being done now, right?
4	MS. SOTO: No. Bi-century, you know. I'm
5	sorry. Yeah. No, it's not being done.
6	MS. SPAIN: Okay. So
7	MR. BANOS: You got it right.
8	MS. SPAIN: You know, that may not happen.
9	And, also, I really think that it's
10	important, whether these windows are restored
11	or replaced, that we figure out what the
12	original paint color was.
13	MS. SOTO: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: I do, too.
15	MS. SPAIN: And that's very simple to do.
16	Companies do that.
17	MS. SOTO: Well, we've had sampling done
18	recently and it's been sent to the lab.
19	MS. SPAIN: Okay.
20	MS. SOTO: So there may be some surprises.
21	We're excited about that.
22	MS. SPAIN: I know there was on the
23	Biltmore.
24	MS. PERNAS: Yeah, she was there last week
25	or the week before for that.

MS. SOTO: Yeah.

MS. SPAIN: And I brought up those interior storm shutters at a previous Board Meeting, but I don't think necessarily they need to be permanent. I mean, just like someone goes around on the outside, which is terrifying to watch, I have to say.

MS. SOTO: It is.

MS. SPAIN: I had my office in City Hall at one point, and the guys walking along in those ledges, putting up the panels, were terrifying, but if they're on the inside, they could easily be removable, and it would not take three days to put up one panel, because I do appreciate you saying the humidity in that gap, between the shutter and the window, might be a problem for the wood.

MS. SOTO: Yeah.

MS. SPAIN: But I don't see how it would be -- I don't think it would be difficult to just have a removable panel on the interior, and so when the -- you know, and I'm thinking one panel, you know, so they don't have to -- but whatever, so they don't have to spend a lot of time, so that we can still restore the windows.

I don't know. The windows -- and, 1 actually, if you do that, you can restore the 2 3 windows little by little. You can put the panels on the interior -- removable panels on the interior, and so every storm that comes, 5 okay, fine, you put it up, but then you can 6 take your time and put it in multiple -- you know, it may take ten years in the budget to 8 actually do a restoration of windows, but I 9 would suggest that that's what we have --10 MR. GARCIA-PONS: So did you want an answer 11 to that? 12 MS. SPAIN: What? 13 MR. GARCIA-PONS: The last part. 14 MS. SPAIN: No. I wasn't expecting one. 15 MR. GARCIA-PONS: So I think I understand 16 how the City arrived at this suggested 17 alternative, but I do have a couple of 18 questions as to how we got there. 19 So my first points are going to be Dona's 20 points, which is the thorough evaluation. 21 think it's premature to say we're going to take 22 23 out all of the windows and replace them with steel, when we don't know, and I wouldn't be 24 able to vote on it, to do that, without that 25

1 information. So I understand the urgency of the matter, as well, but I would urge the City 2 to please do that first. 3 And, then, once we know what the extent of 5 the problem is, then craft a solution. I think we're trying to craft a solution prior to 6 having all of the information, which is difficult for me. 8 I also tend to agree with Dona's 9 suggestion, but before it gets there, I think 10 it's a question for the City, but I don't know 11 if the architect wants to answer. 12 It is, what's the impetus, what's the number one 13 priority for the window replacement, not 14 seventeen priorities? But the number one 15 16 priority for the window replacement at this time is, what? 17 MS. SOTO: I don't know. If you want -- I 18 certainly could tell you what mine is, but it's 19 20 yours. MR. GARCIA-PONS: Because there's lots of 21 them. 22 23 MS. SOTO: Yeah. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GOMEZ: 24 The replacement of the windows, it's integral to 25

the long-term viability of the building. We're going to enter into a full-term assessment and restoration and rehabilitation of City Hall, so it hopefully can last another 100 years.

It's important that that structure be as sealed and as air tight as possible, as moisture tight as possible, in order for that structure to continue on in its glory for the next 100 years. That's why it's imperative that -- at least from an engineering perspective, and I'm just going to speak as an engineer, because, again, I'll defer to the architects and to the Historic Preservation, but as an engineer, my job is to protect that asset as best as I can, and I know that if we replace the windows with windows that are hurricane rated, that structure has a much better chance of surviving long-term than if not.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Okay. Thank you. I imagined that was the priority, because all of the alternatives were hurricane proof, hurricane rated, hurricane, hurricane, hurricane, hurricane, and I'm not sure -- we know that that building is not a shelter. It's not going

to have people in it during a hurricane. We already have an EOC, which is probably what this building was built for.

So understanding, I think the phrasing that you mentioned of, we're trying to protect the building for the future and air tight is important, and that is different to me than a hurricane. So, I think, if you're trying to protect the investment that the City is going to make on the interior, I think we could all agree that humidity plays a factor, and I'm pretty positive that those windows leak like crazy, but they were designed to, at that time, because, back then, it was passive, as opposed to, seal, seal, seal, which is what we do today.

And I think that's where the conflict comes in, at least for my role on this Board, which is Historic Preservation, the original intent of those windows were to be operable and to match the aesthetic of what Coral Gables was in the 1920s, and we are so fortunate that they are still there today, that it is painful to -- particularly without a thorough evaluation, to say, "Hey, we're going to do our best. We're

going to take them out. We're going to try to make them steel and move it forward."

We, as a Board, often -- or we know that having a historic property may require more maintenance, right, for everybody, not just the City, for the private owners, for everyone that has these buildings, and we know that the bi-annual maintenance is going to be a requirement, and I know that the City has their priorities, with budgets, and these -- you know, governing is difficult, but from the perspective of this Board, I think our priority is to give you advice based on what we think is best for the historic nature of the City and this particular building.

And this particular building, being City
Hall, like this is the marquee building,
almost, to me, in front of the Biltmore. The
Biltmore is a beautiful building, but this is
our civic building.

I just took a moment to read, as the meeting was starting, as provided by Staff in the report, the National Park Services Historic Preservation Tax Incentive for Replacement Windows Standards, and all of the bullets on

the front page talk about replacement windows on primary street facing, replacement windows on the low areas, replacement of existing windows material for material, wood for wood, metal for metal. It's really specific. It also refers back to the fact that we should have a thorough evaluation of the problem, and we currently have windows that haven't disintegrated.

So I think there is a conflict, and, again, I understand why -- I think -- I believe I understand why the City is moving in the direction that they're moving, but I think there are alternatives that can do both, and I think Ms. Spain's alternative of sealing the building in a different fashion, and then protecting the windows, as possible, should be further considered.

And it may cost more money, and it may take more time, but we, as a City, what are our priorities? And I can only speak to my priorities, and this Board can only speak to our priorities, the City has other priorities, and the City's going to have to do what they're going to have to do, but I think it's incumbent

1 upon us to make our priorities known, particularly, in this particular way. 2 The solution of an interior sealant, 3 whether it's permanent, as the architect has mentioned, is -- we're going to have to redo 5 6 the framing anyway, and we're going to have to seal it, seal those things in, to make it airtight, that, I think, addresses what 8 Mr. Gomez mentioned earlier, the hundred 9 percent sealing of the interior for protection 10 of drying the air, right. That is one 11 solution. 12 The solution of putting it up when a 13 hurricane comes in, temporarily solves the 14 hurricane problem, and not the sealing problem. 15 So if -- that's why I asked what the number one 16 solution was? 17 MS. SPAIN: Can I comment on that? 18 MR. GARCIA-PONS: 19 Sure. MS. SPAIN: I don't think it's always a 20 good thing to absolutely seal historic 21 buildings. We had all kinds of issues with the 22 Merrick House, and I personally have had issues 23 -- I lived on Santa Maria Street, on a hallow 24 clay tile structure, and I put in hurricane 25

proof windows in that, because they were awning windows when I first moved in. Peter Iglesias was essential in that process, and so was Manny Lopez. I have -- kudos to them. They came over and we figured out how to fill this house, but once they were put in, it was hermetically sealed and it was a huge problem.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Uh-huh.

MS. SPAIN: So I don't know that it's a good idea to necessarily really seal that building, but go ahead.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: And I don't know about a hundred percent, and you can't do it. I'm sure there's -- there's mechanical engineers for that. I'm not one of them. And I think that's sort of half the point.

The second point is -- following the priorities is, technologically, we can rebuild that window, right? We can. It's the 21st Century. Of course we can rebuild that window. It's just a matter of time and money.

So it may be a question for any contractor that might be on the Board is -- or in the audience, are there craftsman available in this country that can rebuild a wood window to match

1	that, in your opinion? Do you know?
2	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Absolutely. We're
3	getting it in the Congregational Church.
4	MS. PERNAS: Yeah.
5	MR. GARCIA-PONS: So, again, I think there
6	is an opportunity
7	MS. SOTO: If you want to take it further,
8	that will meet the conditions of Procurement of
9	the City of Coral Gables.
10	MR. GARCIA-PONS: But that's up to the City
11	of Coral Gables.
12	MS. SOTO: It's not like your house.
13	MR. GARCIA-PONS: That's really up to the
14	City of Coral Gables, right. We make our own
15	rules, right. If it's a priority to the City
16	to do this, they can do this. It's not written
17	in stone.
18	MS. SPAIN: Doesn't the Procurement Code
19	have there's a clause in there that talks
20	about Historic Preservation and that they can
21	waive the process?
22	MS. PERNAS: Yeah. They have special
23	exemptions for restoration, but, again
24	MS. SPAIN: And conservation.
25	MS. PERNAS: there's ways to work the

Procurement Code, if that were the case, if we needed an out of state. It wouldn't be easy.

I'm not saying it's going to be easy, but --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Those of us that have worked in government know that if there's a will, there's a way, and I think there -- if there's a will, there's a way. So I think the City should do the thorough evaluation, before proceeding, please, and then possibly entertain another alternative, if one comes out of this Board, to evaluate as an alternative, and think about what are the City's priorities with regard to this civic building and the face that we show the world who we are.

And we've had this debate over other landmark items, which are difficult. I'm not going to conflate the two. But it is an ongoing debate. And at some point, if we just keep on giving in to, these things are expedient to be done this way, we're going to lose the heart and character of what things are. And I know it's a fine line, because we replace windows all of the time in private residences. Things change, we replace kitchens and bathrooms, things change over time, but

this is so special, I think it would merit some consideration.

The last sort of comment -- sorry, this is all comments, not questions -- the last comment is, in the Park's comment, in the Park's notes, they do talk about prioritizing window replacement and not every window needs to be replaced in kind. So the primary faces, the one closest to the people, the one in the major rooms. So maybe the windows facing the parking garage are different, right. I don't know this, because I'm not going to identify what are the priority windows and what aren't the priority windows, but I know for sure that the windows in the Chambers, those are pretty spectacular, on that curve, right.

There are some things that could really kept there, as identifying elements that could be preserved forever, and it doesn't have to be all -- however many windows are at the building, and that's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you.

Mr. Silva.

MR. SILVA: Thank you.

I agree. I think Cesar said it perfectly,

so I'm not going to repeat his comments. I do appreciate, Ms. Soto, the work you did, and the work with the new window and trying to match it as close as possible. I think, if you look at the side by side comparison, I think it's -- if we are to go with the replacement route, I think this is maybe a viable option.

I did want to add something to Cesar's comments, I guess, on the sealing of the building. If that is the number one priority, I don't want us to be hyperfocused on the windows, because the entire building -- it's an envelope, right. If we're proposing to replace these windows --

MR. GARCIA-PONS: I don't think we should put words into Mr. Gomez's mouth. He said, protection of the building, which included the sealing. I don't want to --

MR. SILVA: Understood. Understood. But I don't want to hyperfocus on sealing these windows a hundred percent, when the rest of this building, we all know, is a porous building, right. It has cladding. It has gaps. And I know there's a project coming along to restore it, but, you know, those walls

were meant to weep in some way, and maybe it's not a bad thing that these windows weep out, you know.

The thing has to be looked at as an envelope in its entirety, and I think hperfocusing on just the windows, without looking at the entire wall system, is maybe a mistake, and that's why I would echo the request for kind of an overall analysis of the existing windows, and which ones are bad, which ones are really bad, and maybe that will give us some clues, right. Maybe it's windows that are protected on the south facade or whatever it is, and then we can start looking at -- not at a whole replacement, but selected or we start identifying tiers of windows that maybe could be replaced.

I mean, I think we need to look at this a little more carefully, because this building is so special to our City.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: I'm going to pick up where my colleagues have left off and ask the question, are the doors going to be replaced?

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: Amos Rojas, Jr., City Manager. I think the first thing -- the first

step for us, for the City to do, is do a complete evaluation, not only of all of the windows, like you said, before we bring it to the Commission, is to also do the doors, 'cause the doors do have some damage, and I may be able to answer it a little bit more specific as to which doors are in worst shape, but I believe that -- and I'm not sure which Member said it, we will do a complete evaluation of the doors and windows, and then we'll schedule it for a Commission Meeting, once you all have had an opportunity to look at the documentation.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you.

Has there been a study -- a cost benefit analysis on looking at these various options?

We know that one cost is -- for all them, is to remove the windows, and then to also, you know, cement the inside, so that storm windows can be there.

Is there a range of cost and a cost analysis that's been looked at?

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: As I said, we're here today to get the feedback, and I'm making my notes here as to the comments that you all are

making on this. I do agree that, before moving forward, we will do the evaluation, and then, you know, we'll see where we're at.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: And how far are we from selecting an architect?

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GOMEZ: That was approved at the December Commission Meeting. We have selected the consulting firm that will be doing the assessment and then eventually the design for the rehabilitation and restoration of the building.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: So are you expecting the architect to do the assessments to specify the windows?

yeah. The lead of the team is an architectural firm. It's Heisenbottle that was selected. The team also has Douglas Wood's firm as the structural engineer, who has been intimately involved with the structural repairs to the building for many, many years, and we also have a conservator that's part of the team, as well.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: So we're really not in a huge hurry to make this decision whatsoever.

I'm going to make a couple of comments.

Thank you very much.

And I'd like to begin by saying that this is -- the building that we have in front of us, our City Hall, is very much like your grandfather's gold watch, the one that has 24 jewel movement, that was beautifully machined by craftsman and people who have made, you know, wonderful watches, that sell for very expensive sums of money. But in order to maybe be a little more convenient, we're thinking of taking out all of those -- that beautiful clockwork inside and replacing it with a battery operation.

The Coral Gables City Hall is one of our City's most iconic buildings. It's on the National Register of Historic Places. It is eligible and should be and I hope it would be very soon, a national landmark, like the Biltmore. This building is unique. It's survived not only a hundred years, with the same windows, but the windows haven't been painted since Les Bailenson was the consulting architect to the City, when they were painted green, and that was about 20 years ago or more. And that was when Mr. Bailenson discovered that

they were green through a chemical analysis of the original painting, rather than white.

Windows, as we all know, are one of the most important architectural elements of a building, and, therefore, you know, we need to take extra time, rather than rushing this, or coming to a pre-determined decision. You know, those windows have lasted a hundred years, through lots and lots of hurricanes. There are multiple examples of this.

I understand that a set of windows like this will run in excess of maybe \$25,000 or more for one window. That's a pretty staggering sum of money. I restored the Opa-locka City Hall a number of years ago, and had all of the windows rebuilt, you know. My church, right now, is looking at restoring and rebuilding its windows, and they're wood, too. And by the way, there's probably not more than a half a dozen windows -- historic buildings from the '20s that still have their wood windows in here.

This is not a residential building. You know, we don't have the option of saying, "I want to do this because it's more convenient to

me." Our brand, as a City, is history. We sell that. We tell everybody. All you have to do is go on our website and we tell you that it is.

So the Secretary standards are very clear. Those standards are the same as our City standards. We need to make sure that we follow our standards and our Code and meet up to the obligations of which we, as a City and citizens, have stated that we believe in, that is our brand, and what it is that we all aspire to.

We can have a real teaching moment with this building, and I hope that we do. I hope that we bring in craftsmen. I hope that we bring in all kinds of people to showcase to the world not only our willingness to restore this building properly, but to show people how to do it. I think that's essential.

With that, I think that there's really no real urgency to this, and I would urge you to urge the City Council to slow down and to get the studies done and whatever you do, don't have an MAI direction. Don't have it made as instructed. Let us come up, altogether, as a

City, to determine the best possible way to do this.

MR. BANOS: Mr. Chairman, will you allow a question of the City Attorney?

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: Can I make a comment?
CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Go ahead.

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: Everyone, from the Commission, down to myself and to the Staff, we all understand the need to preserve the history of the City of Coral Gables. We understand that, and I don't want to give the impression that we're just going to, let's just replace the windows. It's just easier to throw in new ones. We looked at many options, as you heard the architect explain, but I just want you to know that we, too, feel that need — that when we do it, we need to do it right, and we are concerned.

During the budget year, this year, my first priority in the budget was historical sites, because I saw that there was a lot of historical sites -- not a lot, some of them, that needed tender loving care. Now, with that, comes money.

So when we talk about restoring the Water

Tower or some of the other -- Venetian Pool, you know, it costs money, but I just want you to know that when we talk about doing repairs or doing work at City Hall, we also keep in mind the history of Coral Gables and what it means to us that work and live here in the City.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Manager. We really appreciate that.

Mr. Banos.

MR. BANOS: I just had a question for the City Attorney.

So I don't want to let go of his question, because, I think, to the Chairman's point and the point of Mr. Garcia-Pons and the rest of my colleagues, we don't have the information in front of us to truly be able to provide the response and the educated recommendation that the City is asking of us.

The Manager has just conceded that -giving our feedback, that he believes that we
need to do a study of the windows. So wouldn't
it be advisable, instead for us to do -- give
any recommendations, so we can keep the
jurisdiction that has been allowed by that

Ordinance, that we have to give our recommendation before anything is done, that we table or defer the item until we have that information, so this thing doesn't move along the line and gets to a void, so that wee can continue to have the influence on the debate, okay, and receive the information from the Manager, and then, after that, once we have it, then provide an educated reflection as to what should be done based on that, and then, and only then, have the matter move forward to the City Commission?

MS. THROCKMORTON: If the applicant, who's the City today, is proffering that they will return to this Board and will seek your recommendation then, that's certainly fine and we can defer the item until that date, but I would ask that the applicant confirm that, that they will be returning and we'll defer any decision making on that, on behalf of this Board, until that date.

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: Well, it's funny, because I asked that same question in regards to, had we done all of the window evaluations yesterday, which is when I found out we had

not. I think it's -- Mr. Banos' suggestion is correct, and we're willing to go back, let's gather the information that we have there, we'll include the doors, as well, because I think that's a very integral part of what we're doing, and then we'll come back for feedback to the Board.

MR. BANOS: Given that proffer, I will move to defer the item until we have that information.

MR. GARCIA-PONS: Through the Chair, before we defer it, I would like to ask City Staff and possibly the consultant, whether it's within their scope or not, to take into consideration the comments that were made on the Board today as to, if the results come in as A, B or C, these may be the alternatives that we could proceed with, so it's not all or nothing. That would be my recommendation. Thank you.

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GOMEZ: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. We have physical agreement from the Manager and the City -- Vice Manager.

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GOMEZ: Absolutely.

MS. THROCKMORTON: So with that in mind --

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: I'll put it on the record. Yes, sir, that's fine.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you, sir.

MS. THROCKMORTON: -- the Commission

Resolution directed that this item come for non-binding review and feedback prior to any public hearing, but I'm hearing from the Manager's Office that they're not going to consider this that review and feedback pursuant to the Resolution until they return with the additional information.

CITY MANAGER ROJAS: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you, Manager.

MR. BANOS: I should move to defer and the motion should make reference specifically as to what Ms. Throckmorton just said, and it should reflect in the motion that it is being done, the Manager's proffer, that this item -- that this will not be considered that opinion, that is under the current Resolution, that we have not yet provided that input.

MS. THROCKMORTON: That's how I understand the motion, that this item will be deferred for that additional information about the windows and doors to be provided by the City, and that

1	it will not proceed to the Commission until
2	further review by this Board.
3	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: So we have a motion. We
4	need a second, please.
5	MR. GARCIA-PONS: I'll second.
6	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: We have a second we
7	have a motion by Mr. Banos, and we have a
8	second by Mr. Garcia-Pons.
9	MS. THROCKMORTON: Mr. Chair, if I may. I
10	know we don't necessarily need it, but I don't
11	know if we have any public comment on this
12	item, but I just wanted to make sure that that
13	was
14	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Can we take the motion
15	first before and then we can receive
16	public
17	MS. THROCKMORTON: We have a motion and a
18	second. I would prefer, if we're going to take
19	public comment, that we take it before the
20	vote.
21	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Very good.
22	Also, do we have any kind of public comment
23	that has come in the mail or by other means?
24	MS. PERNAS: Yes. We did receive a public
25	comment letter from Karelia Carbonell, on

behalf of the HPACG, that was circulated in 1 advance of the meeting. That's the only one 2 that we received digitally. 3 And, then, if there's anybody in-person or 5 in Zoom that would like to speak, please go ahead. 6 MR. GARCIA-PONS: And through the Chair, I think that the letter from -- that Anna just 8 mentioned was actually really clearly written, 9 so if it's online, I would encourage anybody to 10 read it. 11 MS. PERNAS: Yeah. We will attach it to 12 the agenda, with the minutes. 13 CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Okay. Mr. Torre, would 14 you like to come up? 15 MS. THROCKMORTON: For the record, please 16 state your name and address, anybody who's 17 going to be speaking today. Thank you. 18 MR. TORRE: Good afternoon. Venny Torre, 19 208 Andalusia. Thank you for letting me speak. 20 So I concur with the majority of you that 21 this is one of the most significant 22 23 buildings -- it is the most significant building in this City, and with all of the 24 regulations, all of the demands that we put on 25

folks, I think it's a bad example not to do what's right, which I think may be to look, really hard, keeping those original windows, if at all possible. I think that would be the first try.

A couple of things that were said earlier, that caught my at attention, one was that the folks that do restoration, and I think there's one major group in town, had not either had the time or the ability to look at them. I would think that that should have been taken further, and determine whether some other folks out there could do this, either nationally or internationally, because I think that -- that either -- you can send them to somebody here or we can put them on a ship and send them to Italy, and I'm sure, somebody that does work in Venice, can bring them back beautifully painted for us. I'm sure that's available, probably for a good cost.

And the second thing is that, if you were to really pursue the impact resistant window, there may be a one time inspection -- or one time approval for a window that may not be a Marvin, it may not be a Luxbaum, but could be

1	something completely different, that may meet
2	the design criteria that you guys may wish. So
3	that may be an option, and that's something
4	that I did not hear. But I think that could
5	also be explored, if, in fact, that's the route
6	that the City would like to take.
7	The Hope's Windows are top of the line. I
8	just don't know that that's the right solution
9	at this point. Possibly later, but at this
10	point, I think, like you said, the breathing of
11	the building and all of that, is not really a
12	major concern, at least as far as I'm
13	concerned. The continuing or the keeping of
14	those windows, I think should be really thought
15	through. Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Thank you, sir.
17	Is there any other public comment that
18	people would like to make, a statement?
19	All right. Well, hearing none, then we'll
20	go back to the motion and Resolution.
21	Would you call the roll, please?
22	THE SECRETARY: Sure.
23	Ms. Alvarez?
24	MS. ALVAREZ: Yes.
25	THE SECRETARY: Mr. Durana?

```
1
             MR. DURANA: Yes.
             THE SECRETARY: Okay. Ms. Rolando?
2
             MS. ROLANDO: Yes.
 3
             THE SECRETARY: Ms. Spain?
 5
             MS. SPAIN: Yes.
             THE SECRETARY: Mr. Silva?
 6
             MR. SILVA: Yes.
             THE SECRETARY: And Mr. Maxwell?
8
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL:
 9
             MR. GARCIA-PONS: It's a yes from me, too.
10
11
             MR. BANOS: And a yes from me, as well.
         It's my motion. He seconded.
12
             THE SECRETARY: Apologies.
13
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: All right.
14
                          It's all right.
15
             MR. BANOS:
16
             CHAIRMAN MAXWELL: Mr. Manager, Mr. Vice
17
         Manager, I would like to thank you very much
         for your time, for your patience and for
18
         listening to us and for bringing this issue to
19
              As we all know, we love this City, all of
20
         us do, and that's why so many people are here
21
22
         today.
23
             Mr. Torre, thank you. Your professional
         opinion is always highly appreciated.
24
             So let us move forward, in the spirit of
25
```

```
cooperation and working together. I think that
1
         we can find a solution that meets all of the
2
         criteria that we have in our Code and all of
3
         the criteria that we have in our hearts, and
5
         that's the most important thing I think that we
         can do.
 6
              We have a beautiful City. Let's push it to
7
         the next hundred years. Thank you, sir.
8
9
              (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:00
10
11
    p.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	SS.
5	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
6	
7	
8	
9	I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
10	Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
11	certify that I was authorized to and did
12	stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
13	that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
14	stenographic notes.
15	
16	DATED this 6th day of February, 2025.
17	
18	Min Days
19	
20	NIEVES SANCHEZ
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	