Pre-Orals - June 9, 2021

Proposal Evaluation Form RFQ 2021-010 Mobility HUB CMR Services Totals Composite Form Not Active

Selection Criteria \ Proposers	Maximum Criteria Points per Evaluator	Maximum Sub-	Lemark	Kaufman Lyn Construction	KVC Constructors, Inc.	Link Construction Group, Inc.	NV2A Group, LLC	The Weitz Company, LLC
			TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:	TOTAL:
Experience & Qualifications	45	225						
Proposer's qualifications, including, but not limited to: company history and description, the number of years in business, size, number of employees, office location where work is to be performed, licenses/certifications, credentials, capabilities and capacity to effectively meet the City's needs, relevant experience, and proven track record of providing the scope of services as identified in this solicitation to public sector agencies.		75	58.0	70.0	67.0	47.0	62.0	70.0
Proposer's familiarity with permitting agencies and permitting procedures, especially in Miami-Dade County		25	18.0	23.0	23.0	18.0	22.0	23.0
Proposer's expertise and experience in working with other disciplines, including coordination with other design professionals and sub-consultants.		25	17.0	22.0	22.0	15.0	24.0	24.0

Proposer's financial stability		25	21.0	24.0	21.0	21.0	22.0	25.0
Qualifications and experience of all proposed key personnel (including sub-consultants)		75	61.0	70.0	67.0	52.0	63.0	71.0
Experience & Qualifications Total			175.0	209.0	200.0	153.0	193.0	213.0
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology	35	175						
Proposer's overall detailed approach and methodology to perform the services solicited herein. Understanding of the RFQ scope and requirements, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, strategies for assuring assigned work is completed on time, innovation interaction and communication with the community, City staff, and multiple stakeholders.		75	57.0	71.0	64.0	51.0	61.0	72.0
Recent, current, and projected workload for the Proposer and key personnel and how the potential contract will fit into the Proposer's workload.		15	14.0	13.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Proposer's demonstrated ability to positively and innovatively move a project from the conceptual stage into a clearly defined project that may be designed and constructed, while minimizing the impact on the community.		25	20.0	24.0	20.0	16.0	19.0	22.0
Proposer's demonstrated ability to provide schedule control, cost control and quality control for the services specified herein. Proposer's experience with similar projects completed on-time and within budget.		25	22.0	24.0	23.0	16.0	21.0	24.0
Proposer's ability to deliver similar projects having significant community and business involvement.		25	18.0	24.0	21.0	15.0	21.0	24.0
Proposer's ability to work with other consultants designated by the City		10	9.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Project Understanding, Proposed Approach, Methodology Total			140.0	165.0	153.0	123.0	147.0	167.0
Past Performance and References	20	100						
Provide detailed information on five (5) of the Proposer's most recent and relevant projects similar in scope and nature to the services described in the solicitation.		50	40.0	48.0	48.0	26.0	46.0	49.0

Total Points	100	500	401.0	469.0	447.0	349.0	432.0	477.0
Not Applicable	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Past Performance and References Total			86.0	95.0	94.0	73.0	92.0	97.0
Please identify each incident within the last five (5) years where (a) a civil, criminal, administrative, other similar proceeding was filed or is pending, if such proceeding arises from or is a dispute concerning the Proposer's rights, remedies or duties under a contract for the same or similar type services to be provided under this RFP (See Affidavit D).		15	14.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
List with contact information of public sector clients, if any, that have discontinued use of Proposer's services within the past two (2) years and indicate the reasons for the same		20	19.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
All contracts which the Proposer has performed (past and present) for the City of Coral Gables. The City will review all contracts the Proposer has performed for the City in accordance with Section 4.10 Evaluation of Responses (c) (4) which states the City may consider "Proposer's unsatisfactory performance record, judged from the standpoint of conduct of work, workmanship, progress or standards of performance agreed upon in the Contract as substantiated by past or current work with the City".		15	13.0	12.0	11.0	12.0	11.0	13.0

Choose Another Form