	Page 1		Page 2
	CITY OF CORAL GABLES	1	THEREUPON:
	LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA)/	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'd like to go ahead
	PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD MEETING VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT	3	and call the meeting to order.
	WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 2021, COMMENCING AT 6:04 P.M.	4	Good evening, everybody. This Board is
	Board Members Present:	5	comprised of seven members. Four Members of
	Eibi Aizenstat, Chairman Robert Behar	6	the Board shall constitute a quorum, and the
	Luis Revuelta	7	affirmative vote of four members shall be
	Wayne "Chip" Withers Venny Torre		
	Claudia Miro	8	necessary for the adoption of any motion. If
			only four Members of the Board are present, an
	City Staff and Consultants: Ramon Trias, Planning Director	10	applicant may request and be entitled to a
	Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant, Board Secretary	11	continuance to the next regularly scheduled
	Jennifer Garcia, City Planner Arceli Redila, Principal Planner	12	meeting of the Board. If the matter is
	Craig Coller, Special Counsel (via Zoom platform) Peter Iglesias, City Manager	13	continued due to a lack of the quorum, the
	Miriam Soler Ramos, City Attorney	14	Chairperson or Secretary of the Board may set a
	Warren Adams, Historical Resources & Cultural Arts Director	15	Special Meeting to consider such matter. In
		16	the event that the four votes are not obtained,
	Also Participating:	17	an applicant may request a continuance or allow
	Felix Pardo Willy Bermello	18	the application to proceed to the City
	Mario Garcia-Serra, Esq., On behalf of E-1 and E-2	19	Commission without a recommendation.
	Maria De La Guardia, Architect Sue Kawalerski	20	Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the
	Agustin De La Guardia	21	City of Coral Gables has returned to
	Maria Cristina Longo Tom O'Malley	22	traditional in-person meetings. Accordingly,
	Anne Finch	23	any individual wishing to provide sworn
	Carlos Singer Jose Rivera-Font	24	testimony should be present physically in the
	Brett Gillis, Via Zoom	25	City Commission Chambers. However, the
	Page 3		Page 4
1	Planning & Zoning Board has established the	1	MS. MIRO: Present.
2	ability for the public to provide comments,	2	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
3	though non-sworn and without evidentiary value,	3	MR. REVUELTA: Present.
4	virtually. Accordingly, only individuals who	4	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
5	wish to provide public comment in this format	5	MR. TORRE: Here.
6	may appear and provide those comments via Zoom.	6	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
7	Lobbyist Registration and Disclosure, any	7	MR. WITHERS: Here.
8	person who acts as a lobbyist pursuant to the	8	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
9	City of Coral Gables Ordinance Number 2006-11	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.
10	must register with the City Clerk prior to	10	Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communication,
11	engaging in lobbying activities or	11	please be advised that this Board is a
12	presentations before City Staff, Boards,	12	quasi-judicial board, which requires Board
13	Committees and/or the City Commission. A copy	13	Members to disclose all ex parte communications
14	of the Ordinance is available in the Office of	14	and site visits. An ex parte communication is
15	the City Clerk. Failure to register and	15	defined as any contact, communication,
16	provide proof of registration shall prohibit	16	conversation, correspondence, memorandum or
17	your ability to present to the Board.	17	written or verbal communication that takes
18	As Chair, I now officially call the City of	18	place outside of the public hearing between a
19	Coral Gables Planning & Zoning Board Meeting of	19	member of the public and a member of the
20	October 13th, 2021 to order. The time is 6:04.	20	quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be
21	Jill, if you'd please call the roll.	21	heard by the Board. If anyone made any contact
22	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	22	with a Board Member regarding an issue before
23	MR. BEHAR: Present.	23	the Board, the Board Member must state, on the
24	THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?	24	record, the existence of the ex parte
25	Claudia Miro?	25	communication and the party who originated the
1			

	Page 5		Page 6
1	communication. Also, if a Board Member	1	chamber, who will be speaking on agenda items
2	conducted a site visit specifically related to	2	before us this evening, please rise to be sworn
3	the case before the Board, the Board Member	3	in and raise your right hand.
4	must also disclose such visit. In either case,	4	MR. COLLER: That would include the Staff.
5	the Board Member must state, on the record,	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Staff, please.
6	whether the ex parte communication or site	6	(Thereupon, the participants were sworn or
7	visit will affect the Board Member's ability to	7	affirmed.)
8	impartially consider the evidence to be	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
9	presented regarding the matter. The Board	9	Zoom platform participants, I will ask any
10	should also state that his or her decision will	10	person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda
11	be based on substantial competent evidence and	11	items to please open your chat and send a
12	testimony presented on the record today.	12	direct message to Jill Menendez. Please open
13	Does any Member of the Board have such	13	your chat and send a direct message to Jill
14	communication and/or site visit to disclose at	14	Menendez, stating you would like to speak
15	this time?	15	before the Board, and include your full name
16	MR. BEHAR: No.	16	and item that you would like to speak about.
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Swearing in, everyone	17	Jill will call you when it's your turn.
18	who speaks this evening must complete the	18	Depending on the number of speakers, I ask you
19	roster at the podium, please. I'd like to make	19	to be concise, for the interest of time.
20	sure everybody has done that who will be	20	Phone platform participants, after Zoom
21	speaking tonight. We ask that you print	21	platform participants are done, I will ask
22	clearly, so the official records of your name	22	phone participants to comment on tonight's
	and address will be correct.	23	
23			agenda items. I also ask you to be concise, for the interest of time.
24 25	Now, with the exception of attorneys, all	24	
25	persons physically in the City Commission	25	Jill, the clerk — did we receive any
	Page 7		Page 8
1	e-comments for tonight's meeting?	1	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
2	THE SECRETARY: No e-comments, but received	2	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
3	e-mails regarding some of the projects on the	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
4	agenda.	4	The procedure we will use tonight is as
5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. The Clerk will	5	follows, first, the identification of agenda
6	be asked to read each e-comment or e-mail into	6	item by Mr. Coller. We will have then a
7	the record.	7	presentation by Staff, presentation by the
8	The first item is the approval of the	8	applicant or agent. I will then open it to
9	minutes of August 11, 2021. Anybody would like	9	public comment, first in chamber, then Zoom
10	to make a motion?	10	platform, then the phone line platform.
11	MR. TORRE: I'll make a motion.	11	Afterwards, we will close the public comment,
12	MR. BEHAR: Second.	12	the Board will have a discussion, and a motion,
13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.	13	more discussion, and a second of motion, if it
14	Second by Mr. Behar. Any comments?	14	arrives, then Board's final comments and a
15	No? Having heard none, call the roll,	15	vote. Thank you.
16	please.	16	Mr. Trias.
17	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	17	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to
18	MS. MIRO: Yes.	18	request a change in the agenda. E-3, which is
19	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	19	the discussion of the Mediterranean standards,
	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	20	should be first, and I'm also requesting that
20		1	E-4, which is the Home Office Amendment, and
20 21	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	21	E-4, which is the Home Office Amendment, and
	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre? MR. TORRE: Yes.	21 22	E-5, which deals with some single-family
21	•		
21 22	MR. TORRE: Yes.	22	E-5, which deals with some single-family
21 22 23	MR. TORRE: Yes. THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	22 23	E-5, which deals with some single-family amendments, be postponed to the November 10th

	Page 9		Page 10
1	the rest of my fellow Board Members	1	bring Mediterranean Bonus first.
2	MR. BEHAR: Which are we postponing, again,	2	MR. COLLER: You can do that as a motion.
3	I'm sorry?	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would anybody like to
4	MR. TRIAS: The home office.	4	make a motion?
5	MR. BEHAR: Okay.	5	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion.
6	MR. TRIAS: And some minor amendments for	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Behar makes a
7	single-family.	7	motion.
8	MR. BEHAR: I'm okay with that.	8	MS. MIRO: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there any objection	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. All
10	from any Board Members? No?	10	in favor?
11	MR. BEHAR: Do we need a motion for	11	(All Board Members voted aye.)
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I don't think so.	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody against?
13	Mr. Coller, do we need a motion to shift	13	Thank you.
14	the agenda or is that at discretion?	14	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, on E-3, as you
15	I'm sorry, I was not able to understand.	15	know, the Commission set up a Blue Ribbon
16	MR. COLLER: Okay. You can do a voice vote	16	Committee composed of
17	on this, if you wish. You're deferring	17	MR. COLLER: Should I read the title in,
18	items	18	Mr. Chairman?
19	THE SECRETARY: E-4 and E-5.	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, please.
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The City is deferring	20	MR. TRIAS: I'm sorry, yes.
21	Items E-4 and E-5.	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
22		22	MR. COLLER: Item E-3, an Ordinance of the
	MR. COLLER: Oh, they're requesting	23	•
23	deferral.		City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They're requesting	24	providing for text amendments to the City of
25	deferral and we are changing the agenda to	25	Coral Gables Official Zoning Code, Article 5,
	Page 11		Page 12
1	"Architecture," Section 5-200, "Mediterranean	1	Thank you, sir.
2	Standards" and providing for severability,	2	MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman, Board Members,
3	repealer, codification, and for an effective	3	thank you very much for the opportunity to be
4	date.	4	able to speak to you about the proposed
5	Item E-3, public hearing.	5	changes, what we've been working on for over
6	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, as you know, the	6	two months now, and that is to try to modify
7	City Commission established a Blue Ribbon	7	the Mediterranean Ordinance in such a way that
8	Committee composed of some very prominent	8	it would be more responsive to the public
9	architects. Some of them are here tonight,	9	outcry of some of the more recent projects that
10	Chairman Pardo, of course, Willy Bermello, and	10	were erected here in the City.
11	Mr. Behar, who sits with you on the Board.	11	First of all, I know that Staff has a brief
12	They have worked very hard trying to come up	12	PowerPoint presentation. Since I was here
13	with some recommendations for the Ordinance, so	13	addressing the Commission last night, I wanted
14	I would request that they make a presentation,	14	to pretty much start off with the PowerPoint
15	explain the ideas that they have, and then	15	presentation that hasn't occurred yet. I was
16	Staff has a brief PowerPoints of some issues	16	able to get on the website and actually
17	that we have raised.	17	download it and take a look at the thing. And
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	18	I wanted to bring up a couple of thing that are
19	I was originally going to ask Mr. Behar to	19	very, very important. There's a Staff
20	just bring us up to speed. Mr. Pardo, as	20	recommendation and then there's the PowerPoint.
21	Chairman, if you're here, if you don't mind	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you mind just
22	coming up and giving us an update and mainly a	22	maybe a little background as to what happened
23	background as to what went about, how you got	23	at the Blue Ribbon Committee and so forth?
24	here. That would be great and much	24	MR. PARDO: Okay. The reason that it was
25	appreciated.	25	formed

1 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. 2 MR. PARDO: — was that there was a 3 Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that — 4 I think it was July, and when that occurred, 5 there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond 6 Staff, at the new police station, and it was 7 televised, and, from my understanding, there 8 were about 300 people on Zoom watching the 9 proceedings, and that was because of the 10 dissatisfaction specifically of some of the 11 developments that had gone up. 12 There was a clear — there's a clear 13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what had happened 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had — unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 1 in that particular meeting, I explained what the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting hat evening, there was a Commission Meeting point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very goo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. PARDO: was that there was a Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that I think it was July, and when that occurred, there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ordinance actually came into play, and that in that particular meeting, I explained what the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
MR. PARDO: — was that there was a Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that — 1 think it was July, and when that — there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent for the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many the development of the intent was and how it had transformed there or three buildings, that had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PARDO: was that there was a Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that I think it was July, and when that occurred, there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Ordinance actually came into play, and that in that particular meeting, I explained what the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
3 Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that — 4 I think it was July, and when that occurred, 5 there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond 6 Staff, at the new police station, and it was 6 were about 300 people on Zoom watching the 9 proceedings, and that was because of the 10 developments that had gone up. 11 developments that had gone up. 12 There was a clear — there's a clear 13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what had happened 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had — unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 3 in that particular meeting, I explained what the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a the literal that the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting have at alked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, two o	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sunshine meeting back in July, and when that — I think it was July, and when that occurred, there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in that particular meeting, I explained what the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
4 I think it was July, and when that occurred, 5 there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond 6 Staff, at the new police station, and it was 6 Staff, at the new police station, and it was 7 televised, and, from my understanding, there 8 were about 300 people on Zoom watching the 9 proceedings, and that was because of the 10 dissatisfaction specifically of some of the 11 developments that had gone up. 12 There was a clear — there's a clear 13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what hadn't happened. 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had — unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 4 the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission 8 talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the re of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission 9 there on the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that most peolidings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that start had meeting that evening, there of the redictions, so, therefore, after that start had and meeting that evening, there on the citizens, so, therefore, after that start had and meeting that evening, there in this cham	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think it was July, and when that occurred, there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the intent was and how it had transformed itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice powerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many thad the unique experience of being involved Thad — unfortunately, because of being involved Thad — unfortunately, because of being involved Thad — unfortunately, because of being involved itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that tarried, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there were over 80 citizens, above and beyond Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	itself to something other than that. Much was talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
5 Staff, at the new police station, and it was 7 televised, and, from my understanding, there 8 were about 300 people on Zoom watching the 9 proceedings, and that was because of the 10 dissatisfaction specifically of some of the 11 developments that had gone up. 12 There was a clear — there's a clear 13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what had happened 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had — unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 26 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker and 27 three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that most of the commission of the most of the commission went alead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners are granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Staff, at the new police station, and it was televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talked about, a couple of buildings, two or three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the the developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened I had — unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved Thomson spoke and many other citizens talked about what had happened I had the unique experience of being involved Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and Staff had the unique experience of being involved Thad — unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker of the company to the citizens talked about what had happened surprisingly, we actually made very good head	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	televised, and, from my understanding, there were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	three buildings, that have all of the ire of most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the the final developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent for the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy from the was very much involved, I spoke, and many and what hadn't happened. In the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission for the Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that moeting that evening, there was a Commission that that the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	were about 300 people on Zoom watching the proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	most of the citizens, so, therefore, after that meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy she was very much involved, I spoke, and many she was very much involved, I spoke, and many and what hadn't happened. In had – unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved Meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners app	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	proceedings, and that was because of the dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meeting that evening, there was a Commission Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy of Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many and what hadn't happened. I developments that had gone up. 1 developments that had gone up. 1 developments that had gone up. 1 point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an popointment. I requested and the Commissioners appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and I had the unique experience of being involved Staff had the unique experience of being involved I had the unique experience of being involved Staff had the unique experience of being involved Deveron the Commission Meeting that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, that were being awarded Med bonuses. 15 At that in the same Commission Meeting, that were being awarded Med bonuses. 16 Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the City Architects be included, which provided seven membe	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dissatisfaction specifically of some of the developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Meeting here in this chamber, and at that point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
developments that had gone up. There was a clear — there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. In developments that had gone up. 12 in point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get and what hadn't happened. I had — unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved I had the unique experience of being involved I had the unique experience of being involved I had being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	developments that had gone up. There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	point, the Commission went ahead and implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
There was a clear there's a clear 12 implemented a building moratorium for projects 13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what had happened 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 12 implemented a building moratorium for projects 14 that were being awarded Med bonuses. 14 At that in the same Commission Meeting, 15 then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. 16 Each one of the Commissioners had an 17 appointment. I requested and the Commissioners 18 granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. 26 Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and 18 I had the unique experience of being involved 29 surprisingly, we actually made very good head	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There was a clear there's a clear understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	12 13 14 15 16 17	implemented a building moratorium for projects that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
13 understanding that most people were upset, 14 because they thought that the original intent 15 of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. 16 Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice 17 PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what 18 happened then was that they listened to 19 comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy 20 Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and 21 she was very much involved, I spoke, and many 22 other citizens talked about what had happened 23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 20 the Med bonuses. 21 At that in the same Commission Meeting, 26 At that in the same Commission Meeting, 27 At that in the same Commission Meeting, 28 At that in the same Commission Meeting, 29 then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. 20 Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. 21 Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	understanding that most people were upset, because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	13 14 15 16 17	that were being awarded Med bonuses. At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had — unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved At that — in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners for the Board of Architects and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and I had the unique experience of being involved Staff had the unique experience of being involved At that — in the same Commissioners then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners appointment. I requested and the Commissioners for the Board of Architects and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because they thought that the original intent of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	14 15 16 17	At that in the same Commission Meeting, then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved The Med bonuses was not being complied with. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the Med bonuses was not being complied with. Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	15 16 17	then the Blue Ribbon Committee was formed. Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners granted the City Architect and a representative of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	16 17 18 19 20 21	Staff had the opportunity and made a very nice PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	16 17	Each one of the Commissioners had an appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved.	17 18 19 20 21	PowerPoint presentation to the public, and what happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	17	appointment. I requested and the Commissioners
happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, other citizens talked about what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, other citizens talked about what had happened other	18 19 20 21	happened then was that they listened to comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy		
comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved of the Board of Architects be included, which provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	19 20 21	comments from the public. After Mayor Dorothy	18	granted the City Architect and a representative
Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved Thomson spoke, she was the first speaker, and provided seven members, which was great, all being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	20 21			
she was very much involved, I spoke, and many other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved being registered seasoned architects. Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head	21	Thomson spoke she was the first speaker and	19	
other citizens talked about what had happened and what hadn't happened. 22 Therefore, after that started, we got together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and I had the unique experience of being involved 23 together, and it's not always easy to get everyone to agree on anything, and surprisingly, we actually made very good head			20	
23 and what hadn't happened. 24 I had unfortunately, because of my age, 25 I had the unique experience of being involved 26 surprisingly, we actually made very good head	2.2	-	21	
I had unfortunately, because of my age, I had the unique experience of being involved	22	other citizens talked about what had happened	22	Therefore, after that started, we got
25 I had the unique experience of being involved 25 surprisingly, we actually made very good head	23	and what hadn't happened.	23	together, and it's not always easy to get
	24	I had unfortunately, because of my age,	24	everyone to agree on anything, and
Page 15 Page 16	25	I had the unique experience of being involved	25	surprisingly, we actually made very good head
Page 15 Page 16				
		Page 15		Page 16
1 room headway, rather, and we were able to 1 things that had not been made available to all	1	room headway, rather, and we were able to	1	things that had not been made available to all
2 take a look at the original Med Bonuses 2 of the Blue Ribbon Committee members, and ther	2	take a look at the original Med Bonuses	2	of the Blue Ribbon Committee members, and then
Ordinance, and then we were able to bring it to 3 I was given a copy. I reviewed those comments.	3	Ordinance, and then we were able to bring it to	3	I was given a copy. I reviewed those comments.
4 a certain conclusion. 4 I have not sat down with the City Attorney to	4	a certain conclusion.	4	I have not sat down with the City Attorney to
5 During that process, well into it, the 5 discuss them yet, but we were able to air that	5	During that process, well into it, the	5	discuss them yet, but we were able to air that
6 Commission had asked me to address the 6 out at yesterday's meeting.	6	Commission had asked me to address the	6	out at yesterday's meeting.
7 Commission, at a certain point, which I did, to 7 So, during the meeting yesterday, it gave a	7	Commission, at a certain point, which I did, to	7	So, during the meeting yesterday, it gave a
8 give them an update of where we were. So they 8 very general understanding and lay of the land	8	give them an update of where we were. So they	8	very general understanding and lay of the land
9 knew, because the incrementation of the 9 of where we were at this particular point. We	9	knew, because the incrementation of the	9	of where we were at this particular point. We
moratorium was every 30 days, so we gave them 10 did not take the red line comments that the	10	moratorium was every 30 days, so we gave them	10	did not take the red line comments that the
an update. They told us to proceed. We did do 11 City Attorney's Assistant City Attorney Gus	11	an update. They told us to proceed. We did do	11	City Attorney's Assistant City Attorney Gus
that. Once we had our work pretty much done, 12 Ceballos had kept meticulous notes and	12	that. Once we had our work pretty much done,	12	Ceballos had kept meticulous notes and
then we received Staff comments. The Staff 13 amended the Ordinance during this entire time.	13	then we received Staff comments. The Staff	13	amended the Ordinance during this entire time.
comments were received the night before a 14 We were given these updates. We discussed the	14	comments were received the night before a	14	We were given these updates. We discussed the
Commission Meeting that we were supposed to 15 updates and we kept going, and we pushed it to	15	Commission Meeting that we were supposed to	15	
have. There wasn't enough time to be able to 16 the point that we got to exactly where we	16	have. There wasn't enough time to be able to	16	
look at it. And, then, that Commission Meeting 17 wanted to be.	17	-	17	
was deferred. Since then, we were able to then 18 So I did not go through it line item by	18		18	So I did not go through it line item by
19 address Staff's comments during regular Blue 19 line item, because although it was time certain	19		19	
20 Ribbon Committee Board Meetings and we were 20 at five o'clock yesterday, we got out of there	20		20	
21 able to move forward on that. 21 by seven o'clock, and that was not going		_	21	
Then, once we had concluded our work, then 22 through it line item by line item, but the	22	Then, once we had concluded our work, then	22	
	23		23	Commission wanted to move forward, to go before
provided by Staff, this time, by Legal. 24 for us to go before the Planning Board, to	24		24	
There's a memorandum. There were all sorts of 25 be able to see and air any particular major	25		25	

Page 17 Page 18 1 issues from these proposed changes and then, 1 Gus Ceballos. 2 2 from there, take whatever issues the Planning And the other thing is, with me here today 3 3 Board comes up with, and then bring them to is, of course, Willy Bermello, who's one of the 4 their attention, in a Workshop that we're going 4 Committee Members, a distinguished architect, 5 to have with the Commission, to be able to go 5 and also Robert Behar, who sits on the Planning 6 6 in through the line item by line item. Is that Board. So we have three of the seven members 7 7 clear enough? here present tonight. 8 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. Yeah, thank 8 Now, that being said, I'm giving you the 9 9 gist of what the changes were, and that is that 10 10 MR. PARDO: So, the first thing, you know, we went back and we were able to implement a 11 11 I want to let you know is that the Committee lot of the original intent, a lot of the 12 12 was unique, because it was not a cross original intent of the original Mediterranean 13 reference of other professions. It was all 13 Ordinance. This all started back in the mid 14 14 architects, seasoned architects. So it was '80s, when we were doing a building on Ponce 15 15 good, because these are the people that and Almeria, and it ended up that we had to 16 actually use the Code, and put the things 16 tear it down, because of structural issues that 17 17 together, and then end up with the final it had. It was called the Old Lock (phonetic) 18 18 products. Showroom. Lock Showroom is historic, and that 19 So I think you have in your documents, from 19 was basically a heart attack for a lot of 20 what I saw online, I think you have the red 20 people in the community. 21 21 line comments, you have the strike-throughs --We were able to tear it down, come before 22 you have the strike-throughs of the original 22 the Commission, and get certain dispensation to 23 23 verbiage, and we ended up with the final draft, be able to build what is today on the corner of 24 that was given to us back on the 1st of 24 Ponce and Almeria, which used to be the Bank of 25 25 October, from the City Attorney's Office, from Coral Gables, which is today an Amtrust Page 19 Page 20 1 1 building. And that started the new transitional enough, not compatible enough to 2 2 Mediterranean revival in Commercial buildings surrounding areas and neighborhoods, just 3 3 in the City. This was in answer to some other complete -- some of them completely out of 4 4 of the designs that had gone up recently, from scale and don't provide certain things that 5 5 the mid '60s, to about that time, glass block should have been provided. 6 6 buildings, concrete ritualistic architecture, So one of the things that we did is that, 7 7 all permitted in the Commercial area. in the Code today, there's Section 5-02, which 8 8 delineates in two sections, A and B, delineates So, one of the things that was discussed, 9 9 was that there was a requirement for bonuses, certain factors that the Board of Architects 10 10 because of the cost of construction, of doing are responsible for reviewing all designs of 11 11 something that was much more elaborate than all construction here in the City. These are 12 12 some of the other projects that had really had great tools that exist there in that section. 13 13 to cut back a lot, and you see that in history, The funny thing is that, in the Coral Gables 14 14 you see it in the Art Deco area in South Beach, Mediterranean section, there's no reference to 15 15 and that was a direct response to the economy the 5-02 section that's been placed in there. 16 and certain other things. 16 The other thing is that the intent -- when 17 So, moving a little faster forward, those 17 you look at the markups, the intent and the 18 bonuses of additional FAR and other things were 18 purpose of this particular section are 19 implemented at that time to be able to off-set 19 delineated very, very clearly and have been 20 20 the cost to be able to provide a certain style brought back to the original intent. 21 of architecture in the Commercial areas. 21 Now, the reason I say that is, because what 22 22 So, now moving forward to some of the happens is that, we've had a few consultants 23 23 discontent, there are a couple of project that re-write the Code for us. This is the first 24 24 have been built on the Highway, on the Circle, time that we've got a group of just architects 25 that are just either too massive, not 25 re-writing the Code for you, at no charge. And

Page 21 Page 22 1 the point was that, because we use it, because 1 concentrate on this tonight. 2 we understand, and having seven of us, it's a 2 But on the PAD portion of it, it's very 3 3 lot more exact than possibly, you know, a important, because once you take properties, 4 4 consultant not understanding or just not like the size of a block, and you start to following through on the other part. So the 5 5 accumulate, and once you hit the magic 43,560 6 6 intent was clarified. square feet, which is one acre of land, you go 7 7 Then, very brief, and, again, that ship has into the PAD. Now, the PAD is very specific. 8 8 sailed, we clarified the purpose and What the PAD says is that, you're able now to 9 applicability. We also brought in a certain 9 use your design ingenuity, but you cannot stray 10 10 process, that doesn't exist -- and by the way, from the underlying Zoning Code. 11 11 if you're keeping score, Section 5-201A is So if that entire block has one Zoning, 12 12 where the clarity and purpose and ability is. that's great, but if it has multiple Zonings, 13 So it's broken down into two sections. One is 13 well, you have to be careful. Now, what the 14 14 the style of the architecture and then the PAD does say specifically is that you're able 15 15 other are the amenities, which are pedestrian to take density and move it around, but there 16 16 amenities, and applicability. are at least 20 different examples, where it 17 17 Then, on page -- Section 5-02, Page 5-02, says that the underlying Zoning governs. Now, 18 under Special Location Site Plans, that's where 18 there is a little bit of flexibility in there 19 you have Special Site Plans, such as PADs, 19 to be able to reward good design. So we addressed that in Page 5-02, which 20 Planned Area Development. And by the way, our 20 2.1 21 charge was to really concentrate just on Med this is the Special Location. We also added a 22 bonus, not to stray from there. Legal was very 22 step that did not exist. Right now, when you 23 23 specific, and that's what we were supposed to have a development, you go before the Board of 24 do. There are other issues in the Code, many 24 Architects for preliminary approval, and then 25 issues in the Code, but we're only going to 25 you go through final approval. What was Page 23 Page 24 1 happening, that we -- I personally interviewed 1 the design with the architect and its past employees that had to do with development 2 2 consulting engineers that have to provide all 3 3 and also volunteers that had served on the of this technical information, and that design 4 Board of Architects -- I mean, I sat on the 4 is already completely inflexible by the time 5 5 Board of Architects -- I chaired the Board of the Board of Architects sees it for the first 6 6 Architects. I sat on a bunch of boards, time, which is for the preliminary review. 7 7 including this Planning Board, that I chaired, The other thing that happened, and I'm sure 8 8 but the point was that it was important to Mr. Trias would disagree with me, is that many 9 9 understand that sometimes, by the time the times, during the presentation, when the Board 10 10 Board of Architects got a design, as I had said of Architects are reviewing plans, they were 11 several times, the souffles was already cooked. 11 sometimes told, no, you can't look at that, 12 12 You can't just add salt and pepper to the because that's Zoning, or you can't look at 13 13 souffle. You've got to do it while you're this, because it's Zoning, or you can't look at 14 14 still cooking. that, because it's Zoning. Zoning is Zoning, 15 So we came up with the concept of having a 15 and Legal is right, the Zoning is on the land 16 conceptual approval. Right now, if you have a 16 and that's what it says, and, period, end of 17 PAD, you have to go to the DRC first. Once you 17 story, but if you go to the design section of 18 go to the DRC, you have to prepare a lot of 18 5-02, where it tells you that you can opine on 19 technical documents, because it's more -- the 19 massing, on step backs, on proportions, on all 20 2.0 DRC, and in other municipalities are called a of these different things -- there are twenty 21 TAC, Technical Advisory Committee, and 21 items there -- and they're very clear, and 22 basically that's what the DRC is, a Technical 22 that's part of the pallet that the architect 23 23 Advisory Committee. They have fire, you have has to be able to review something and say, 24 building, you have Public Works, you have all 24 well, it's out of scale or not out of scale. 25 25 of these people. You spend a lot of money on Some buildings had the step backs in the

Page 25 Page 26 1 wrong proportions, et cetera, but then I was 1 that George Merrick, our founder, came up with, 2 2 told, by architects that have sat on the Board and they adopted it and said, "This has to be 3 3 of Architects recently, well, we were told we preserved." So both of these things have been 4 4 can't do or say anything about that. Now, at added in there, clearly, into this change, and 5 least that was the perception that they had. 5 it's very important. 6 6 So I'm not trying to cast any aspersions on any So one of the concerns, of course, was, 7 7 Staff member or any Board of Architects member, well, if you have compatibility with historic 8 8 buildings, you're going to be taking away or anyone at all, except the fact that that's 9 an important part of how we review it. So, 9 development rights from a developer. Not so. 10 10 therefore, this is an essential part that has The key is, sometimes that building just has to 11 11 have a better relationship with the proposed been added to the section, and I want you to 12 12 understand that there's a huge difference building, and that the Board of Architects can 13 between conceptual and preliminary and final, 13 do, but what we did, as a safeguard is, we 14 14 very, very different. threw Planning to review it, we threw Historic 15 15 The third thing, Page 5-02, we brought to review it, and then we threw the Board of 16 16 Historical more into proper context. Now, Architects to review it. So between the three 17 17 there were concepts that were brought in, such entities, you're probably going to have a 18 18 as the view shed concept, that were taken out, Historic building that now has been given the 19 because it was more about compatibility. And 19 proper respect that it should have, without 20 this has to do with buildings that are 20 taking property rights away from the developer. 21 2.1 considered historical, because they've been On the bonus standards, during the meeting 22 designated as historical. 22 for the update with the Commission, I had to 23 23 The second thing is that, originally, years ask the Commission a specific question, and 24 ago, a previous Commission adopted the City 24 that had to do with what the general 25 25 Plan, in other words, the shape of the plan understanding of why the bonuses were being Page 27 Page 28 1 1 awarded, and it was a very specific question, doesn't exist right now. So not to muddy the 2 2 because we had -- between the seven of us, we waters, this is what we're talking about today, 3 had a disagreement -- I can't tell you if it 3 Med Bonuses. 4 4 was the majority, I can't tell you if it was The other thing was that there was an issue 5 5 with the way that the tables had been set up the minority, but there was a disagreement, and 6 6 I have to respect that. We could respectfully over time and there had been many revisions 7 7 disagree, but at the end of the day, I over time of the Med Bonus Ordinance and many 8 8 understand, because we should be rewarding of the components that make up the Med Bonus 9 9 great architecture. Ordinance. So, one of the things is that, some 10 10 But, in this particular case, this is of the bonuses or some of the items in these 11 called the Coral Gables Mediterranean Bonuses, 11 tables were inconsequential, some of them just 12 12 Mediterranean Style Bonuses, so, therefore, I didn't belong there, not to have the importance 13 13 asked the Commission, point blank, at that that had to do with the bonus or even what they 14 14 meeting, and they unanimously said, call the Standards, which is Table 1. 15 15 So we consolidated the tables and we ended Mediterranean Bonuses for Mediterranean style 16 architecture. So that was the end of the 16 up with seven items per Table 2. So we have 17 17 Table 1, which is part of your -- to get the story. So that was incorporated on Page 565-02 18 and clarified that it was Coral Gables 18 first bonus, which is a .2 FAR and one floor of 19 19 height, then you must comply with the style and Mediterranean Style Development Bonuses. Now, 20 20 that doesn't mean that -- there are other everything that's on that Table 1. And, then, 21 styles, and many times you're talking about, in 21 to get to the next level, which is the 22 the Commercial Districts, that shouldn't be 22 Development Level 2 or bonuses, then you get an 23 23 award winning designs that we all can agree are additional .3, and then you also get an 24 24 magnificent. So I think that's a -- maybe additional floor, and if it's a high-rise, an 25 25 that's a thought, and a different chapter that additional two floors.

Page 29 Page 30 So that being said, we consolidated Table very, very difficult, as long as you meet the 2, which had the pedestrian amenities, and then urban context, then they can be issued there, we made them obligatory, but we left language but it's much tighter than it was before, there, "as determined by the Board of

Architects," because, for example, one of the things is underground parking. Not every project is going to have underground parking, but it's there, but if underground parking is not possible or they can provide parking

without having to go underground, therefore,
the Board of Architects can determine that
that's not necessary. But what we did is,

instead of saying, you know, four out of eight or six out of twelve, we say, you have to comply with all of them.

Then the third table, which gave tremendous amounts of — I wouldn't call it relief, but just basically gave all sorts of indifference to setbacks, all of that was taken out, and we rewrote that Table 3, which is properly called now Other Options, and we rewrote it in such a way that when you have, Number One, building setback reductions, depending on the type of lot that you have, sometimes being double

very, very difficult, as long as you meet the urban context, then they can be issued there, but it's much tighter than it was before, because before it was like, you could bring it down to zero, you could do it anywhere. I've seen buildings where the columns are into the sidewalk. And we took out certain things that had to do with the right-of-ways and hanging into the right-of-ways and doing all sorts of things that were encumbering the views for people, because they were encroaching into the right-of-ways.

Now, the second thing that was added into Other Options was something very unique, and that is that we added another .55-02 as long as you had the ability of reducing density.

That's never been done before. So, in other words, what we were doing is rewarding larger units in these buildings, as long as you met certain things. In other words, if you reduced the density by one-half, we'll give you more FAR, in order for you then to provide larger units. So by having larger units, you have less density.

Now, a question was asked during one of the

Page 31

public meetings about, "Well, what happens with the massing? The massing is going to be

frontage lots, sometimes being lots that are

greater, because these are larger units."

Think about it, the largest component of massing is parking. So if you have less units,

you have less parking. So, therefore, you have

less massing. So it's a real win-win

8 situation, because instead of going in, like in 9 the City of Miami, where they have micro units

of 400 square feet or workforce housing, as in

Miami Beach, you have less units and that provides the ability of someone that's

downsizing in the City to hit a hole in the market.

MR. TORRE: Mr. Pardo, can I interrupt you?
I'm sorry. And I'm sorry to interrupt you.
I'm thinking, you're going into the specifics

of the recommendations a little bit and so forth. I would like to see a little bit more

discussion on a higher end first, about
 understanding where this is needed, where the

faults are, the function of the Board, just so I can get a better grasp on all of that, before

you present the proposals.

MR. PARDO: Your interruption was perfect

Page 32

timing, because I just --

MR. TORRE: Okay. I just haven't gotten the whole ball of wax still in my head, where we are, where we're having a fault.

MR. PARDO: And the thing is, the reason is, the Chair asked for specifics, I gave him the specifics. Sorry to be longwinded. But the point is that, I got to the last table, which is Table 3, and the rest of it has to do, of course, with the characteristics of certain buildings, eight buildings. We just rewrote certain things.

There's just one more item that I just remembered right now, because these are the conceptual items that are superseding — and we did change word by word, which means all sorts of things when you change words a certain way — and that is two items. The first one is that one of the problems that we had with a specific project in the City of Coral Gables, what was approved by the Board of Architects and what was built were completely different, massively different, which caused all sorts of issues. And one of the things that we wrote in is that the City Architect will be responsible

	Page 33		Page 34
1	to review, that project that has been awarded	1	occurred, and maybe to the City Attorney, was
2	Mediterranean bonuses, to make sure that it	2	that Staff provided one page, and I provided
3	complies with the approved plans, and, if not,	3	the memorandum. I highlighted in color for the
4	they have to go in for a revision, not	4	Board Members, which I want to introduce, to
5	administratively, but back to the Board of	5	give it to you, and I just wanted to say a word
6	Architects for that. It's very, very	6	before the City Attorney said something. Maybe
7	important, because there was a lot of heartburn	7	I'm wrong in my opinion. If you can indulge
8	with a specific building in this City.	8	me, Mr. Behar.
9	And the last item actually has to do with a	9	MR. BEHAR: Sure, because I would like
10	comment that was made yesterday, which also	10	Mr. Bermello to speak, that he was part of the
11	Legal brought up the comment, and that has to	11	Committee, give him a couple of minutes to
12	do with the discrepancy that has to do with	12	speak, as well.
13	height that is in your package. You have a	13	MR. WITHERS: Thank you.
14	memorandum the City Manager is in the	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
15	audience that was sent to the City Manager,	15	Mr. Pardo, I would like to ask you a
16	dated October 6th, and the Commission had that	16	question, for the record. You are here
17	same that same	17	speaking now on behalf and as Chairman of the
18	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chair, if may interrupt	18	Blue Ribbon Committee and not personal, right?
19	Mr. Pardo a second. On that particular item,	19	MR. PARDO: As instructed by the
20	after being present in the Commission meeting	20	Commission, yes, sir.
21	yesterday, maybe we should get an explanation	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But my question is,
22	first from the City Attorney.	22	you are here right now speaking on behalf of
23	MR. PARDO: I would like to do that, and I	23	the Blue Ribbon Committee, as the Chairman; is
24	would like to do that as soon as I distribute	24	that correct?
25	this, because one of the problems that	25	MR. PARDO: Yes. Yes. As instructed by
	Page 35		Daga 26
	1 4.90 00		Page 36
1	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically.	1	part that the City Manager did not receive this
1 2	_	1 2	
	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically.		part that the City Manager did not receive this
2	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one	2	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and
2	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager,	2	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The
2 3 4	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An	2 3 4	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject
2 3 4 5	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant	2 3 4 5	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and
2 3 4 5 6	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An	2 3 4 5 6	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code."
2 3 4 5 6 7	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant	2 3 4 5 6 7	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion — in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the Mid-Rise and the High-Rise, the issuance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to change the Zoning Code from 45 5-02 feet to 50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the Mid-Rise and the High-Rise, the issuance of the bonuses, the mathematical equation, is correct,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to change the Zoning Code from 45 5-02 feet to 50 feet, that's fine with me, as long as everybody
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the Mid-Rise and the High-Rise, the issuance of the bonuses, the mathematical equation, is correct, and I said it then and I'll say it now, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to change the Zoning Code from 45 5-02 feet to 50 feet, that's fine with me, as long as everybody goes in with their eyes open. The problem that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the Mid-Rise and the High-Rise, the issuance of the bonuses, the mathematical equation, is correct, and I said it then and I'll say it now, if you go to the previous page, the next to the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion — in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to change the Zoning Code from 45 5-02 feet to 50 feet, that's fine with me, as long as everybody goes in with their eyes open. The problem that I've seen with this incorrect interpretation is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Commission, yes, sir, very specifically. Today is my wife's birthday, so it better be good. So, anyway, this first page, it was a one page memorandum that was given to the Manager, and, in there, I underlined, it says, "An amendment of this height would be a significant change of the adopted policy." This was done in an Ordinance called 2014-13, which is underlined underneath. So this is like a partial. The reason I think is important is, the next page is the copy of the Ordinance that was done in 2004. That's just the front page, so you see what the reference is. Then you go to the next page, and that's the one that's not there. In other words, it's referring to a 50-foot height there, and it's important to understand that this applies to certain Zonings. The other heights, the Mid-Rise and the High-Rise, the issuance of the bonuses, the mathematical equation, is correct, and I said it then and I'll say it now, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	part that the City Manager did not receive this part, that the Commission did not receive, and I don't think the City Attorney received it, but if you read that last sentence, under B, on the next to the last page, it says, "The allowable floors are subject to the subject property applicable CLUP map designation, and the height is regulated by the Zoning Code." The Zoning Code, in all of these zonings, that are the lower tier Zonings, is 45 5-02 feet, and when you do the math you don't start with 50 feet, you start with 45 5-02 feet. Now, in my opinion in my opinion, although this was not done by Mr. Trias, this was done 17 years ago, this has to be corrected, and it has to be corrected for a specific reason, because it gives the wrong impression, that height is based on the CLUP. That is a hundred percent wrong. It's based on the Zoning Code. If this Board and the Commission wants to change the Zoning Code from 45 5-02 feet to 50 feet, that's fine with me, as long as everybody goes in with their eyes open. The problem that

	Page 37		Page 38
1	Zoning areas and you add an additional 5-02	1	I'm sorry.
2	feet, that doesn't seem like it's a lot,	2	MR. PARDO: So there's no mistake
3	although it's 10 percent of 45 or just about,	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.
4	is that you could put in another floor. So	4	MR. PARDO: this memorandum was issued
5	instead of having, really, two floors, if you	5	recently, in this past week. The Blue Ribbon
6	meet the Med Bonus of Level 1, and Level 2 and	6	Committee has not met yet, but because it says
7	having two floors, because the Comprehensive	7	clearly here that an amendment of height
8	Land Use Plan is silent on the amount of	8	this is the Blue Ribbon Committee's work,
9	floors, that particular Zoning, you could sneak	9	because we did say, fix the math, and we did
10	another floor in there, and, really, it doesn't	10	agree to make it 455-02 and then add it based
11	hurt you, except now you're able to put more	11	on that. That's in our final version dated
12	density in there. So words mean things and	12	October 1st, but what this memorandum does, it
13	numbers mean things, too. So that's why it's	13	almost negates, don't change it, because the
14	important to get this right.	14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	And that concludes my presentation.	15	Blue Ribbon Committee is wrong. I'm just
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just a question, so		saying, here is
17	this sheet that you gave us with the underline	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So you're saying City
18	and so forth was discussed at the Blue Ribbon	17	Staff is wrong?
19	with all of the Committee Members?	18	MR. PARDO: No. I'm saying well, I'm
20	MR. PARDO: This no.	19	saying, clearly
21	MR. BEHAR: No, it wasn't. This is no.	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's yes or no.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So this is your	21	MR. PARDO: Yes, City Staff is wrong, and I
23	opinion?	22	stand by that, and I provided this information
24	MR. PARDO: No. No. No. No. No.	23	for your consideration, because the point is
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'm confused, then,	24	that we're not changing the heights or the
		25	heights of the bonuses or anything like that.
	Page 39		Page 40
			1030 10
1	It's all about the math, and the point is that	1	right, because, you know what, if you don't do
1 2	It's all about the math, and the point is that I've already seen other projects already come	1 2	
	•		right, because, you know what, if you don't do
2	I've already seen other projects already come	2	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not
2 3	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six	2 3	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just
2 3 4	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven	2 3 4	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought
2 3 4 5	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and	2 3 4 5	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points
2 3 4 5 6	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that	2 3 4 5 6	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing to do with quality of life.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great committee. We disagreed substantially on a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing to do with quality of life. And the same thing is that, when it came to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great committee. We disagreed substantially on a lot of the items, and I think, you know, he will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing to do with quality of life. And the same thing is that, when it came to retail, they also asked to raise the height	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great committee. We disagreed substantially on a lot of the items, and I think, you know, he will attest that I did disagree a lot of the time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing to do with quality of life. And the same thing is that, when it came to retail, they also asked to raise the height under the consultant, raise the height to 15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great committee. We disagreed substantially on a lot of the items, and I think, you know, he will attest that I did disagree a lot of the time. I went back, because I was yesterday very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I've already seen other projects already come up, where instead of going from four to six floors, now they're going from four to seven floors, because they're using the height and they're starting off at 50 feet, and that difference is 50 feet. And there was some discussion yesterday about, they said, "Mr. Pardo, you know, don't you think, you know, the height between the floors is the higher it is, the better it is? "Oh, sure, as long as you don't stick another floor in there. From a living standpoint, sure, you know, nine feet, nine-and-a-half feet, that's great, just make sure that you don't stick another floor and then reduce it," because now that has nothing to do with quality of life. And the same thing is that, when it came to retail, they also asked to raise the height under the consultant, raise the height to 15 feet for the Commercial areas. I agree a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right, because, you know what, if you don't do bonuses, you're restricted to 45, you're not restricted to 50 feet. So, therefore, I just wanted and the reason, Mr. Chair, I brought it up, is because this was one of the points that was discussed yesterday and I wanted to set the record straight, and I'll set the record straight directly to the Commission the next time I see them, but I just wanted to make sure that there's no misunderstanding by the Planning & Zoning Board, because it's important that we get it right. MR. BEHAR: I need to address that, Mr. Chair CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please. MR. BEHAR: because I respectfully and Mr. Pardo said something at the very beginning, which is very true, it was a great committee. We disagreed substantially on a lot of the items, and I think, you know, he will attest that I did disagree a lot of the time. I went back, because I was yesterday very concerned with, you know, what was mentioned at

1	Page 41		Page 42
	guides it. I try to go back, and in a lot of	1	only thing you could do. So you can go through
2	municipalities, the Comprehensive Plan is the	2	a change of Zoning, but you can only go up to
3	one that sets the height. I believe, not	3	the ceiling. You can't go beyond the ceiling.
4	Staff I believe that this document that says	4	Now, if you wanted to go beyond the ceiling
5	the Zoning Code, "Regulated by the Zoning	5	and you want to change the ceiling, then you
6	Code," is incorrect, and that was done. That's	6	have to change the Comprehensive Land Use Plan,
7	my opinion. That's why I wanted the City	7	but we're guided, a hundred percent, by the
8	Attorney to clear up this matter.	8	Zoning Code, when it comes to that. The Zoning
9	MR. PARDO: Okay.	9	Code has to comply within, not to, but within
10	MR. BEHAR: If I understood it and the	10	the ceiling of the Comprehensive Land Use Plan,
11	reason we went along, you know, a lot of the	11	and that's the way it's done everywhere.
12	Committee Members, is that you made a great	12	MR. BEHAR: But the Comprehensive Plan is
13	point, a presentation, that the 45 5-02 feet,	13	guided by the State level, not at a local
14	but when I went back when I go back and I	14	level, right?
15	look further, I don't believe the Zoning Code	15	MR. PARDO: That's correct. The reason
16	is the guiding force behind this.	16	MR. BEHAR: They're even more restrictive
17	So I want the City Attorney to clear that	17	than the local.
18	up before, you know, we even look at it any	18	MR. PARDO: Right. And by the way, that
19	further.	19	did not exist many, many years ago, because
20	MR. PARDO: The Comprehensive Land Use Plan	20	when I was a young man, it didn't exist,
21	1	21	because the Growth Management Act, which is a
	is a ceiling. It's a ceiling, from density to	22	State Act, came into play, and it had all sorts
22	everything else. You could have single-family,	23	of things. And, here, in the City of Coral
23	where it is possible to build 200 units per	24	Gables, I remember clearly, it was a foot raise
24	acre, but the single-family Zoning and all of	25	to get our information ready to be able to
25	the instructions are crystal clear. That's the		,
	Page 43		Page 44
1	submit it to the State for approval, and that's	1	then north-south, going to the Bay, all of the
2	how the Comprehensive Land Use Plan was	2	way to the Beach, is exempt, and that's how
3	created.	3	sometimes the governmental agency can resolve
4	So what it did was, at that point, we	4	
		_	certain issues. But by the way, that had to be
5	didn't have a ceiling, but we had Zoning in	5	certain issues. But by the way, that had to be approved by the State, and they were able to
5 6	didn't have a ceiling, but we had Zoning in place, and that ceiling had to do with a		
		5	approved by the State, and they were able to
6	place, and that ceiling had to do with a	5 6	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we
6 7	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the	5 6 7	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop.
6 7 8	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure	5 6 7 8	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has
6 7 8 9	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take.	5 6 7 8 9	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right
6 7 8 9 10	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from	5 6 7 8 9	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the
6 7 8 9 10 11	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of	5 6 7 8 9 10	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to
6 7 8 9 10 11	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in certain roads, certain arteries, Level D. You	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you. MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in certain roads, certain arteries, Level D. You were not supposed to be able to pull a permit	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you. MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Withers, Members of the Board, Willy Bermello,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in certain roads, certain arteries, Level D. You were not supposed to be able to pull a permit unless you had at least a Level C.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you. MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Withers, Members of the Board, Willy Bermello, address 718 Valencia Avenue. I'm one of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in certain roads, certain arteries, Level D. You were not supposed to be able to pull a permit unless you had at least a Level C. So the way they fixed the problem was, they	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you. MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Withers, Members of the Board, Willy Bermello, address 718 Valencia Avenue. I'm one of the Blue Ribbon Committee members. And I ask this
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	place, and that ceiling had to do with a calculation and an understanding of what the infrastructure could the infrastructure that's provided in the municipality could take. Now, sometimes there have been issues, from a Growth Management Act standpoint, that all of a sudden you painted yourself into the corner, and, then, all of a sudden, you can't develop anymore. One of them, for example, is traffic. So what the County did to resolve the traffic issue many years ago, was that they said, everything that's within the Palmetto Expressway is exempt from Level F, traffic in certain roads, certain arteries, Level D. You were not supposed to be able to pull a permit unless you had at least a Level C. So the way they fixed the problem was, they said, well, because of infrastructure and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approved by the State, and they were able to make a very good argument for it, so we wouldn't have to stop. So I am sure that the City Attorney has their own opinion, but I'm telling you right now, the Comprehensive Land Use Plan is the limit and the Zoning Code is what you have to design by, and if you want to change the Zoning Code, you're allowed to, within the limit, and sometimes you want to change both, the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, and that's why you have Land Use attorneys. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. PARDO: Thank you. MR. BERMELLO: Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Withers, Members of the Board, Willy Bermello, address 718 Valencia Avenue. I'm one of the Blue Ribbon Committee members. And I ask this Board today to consider deferring this item,

	Page 45		Page 46
1	Commission at a time certain that I'm sure the	1	preempt that process. What I would recommend
2	City Manager will inform you of, and I would	2	procedurally is to join that process and then
3	recommend that to do justice to the work of six	3	let it come back to you, let it come back to
4	weeks, by five architects, the City Architect	4	you following that Workshop, so that you can
5	and the Members of the Board of Architecture,	5	endorse something that the entire community
6	to try to do that in an hour or two, I think,	6	feels really good about, Staff, you know, Blue
7	will fall short. I would recommend that this	7	Ribbon Committee, City Attorney, and that would
8	Board join the City Commission Staff at that	8	be my recommendation. Otherwise I think it
9	time certain, where we plan to go item by item,	9	sends the wrong message.
10	word by word, comma, period, punctuation marks,	10	So thank you very much for considering us,
11	style, substance, all of the above, and the	11	and we're here for any questions.
12	idea is that you get a consolidated amended	12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13	proposal, that you can adopt by full consent of	13	Before we go to Craig, I'd like to ask the
14	this Board, where you're not presented with a	14	City Attorney if you would please come up and
15	red line version, and then two minority	15	speak to what Mr. Pardo has spoken about and
16	opinions, one from the City Attorney and one	16	attested to.
17	from the City Staff.	17	MS. RAMOS: Good evening, Mr. Chair. Good
18	So there's been a lot of good work, and I	18	evening, Members of the Board. It's my
19	think it will do no service, neither to us, the	19	pleasure to be here with you tonight. A
20	Board Members of that Committee, including my	20	clarification, what I said in my memo about the
21	colleague, Mr. Behar, City Staff or the others,	21	might was, I was pointing to the Commission
22	to try to cherry-pick particular items, knowing	22	and it's an important point about my memo and I
23	full well that the Commission has voted to have	23	made this clear to the Members of the
24	a full Workshop, to roll up our sleeves, invite	24	Commission in the e-mail I sent to all of you
25	the public, and go item by item. I would not	25	today, and to the Members of the Board, as
	Page 47		Page 48
1	Page 47 well, or the Committee there's nothing that	1	Page 48 Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is
1 2		1 2	
	well, or the Committee there's nothing that		Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is
2	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's	2	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was
2	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait,	2 3	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it
2 3 4	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo	2 3 4	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are,
2 3 4 5	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is.	2 3 4 5	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that
2 3 4 5 6	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could	2 3 4 5 6	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange.
2 3 4 5 6 7	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged	2 3 4 5 6 7	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	well, or the Committee — there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	well, or the Committee — there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	well, or the Committee — there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an opinion about how that got there. Mr. Trias	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked Mr. Trias yesterday, "Give me your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	well, or the Committee — there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an opinion about how that got there. Mr. Trias has done significant research and can walk you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday — obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have — is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like — CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked Mr. Trias yesterday, "Give me your recommendation. Tell me what's going on."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an opinion about how that got there. Mr. Trias has done significant research and can walk you through, I think, 30 years of how we got to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked Mr. Trias yesterday, "Give me your recommendation. Tell me what's going on." We need to have a discussion of where is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an opinion about how that got there. Mr. Trias has done significant research and can walk you through, I think, 30 years of how we got to where the height is. I agree with Mr. Pardo	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked Mr. Trias yesterday, "Give me your recommendation. Tell me what's going on." We need to have a discussion of where is the problem here, why did we get here, what are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	well, or the Committee there's nothing that is being proposed that is illegal. There's nothing where I'm coming out and saying, "Wait, you can't do that." That's not what the memo is. The memo is highlighting changes that could either increase our chances of being challanged or decrease our chances of defending a challange, and that the big picture items are, definitions are very important. There's at least one or two sections that I think need to be defined; factors like unbridled discretion by any Board is problematic. There's well settled case law on that. So, it's fine to have some subjectivity, but we need to have some factors to guide the Board and for us to be able to defend a challange, that those factors were, in fact, followed. And the third thing, with regard to height, as Mr. Pardo was talking about, I don't have an opinion about how that got there. Mr. Trias has done significant research and can walk you through, I think, 30 years of how we got to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Zoning Code is the floor. All I'm doing is highlighting for the Commission, because it was on First Reading yesterday obviously, it didn't pass, but it could have is, you are, in fact, reducing height. So just know that that can call a challange. That was the only point that I was making. The history of how we got to this point, I'll hand over to Mr. Trias. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. TORRE: Mr. Chair, I'd like CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. TORRE: I want to make a point and I have a different point of view than Mr. Bermello, and I tried to make that point a little bit earlier. Everybody is honing in on these red lines and the specifics of the changes and so forth. So this Board and this City needs to hear, what is the problem we're trying to fix? The first thing the Mayor asked Mr. Trias yesterday, "Give me your recommendation. Tell me what's going on." We need to have a discussion of where is

	Page 49		Page 50
1	on these red lines, and I think we haven't even	1	problem. The problem is the implementation
2	discussed where the problem really sits, where	2	that happens, and we have to do it better.
3	do you believe the problem sits, what's wrong	3	That has to do with the Board of Architects.
4	with the Board as a whole, and things like	4	with Staff review, with the applicants, et
5	that. I think those general conversations by	5	cetera. So that was my view.
6	this Board need to be had, regardless of	6	My view is that the Code we can come up
7	whether we vote today or review the red lines.	7	with the perfect Code in our dreams, but in
8	I think we need to have a discussion of how we	8	reality, there's no such thing. A perfect Code
9	feel here about what is being tried to be	9	does not exist. A Code is simply a tool that
10	corrected. That's my point of view on this.	10	is used by me, by you, Mr. Behar, as an
11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	11	architect, by different people, to do quality.
12	MR. TRIAS: So, Mr. Chairman, I want to	12	Our goals is to do quality, I think, in this
13	thank Mr. Pardo for his passion and conviction.	13	City, obviously, and I think we have the tools
14	I know that he means what he's saying and he	14	and we're very lucky to have the people the
15	believes that it's correct, and I also want to	15	people who really want to do it. So very
16	thank Mr. Bermello, because my recommendation	16	
17	is the same as his, and we also recommend		quickly
18	deferral, for slightly different reasons, but	17	MR. WITHERS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.
19	at the end of the day, it's the same idea.	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
20	I also want to thank, of course, the City	19	MR. WITHERS: Can I introduce a motion for
21	Attorney, for her memo, which speaks for	20	deferral to discuss?
22	itself, and I think I have a PowerPoint.	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You would like to make
23	I'll go through it as quickly as I can, to give	22	a motion. Is there a second?
24	you some sense, but in my view, what I told the	23	MR. WITHERS: For discussion.
25	Committee several times, the Code is not the	24	MR. BEHAR: I'll second the motion.
	Committee several times, the code is not the	25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We
	Page 51		Page 52
1	have a second.	1	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
2	MR. REVUELTA: Did you accept it?	2	MR. TORRE: Yes.
3	MR. BEHAR: I second it. For discussion.	3	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
4	MR. REVUELTA: Oh, I was going to ask,	4	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
5	shouldn't there be discussion?	5	THE SECRETARY; Robert Behar?
6	MR. BEHAR: No, this is not for	6	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
7	MR. WITHERS: If we get to the end of the	7	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
8	road, and we defer	8	MS. MIRO: Yes.
9	MR. REVUELTA: If there's a motion for	9	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
10	discussion	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
11	MR. WITHERS: Venny eloquently spoke on	11	MR. COLLER: So I just want to make sure I
12	where we are, at the 20,000 foot level, looking	12	understand the motion. The motion is not to
13	down	13	defer
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. We have a	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.
15	motion. We have a second. Any other	15	MR. COLLER: the motion is to discuss
16	discussion before I call the roll?	16	whether or not you should defer?
		17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
17	MR. TORRE: Is the roll for deferring the	1/	CIT III CO.
	MR. TORRE: Is the roll for deferring the item?	18	MR. COLLER: Okay.
17	· ·		
17 18	item?	18	MR. COLLER: Okay. MR. WITHERS: That's permissible, right?
17 18 19	item? MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No.	18 19	MR. COLLER: Okay.
17 18 19 20	item? MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For discussion only.	18 19 20	MR. COLLER: Okay. MR. WITHERS: That's permissible, right? MR. REVUELTA: We just voted yes, so, yes.
17 18 19 20 21	item? MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For discussion only. MR. BEHAR: Discussion only.	18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: Okay. MR. WITHERS: That's permissible, right? MR. REVUELTA: We just voted yes, so, yes. MR. COLLER: It's a little unusual, but it's not
17 18 19 20 21 22	item? MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For discussion only. MR. BEHAR: Discussion only. MR. TORRE: Thank you.	18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: Okay. MR. WITHERS: That's permissible, right? MR. REVUELTA: We just voted yes, so, yes. MR. COLLER: It's a little unusual, but
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	item? MR. BEHAR: No. No. No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: For discussion only. MR. BEHAR: Discussion only. MR. TORRE: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please.	18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: Okay. MR. WITHERS: That's permissible, right? MR. REVUELTA: We just voted yes, so, yes. MR. COLLER: It's a little unusual, but it's not MR. WITHERS: We want to do it right.

	Page 53		Page 54
1	you have a vote.	1	will bring up a number of points that we have.
2	MR. WITHERS: Well, I understand that,	2	We've been working with the Committee, working
3	but	3	with their document, and I do believe that we
4	MR. COLLER: You did it a little bit	4	would like to present our document now. I
5	differently, but it's perfectly okay.	5	don't think it will be that long, but it will
6	MR. WITHERS: Well, the reason is, I didn't	6	show some of the issues that we have with it,
7	want to have a whole presentation from Staff,	7	as Chairman Pardo presented theirs, and I think
8	if there is a desire that we might be deferring	8	we can certainly work together with this
9	this issue, is what my main concern was. So if	9	Committee to come up with something, and as Mr.
10	there is a desire to defer, then I just wanted	10	Torre said, if necessary, to make this work.
11	to kind of feel where everybody was headed on	11	So I would appreciate us being able to present,
12	that.	12	get our points across, and I think they are
13	MR. COLLER: Okay. So we don't have a	13	reasonable and they are things that we should
14	deferral at this point. We just have a	14	consider.
15	discussion regarding deferral.	15	MR. WITHERS: May I respond to that?
16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Withers, may I	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, please.
17	suggest something? We have our City Manager	17	MR. WITHERS: Thank you very much. And my
18	with us here, and perhaps he'd like to say a	18	whole point was, I kind of felt a little bit
19	few words before.	19	of, I don't want to say, dissension, but a
20	Sir, thank you for coming.	20	little bit of City Staff, Chairman of the
21	MR. IGLESIAS: Thank you, Chair. I'm happy	21	Blue Ribbon Committee, Members of the
22	to be here and thank you all for the work that	22	Committee, I kind of felt that everybody wasn't
23	you all do.	23	pulling in the same direction. I didn't know
24	We have a presentation I don't think	24	if we were totally ready for this Board to hear
25	it's going to be that long but I think it	25	that.
-	Page 55		Page 56
1	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven	1	we're
2	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the	2	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look
2	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee	2 3	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have
2 3 4	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney,
2 3 4 5	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that,	2 3 4 5	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there
2 3 4 5	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some	2 3 4 5 6	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan,
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee — MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: — and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee — MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: — and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has — should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's fine, but if everybody is going to come back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, which means that those have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's fine, but if everybody is going to come back to the Planning Board not our Board, I mean, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, which means that those have to go back to Tallahassee, but I do think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's fine, but if everybody is going to come back to the Planning Board not our Board, I mean, to the planning table and have a Workshop and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, which means that those have to go back to Tallahassee, but I do think that the PowerPoint presentation, I think, presents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's fine, but if everybody is going to come back to the Planning Board not our Board, I mean, to the planning table and have a Workshop and change what we're being presented today, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, which means that those have to go back to Tallahassee, but I do think that the PowerPoint presentation, I think, presents a number of these issues clearly, and our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I've been here seven hours, in the last two meetings, with the Committee MR. WITHERS: Okay. MR. IGLESIAS: and then prior to that, and I think we can. I think we have some discussion, that we have some disagreement, and I don't think there's anything wrong with that, but the disagreements are not, I think, monumental. The 45 5-02 to 50 feet, I think, we can show that that was not error, that was something that was decided by a previous Commission, and, actually, by a previous Board, that met for eleven months and had 32 meetings. So that's not, to me, an error. And so I do think that our Planning Director really has should present, so you can see both sides, and, then, maybe, at that point, decide whether we want to defer or we want to continue. MR. WITHERS: Well, okay. I mean, that's fine, but if everybody is going to come back to the Planning Board not our Board, I mean, to the planning table and have a Workshop and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	we're MR. IGLESIAS: Well, I think you could look at the issues the recommendations we have there, there are issues by the City Attorney, there are issues that affect Zoning, and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, and we plan on meeting with the Committee before we talked about a November yesterday we talked about a November 15th meeting, at 5-02 p.m., to really move forward as, far as resident input. However, it doesn't mean that we will not be meeting with the Committee to try to reconcile all of these issues, and I think we can reconcile the issues. We do have to work together, but I don't think there's anything there that cannot be reconciled, if we work together, the Staff and the Committee. Now, there are issues that we feel are very important and there are issues that affect the Comprehensive Plan, which means that those have to go back to Tallahassee, but I do think that the PowerPoint presentation, I think, presents

	Page 57		Page 58
1	that should be discussed. We allowed the	1	is, let's discuss it, and I do think it's the
2	Committee to work on their own. We then came	2	right thing to do, to have Mr. Trias and the
3	back and looked at we had a document. We	3	City, for the record, make their presentation.
4	decided to retract our document, to use their	4	So we will, of course, but if we'd like to
5	document, and that's what we've done. Now,	5	discuss it first, then we'll have Mr. Trias
6	does that mean that we have zero comments on	6	present.
7	their document? Absolutely not, and I think	7	MR. IGLESIAS: Of course. Thank you.
8	these issues, these legal issues, Zoning issues	8	Thank you, Chair.
9	and Comprehensive Plan issues, I think, should	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
10	be discussed. I think they're very clearly	10	MR. BEHAR: And I, personally, think that
11	presented in Mr. Trias' presentation, and I	11	once we hear Staff, I think, personally, I
12	think we would like to present, as Mr. Pardo	12	would think I will be in favor of deferring,
13	presented.	13	because this has to be the whole process done
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I would suggest	14	correctly. I think it would be a great day,
15	is, since we did make a motion for discussion,	15	when we meet with the Committee, meet with the
16	let's discuss it	16	Commission. I think it's November 15th, if I
17	MR. WITHERS: I'll withdraw that motion,	17	remember correctly, and I think that would be a
18	unless we want to discuss it. I mean, I just	18	very valuable day, but, I think, today, I want
19	didn't want to go on the record	19	the Staff to present.
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I would suggest	20	MR. WITHERS: Perfect.
21	is	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
22	MR. BEHAR: Let's discuss it. I think it's	22	MS. MIRO: Mr. Chair, if I may?
23	a good idea.	23	I just wanted to say, I understand what
24	MR. WITHERS: Fine. Let's do it.	24	Mr. Withers is trying to do, and I appreciate
25	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What I'd like to do	25	that. Myself, I would really be interested in
	Page 59		Page 60
			1490 00
1	hearing what Staff has prepared for us today,	1	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed
1 2	hearing what Staff has prepared for us today, so that, you know, once we move through the	1 2	
			Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed
2	so that, you know, once we move through the	2	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get
2	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see	2 3	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to
2 3 4	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the	2 3 4	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big
2 3 4 5	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think,	2 3 4 5	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I
2 3 4 5 6	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process,	2 3 4 5 6	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the
2 3 4 5 6 7	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects we've heard it here that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects we've heard it here that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of discussion about the items that have come to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because they vote six stories more than the project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of discussion about the items that have come to light, because I think, since we're here, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects we've heard it here that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because they vote six stories more than the project allows. That's not a Board of Architects
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of discussion about the items that have come to light, because I think, since we're here, we might as well invest a little bit of time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because they vote six stories more than the project allows. That's not a Board of Architects function. That's a Commission issue. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of discussion about the items that have come to light, because I think, since we're here, we might as well invest a little bit of time to exchange thoughts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because they vote six stories more than the project allows. That's not a Board of Architects function. That's a Commission issue. We should be discussing whether those issues are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so that, you know, once we move through the process of the Workshops, et cetera, I can see how everything has come full circle, what the City ends up negotiating or not. So, I think, that for the sake of going through the process, I would be really interested in seeing that play out, and, in addition, I'm very transparent about, I think I'm also leaning towards deferring this item, because I would like to see that Workshop and everything come to fruition and see this be fleshed out a little bit more. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. Mr. Revuelta. MR. REVUELTA: I'm in favor of the deferral; however, I would like to hear the City Staff presentation, and I would be willing to invest the time, if the rest of the Board is willing to do that, to have some sort of discussion about the items that have come to light, because I think, since we're here, we might as well invest a little bit of time to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission yesterday, for one, there's a speed issue here, right? They're trying to get through this process quickly. They wanted to hear us discuss this item. It was a very big deal for them to hear us discuss this item. I heard it three times. So I think the discussion, as full as we can give it, is helpful to the Commission. Taking it to a Workshop and dealing with, should this be stricken, should that be stricken, I'm not in favor of getting to whether that item should go or that item should go. I don't even know if the whole thing should go, to be honest with you. We have three large projects — we've heard it here — that have caused a lot of this, and we need to understand where that went wrong. Was it a Board of Architects issue, was it a Commission issue? I hear the Mayor saying, these things are being done because they vote six stories more than the project allows. That's not a Board of Architects function. That's a Commission issue. We

	Page 61		Page 62
1	change the Code issue, and I'm not sure this is	1	know. That's the best answer I can give you.
2	a change the Code issue. I'm not sure the Code	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's ask the City
3	is broken. It is a complex Code, served us	3	Attorney.
4	well for a long time, but this has a lot of red	4	MR. REVUELTA: It's okay, but I think to
5	lines, and for us to get into the weeds of	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you be able to
6	checking red line after red line, I think is	6	answer that question for Mr. Revuelta?
7	the wrong place to go.	7	MR. REVUELTA: You first have to define a
8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I would agree with you	8	problem, before you can fix it.
9	on that, going through the red lines. I think	9	MS. RAMOS: To this undertaking?
10	we have competent Staff and I think we have a	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To this undertaking
11	very competent City Attorney and their Staff to	11	and the formation of the Blue Ribbon Committee.
12	actually work that out. I don't know if that's	12	MS. RAMOS: So I think that there's been
13	our place, and I would agree.	13	discontent with some of the ways that the
14	Any other comment before I ask for the	14	Ordinance has been applied. To Mr. Trias'
15	presentation?	15	point earlier, it may not be the regulations
16	MR. REVUELTA: I have one last one, and I	16	that are a problem, but the applications, but
17	apologize for the ignorance, but the creation	17	in either event, I think that's what happened,
18	of the Blue Ribbon Committee, to Mr. Torre's	18	and so one of the Commissioners had asked for
19	apprehension, why was it created? What	19	this Workshop. As Mr. Pardo explained, there
20	triggered the creation of the Blue Ribbon	20	was a Community Workshop, people explained
21	Committee to analyze the Mediterranean	21	their dissatisfaction with how buildings are
22	Ordinance and the Zoning Code? What triggered	22	being approved for Med Bonus, and then the
23	that? And I apologize for my ignorance, if	23	Commission decided to establish a Committee to
24	that's out of line.	24	look at it.
25	MR. TRIAS: Is that a question? I don't	25	MR. REVUELTA: So a Commissioner initiated
	Page 63		Page 64
1	the request of the creation of the Blue Ribbon		rage 01
		1 1	CHAIDMAN AIZENSTAT: Dight
2	-	1 2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. MR REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific
2	Committee, because of the concerns that the	2	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific
3	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean	2 3	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do
3 4	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses	2 3 4	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med
3	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied.	2 3	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list
3 4 5 6	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been
3 4 5	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied.	2 3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain
3 4 5 6 7	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been
3 4 5 6 7 8	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by name — that if you drove by them, whether
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by name — that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by name — that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by name — that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just have to train the Board of Architects	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something that may be controversial, but I thank Felix
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just have to train the Board of Architects differently, right? Some people might have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result — the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings — I don't want to call them out by name — that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but — MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something that may be controversial, but I thank Felix for — Mr. Pardo for giving us the history, but
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just have to train the Board of Architects differently, right? Some people might have that opinion. I'm not saying it's mine. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something that may be controversial, but I thank Felix for Mr. Pardo for giving us the history, but when I've been to Europe, Med Revival,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just have to train the Board of Architects differently, right? Some people might have that opinion. I'm not saying it's mine. I don't have an opinion on the matter. I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something that may be controversial, but I thank Felix for Mr. Pardo for giving us the history, but when I've been to Europe, Med Revival, Mediterranean architecture in Italy, France and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Committee, because of the concerns that the public had with the way that the Mediterranean Revival Bonuses MS. RAMOS: Had been applied. MR. REVUELTA: was being applied? MS. RAMOS: Yeah. That's it, in a nutshell. MR. REVUELTA: And the entities in charge of reviewing and approving the Med Revival Ordinance is both, the Staff and the Board of Architects? MS. RAMOS: Yes. Largely the Board of Architects. MR. REVUELTA: All right. At least that's the beginning of why. MS. RAMOS: And so, I think, from a philosophical standpoint, there would be a school of thought that says, the Ordinance doesn't have to be changed at all, you just have to train the Board of Architects differently, right? Some people might have that opinion. I'm not saying it's mine. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a list of specific complaints about what people, from where, do not like about the implementation of the Med Revival, and, I guess, the result the list of that would be, buildings that have been built, that are to the dislike of certain people that have complained to the Commissioners? MS. RAMOS: Yeah, I don't think it's so much dislike, as there is, there are certain buildings I don't want to call them out by name that if you drove by them, whether you're an architect or not, you wouldn't think that they're Mediterranean. They don't look Mediterranean, but they got the bonus, and that's kind of the inception, and why that happened, and when that happened, and, you know, who knows, but MR. REVUELTA: I'd like to say something that may be controversial, but I thank Felix for Mr. Pardo for giving us the history, but when I've been to Europe, Med Revival,

	Page 65		Page 66
1	buildings, max. So I always wondered why the	1	architecturally is pleasing, this and that,
2	Med Revival was not for Single-Family homes,	2	compatibility is another discussion.
3	and there was a part of the Code that had	3	The second session is, is the Board of
4	bonuses, just like many other cities do, which	4	Architects adequately run to do compatibility
5	allow certain things to be done by architects	5	reviews and say, "Hey, that doesn't work," as
6	and developers, if they do things in exchange,	6	opposed to this Board. So they're saying that
7	public spaces, loggias, this and that and the	7	the compatibility starts with the Board of
8	other, but that doesn't necessarily is cornered	8	Architects. If doesn't work there, you should
9	into a style. Even the fact that the City was	9	deny it. That's a very subjective statement.
10	developed by Merrick, who himself understood	10	MS. RAMOS: It's a very subjective
11	the value of marketing, by creating a Chinese	11	statement. It could lead to issues, from a
12	Village, an Italian Village, a Dutch Village	12	legal standpoint.
13	and this village, and there is not a common	13	Just to clarify, the rumblings about
14	Mediterranean style there, but there are	14	buildings that people were upset about were not
15	different styles.	15	necessarily on massing and height. It was
16	I've always, when I argue about metal	16	literally on style. This building looks
17	roofs, and Mr. Withers probably remembers	17	modern. It's got too much glass. But it got
18	that	18	Med Bonus.
19	MR. TORRE: I challange the notion that the	19	And to answer your point, I don't know the
20	buildings that are completely out of whack were	20	whole history, but I did hear Mayor Thomson's
21	a fault because of the Board of Architects'	21	presentation at the Workshop that started all
22	doing. That's my challange, okay. There's a	22	of this months ago, and she was around when
23	few buildings, that just the bulk and size,	23	this first came up, and she explained all of
24	just, no.	24	the reasons why the Commission, at that time,
25	Now, some of them, the Plaza, you may argue	25	felt that a Mediterranean Bonus was important,
	, ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 67		Page 68
1	to keep some of the integrity of the City.	1	this is like we're focusing on a there's a
2		1	uns is like were locusing on a there's a
4	That's where that started.	2	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on
3	That's where that started. MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my		
		2	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on
3	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my	2 3	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm
3 4	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture	2 3 4	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was
3 4 5	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the	2 3 4 5	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe.
3 4 5 6	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not	2 3 4 5 6	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like
3 4 5 6 7	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the	2 3 4 5 6 7	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I
3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of Architects or actually an inherent issue of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay? Mr. Withers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of Architects or actually an inherent issue of the Code, but, to me, it's an issue of massing, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay? Mr. Withers? MR. WITHERS: Good. Let's do it.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of Architects or actually an inherent issue of the Code, but, to me, it's an issue of massing, not an issue of style.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay? Mr. Withers? MR. WITHERS: Good. Let's do it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have the floor. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I hear you, and basically I share many of your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of Architects or actually an inherent issue of the Code, but, to me, it's an issue of massing, not an issue of style. MS. RAMOS: I think a lot of people agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay? Mr. Withers? MR. WITHERS: Good. Let's do it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have the floor. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. REVUELTA: Well, I wanted to finish my statement, that Florida vernacular architecture is something that really cannot be done in the North Gables, just because metal roofs are not allowed, except copper, which actually is the best conductor of heat and electricity, on the State that has the most amount of lightning, and it's interesting what you said, then, to me, the biggest problem that I see, and Lord knows that I drive from Castile to Coconut Grove to my offices, I used to drive through Coconut Grove Drive, which is now closed, every morning, that the massing of that is like the thing not to do anywhere, even in Coral Gables. How that got done — and I do not know if that is the fault of the Commission or the Board of Architects or actually an inherent issue of the Code, but, to me, it's an issue of massing, not an issue of style. MS. RAMOS: I think a lot of people agree with you, but I don't know that that's this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	broken leg, and we're focusing on a problem on the ear and I'm MS. RAMOS: Because this Board was empanelled for the toe. MR. REVUELTA: To this point, it seems like this is not a criticim of anybody, but I feel like we're making the point of, we're taking Advil for a broken leg. But, at any rate, I thank you for letting me vent. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any other discussion before we ask Mr. Trias to make the presentation for the City? MR. REVUELTA: Do we need to make a motion to MR. BEHAR: No. No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Everybody okay? Mr. Withers? MR. WITHERS: Good. Let's do it. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You have the floor. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I hear you, and basically I share many of your

	Page 69		Page 70
1	and what happens is that, in my view, a lot of	1	Mr. Pardo that's asking for that change
2	the issues that I heard were not about the Med	2	MR. TRIAS: No.
3	Bonus, were actually about change of Land Use,	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: it's the Blue
4	change of Zoning, other aspects of the Code.	4	Ribbon panel that's asking for that change, and
5	So that's part of the complexity that we have.	5	correct me if I'm wrong?
6	But I started with this slide, that was a	6	MR. TRIAS: I apologize. No, you're right.
7	summary of what the City Attorney's very, very	7	What I meant is, in my conversation with him,
8	good memo prepared, and I want to give you the	8	he explained
9	big picture, and this is based on my	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As Chair?
10	conversations with Mr. Pardo. Mr. Pardo	10	MR. TRIAS: As a member of the Committee.
11	believes that the Ordinance, as originally	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.
12	drafted in 1986 and I have it with me, the	12	MR. TRIAS: He explained it to me sir?
13	one that was signed by Dorothy Thomson, who	13	MR. REVUELTA: Is the Blue Ribbon panel
14	came to that meeting, was better, and it was	14	asking for a change?
15	better in terms of the language, and that is	15	MR. BEHAR: Recommending. We're
16	what some of that language is.	16	recommending.
17	When Mr. Pardo recommends to change the	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: They're recommending.
18	word, "incentive," for, "special allowance," is	18	MR. TRIAS: So I'm only saying this,
19	because "special allowance" was in the 1986	19	because I think it makes it more clear, at
20	version.	20	least in my mind, but maybe it's not that
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I just want to make	21	relevant, but those are some of the issues.
22	one thing clear.	22	Some of the issues are to change some of the
23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah.	23	words. Another issue is the change of the
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I've asked the	24	actual implementation through the tables.
25	question of Mr. Pardo repeatedly. It's not	25	The words that are being changed in the
	Page 71		Page 72
1	preamble of that Ordinance, I think, a lot of	1	of that document in our packages?
2	red lines, but don't have the effect that the	2	MR. TRIAS: Yes oh, no, no, no, not at
3	table has. So what happens is that, once you	3	this point, no.
4	start looking at the actual recommendations,	4	MR. REVUELTA: Is there a way I can get one
5	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I	5	right now?
5 6	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an	5 6	right now? MR. TRIAS: No.
5 6 7	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of	5 6 7	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias?
5 6 7 8	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a	5 6 7 8	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying
5 6 7 8 9	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table	5 6 7 8 9	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk
5 6 7 8 9 10	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is	5 6 7 8 9	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably
5 6 7 8 9 10	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every	5 6 7 8 9 10	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee that the 1986 manual was the best source of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in the Comp Plan.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee that the 1986 manual was the best source of ideas.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in the Comp Plan. There's the FAR increase. Again, there's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee that the 1986 manual was the best source of ideas. That is the only one of those topics that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in the Comp Plan. There's the FAR increase. Again, there's some policy about open space that probably will
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee that the 1986 manual was the best source of ideas.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you need to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in the Comp Plan. There's the FAR increase. Again, there's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there are some Zoning Code issues that, I think, are relevant, and one is that there's an open space reduction, a reduction, instead of making it bigger, for MF4. Then there's a requirement that all of the standards for Table 2 need to be met. Now, one of the standards is underground parking. Does that mean that every building now has to have underground parking? I don't know. I mean, and those are some of the issues that I think are unclear. Another issue is that the awnings are like arcades now. I don't know if that's a good solution for architecture. There's the setback reductions on Table 3, and then there's the additional FAR for .55-02 and, finally, Mr. Pardo discussed with me and the Committee that the 1986 manual was the best source of ideas. That is the only one of those topics that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	right now? MR. TRIAS: No. MR. BEHAR: Are you okay, Mr. Trias? MR. TRIAS: So, basically, what I'm saying is that the issue is, that if we want to talk about Mediterranean architecture, we probably should talk about that, and the only aspect of these Zoning proposals is that final line, which is the manual. Then there were some issues that dealt with the Comp Plan. There's the reduction of the 25 percent density, which is in the Comp Plan. So once you take it from the Zoning Code, you nee to revise it in the Comp Plan. The Residential Infill Regulations will be affected by that, also, so that would have to be revised also in the Comp Plan. There's the FAR increase. Again, there's some policy about open space that probably will also that the comp Plan will be affected.

Page 74 Page 73 1 1 use, "incentives," and so on, based on the buildings that have been criticized publicly by 2 changes. So these are some technical issues 2 multiple people, I think all of them would 3 3 that prevent taking everything that is included qualify under the changes that are being 4 4 in the proposal. proposed. 5 I don't want to go through the red line in 5 So I don't think the Zoning Code is the 6 6 great detail. As you said, we can do that issue. I don't think it is at all. I think 7 7 later in the Workshop. But as you can see, it's the way that it has been applied and the 8 8 there are multiple problems with the changes way that changes have been made through the 9 that deal with the internal consistency of the 9 process, and I can go into some detail, at some 10 10 Zoning Code and also the consistency with the other time, about how certain things changed in 11 11 Comp Plan. certain projects, but I will tell you that it 12 12 I think the biggest issue is that had nothing to do with the words of the Zoning 13 compliance, that before -- the existing 13 Code. It had to do with the way things were 14 14 compliance had to do with meeting six of the applied. 15 twelve characteristics of the table, for 15 Going through the details, again, we can do 16 16 example, for eight of the twelve, depending on that, if you'd like, later on, but as you can 17 17 your project. Now you have to meet all of see, there's lot of inconsistencies that create 18 18 them. I mean, that is one of the biggest technical challenges. Now, as far as the 19 changes that I think -- and that would appear 19 building heights, and this is something that I think is very clear, that memo that you got has 20 is consistent with the idea of having more 20 21 21 Mediterranean buildings, because, at the end of a table. That table is in the Zoning Code. It 22 the day, the question is, are the changes being 22 is in the Zoning Code. Now, it's using the 23 23 proposed going to result in buildings that are dimensions that are in the Comp Plan, because 24 more Mediterranean? I think that was the 24 it's consistent with the Comp Plan, but it's in 25 question that the Commission asked. And of the 25 our Zoning Code table that was adopted by a Page 75 Page 76 1 1 Commission 17 years ago, after 32 public the whole Ordinance was provided, that's the 2 2 signed Ordinance from 2004, and it's available, meetings, and -- Chip, I'm sure you were a part 3 3 of that -- and it has not been changed for 17 if you want to read the whole Ordinance, which 4 4 years. So if you want to recommend changing includes all of the information about the Med 5 5 it, you can. Certainly, the Commission could Bonus, and highlighted there is the fact that 6 6 adopt a different dimension, but that would be it's -- that's worth 50 and goes on in there. 7 7 a change. So the concerns are multiple, and this 8 8 Now, I included the whole history, since is -- I'm finishing my presentation here. You 9 9 1930, of the building heights, just for know, one of the issues that -- I don't 10 10 information, because if you look at, for understand very well the conceptual review, 11 example, in 1987, a story was 12 feet. Then 11 because it happens to be only on the Special 12 12 you look at 1999, it changed to 13 and a half. Area Plan, so does it apply only for those 13 Then you look at 2004, that's when that 50-foot 13 Special Area Planned projects? As written, 14 14 base was used for bonuses. The 45 5-02 feet that's really the limit of that. Now, we've 15 15 remains, if you don't get a bonus, but for the done conceptual reviews for Board of Architects 16 bonus -- for the application of the bonus 16 as recently as the last meeting, when they 17 process, that's what was adopted. So those are 17 looked at a proposed mobility hub. 18 facts, and it's not something that is open for 18 The Plaza, by the way, one of the projects 19 discussion. What's open for discussion is 19 that has been criticized multiple times, it did 20 20 whether or not the policy should change, and I go through a conceptual review by the Board of 21 believe that's what the Committee is still 21 Architects, at multiple meetings, multiple 22 debating, because I don't think there's 22 meetings, building by building. So I can't 23 23 agreement on that. imagine a more thorough process of review than 24 24 And we provided the complete Ordinance. that one. 25 25 Now, in the memo, there's only the chart, but So all of those things -- we can do a

	Page 77		Page 78
1	better job, of course, and Coral Gables	1	you're seeking bonuses?
2	deserves to have the best job, but I don't	2	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir, correct.
3	think that the changes that are being proposed	3	MR. BEHAR: If not, the 45 5-02 feet
4	will give you that, just like I don't think any	4	remains?
5	Zoning Code will give you that, by itself, and	5	MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir.
6	I think that was part of the discussion that we	6	MR. BEHAR: And in a case of 50 feet, it
7	had at the Commission last night.	7	says 5-02 stories, correct?
8	Now, there are some other concerns that I	8	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.
9	mentioned already, but I think, for the	9	MR. BEHAR: And in the case of 45 5-02
10	purposes of tonight, I probably should just	10	feet, 5-02 stories would not be doable?
11	end. I'm recommending deferral, just like	11	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.
12	Mr. Bermello. The reason is that you cannot	12	MR. BEHAR: So where is the contradiction
13	or the Commission cannot adopt the changes	13	to be? How can we clarify? How can we make
14	until the Comp Plan is amended, if they decide	14	that better, because I personally, I think,
15	to go through that policy change, but that	15	and, you know, we'll do afterwards you know,
16	in general, at the end of the day, I think that	16	the modifications or the changes to the Comp
17	the ideas are fine, they comply with the	17	Plan, I'm not sure that's the right approach,
18	general trend of things, but whether or not	18	you know, but how do we get a clear definition
19	they make the Code better, that's really a	19	to do, because if you're asking for bonuses,
20	question that we should be able to discuss more	20	you start with the 50, if not, you're at 45,
21	thoroughly. Thank you very much.	21	where is that how do we
22	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Trias, I have a question,	22	MR. TRIAS: Well, because, generally, as
23	just for clarification, because and I think	23	you know, from a practical point of view,
24	this is beginning to shed some light the 50	24	people ask for the Med Bonus Level 2, so you
25	foot base or maximum is only applicable if	25	end up with the 77 feet. So the 50 or the 45
	2 11		
	Page 79		Page 80
1	5-02 don't really have much relevance, in a	1	the best thing that happened to me. I was not
2	practical sense, for the City. I mean, how	2	part of that, okay. But that did not happen
3	many buildings do you know, recently built,	3	here. I don't believe it happened in the Board
4	that are 45 5-02 feet?	4	of Architects. It happened at the Commission,
5	MR. BEHAR: No, none. None.	5	and that's what we need to look at.
6	And I want to clear something up, because	6	And to answer Venny's problem, the problem
7	some of the projects that are a problem today,	7	is much bigger, I agree. These red lines are
8	at least two of these Board Members here, were	8	not going to be you know, the fundamental
9	here for that one of those approvals, which	9	problem is more significant, and how do we
10	is the one on the Highway, and I happen to have	10	solve that and I'm going to tell you, I
11	been conflicted out, because I was not in	11	don't think a style matters any, because at the
12	favor, and my fellow Board Member here voted	12	end of the day, the public benefit could be
13	against the project.	13	achieved with any style. If I'm going to do a
14	So those projects that one, in	14	plaza, I must introduce step back, setback,
15	particular, it went to Commission for the	15	that has nothing to do with style, and I think
16	approval, it was not at the Board of	16	we're making personally, I think this is
17	Architects, that I recall, and it was not at	17	going into that direction, which I disagree. I
18	this Board.	18	think the public benefit is more important to
19	MR. TRIAS: And required a change of Land	19	achieve in a different way. That's my opinion.
20	Use, which was really what changed the design.	20	MR. TORRE: Can I continue, if you don't
20	MR. BEHAR: And I remember, I was	21	mind?
21	THE BEILDE THE THE TELLENIOUS, I WAS	1	
	conflicted, because I was not in favor,	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
21		22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes. I'd like to ask, actually, one question of
21 22	conflicted, because I was not in favor,		
21 22 23	conflicted, because I was not in favor, because they came to me to do the project, and	23	I'd like to ask, actually, one question of

Page 81 Page 82 1 the sense that I get from the Board is that the 1 Architects' role is and what their function is. 2 Board would like to defer this, but do we need 2 That's clearly on Section 5-02. 3 3 to open it up for public comment beforehand or Section 5-02 is Design Review Standards, 4 can we continue our discussion and then have 4 the Design Review Standard currently states 5 public comment later at the time? 5 that the function of the Board is to review 6 6 MR. COLLER: I think, on this particular whether the planning and siting of the various 7 7 matter, it's up to the Board. It's a functions of the structures on site provide the 8 8 following intrinsic sense of order between legislative recommendation. If the Board wants 9 to defer the matter and hear it and hear other 9 buildings. It's in there. It asks whether the 10 10 comments, since you're aware that this is going amount of and arrangement of open space, green 11 11 to a Workshop and feel that it would be more space, including open space or unimproved areas 12 12 beneficial to see what happens after the is appropriate for the design. It asks for 13 Workshop, maybe some of these issues may be 13 proper architectural compatibility with 14 14 resolved, it's up to the Board. That certainly neighboring properties and uses. It's written 15 15 is a reasonable way to go. right there. 16 16 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood. I, It continues, the architectural style for a 17 17 myself, would be more inclined that way, but I given location, unless specified to the 18 18 want to make sure of the legality and the contrary, should be in harmony with the 19 19 architecture of a particular neighborhood. procedure. Thank you for clarifying that. 20 Yes, Venny. 20 These things were there. They're there. 21 21 MR. TORRE: I want to bring something up If the Board of Architects isn't taking this 22 here, and make sure everybody understands this. 22 and using it appropriately, that's something we 23 23 So the ones that do not know this, the Code should be talking about, but I believe a lot of 24 currently has a specific section, Section 5-02 24 this stuff that we're going to be looking at 25 25 architecture, which dictates what the Board of already exists in here. Now, it is not being Page 83 Page 84 1 applied properly? Is it being missed? Is the 1 because I'm confused by this. 2 2 Planning & Zoning overriding, by way of bulk MR. TRIAS: Right. The reason why this is 3 and mass, approvals? Is the Commission making 3 important is because, when you get to the 77, 4 4 those changes, not the Board? Is the Board with Med Bonus Level 2, I believe, some 5 5 empowered enough to make those -applicants, sometimes, may have tried to do an 6 6 MR. TRIAS: That language has been in the extra story, but that's not what the Code says. 7 7 Zoning Code for decades, older than the And what happens is that we need to apply the 8 8 Code properly. That's my opinion. And to make language for the Med Bonus, which is from the 9 9 '80s originally, but I've seen even the a big deal about something that is clearly in 10 10 language, in the 1930s Zoning Code, talks about the Code is stopping us from doing a better job 11 11 with the implementation. harmony of the architecture, from the very 12 12 beginning. So there's no reason why we cannot MR. REVUELTA: So which parts of the Code 13 13 figure out a way to do it well. are in black and white specifics saying 45 5-02 14 MR. REVUELTA: I have one question. On the 14 feet? 15 memorandum that Mr. Pardo handed out, it says, 15 MR. TRIAS: Well, not in the Med Bonus. 16 four stories, fifty feet. Is this what the 16 That's in the --MR. BEHAR: Zoning Code. 17 Zoning Code reads right now? Is this table out 17 MR. REVUELTA: So if you're reading the 18 of the Zoning Code? 18 19 MR. TRIAS: Yes, sir. 19 Zoning Code and you're not applying for Med MR. REVUELTA: So, if the Zoning Code is 2.0 20 Bonuses, you get four stories, 45 5-02MR. 21 saying fifty feet and four stories, he 21 TRIAS: If you have a small parcel, yes. 22 mentioned that an extra story was being put in 22 MR. REVUELTA: If you have a small parcel? 23 23 there, but it seems like the Code is MR. TRIAS: Yes. 24 24 MR. REVUELTA: And you're only going with restricting to four stories and 50 feet, not 25 25 four or 5-02 stories. And, again, I'm asking, the basic Zoning Code, you get four stories and

	Page 85		Page 86
1	you get 45 5-02 feet?	1	But that is not the issue. I would
2	MR. TRIAS: You get the 45 5-02 feet, yes.	2	please, let's try to keep focus. That is not
3	MR. REVUELTA: If you apply the	3	the issue that we're discussing today.
4	Mediterranean Bonuses, you start at four	4	MR. TORRE: I have further comments
5	stories and 50 feet? This is what I'm reading	5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, please.
6	here, so that's why	6	MR. TORRE: we can carry this meeting
7	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right. The	7	forward to try and help the Commission with
8	Mediterranean Bonus is clearly showing in that	8	what I think they're trying to get to.
9	table that it says 50, and then you add one	9	I'm going to read minutes from the
10	story or you add two and so on, yes.	10	meeting Commission Meeting where Mr. Pardo
11	MR. REVUELTA: But you add one story above	11	began by stating, I think, the reasons why this
12	four stories?	12	process was happening, so we can kind of hear
13	MR. TRIAS: Right. Right.	13	what those reasons were at the time.
14	MR. BEHAR: But it's not four stories, I	14	So this is I'm quoting, "So the key
15	believe. Isn't it 5-02MR. TRIAS: That's a	15	issues that we're addressing was the lack of
16	separate part of the Code. I won't go on	16	compatibility between existing neighborhoods
17	memory on this. I need to look at the Code.	17	and new development, Number One. Number Two,
18	MR. REVUELTA: And, again, I want	18	the failure to implement the existing Zoning
19	MR. TRIAS: But that has nothing to do with	19	Code, specifically Designed Standard 5-02,
20	the issue at hand, sir.	20	which I read, which is solely the
21	Yeah, if you look at the 5-02 stories, it	21	responsibility of the Board of Architects.
22	says 5-02 stories, with 63 feet, seven stories	22	They are the design standard for all of the
23	with 83 feet. I mean, there's a variety of	23	projects in the City. Number Three, to provide
24	depending on the Zoning designation, MX1, MX2,	24	more emphasis on the preliminary Board of
25	MX3. It's in the table.	25	Architects review process," which we started to
	Page 87		Page 88
1	talk about today, "and to project conceptual	1	things specifically, but we can talk about
2	phase in order to provide direct Board of	2	the initial review by the Architectural Board
3	Architects guidance at the proper at the	3	on conceptual. Do you have anything today to
4	project inception. Number Four, to address	4	say?
5	discontent of the citizens who are affected	5	MR. TRIAS: Well, the proposal, as I read
6	negatively by the impact of incompatible	6	it, applies to only certain projects. We,
7	development," and there's a Fifth, "To return	7	Staff, thought that there could be a
8	to the original purpose of the Coral Gables	8	voluntarily process, if somebody wanted to hear
9	Mediterranean Architecture Regulations."	9	an opinion, and that's basically what happened
10	So I hear citizens discontent, I hear	10	last week. There was a voluntary submittal,
11	compatibility, I hear lack of the Board	11	that actually there was a great presentation
12	following these rules, and I believe I left one	12	by our City Manager, who did a great job
13	out, Number Three, to put more emphasis on the	13	explaining the mobility hub, and then there was
14	preliminary conceptual design, and maybe we can	14	some good discussion. That's a fair thing to
15	discuss those three or four things.	15	do. There's nothing wrong with that. The
16	5-02 is the issue of any style, right, and,	16	moment you make it mandatory and then you make
	again, I hear it going back and forth, and that	17	it only of certain projects and not others and
17		1 1 0	so on
17	is whether the bonuses should or should not	18	30 011
		19	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a
18	is whether the bonuses should or should not		
18 19	is whether the bonuses should or should not apply to any style or just simply to	19	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a
18 19 20	is whether the bonuses should or should not apply to any style or just simply to Mediterranean architecture. That was a comment	19 20	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a project comes in and they're going to ask for
18 19 20 21	is whether the bonuses should or should not apply to any style or just simply to Mediterranean architecture. That was a comment I think I heard back and forth.	19 20 21	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a project comes in and they're going to ask for 5-02 more floors. So the design is beyond what
18 19 20 21 22	is whether the bonuses should or should not apply to any style or just simply to Mediterranean architecture. That was a comment I think I heard back and forth. I think those are the ones that these	19 20 21 22	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a project comes in and they're going to ask for 5-02 more floors. So the design is beyond what the Zoning Code allows. So it's going to be
18 19 20 21 22 23	is whether the bonuses should or should not apply to any style or just simply to Mediterranean architecture. That was a comment I think I heard back and forth. I think those are the ones that these are the things that I think have been bouncing	19 20 21 22 23	MR. TORRE: But here's the question, so a project comes in and they're going to ask for 5-02 more floors. So the design is beyond what the Zoning Code allows. So it's going to be changed to the use it's going to have all

	Page 89		Page 90
1	look, that design should be tweaked, you're	1	the project. Now, my only concern is, if we go
2	carrying on possibly with a decision on	2	for conceptual review to the Board of
3	architecture that still has a whole series of	3	Architects, before going to DRC, they may come
4	problems when it gets to the Commission, in	4	back and say, "Well, you can't do it because of
5	terms of it needs 5-02 more floors to be	5	this, this and this." So what comes first, the
6	approved.	6	chicken or the egg, you know?
7	MR. TRIAS: That is one scenario, and the	7	MR. TORRE: Wouldn't that apply to Planning
8	other scenario is a recent project that we had,	8	& Zoning, as well, that we may have certain
9	that was reviewed by DRC, it came fully	9	issues that
10	designed. I mean, the developer knew what he	10	MR. BEHAR: But, Venny, not necessarily,
11	wanted. And it required certain changes that	11	because by the time it comes here, the project
12	were major, in terms of the Zoning Code and	12	may comply with all of the Zoning requirements
13	even the Comp Plan, but it was fully designed.	13	and may be, you know, addressing all of the
14	So I don't think that, in that case, he was	14	issues that will be a problem to this Board.
15	looking for a conceptual review, for example.	15	So I find it more of, you know, an issue, okay,
16	All I'm saying is, at some point, you may	16	let me design a project and let me take it to
17	want to make it mandatory or voluntary or not.	17	the Board of Architects for conceptual. "I
18	There's nothing wrong with having a conceptual	18	think you've done a great job. We like it. We
19	design, and I think that, at the end of the	19	would approve it."
20	day, we you could make a recommendation for	20	But then I would go to DRC. "Well, you
21	it.	21	know what, you can't do it because of X, Y, and
22	MR. BEHAR: I'm going tell you, as an	22	Z. You can't."
23	architect, I don't have major problems with	23	And I will give you an example. We worked
24	getting conceptual review. It's going to add	24	on a project that had infrastructure problems,
25	more time, but it could also be beneficial for	25	and until today, the project is not built,
	Page 91		5 00
	5		Page 92
1	because it required a sewer extension of about	1	is simply if you want to start and I want
1 2		1 2	
	because it required a sewer extension of about		is simply if you want to start and I want
2	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile.	2	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only
2	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example?	2 3	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the
2 3 4	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris	2 3 4	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one
2 3 4 5	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that	2 3 4 5	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to
2 3 4 5	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess,	2 3 4 5 6	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing
2 3 4 5 6 7	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This	2 3 4 5 6 7	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what, it has to go to Commission and they still don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a voluntary process. I don't agree that it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what, it has to go to Commission and they still don't have the Land Use, Zoning, et cetera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a voluntary process. I don't agree that it is CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that so funds are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what, it has to go to Commission and they still don't have the Land Use, Zoning, et cetera. MR. TORRE: But my point is, the Board of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a voluntary process. I don't agree that it is CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that so funds are not spent ahead of time? Is that the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what, it has to go to Commission and they still don't have the Land Use, Zoning, et cetera. MR. TORRE: But my point is, the Board of Architects could guide the architecture well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a voluntary process. I don't agree that it is CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that so funds are not spent ahead of time? Is that the reason for it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because it required a sewer extension of about almost a mile. MR. TORRE: Can we use maybe an example? I'm thinking of an example, the Alan Morris proposal, that we all denied. How would that process have been different? I guess, architecturally, they would have said, "This building is too big"? I mean, forgetting the fact that he was trying to get from 70 feet to 150. MR. TRIAS: The reality is that currently you have to go to BOA prior to the City Commission approving the MR. TORRE: But let's say they made a wedding cake. They changed the wedding cake. They made it kind of nice, still had 130 feet MR. TRIAS: Which they did, and then, what, it has to go to Commission and they still don't have the Land Use, Zoning, et cetera. MR. TORRE: But my point is, the Board of Architects could guide the architecture well, they do a good job, and they still have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is simply if you want to start and I want to make one point, that is the only recommendation that actually deals with the process, and it's actually not a bad one necessarily, but the rest of them don't try to improve the process, and I think that focusing on the process is a much better use of everybody's time. MR. REVUELTA: So the recommendation is to actually go to the City Commission first to get a global change and then go back? MR. TRIAS: No. No. To go to the Board of Architects conceptually, without having any approval, and then go to DRC. That is the recommendation from the Committee. MR. BEHAR: Is that something that you agree with? MR. TRIAS: I think it should be a voluntary process. I don't agree that it is CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is that so funds are not spent ahead of time? Is that the reason for it? MR. TRIAS: That's what I heard in the

rify, because we wrote it. The whole thing but the conceptual approval is for any ject that is asking for Med Bonuses, period. But the City did was, they voluntarily came, ause it was an unusual design, and they were asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for deptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the indards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	those issues, and my opinion simply is that the more we think about the process, the better the process is going to be. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From what I've heard so far, just from my thoughts, One, is to comply with all of the requirements before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't have to
out the conceptual approval is for any ject that is asking for Med Bonuses, period. In the City did was, they voluntarily came, ause it was an unusual design, and they were asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for deeptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the indards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process is going to be. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From what I've heard so far, just from my thoughts, One, is to comply with all of the requirements — before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was — to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any — Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
giect that is asking for Med Bonuses, period. In the City did was, they voluntarily came, ause it was an unusual design, and they were asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for deptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the urds in this City that do not meet the indards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	process is going to be. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From what I've heard so far, just from my thoughts, One, is to comply with all of the requirements — before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was — to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any — Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
at the City did was, they voluntarily came, ause it was an unusual design, and they were asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for aceptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the adards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From what I've heard so far, just from my thoughts, One, is to comply with all of the requirements before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
ause it was an unusual design, and they were asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for deeptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the adards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your ament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comply with all of the requirements before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
asking for Med bonuses MR. TRIAS: But, sir MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for aceptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the adards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your ament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	comply with all of the requirements before it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
MR. TRIAS: But, sir — MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for deptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the urds in this City that do not meet the ndards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nment. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	it was, let's say, four of six or whatever it was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
MR. PARDO: In other words, if you come for d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for aceptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the adards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was to me is very restrictive. I don't think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
d Bonuses, it's mandatory to come for aceptual, to be able to get a lay of the d. There are projects right now on the ards in this City that do not meet the adards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	think, to me, that makes sense. For example, if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
d. There are projects right now on the burds in this City that do not meet the landards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses and on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	10 11 12 13 14 15	if every individual project has to do underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
d. There are projects right now on the urds in this City that do not meet the urds of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	11 12 13 14 15	underground parking, then you're not doing any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
ndards of what exists today and what's going exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	12 13 14 15	any Mr. Pardo, it's not for discussion. It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
exist tomorrow, as far as allowing bonuses ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	14 15	It's just I'm giving an opinion. You don't
ed on style. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nament. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	14 15	
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for your nment. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	15	
nment. Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's		MR. PARDO: But what I'm trying to say is,
MR. TRIAS: But that's not the way it's	16	it doesn't say that.
•	17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I understand that.
	18	I'm just giving my observation.
tten. I know that's what you meant, but	19	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Chairman, it says that.
at you have in the way the drafting of the	20	And what happens is that, I know that's not
dinance, it is only applicable to the Special	21	what they meant. I know that's not what they
ea Plan, sir. It could be I think it	22	meant and we can probably fix it
uld be better the way you explained it, a	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, I understand that,
ch better approach.	24	but I'm just giving you some thoughts from what
But I think that the Ordinance has a lot of	25	I have heard. The other item that I would look
	23	Thave heard. The other hem that I would look
Page 95		Page 96
s, well, we're here right now, is it	1	MR. WITHERS: And let's not forget, Felix
nething that I would want to look at to have	2	or Chairman Pardo, you know, said it right
same style go across the entire City or do	3	early on, you know, what do we do to get GCs
encourage different styles, in different	4	and developers and builders to do buildings
s? And I'm not saying it's one way or	5	that are friendly and compatible, and, well,
ther, but go ahead, Chip.	6	that's going to cost more money, we want to
MR. WITHERS: No. No. Early, early on,	7	look Mediterranean, but that's going to cost
idea was just elements of Mediterranean	8	more money, so we gave them a bonus to do that.
nitecture.	9	You know, I don't know if that's changed, from
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right.	10	a cost perspective and from a development
MR. WITHERS: You know, 5-02 Biltmore Way,	11	perspective
ere the lions are, I mean, that doesn't look	12	MR. TRIAS: It has not changed.
diterranean to me, but I believe it got floor	13	MR. WITHERS: you know. You know, at
a half of a Mediterranean bonus, because it	14	one point, we did say that we didn't really
balconies, it had shaped windows, it had an	15	think that you should have PADs and Overlays
n alcove, it had some the elements of the	16	and any kind of Board of Adjustment rulings, if
diterranean Bonus.	17	any kind of bonuses were given, you know, any
so when an architect says, style, does that	18	Transfer of Development Rights. Why allow
an what the building looks like? Is that	19	someone to transfer development for the
nt you mean? Either a square of whatever	20	Mediterranean Bonus, transfer of development
a you mean. Entire a square or whatever	21	rights? So I don't know, did you look at any
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of	22	of that
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of wing a blueprint of a building, let's say	23	MR. TRIAS: Yeah. Well, actually, you're
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of	1 0 4	raising a very good point. That's really the
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of wing a blueprint of a building, let's say	24	issue. The issue is, those different things
t	ther, but go ahead, Chip. MR. WITHERS: No. No. Early, early on, idea was just elements of Mediterranean nitecture. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. MR. WITHERS: You know, 5-02 Biltmore Way, are the lions are, I mean, that doesn't look diterranean to me, but I believe it got floor a half of a Mediterranean bonus, because it balconies, it had shaped windows, it had an alcove, it had some the elements of the diterranean Bonus. To when an architect says, style, does that an what the building looks like? Is that at you mean? Either a square of whatever CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of wing a blueprint of a building, let's say cally, to me, Mediterranean may be the	ther, but go ahead, Chip. MR. WITHERS: No. No. Early, early on, idea was just elements of Mediterranean shitecture. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. MR. WITHERS: You know, 5-02 Biltmore Way, iter the lions are, I mean, that doesn't look diterranean to me, but I believe it got floor a half of a Mediterranean bonus, because it balconies, it had shaped windows, it had an in alcove, it had some the elements of the diterranean Bonus. To when an architect says, style, does that in what the building looks like? Is that it you mean? Either a square of whatever 20 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: To me, it's kind of wing a blueprint of a building, let's say 22 cally, to me, Mediterranean may be the

	Page 97		Page 98
1	that interact in the Code, that was not the	1	that we have in the City is the Med Bonus.
2	task at hand, unfortunate.	2	MR. TORRE: Can I ask so we're talking
3	MR. WITHERS: They affect the outcome.	3	from compatibility, I think, is a big issue
4	MR. TRIAS: And in my view, that's really	4	that's been discussed and it's a big talking
5	what the citizens were reacting to. They were	5	point, I get it. So, as I've shown everybody
6	not reacting necessarily against the Med Bonus.	6	this before, the red is Commercial. You see
7	They thought so, but that was really not the	7	it. That's Downtown. You can go to the
8	issue.	8	northern part of town, MF2, all of this is
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Wasn't there also	9	brown, because that's all Residential, 70 feet,
10	bonuses given for affordable housing that was	10	okay.
11	implemented into a project? I recall sitting	11	When you're talking about designing
12	on this	12	something in the middle of a block where
13	I MR. TRIAS: There was a brief	13	everything is still low, but you're still
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: on this Board some	14	within the Zoning that's going to allow you to
15	time ago.	15	go to 70, right, how do you judge compatibility
16	MR. BEHAR: But that was a very short	16	when there's nobody around, but yet the Zoning
17	period.	17	allows you to? You see what I'm saying? How
18	MR. TRIAS: It was a couple of years.	18	do you handle it?
19	MR. BEHAR: 2010.	19	MR. TRIAS: I mean, in the technical sense,
20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right, but that has	20	you, as Board Members, need to get competent
21	stopped?	21	with Craig, Mr. Attorney, what's the form,
22	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	22	competent and substantial evidence, yes
23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: In other words, there	23	competent and substantial evidence is what you
24	are no bonuses for that anymore?	24	use to make a decision about compatibility, and
25	MR. TRIAS: No. The only bonus program	25	it's really a technical planning term, and that
	The The Division of the only contact programs		
	- 00	1	
	Page 99		Page 100
1	everything has to be provided by somebody who's	1	Page 100 even the Code. It's really being able to
1 2	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that	1 2	
	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most		even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job.
2	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that	2	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of
2	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners.	2 3	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job.
2 3 4	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're	2 3 4	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for
2 3 4 5	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is	2 3 4 5	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that?
2 3 4 5 6	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more difficult everywhere else. We're very lucky to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is meant to be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more difficult everywhere else. We're very lucky to have that great Plan and have some very good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is meant to be? MR. TRIAS: The answer is, no. I mean, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more difficult everywhere else. We're very lucky to have that great Plan and have some very good buildings. The issue really is not changing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is meant to be? MR. TRIAS: The answer is, no. I mean, the Board of Architects does certain things, very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more difficult everywhere else. We're very lucky to have that great Plan and have some very good buildings. The issue really is not changing the Plan. Obviously, we should be respecting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is meant to be? MR. TRIAS: The answer is, no. I mean, the Board of Architects does certain things, very important things, and things that matter in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	everything has to be provided by somebody who's qualified to give it to you, meaning that they're properly certified and so on, most likely Members of the American Code of Certified Planners. MR. TORRE: I just wonder, so if you're going down Ponce, and you have pink, which is Low Density Commercial, allows you to go, I don't know, whatever you're allowed to go, four or 5-02 you get a bonus, you do a really nice building, now you're up to six floors. You're still opposite a Residential area on Ponce. When you go through the real process and you do all of your bonuses and get all of your perfect architecture, you're still six stories. So I wonder whether some of this is just inherent in the Plan. MR. TRIAS: It is, and we're very lucky that Merrick gave us a very good Plan. I think that these kinds of issues are much more difficult everywhere else. We're very lucky to have that great Plan and have some very good buildings. The issue really is not changing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	even the Code. It's really being able to distinguish and have the nuances, in terms of design and so on, to do a good job. MR. TORRE: Something that's caught my eyes, Mr. Withers has said several times, many times, that these folks come here asking for 30, 40 percent more, and why are we doing that? How many times have you said that? Okay. And the Mayor said it yesterday and he said it several times now, the buck stops with the Commission. So where are these things becoming problematic, where we see these bulky, really out of proportion properties, projects? MR. BEHAR: There are some, and I think that, Venny, a lot of that may come out in the Workshop, and I think MR. TORRE: Do you think the Board of Architects is going to be empowered enough and it's going to have the meat in this to be able to take care of it? Is that the way this is meant to be? MR. TRIAS: The answer is, no. I mean, the Board of Architects does certain things, very

Page 101 Page 102 1 Commission changes Land Use or not, approves a 1 change of Site Specifics, a variety of changes 2 2 Zoning change or not, and those are the things that were approved by the Commission, in 3 3 that, really, in my view, affect the massing addition to Med Bonus. 4 4 So there's a -- the Code, people complain and affect the size of projects, more than the 5 role of the Board of Architects. 5 that sometimes when I speak I sound 6 6 MR. REVUELTA: Or the style. It's the complicated, it's not me, that's just the Code. 7 7 massing and the changes of Land Use that people The Code is like that. And the Code has been 8 8 get the four and the five story additionally, like that for a very long time. And we tried 9 because then you have a change of Zoning, and 9 to make it a little bit simpler. Certainly, in 10 10 all of a sudden you have something that nobody the last three years, with your help, we were 11 11 expected to have. And I agree, it's not the able to do a lot, but, you know, at the end of 12 12 style of architecture, sometimes the process of the day, it's what it is. 13 changing Zoning classifications --13 MR. BEHAR: So had that project been 120 14 14 feet, which is what's allowed in that area, MR. BEHAR: It's clear, look at the project 15 15 on the Highway, which is one of the most everybody would have a different opinion of 16 controversial today. Look at the style. But 16 that project. You know, that's the way I see 17 17 it all -- it got all of the Med Bonuses, but it, okay, because the massing would have been 18 18 when you look at the project, it really doesn't significantly less, 25 5-02 percent less. 19 comply, for a lot of the massing, a lot of the 19 MR. TRIAS: One last comment. In my view, 20 step backs, you know, and I think that's the 20 the changes that are being proposed, would not 21 2.1 problem, is that, you know, the process is not affect those projects. 22 being executed correctly, in my opinion. 22 MR. BEHAR: I agree. I agree. It would 23 23 MR. TRIAS: Those two projects, Paseo and affect more of the design to be more in that 24 Gables Station, because they have been public, 24 style than what it does. 25 25 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Ms. Miro. I'll mention them, change of Land Use, right, Page 103 Page 104 1 action on whether or not to change Land Use or 1 MS. MIRO: Yes, if I may. 2 2 Venny, I'm trying to understand, so I think Zoning, and that is the biggest change they do. 3 that maybe the question you're asking is, where 3 So your role is very important in this process, 4 4 along the way are these massive projects and we seem to be speaking as if the Board of 5 5 slipping through the cracks, right, and what's Architects is the only one, but your role is to 6 6 the answer to that? So I haven't heard a make that recommendation on the issues that, I 7 7 concrete answer. It's not the Board of think, make the biggest impact. 8 8 Architects or is it the Board of Architects? MR. BEHAR: But the compatibility issue is 9 9 Or where is it that we have to be more careful, one of concern, because if the underlying 10 10 because obviously these projects exist, so how Zoning allows -- and you mentioned the North 11 is it that this is happening? And I know 11 Ponce corridor, and you could do -- the 12 12 that's a question that so many people have underlying, you know, allows you to do "X." 13 13 asked, how did this come to be? So what is, Well, yeah, next to that property is a one 14 you know, our role here? How can we, as a 14 story building that's been there. Is it 15 15 Board, reconcile what, you know, Mr. Torre compatible to do a six-story next to one a 16 brought up? 16 story? Maybe not, but the property -- the 17 Yes, it's part of the Code. The Code 17 underlying Zoning allows it, permits them to do 18 allows it, but anybody who's not an architect, 18 19 just a plain resident, can see, well, this area 19 MR. TORRE: That's where the problem is. 20 20 is brown, and if you put this, what the Code MR. BEHAR: Well, but then what do you say, 21 allows, it's not compatible. So how do we 21 no, even though you're allowed to do it, you 22 reconcile that, and where, along the process, 22 cannot do it? 23 do we address that? 23 MR. WITHERS: I think that's where this 24 MR. TRIAS: You make recommendations to the 24 whole bonus issue started, is that people are 25 25 City Commission and the City Commission takes given the right to do certain things and why

	Page 105		Page 106
1	should they be given additional rights to do	1	MR. WITHERS: But at the end of the day
2	more than the Code says it is. You know, you	2	MR. BEHAR: Chip, I think you were in the
3	could push the envelope, through the Med Bonus,	3	Commission that approved that building on the
4	is I think what's causing some of the	4	circle, the old Regions Bank or what was
5	consternation here.	5	that bank building?
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So would you suggest	6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Regions Bank.
7	doing away with the Med Bonus?	7	MR. BEHAR: Regions Bank
8	MR. WITHERS: That could be discussed. I	8	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Yeah.
9	mean, I	9	MR. BEHAR: which to me is a very good
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I mean, that's what	10	building, very beautiful building.
11	I'm hearing.	11	MR. WITHERS: Right. Correct.
12	MR. WITHERS: It might be sunset at some	12	MR. BEHAR: It gives the public benefits
13	point. I'm not saying I'm a hundred percent	13	MR. WITHERS: Right.
14	for that, but I mean, if you're going to	14	MR. BEHAR: you know, where it has a
15	require better buildings, why do you say we're	15	large open space in the front, it has a loggia
16	going to give you something more to do? Why	16	and covered walkway
17	don't you just tell them, "This is what you've	17	MR. WITHERS: Right.
18	got to do to build the building." I mean, I've	18	MR. BEHAR: and it has nothing to do
18	got to do to build the building. I mean, I've never understood that argument.	19	with Mediterranean, but yet is a very good
		20	building.
20	You know, if you want this, then say to the	21	MR. WITHERS: Well, I mean, they bought the
21	builder, you've got to do this, I mean	22	parking spots upfront. That's where Uncle
22	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Withers, you've been doing	23	Harry's Happy Town and all of those little
23	this for how long?	24	shops were. So, you know, you blew out another
24	MR. WITHERS: Well, too long, probably	25	20 feet of an arcade in front of the building,
25	MR. TRIAS: So you know how it is.		Ç.
	Page 107		Page 108
1	which gave you that big setback feel, and so,	1	MR. TORRE: But I want to make a point.
2	you know	2	So, I'll leave you with this point, I think
3	MR. BEHAR: But, you know, is it a style?	3	that the citizens and the community have raised
4	Because then you compare other buildings that	4	the alarm. They've made their point. It's
5	have more of the Mediterranean style that	5	noticed. We're paying attention. The
6	aren't I want to be polite, I don't want to	6	Commission is paying attention. I think the
7	say but it's not very good, and that's why a	7	job has been done. Whether this goes this way
8	lot of the residents are having the problem.	8	or goes the other way, I think the benefits of
9	And I look at one every single day, because my	9	that are out, and they will continue to be
10	office is right in front of it, and I get to	10	you know this that we'll be paying more
11	see it every day, and when you look at the	11	attention. The Commission is going to have
12	proportions, you look at the scale, you look at	12	more attention. I think we just need to figure
13	the massing, everything about it is not in the	13	out how to make it work better, but the notice
14	intent of what we want to have.	14	is out. This is not working. We've got to fix
15	MR. WITHERS: It had Mediterranean	15	it.
16	elements, Mediterranean design.	16	MR. TRIAS: I think we have a very good
17	MR. BEHAR: Very liberal.	17	process, and I want to really thank everybody,
18	MR. WITHERS: That was the argument.	18	all of the members of the Committee, because to
19	MR. BEHAR: I think that	19	be talking about these issues is very rare in
20	MR. WITHERS: It is pushing the envelope.	20	any city. I mean, we're talking about the
21	MR. BEHAR: Right. I think we reached a	21	quality of architecture. This doesn't happen
22	point where I think the deferral	22	anywhere. So we're very lucky to be doing
23	MR. TRIAS: This has been going on since	23	this. And we also have the opportunity,
24	1986, as you know, exactly the same discussion.	24	because we have a very good Code, to improve it
	MR. BEHAR: I think it's time for	25	a little bit.
25	MR. DEFIAR. Tullik its tille for		a nace on

	Page 109		Page 110
1	My recommendation is, focus on the process.	1	MR. TORRE: Yes.
2	MR. BEHAR: I think we do the Workshop. I	2	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
3	personally agree and I'm okay with a lot of the	3	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
4	things that we put together, that I think will	4	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
5	improve it, okay. There are some that, like	5	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
6		6	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
7	Mr. Pardo says, we didn't agree with and I	7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
	still disagree, but, you know, it was a process	8	
8	that was done. I think the Workshop is going to be necessary, and I think we should now	9	Thank you for the presentation, both from
9	defer this until for that process to happen,		the Blue Ribbon Committee and from City Staff.
10	1	10	I know you guys have put a lot of time into
11	and I think, then, if we are concluding	11	this. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: The City, the Staff	12	MR. PARDO: Thank you.
13	would like to defer it. Is there a motion?	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we go ahead and
14	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to follow	14	read the next item, which is E-1 and E-2
15	the recommendation of Staff and defer this	15	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chair.
16	tonight until after the Workshop.	16	MR. PARDO: I wanted to mention that Javier
17	MR. TORRE: I'll second that.	17	Salman, who is also in the Committee, is
18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any	18	present.
19	discussion?	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. I'm sorry,
20	None? Call the roll, please.	20	I did not see you. Welcome.
21	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?	21	MR. BEHAR: A fellow Board Member.
22	MS. MIRO: Yes.	22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: A fellow Board Member.
23	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	23	Thank you for all of your time.
24	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	24	Before we read Item E-1 and E-2 into the
25	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?	25	record, Mario, I'd like to ask you, given the
	- 111		
	Page 111		Page 112
1	time, do you feel comfortable doing your	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 112 E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is
	time, do you feel comfortable doing your		E-2 into the record, please.
2	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read	2	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is
2	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in?	2 3	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on.
2 3 4	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the	2 3 4	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City
2 3 4 5	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so.	2 3 4 5	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting
2 3 4 5	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I	2 3 4 5 6	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD)
2 3 4 5 6 7	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break.	2 3 4 5 6 7	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So Venny will be excused. Let's go ahead and take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. You know what, maybe I should read these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So Venny will be excused. Let's go ahead and take a two-minute recess, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. You know what, maybe I should read these in. 504, 516, 522, 530 and 536 Malaga; 503,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So Venny will be excused. Let's go ahead and take a two-minute recess, please. (Short recess taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. You know what, maybe I should read these in. 504, 516, 522, 530 and 536 Malaga; 503, 511, 515, 535, 529 525 and 521 Santander; and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So Venny will be excused. Let's go ahead and take a two-minute recess, please. (Short recess taken.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right, guys, let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. You know what, maybe I should read these in. 504, 516, 522, 530 and 536 Malaga; 503, 511, 515, 535, 529 525 and 521 Santander; and 3109 Segovia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	time, do you feel comfortable doing your presentation, we will gladly go ahead and read it in? MR. GARCIA SERRA: Yes. 8:10 is what the clock is showing. I believe so. MR. BEHAR: Probably like 8:15, because I need a two-minute break. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: I think we have sufficient time to do our presentation. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You want to take a two-minute break? MR. BEHAR: Two-minute break. MR. TORRE: I will be notifying the Board that I will need to recuse myself from this next item. I will not be able to be impartial. I am involved with the group. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much. Thank you, Venny, for putting the time in. So Venny will be excused. Let's go ahead and take a two-minute recess, please. (Short recess taken.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: All right, guys, let's go ahead and start, so we can go ahead and give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	E-2 into the record, please. MR. COLLER: Yes. Let me make sure this is on. Item E-1, an Ordinance of the City Commission of Coral Gables, Florida granting approval of a Planned Area Development (PAD) pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, "Process," Section 14-206, "General Procedures for Planned Area Development" for a proposed multi-family project referred to as "Gables Village" on the property legally described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28, "Coral Gables Biltmore Section" I'm not going to read the list of all of these blocks Santander and 301 Segovia, Coral Gables, Florida; including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause and providing for an effective date. You know what, maybe I should read these in. 504, 516, 522, 530 and 536 Malaga; 503, 511, 515, 535, 529 525 and 521 Santander; and 3109 Segovia. Item E-2, a Resolution I get to do it

	Page 113		Page 114
1	the Tentative Plat entitled "Gables Village"	1	Village, LLC, as well as Jenny Ducret. Mr.
2	pursuant to Zoning Code Article 14, Section	2	Torrealba's company, MG Developers, is already
3	14-210, "Platting/Subdivision," being a re-plat	3	a developer of considerable note here in Coral
4	of 113.310 square feet (2.6 acres) into two	4	Gables, with several number of projects already
5		5	as part of their portfolio, including Biltmore
6	tracts of land on the property legally	6	Park, Valencia Row, Biltmore Row and Althea
	described as Lots 1 through 22, Block 28,	7	Row. All of these, very well regarded
7	"Coral Gables Biltmore Section" (504, 516, 522,	8	projects, many of them even awarded winning,
8	530, 536 Malaga; 503, 511, 515, 535, 529, 525,	9	with regards to their design, and those
9	521 Santander; and 3109 Segovia) Coral Gables,	10	projects were actually designed by Maria de la
10	Florida; including required conditions;	11	Guardia, our project architect on this project
11	providing for a repealer provision,	12	also, who will be handling the architectural
12	severability clause and providing for an	13	-
13	effective date.	14	presentation. Let me start off by giving you a sort of
14	Items E-1, E-2 public hearing.	15	description of where the property is. We're
15	We're going to hear both items and then we	16	ready to start our presentation now. I'm going
16	will vote on them separately.	17	to put up the first slide. There we go. The
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Go ahead,	18	property itself is an entire city block, 2.6
18	please.	19	acres in size, and bounded on the north by
19	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Good evening, Mr. Chair,	20	Malaga, on the south by Santander, on the west
20	Members of the Board, my name is Mario	21	by Segovia and on the east by Hernando. There
21	Garcia-Serra, with Offices at 600 Brickell	22	are 13 buildings on the property at present,
22	Avenue, here this evening representing the	23	with a total of 52 dwelling units located on
23	applicant, Gables Village, LLC.	24	that block.
24	From Gables Village, LLC, today I'm joined	25	My client is proposing to re-develop the
25	by Alirio Torrealba, the principal of Gables	23	My chefit is proposing to re-develop the
	Page 115		Page 116
1	block pursuant to a Master Plan, which will		
		1	the architectural presentation.
2	-	1 2	the architectural presentation. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is
2	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a		MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is
	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units,	2	-
3	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean	2 3	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La
3 4	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required	2 3 4	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural
3 4 5	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site.	2 3 4 5	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at
3 4 5 6	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park.
3 4 5 6 7	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration
3 4 5 6 7 8	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with
3 4 5 6 7 8	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a project which is in keeping with the great	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once, responsive to the existing context and yet
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a project which is in keeping with the great tradition of Coral Gables architecture, and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a project which is in keeping with the great tradition of Coral Gables architecture, and to also have a project that is appropriate in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once, responsive to the existing context and yet arrives at an urban design that is civic in nature, and proposes a prototype for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a project which is in keeping with the great tradition of Coral Gables architecture, and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once, responsive to the existing context and yet arrives at an urban design that is civic in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	result in 48 dwelling units, which will be a mix of duplex, apartment, and townhome units, all designed in the Coral Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, with the required parking provided on the site. This site is over one acre in size, so it qualifies to be reviewed as a Planned Area Development, and we are requesting Planned Area Development review for flexibility on transitional height, frontage and parking setbacks, which Maria will explain further in her presentation. We're also proposing to re-plat the property into three tracts, which will be composed of a duplex tract, the townhome tract, and an apartment tract. Much thought has been put into the design of this project and tremendous effort has been made to design a project which is in keeping with the great tradition of Coral Gables architecture, and to also have a project that is appropriate in scale and feel for the neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: If you need, my name is Maria De La Guardia. I'm a principal at De La Guardia Victoria Architects and Urbanists, at 224 Valencia. I'm here with the Architectural Director, Keegan Marshall, with home across from Salvadore Park. We designed this project in collaboration with Reyes Cabarrocas Architects and with Ernesto Fabre & Company. I want to make sure to give them credit. So, Gables Village stems from the conviction that the fundamental unit of design in architecture is not the individual building, but the City as a whole, and to the ideal of civic art in town building for the well-being of individual and community alike. A critical objective of the project is to develop a city block in such a way that it is, at once, responsive to the existing context and yet arrives at an urban design that is civic in nature, and proposes a prototype for development in this District of the City of

Page 117 Page 118 1 residences. The civic dimension of the project 1 types and corresponding building heights. 2 reads as a harmonious and balanced relationship 2 So if we go to the roof plan, so each of 3 3 between the house and garden, city and the four streets have different 4 4 characteristics. So Gables Village addresses landscape. 5 The garden courtyards, the pedestrian 5 them with four different typologies with 6 6 villa, and muse like driveway, are the corresponding heights. So, on Segovia, we have 7 7 essential organizing feature of the project, two duplexes, four dwelling units, that face 8 8 Segovia, and they have a height of 30 feet, and as such, are interconnected as a pedestrian 9 9 which seems very little compared to the heights sequence of open spaces. These spaces extend 10 10 beyond the properly lines and engage the public that you were discussing on the previous item. 11 11 right-of-way. Santander Avenue and Malaga But on Malaga Avenue, we have 16 townhouses, 12 12 Avenue are physically and visually joined by a and that is in the MF3 Zoning, which allows 45 13 sequence of courtyard and garden court. 13 5-02 feet, but as a transition to single-family 14 14 The consequent perspective view is framed residences across the street, we're proposing 15 15 by a two-story archway below a gable, as the 35 5-02 feet for those townhouses. 16 16 lovely arch at the Douglas Entrance, and lends MR. BEHAR: And you're allowed 455-02 you 17 17 the project its namesake, Gables Village. said? 18 18 Although interior common open spaces have MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No. We are proposing 19 19 been created within the block, the City streets 35 5-02 feet as a transition to single-family. 20 are never neglected, as all residences, with 20 MF3 allows 45 5-02 and across -- but we'll talk 21 21 street fronts, have their main pedestrian more about it, because I need to clarify that a 22 entrance oriented towards them. The character 22 little further -- further on. 23 23 of the four different streets, Segovia Street, On Hernando, we have four lofts, which 24 Malaga Avenue, Hernando Street and Santander 24 we're also proposing 35 5-02 feet for the four 25 Avenue is addressed by appropriate housing 25 lofts. There's also the pool and pool house on Page 119 Page 120 1 1 Malaga. And the pool house is one story. organize the automobile and remove it from the 2 2 On Santander, we're proposing lofts -- I'm street and draw it into the garages and make 3 3 sorry, we're proposing flats. So we have 24 the automobile disappear. So, in the entire 4 4 flats, and we're proposing 45 5-02 feet on block, we only have six curb cuts. If we're to 5 5 Santander. compare it with any other block, we will find 6 6 Let's go back to the rooftop a minute. One that there's probably like thirteen or fourteen 7 7 of the aspects of the project that I think is or fifteen curb cuts, but, here, we simply --8 8 six curb cuts, three on each one of the most successful was our ability to provide more 9 9 open space than is required by Code. So the avenues. We're able to bring in the car and 10 10 Code, for PAD, requires 20 percent, and we're organize it within the different housing 11 11 offering 37 percent open space, and thus we've typologies. 12 12 been able to create a series of common spaces, So let's go through the images now. So 13 13 like we see in the garden court and in the these are the duplexes, which present the 14 14 courtyard, which are actually connected through two-story scale and 30 feet, consistent with 15 15 a two-story archway. So you're on one street other duplexes on Segovia. 16 16 Next. and you actually see through the street, onto 17 17 the other side. And that happens twice in the Here we see the three-story townhouses, and 18 18 35 5-02 feet on each side of the garden court, 19 19 and so the townhouses that face the street have And then we have another very interesting 20 20 pedestrian street, which we call the via, which their entrances on the street, and the 21 connects the property east-west, but all of 21 townhouses that face the garden court are 22 22 this open space is -- we were able to arrive at entered from the garden court, and we can see 23 23 all of this open space, because of these three how, through the archway and the gable, we're 24 24 drives that we created through the property, able to connect the garden court to the 25 25 because these three drives allowed us to courtyard beyond as part of the system of

Page 121 Page 122 1 pedestrian spaces within the block. And, here, 1 balconies, towers and how to picturesquely 2 2 compose these elements. From the Santa Barbara at the townhouse, we can see a series of 3 3 private loggias overlooking the park. Courthouse, we see the drama created by the 4 4 The four lofts on Santander are at a three intersection of the arch and the gable, and 5 stories and 35 5-02 feet height, as they face 5 that was another inspiring moment. Coral 6 6 MF3. Between the lofts, we propose a pool Gables Elementary teaches us about the beauty 7 7 house and a pool, and so you see -- you see of proportions and the elegance of repetition. 8 8 Let's go on to the next one. This is a sort of the space between the two blocks of the 9 9 view, where we're standing in the garden court, lofts. 10 10 with the townhouse and the garden court on the Next. 11 11 And so, on Santander, we have three stories right-hand side, we have the arch on the left, 12 12 over the garage, and you can appreciate here and we're looking down the via, and the via was 13 the courtyard, the arch, which connects onto 13 inspired by Via Mizner and Via Parigi in Palm the garden court beyond, the gable and the 14 14 Beach. 15 15 arch. The three-story balconies are inspired So these are the elevations of the duplexes 16 16 on Segovia, and one thing that I think is by the Biltmore balconies, and at the base of 17 17 the building, below the three-story balconies, important to note is how we're -- the 18 18 we have what we have named the glorietas, and elevations, the street elevations, are not 19 I'll discuss that in a little more detail. 19 filled with garages, and so we -- that's 20 These were some of our inspirational 20 because we have been able to incorporate the 21 21 images. So we see the Biltmore with this car into the block through the drives and thus 22 three-story balcony. We see also the archway 22 free the pedestrian experience from all of the 23 23 and bridge of the Douglas Entrance, the gable garages. 24 at the Merrick House, and then, from the San 24 This is the elevation to Hernando, with the 25 25 Sebastian, we learned about loggias, colonnade, lofts, the pool -- loggia in the middle, and Page 123 Page 124 1 1 pool to the right of that, behind that The project proposes a -- so I want you to 2 2 appropriated wall. note these two photographs, which are basically 3 3 Here we're seeing the townhouses, and you Malaga and Santander, and so this is currently 4 4 can see sort of the void and the solid, the what happens with parking around that block, 5 5 void and the solid, the voids being these where you have the garden apartment buildings, 6 6 spaces that we're creating within the block. and then all of the parking happens in the 7 7 And here we can see the streetscape of swale, in the right-of-way, leading to 8 8 Santander Avenue. compaction of the root system of the mature 9 9 As a PDA designation, the Site Plan is able trees. 10 10 to provide a 37 percent ratio of landscape open The project proposes a 48-unit multi-family 11 11 space, exceeding the current minimum development consisting of a variety of housing 12 12 requirement of 20 percent. The PAD makes it types, the duplex, flats, lofts and townhouses, 13 13 possible to not only front the residences on at an accessible price point. The intention is 14 14 the public street and civic spaces, but more to incorporate universal design and wellness 15 15 importantly, it allows for the automobile to be principles to allow -- wellness principles to 16 segregated to the rear of the building. The 16 allow aging in place and produce a healthy 17 17 cross-section of age groups in the resident interior drives allow for a more neighborhood 18 friendly resolution of the automobile. Even 18 population. The range of unit types and sizes, 19 19 from two-bedroom flats to four-bedroom though 92 parking spaces are provided, not a 20 20 single garage door faces the public sidewalk or townhouses, will allow for residents from 21 street. Parking along the public right-of-way 21 different socioeconomic groups and family 22 22 is further systematized by proposing 16 sizes, a progressive concept that Merrick 23 23 on-street parking spaces, organized between the promoted. 24 24 mature street trees, to replace the existing So I wanted to discuss briefly the concept 25 25 random and unplanned parking on the parkway. of the glorietas. If we can go to that image.

1	Page 125		Page 126
1	So, at the base of the flats, we are proposing	1	Beautiful and garden City movement are just as
2	to create four small piazzas, which we have	2	relevant today as when George Merrick first
3	labeled as glorietas, and Gables Village	3	conceived the City and the garden. His civic
4	proposes four public places, at the base of the	4	art legacy, in the form of shaded tree lined
5	flats, on Santander Avenue. These four place	5	streets, plazas, parks, fountains, and the
6	making spaces take inspiration from the	6	celebration of the public realm as welcoming
7	Glorietas of the Iberoamerican exposition of	7	and beautiful, forms the conceptual basis of
8	1929 in Seville, the 48 Glorietas, representing	8	Gables Village, where the natural and built
9	the different provinces, offered seating to the	9	environment is celebrated for the benefit of
10	tired visitor, fountains to cool the	10	its residents and neighbors. Thank you.
11	temperature and small libraries for sharing	11	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
12	books on the respective provinces.	12	MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Mr. Chair, Members of
13	Gables Village offers four architect's	13	the Board, we are at a point in time when the
14	renditions of the Glorietas to the neighborhood	14	issue of what sort of design and architecture
15	and residents as an artful place to meet	15	should be encouraged in Coral Gables, it's one
16	friends, swap books at the free street library,	16	of the leading topics of public interest, as
17	rest while walking the dog, or simply a pause	17	was evidenced by the discussion you had
18	for a moment of contemplation. Each of these	18	previously.
19	places could illustrate the history of Coral	19	I would respectfully submit that this
20	Gables or take on a literary theme inspired by	20	project can serve as an example of how
21	a Coral Gables poem. They would also serve as	21	re-development should be done in the City of
22	a setting for the Art in Public Places	22	Coral Gables. If this is not in the Coral
23	component of Gables Village.	23	Gables Mediterranean style of architecture, I
24	As Coral Gables nears it's Centennial	24	do not know what is. If this is not an
25	celebration, the principles of the City	25	appropriate design through a PAD process for an
	Page 127		Page 128
1	entire City block, I don't know what is. And	1	you believe that this project actually reduces
2	if this project is not of sufficient quality to	2	density? It has loss units loss units then
_		_	density? It has less units, less units, than
3	be part of the future of Coral Gables, then	3	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the
3 4	be part of the future of Coral Gables, then there is reason to be concerned, because, in my		
		3	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the
4	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my	3 4	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a
4 5	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral	3 4 5	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director.
4 5 6	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables.	3 4 5 6	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you.
4 5 6 7	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with	3 4 5 6 7	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we
4 5 6 7 8	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those	3 4 5 6 7 8	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project,
4 5 6 7 8 9	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects	3 4 5 6 7 8	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the
4 5 6 7 8 9	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement. You have to comply with the standards of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement. You have to comply with the standards of the architecture for the Zoning.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I do have to give the presentation. I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement. You have to comply with the standards of the architecture for the Zoning. The PAD, as you well know, is the type of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement. You have to comply with the standards of the architecture for the Zoning. The PAD, as you well know, is the type of instrument that allows you to design something
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there is reason to be concerned, because, in my opinion, this project is quintessentially Coral Gables. Your Staff is recommending approval with conditions. We're in agreement with those conditions. The Board of Architects unanimously approved this project by a vote of nine to zero or eight to zero, and I would ask that you follow those recommendations and those other approvals and also vote to recommend approval of this project to the City Commission. I'll reserve time for rebuttal, if necessary, and we also are available for any questions. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Trias. MR. TRIAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I do have to give the presentation. I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what's there now. Like most cities, that's the most important thing you will listen to in a presentation from the Planning Director. That's what they would tell you. But in this City, we're so lucky that we can say, you know, this is a beautiful project, that really follows the standards of the Mediterranean architecture. So if I could have the presentation please, real quickly. You know where it is. It's the whole block. It's Zoned duplex in the front and townhouse in the back. Two requests, PAD and Tentative Plat. Keep in mind that they're not getting a bonus for the Mediterranean. It's just a requirement. You have to comply with the standards of the architecture for the Zoning. The PAD, as you well know, is the type of instrument that allows you to design something that is appropriate to the site, to be able to

	Page 129		Page 130
1	So there's a couple of little things that	1	the map area I'm sorry and two times we
2	they have dealt with, with the PAD, minor	2	sent letters, the property was posted twice,
3	issues that have been brought up, but I think	3	website posting twice, and the newspaper
4	they have been answered properly.	4	advertisement for this meeting also was done.
5	A lot of public space, as required by the	5	So Staff determines that this application
6	PAD, a very good mix of unit types, as the PAD	6	is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and
7	aspires to do. It complies with the	7	we're recommending approval with conditions
8	requirements of the Code. It complies with the	8	that the Applicant has agreed to do. One of
9	area and so on.	9	which is the three parcels for the or the
10	And then there's the Tentative Plat.	10	three tracts for the plat, and then pavers
11	Originally, it was two parcels, the duplex in	11	within the parking area, in the right-of-way,
12	the blue and the townhomes and small apartments	12	and the replacement of some of the lighting
13	on the end. We think it's going to work better	13	with a more appropriate pedestrian lighting
14	with three. It's just some minor changes, a	14	design.
15	technical change, I don't think it has	15	
	relevance in the discussion.	16	Thank you very much.
16 17		17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. Jill
17	The time line, there was a neighborhood		
18	meeting in September, there was a Board of	18	MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, just one note on
19	Architects that went very well, today is	20	this.
20 21	Planning & Zoning, and then it goes to	21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
22	Commission, as a PAD always does go to	22	MR. COLLER: I just want to make sure this
	Commission, and it requires Commission		battery operated microphone is still alive.
23	approval.	23	And I spoke to the president of the Coral
24 25	Now, letters were sent to property owners	25	Gables Neighborhood Association is present. I
45	within a thousand feet. That's the radius of	25	don't believe it's necessary to read that one
	Page 131		Page 132
1	in, since the representative is here tonight.	1	observations and objections on the record
2	She can choose either to read it in or	2	regarding this proposed development.
3	summarize it. I believe all members have a	3	While the architects are to be
4	copy of this.	4	congratulated on the design of the proposed
5	MR. TRIAS: There were several letters in	5	project, it can hardly be called a village.
6	opposition.	6	What currently exists is a village however
7	MR. COLLER: And there were a couple of	7	dated it might be. The proposed project is
8	other letters that do need to be read in, for	8	more akin to a compound. Although I'm not a
9	people that are not present.	9	student of the Zoning Code, in reviewing the
10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, that's what I was	10	plans of this project, I noted that the height
11	going to ask. Thank you.	11	of the buildings across from my property on
12	Jill, how many people have gone ahead and	12	Santander do not meet the performance standards
13	sent e-mails or letters to you based on this	13	applicable to MF1 or single-family Zoning.
14	project?	14	According to Section 2-104, as I read it, the
15	THE SECRETARY: I have two other e-mails	15	maximum height of a new structure that abuts
16	here.	16	MF1 Zoned property is 35 5-02 feet and that
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you read them	17	height is to be carried back for 50 feet."
18	into the record, please?	18	There is a drawing.
19	THE SECRETARY: Sure. Sally Baumgartner.	19	And it continues, "I'm also not much of an
20	"Mr. Trias, my name is Sally Baumgartner.	20	artist, but hopefully this diagram will
21	I'm the owner of 3123 through 3125 Segovia	21	illustrate what I'm trying to say. The units
22	Street, on the corner of Santander, since 1988.	22	being planned for the MF1 parcels will have to
23	I'm writing this letter, as I am unable to make	23	conform with the 35 5-02 and not the 45 5-02
	it to the Planning & Zoning meeting this	24	height as proposed.
24	it to the Franking & Zohing meeting this	4 1	neight as proposed.
24 25	evening; however, I would like to have my	25	"I believe the developer might have to lose

	Page 133		Page 134
1	two units, but, regardless, they should be	1	Founder George Merrick included affordable
2	required to maintain the performance standards	2	housing and garden apartments as part of the
3	required by the Code.	3	tenets of this City, as based on the Garden
4	"Thank you for taking the time to consider	4	City and City Beautiful movements. Different
5	my comments. I look forward to a mutually	5	architecture styles, such as the Monterey, were
6	acceptable outcome."	6	constructed to complement the fabric of a rich
7	The other letter is from the Historic	7	historic built environment of the City. The
8	Preservation Association of Coral Gables.	8	proposal seeks to demolish an established piece
9	"Agenda Items 1 and 2 are related to Gables	9	of this fabric.
10	Village.	10	"As such, the Gables Village proposal does
11	"Oh behalf of the Historic Preservation	11	not satisfy Section 5-201 of the Coral Gables
12	Association of Coral Gables, please accept this	12	Mediterranean Style Design Standards, as it
13	letter in opposition to the Gables Village	13	does not continue to support George Merrick's
14	development as currently proposed. The	14	vision, consistent with the established
15	Community is currently seeking historic	15	historic building fabric of the City.
16	designation for 5-02 Santander Avenue for its	16	Therefore, the proposal should not be awarded
17	historic, cultural and architectural	17	Mediterranean bonus, if 5-02 Santander Avenue
18	significance as an intact example of a garden	18	is demolished. We ask the Planning & Zoning
19	apartment building in the Monterey style. The	19	Board to deny the current application for the
20	Historic Preservation Board has not yet	20	PAD and Mediterranean Bonus. Refinement and
21	reviewed the 503 Santander Avenue for historic	21	study is needed as to how the significant
22	significance."	22	Monterey building, located at 503 Santander
23	"The Gables Village proposal seeks to	23	Avenue, could be preserved, as a separate site
24	demolish an entire city block of garden	24	or incorporated into the development.
25	apartments, including 5-02 Santander Avenue.	25	"The Historic Preservation Association of
	D 12F		
	Page 135		Page 136
1	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the	1	Page 136 MR. COLLER: It's your choice.
1 2		1 2	
	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the		MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street.
2	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell,	2	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors
2	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations.	2 3	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street.
2 3 4	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell,	2 3 4	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors
2 3 4 5	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have.	2 3 4 5	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it
2 3 4 5	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many	2 3 4 5 6	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could
2 3 4 5 6 7	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you want to handle it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please. THE SECRETARY: Agustin De La Guardia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you want to handle it? (Inaudible.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please. THE SECRETARY: Agustin De La Guardia. MR. DE LA GUARDIA: Mr. Chairman,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you want to handle it? (Inaudible.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please. THE SECRETARY: Agustin De La Guardia. MR. DE LA GUARDIA: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Agustin De La
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you want to handle it? (Inaudible.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to do that or would you like us just to read the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please. THE SECRETARY: Agustin De La Guardia. MR. DE LA GUARDIA: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Agustin De La
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Coral Gables promotes the understanding and the importance of historic resources and their preservations. "Respectfully, Karelia Martinez Carbonell, President of Historic Preservation Association of Coral Gables." Those are the two letters we have. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. How many speakers do we have? THE SECRETARY: We have eight speakers, one via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and call the first speaker. THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Do we need to go ahead and read the letter, if she would not like to speak, and enter it into the record? MR. COLLER: It was my understanding that they were going to make a presentation. Do they want waive their presentation. How do you want to handle it? (Inaudible.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you like to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. COLLER: It's your choice. MS. KAWALERSKI: Good evening. I'm Sue Kawalerski. I live at 6830 Grecian Street. I'm the president of the Coral Gables Neighbors Association, with 16,000 members. We oppose this project as proposed, and, in summary, the letter states exactly why and it state statutes why, but, in summary, we could support the project, if the following three conditions were met. Number One, a more thoughtful transition given to the single-family homes across the street; Number Two, additional opening to the street green spaces or a public community park; and Number Three, the preservation of 5-02 Santander Avenue as a visible reminder of the historic fabric of the area, and that is the summary of our report. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Next speaker, please. THE SECRETARY: Agustin De La Guardia. MR. DE LA GUARDIA: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Agustin De La

l	Page 137		Page 138
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you can state your	1	MS. MIRO: I'll second it.
2	address, for the record.	2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second. Any
3	MR. DE LA GUARDIA: 3246 Riviera Drive. I	3	discussion? No?
4	live about three blocks away from this project.	4	Call the roll, please.
5	After sitting through the last two hours or	5	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
6	more, I've realized that you have a double	6	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
7	mandate, much like the FOMC. You want to	7	THE SECRETARY: Maria Miro Claudia Miro,
8	constrain the animal spirits, but you also have	8	I'm sorry?
9	to know when to release the animal spirits. So	9	MS. MIRO: Yes.
10	your job is not easy.	10	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
11	I think this is a lovely project. I think	11	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
12	it fits very well in with everything that	12	THE SECRETARY: Venny Torre?
13	George Merrick envisioned for Coral Gables, and	13	MR. WITHERS: He's not here.
14	I would urge you to approve it. Thank you.	14	MS. MIRO: He left.
15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	15	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
16	THE SECRETARY: Maria Longo.	16	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before you speak, Ms.	17	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
18	Campbell (sic) please come up since we're	18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
19	approaching nine o'clock, if there's a motion	19	Please.
20	to extend the time, and, if so, for how long?	20	MS. LONGO: My name is Maria Cristina
21	MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to extend,	21	Longo, and I own a property at 2710 Segovia. I
22	and let's start with fifteen minutes, if you	22	live at 16 Phoenetia. And I'm a real estate
23	think	23	investor and antique developer in the City of
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion for		
24 25	15	24 25	Coral Gables. I'm going to read my comments, because I want to make sure that I don't leave
25	13	25	because I want to make sure that I don't leave
	Page 139		Page 140
1	anything out.	1	classical principles and they focused on this
2	When George Merrick dreamed about building	2	theme wholeheartedly. So I emphasize classical
3	a beautiful city in the 1920s, he studied	3	principles, because the traditional
4	different outstanding cities in the United	4	architecture that we have in Coral Gables has,
5	States and he sent his architects to	5	as a foundation, classical principles, and that
6	Mediterranean Europe to learn and study	6	group of architects, in the 1920s, they learned
7	beautiful cities and architecture. His team	7	it, they studied it. They understood the
8	was a dream team. They were very ambitious,	8	canons in everything related to classical
9	they had good taste, they were extraordinary	9	architecture.
10	planners, they were artists and classists.	10	The project presented here today, Gables
11	Merrick's team understood the value of	11	Village, it is my opinion that it's respectful
12	developing timeless architecture, that creates	12	of Merrick's vision and our heritage and it
13	a sense of place, in which design, style,	13	helps preserve our history, because its design
14	details, context, compatibility, scale,	14	style is authentic. The word that I think we
15	proportions, fenestration, massing and the	15	may have missed in the discussion during the
16	pedestrian mattered, everything that you spoke	16	discussion, I wanted to jump and say, authentic
17	about in the beginning regarding Mediterranean,	17	Mediterranean authentic Mediterranean
18	the Mediterranean bonus. They even had color	18	architecture became Mediterranean revival, and
19	specialists.	19	it was an interpretation in our climate
20	And when he when George Fink traveled to	20	based on our climate and the context of our
21	Europe and came back, the company adopted the	21	climate. So it evolved into Mediterranean
-	new style of Mediterranean architecture in the	22	revival, and it took into consideration our
22		I	19 . 1.4
22 23	Gables, and they made it a mandate. This is	23	climate and the context here.
	Gables, and they made it a mandate. This is very important. They understood the value of	23	In my opinion, Gables Village is exemplary

_	Page 141		Page 142
1	team behind this vision. The developer	1	revival architecture, with beautiful details,
2	understands and follows the successful vision	2	fenestrations, foyers and fountains. Gables
3	that made our City so attractive and special.	3	Village is tasteful, thoughtful, harmonious and
4	The principal architect, De La Guardia Victoria	4	it will increase the quality of life to the
5	Architects and Urbanists, as well as other	5	surrounding neighbors.
6	collaborating architects in her team, are	6	This project will serve as a showcase for
7	trained in classical and traditional	7	other developers to follow. Now we have other
8	architecture and urbanism, which is one of the	8	projects to follow, obviously, that were
9	reasons we're not getting I'm sort of	9	developed by George Merrick's team, but in
10	getting into a tangent, but that's one of the	10	recent times, we're unfortunately not getting
11	reasons we're not getting authentic	11	good ones, and that's why we have the Blue
12	Mediterranean revival, because, unfortunately,	12	Ribbon Committee, and that's why many friends,
13	universities don't train in classical	13	including myself, after projects are approved
14	principles anymore, and so I don't think it's	14	in the Board, you go, how did that happen, how
15	that the architects are bad architects, I think	15	did that get approved?
16	that they lack the training and the knowledge.	16	This is not one of those. The most
17	This dream team has thought of every	17	incredible characteristic of this project is
18	possible detail for Gables Village architecture	18	that the developer did not benefit from the
19	and design. This project will create a sense	19	Mediterranean bonus. He just got only one more
20	of place. It is timeless. It has created a	20	unit from that bonus. That is all. This
21	pedestrian friendly area, that incorporates	21	project is an opportunity to show other
22	beautiful gardens, that can be enjoyed by all	22	developers what true beautiful Mediterranean
23	surrounding neighbors. They have ingeniously	23	architecture looks like. Without the benefit
24	removed all cars from the swale area. I repeat	24	of the Mediterranean bonus, this project gets
25	it again, the design is authentic Mediterranean	25	from me an A plus, plus, plus, and I used to be
			r syl sayl sayl
	Page 143		Page 144
1	a teacher, so I would only give extra credit if	1	nice, in terms of Mediterranean style, but I
2	the projects they gave me were extraordinary.	2	believe there's some issues with Gables
3	I would like to say this before I go, the	3	Village. I think there's a height issue
4	Mediterranean bonus, the bonus should be given	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There's no
5	for something done extraordinary. It's extra.	5	variances
6	Bonus is extra.		
_		6	MR. BEHAR: They're not seeking for a
7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	6 7	MR. BEHAR: They're not seeking for a variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am
-	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. MS. LONGO: Thank you.		-
7		7	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am
7	MS. LONGO: Thank you.	7 8	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances.
7 8 9	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley.	7 8 9	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the
7 8 9 10	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I	7 8 9 10	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for
7 8 9 10 11	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a	7 8 9 10 11	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't
7 8 9 10 11	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here.	7 8 9 10 11 12	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make
7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of this. How do developments become so large, how	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Variances are not to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of this. How do developments become so large, how do people think they're inconsistent with the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Variances are not to be brought before our Board.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of this. How do developments become so large, how do people think they're inconsistent with the neighborhood or incompatible with the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Variances are not to be brought before our Board. MR. O'MALLEY: Okay. May I scratch the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of this. How do developments become so large, how do people think they're inconsistent with the neighborhood? Why are citizens reacting to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Variances are not to be brought before our Board. MR. O'MALLEY: Okay. May I scratch the word variance? But I do understand there's a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. LONGO: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Tom O'Malley. MR. O'MALLEY: Hi, I'm Tom O'Malley, and I live at 616 Jeronimo Drive, and I just have a few comments to make here. I believe that the request for changes or approvals, waivers, variances, is consistent with the two-hour long discussion that we just had regarding what's going on with the City, why are citizens reacting to development, and I think we have in front of us another example of this. How do developments become so large, how do people think they're inconsistent with the neighborhood or incompatible with the neighborhood? Why are citizens reacting to developments that appear to be out of scope?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	variance, they're not seeking for anything? Am I confused here. You mentioned variances. MR. O'MALLEY: Sir, I don't know the correct words to use when people are asking for approvals to do things. So maybe I shouldn't have used them all, but I wanted to make sure MR. BEHAR: Because that's on the record, and you said that they're asking for variances. I just want to make sure, I understood I understood from the Staff they were not asking for anything other than the replat. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Variances are not to be brought before our Board. MR. O'MALLEY: Okay. May I scratch the word variance? But I do understand there's a height issue for the development. I also

	Page 145		Page 146
1	regards to their claim they're providing 37	1	current buildings in that area to existing, I
2	percent open space for this development,	2	don't think it's significant. I think it's
3	because I don't think concrete driveways,	3	moderate or mild or about the same.
4	concrete walkways and pools actually qualify	4	So I think this should be given some more
5	for open space, and I'd also like to see a	5	thought about this project, and I think the
6	definition from the developer with regards to	6	project should be there are some areas that
7	open landscape space, and to see if they're	7	should be considered for not approval or
8	actually compliant, and when I ask for that	8	deferral at this time. Thank you very much.
9	kind of information, I mean, specific square	9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
10	footage and locations.	10	THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font.
11	The developer spoke about or the attorney	11	Ann Finch.
12	for the developer or somebody spoke about, in	12	MS. FINCH: Good evening. My name is Anne
13	the spirit of George Merrick, this building has	13	Finch. I live here in Coral Gables on Castile
14	an accessible price point. Current two-bedroom	14	Avenue.
15	apartments in this area go for \$1,600 a month.	15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you state your
16	The bottom line or buy in lowest price point	16	full address, please?
17	for a development for a unit in this	17	MS. FINCH: Sorry. 820 Castile Avenue. I
18	development is over \$800,000. I'm not sure an	18	am an architect and work in the area. One of
19	accessible price point of 1,600 for a	19	the things I wanted to mention about this
20	two-bedroom apartment and \$800,000 plus	20	project is, it's very rare when a whole
21	purchase price is the same.	21	property like this is assembled, and it's very
22	Also, Mr. Trias, I think, does a very good	22	interesting to me to see that a hundred years
23	job, but I also question the word significant.	23	after Coral Gables' founding, that we have an
24	I think, when he explained that there's a	24	opportunity to create another village, in
25	significant difference in the density of the	25	keeping with kind of an urban plan that the
			Reciping with kind of the troub plan that the
	- 445		
	Page 147		Page 148
1	Page 147 City fathers' had and the City Architects, and	1	Page 148 a community with nice streets, trees and
1 2		1 2	
	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and		a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the
2	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the	2	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this
2	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and	2 3 4 5	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much.
2 3 4	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the	2 3 4	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project — so I think that's very noteworthy — as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars	2 3 4 5 6 7	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer. MR. SINGER: Good evening. I live at 820	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a number of years, since 2001, to be specific, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer. MR. SINGER: Good evening. I live at 820 Castile Avenue in Coral Gables. I wanted to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a number of years, since 2001, to be specific, so 20 years ago, until today, and we see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer. MR. SINGER: Good evening. I live at 820 Castile Avenue in Coral Gables. I wanted to be here this evening and show support for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a number of years, since 2001, to be specific, so 20 years ago, until today, and we see the tremendous value this proposed and significant,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer. MR. SINGER: Good evening. I live at 820 Castile Avenue in Coral Gables. I wanted to be here this evening and show support for this project. I love living in Coral Gables. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a number of years, since 2001, to be specific, so 20 years ago, until today, and we see the tremendous value this proposed and significant, I should say, development would provide to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	City fathers' had and the City Architects, and that they're actually inspiring a lot of the characteristics of this project so I think that's very noteworthy as well as the pedestrian experience in this neighborhood and the neighboring streets, as well, what their neighbors across will look like without cars and driveways and parking garage doors for that density of a block. So, the vias, the open space courtyard, gardens, assembly of the massing of the buildings, the volumetric of it all, I think it should be really commended to the architecture team, how much thought and success they were able to achieve for the community. I think the residents will really be able to appreciate it as they see it built. So I'm very supportive and hope you approve it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. THE SECRETARY: Carlos Singer. MR. SINGER: Good evening. I live at 820 Castile Avenue in Coral Gables. I wanted to be here this evening and show support for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a community with nice streets, trees and Miracle Mile. I think a project of this nature, the size of the project, the architecture, is commendable and should be supported. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Jose Rivera-Font. MR. RIVERA-FONT: Good evening. I'm a neighbor at 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Would you just state your name, for the record? MR. RIVERA-FONT: For the record, Jose Rivera-Font. Like I said, I'm a neighbor of 5-02 Malaga. I'll be directly affected by this project. We are very much in favor of this project. We believe in the quality of life and value that it offers. Specifically, we've lived in front of this existing set of buildings for a number of years, since 2001, to be specific, so 20 years ago, until today, and we see the tremendous value this proposed and significant,

	Page 149		Page 150
-1	_	1	
1	One of the things that I've heard in this	1	but I don't know how many of them live in this
2	presentation, because I was on the phone	2	neighborhood, live in this particular area, and
3	listening to the Zoom call, is that some	3	how can this association represent that all
4	associations have had some concerns about some	4	16,000 of them would be opposed to this? So I
5 6	of the design and proposed heights of	5	just want to make sure that you have that into
7	buildings. From what I've seen and the plans that have been shared with me, it seems to be	6	consideration, because it's very important for
8	•	7	the people that we that live there, do
9	fully compliant with what Zoning has provided	8	benefit from this magnificent development that
10	for these architects to do this marvelous job.	9	has been proposed. Thank you.
11	One of the things I can tell you is that	10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
12	the current setting of the existing set of buildings, some of buildings are in extreme	11	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chairman, we're getting
13	-	12	close to the time. I'll make a motion that we
14	disrepair, and some of the folks that come	13	extend it for another fifteen minutes.
15	visit the area do not properly visit or live in the area, do not properly follow the	14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion for
16		15	fifteen more minutes.
17	guidelines of the City on how to keep it clean and beautiful. So this idea of keeping with	16	MS. MIRO: Second.
18		17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second? Any
	the Merrick vision, and this idea of keeping	18	discussion?
19	within the scope of the Zoning regulations, I	19	MR. COLLER: You can do a voice vote.
20	think is something that is going to help the	20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead, please, call
21 22	community.	21	the roll.
	Something else is, some of these	22	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
23	associations that have presented tonight, I	23	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
24 25	don't know how many of them I think one of	24	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
25	them represents 16,000 Coral Gables residents,	25	MS. MIRO: Yes.
	Page 151		Page 152
1	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	1	the project. I've mentioned that already. I
2	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	2	can't mention it enough. However, you're the
3	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	3	Planning & Zoning Board, and I would bring your
4	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	4	attention to a few factors to consider.
5	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	5	First of all, 503 Santander, I received a
6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	D 4' 114 144 '	6	message from the Historic Preservation
/	Does this conclude the people that are in	7	message from the Historic Preservation Association that this building has not been
8	Does this conclude the people that are in the Chambers?	1	Association that this building has not been
	the Chambers?	7	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic
8	* *	7 8	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can
8 9	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom.	7 8 9	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet.
8 9 10	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay.	7 8 9	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can
8 9 10 11	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please	7 8 9 10 11	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional
8 9 10 11 12	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself?	7 8 9 10 11	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as
8 9 10 11 12	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think that this project is one of the best I've seen	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful amenities of this project will be enjoyed by
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think that this project is one of the best I've seen proposed for a project in Coral Gables, by one	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful amenities of this project will be enjoyed by the people that are inside the walls of this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think that this project is one of the best I've seen proposed for a project in Coral Gables, by one of the best architects in Coral Gables,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful amenities of this project will be enjoyed by the people that are inside the walls of this project. If I'm a member of the community
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think that this project is one of the best I've seen proposed for a project in Coral Gables, by one of the best architects in Coral Gables, however, my objection will be based on the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful amenities of this project will be enjoyed by the people that are inside the walls of this project. If I'm a member of the community walking by, I live in the single-family
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Chambers? THE SECRETARY: Yes. We have one speaker via Zoom. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. THE SECRETARY: Brett, can you please unmute yourself? MR. GILLIS: Hello, can you hear me? THE SECRETARY: Yes. MR. GILLIS: Hello, my name is Brett Gillis, 915 Ferdinand Street, Coral Gables, Florida 33134. First, I'd like to begin by saying I think that this project is one of the best I've seen proposed for a project in Coral Gables, by one of the best architects in Coral Gables,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Association that this building has not been it has been applied for, but the Historic Preservation Board has not reviewed that it can even be demolished yet. And, second of all, the PAD provisions, as per our Zoning Code, substantial additional public benefit must be provided. And I really must respectfully disagree with the Staff. I don't find that there have been substantial and additional public benefits with this PAD proposal. I look at it, and we keep hearing the term village being used, and I think that that sounds accurate. Most of the wonderful amenities of this project will be enjoyed by the people that are inside the walls of this project. If I'm a member of the community

Page 153 Page 154 1 1 have, what are the substantial benefits? I of roads and separate pedestrian and vehicular 2 would like that explained. I think that for 2 circulation systems. I think that the project 3 3 this Board to approve a PAD for this project, architect already stated they're actually going 4 4 you must comply with our Zoning Code. to be creating new roads. So it's not going to 5 So Point Number Two would be to encourage 5 reduce land utilization for roads or drives. 6 6 enhancement and preservation of lands. That's And Point Number 5, professional 7 part of our Zoning Code. I don't believe that 7 application of planning and design techniques 8 8 to achieve overall coordinated development, this complies. 9 9 eliminating the negative impacts, unplanned and Number Three, the third point that I do not 10 10 believe is complied with, with the Zoning Code piecemeal developments. Currently, across the 11 11 is that, to provided an alternative and more street, you have single-family homes and the 12 12 efficient use and opportunities for public and garden apartment buildings that are currently 13 private open space, preservation of areas and 13 in place tend to be more aesthetically 14 14 maintain the neighborhood and community compatible with that, because you have spaces 15 15 identity. It's very obvious that the in between the buildings. There are not large 16 16 walls in the front and there are spaces in neighborhood identity will be drastically 17 17 changed by this building. There are currently between that provide that open space, that 18 18 World War II garden apartment houses in that gives the neighborhood the feel that it has 19 area, with substantial green space in between, 19 currently. 20 and this project will serve to close off this 20 This Village will basically close off this 21 21 block to the public. area to the surrounding areas and I really have 22 The fourth one would be, encourage 22 concerns about the public benefits of this. I 23 23 harmonious and coordinated development and do not feel that it complies with our PAD 24 preservation of natural features, scenic areas, 24 provisions, as I have enumerated here, and I 25 community facilities and reduce the utilization 25 really hope that you work with this team to Page 155 Page 156 1 come up with a reasonable solution. Thank you. 1 zonings, where typically you would be required 2 2 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. to be at 35, instead of 45, but, again, it's 3 3 Is that it, Jill? our own property, and the PAD is giving us the 4 THE SECRETARY: Yes. 4 flexibility to go to 45 5-02 for that small 5 5 CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: At this time, I'd like portion of property. There's also a much 6 to go ahead and close for public comment. 6 larger area of property, where we could be at 7 7 Mario. 455-02 but we're not. Again, we're planning 8 8 MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. this on a block level, so we can have 9 9 I'll be brief in responding to some of these appropriate height, depending on what the 10 10 objections. I think you probably heard four street space is. 11 categories of objections, one of them being 11 There's argument, there was a claim for 12 12 height. This project has given tremendous more green space. We have a tremendous amount 13 13 attention to height and the sensitivity to of green space. We're complying with the 14 14 height. When we're across from single-family, landscape open space definition as it is 15 15 it's where the townhouse product is. When provided for in the Zoning Code. 16 we're across from apartment or apartment 16 There was mention of 5-02 Santander as 17 zoning, is where it's a little bit higher, at 17 being potentially historic. The City Historic 18 45 5-02 feet. The duplex units fronting 18 Resources staff, they're here tonight, if you 19 19 need to hear from them, have already determined Segovia are a lower height, also. 20 2.0 You heard earlier, in the previous that that property is not historically 21 discussion, of how 45 5-02 feet is sort of the 21 significant, after considerable deliberation, 22 number for the smaller scale, the maximum 22 and it's in writing. 23 23 height that it should be, and it's at maximum Lastly, they claim that there's no public 24 24 height, 45 feet. There is some areas on our benefit, they think it doesn't pay attention to 25 25 own property where we have those MF1 and MF3 all of the public benefit that there is, or

1	Page 157		Page 158
1	regard public spaces and a tremendously	1	properties on it. When I started with the
2	improved streetscape, improved parking	2	City, eleven of them had already been signed
3	situation, all of this is improved for the	3	off for not meeting the criteria.
4	benefit of the public and the neighbors that	4	My opinion was that this property may have
5	live around there.	5	been a contributing building with any historic
6	With that said, that will be the conclusion	6	district; however, because all of the rest of
7	of our presentation and we ask for your	7	them had been signed off, there was no option
8	favorable consideration of this project.	8	to create the district. So, in my opinion,
9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	9	this was definitely eligible as a contributing
10	What I would like to ask is, the gentleman	10	building in a District, but it did not stack up
11	that's here from Historic Preservation, would	11	to the requirements for individual designation,
12	you come up a second? Would you verify,	12	and this also is an opinion shared by Jorge
13	please, what Mario has stated on the record?	13	Hernandez, who's an architect and a faculty
14	MR. ADAMS: Yes, absolutely.	14	member of the University of Miami School of
15	Warren Adams, Historic Resources and	15	Architecture, and this is an opinion also
16	Cultural Office Director, any time a building	16	shared by Ricardo Lopez, Principal, Florez
17	is potentially going to be demolished, it has	17	Lopez Architecture, and assistant director UCD
18	to be demolished, it has to get a letter from	18	UM School of Architecture.
19	my department stating whether it meets the	19	And I would also like to point out that the
20	requirements for designation or it does not.	20	initial decision for this property, and the
21	If it does, we would move ahead with a	21	determination, was made on August 26th, and as
22	designation report. In this case, we've put a	22	far as I'm aware, this letter was only written
23	lot of thought into it. We spent a lot of time	23	today, October the 13th, and I have not
24	looking at it. And my opinion was, when I	24	received a request for historic designation
25	first looked at this block, there were thirteen	25	from anyone up to this point.
13	instruction at this stock, there were timeen		nomanyone up to this point.
	Page 159		Daga 160
i	5		Page 160
1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.	1	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm
1 2		1 2	
	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like		type of application. I mean, that's where I'm
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you.	2	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue.
2	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like	2 3	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first?	2 3 4	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah.	2 3 4 5	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions?	2 3 4 5 6	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all,	2 3 4 5 6 7	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what — MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you can move massing around?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the time, it's a single parcel, with a single
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you can move massing around? MR. TRIAS: I think most likely the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the time, it's a single parcel, with a single Zoning designation, right, and so, I mean, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you can move massing around? MR. TRIAS: I think most likely the original intent was for the Commercial areas,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the time, it's a single parcel, with a single Zoning designation, right, and so, I mean, you can move that around without any kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you can move massing around? MR. TRIAS: I think most likely the original intent was for the Commercial areas, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be the Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the time, it's a single parcel, with a single Zoning designation, right, and so, I mean, you can move that around without any kind of special process. So it's interesting that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. MR. ADAMS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chip, would you like to go first? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Ramon, can I ask you a couple of questions? I'm trying to get a handle on – first of all, have we ever done a PAD in a Residential neighborhood like this? MR. TRIAS: I don't know. I would have to check. It's not typical. MR. WITHERS: Again, I don't know of what – MR. TRIAS: If that is the question, it's not typical, yes. MR. WITHERS: I mean, are PADs intended to be in Residential neighborhoods or are they intended to be in Commercial areas where you can move massing around? MR. TRIAS: I think most likely the original intent was for the Commercial areas, yes. MR. WITHERS: Yet we use the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	type of application. I mean, that's where I'm having a big issue. MR. TRIAS: I mean, like I said, I can say with certainty that this is less dense than what's there now, I think a lot of people will be very happy, because there's going to be less MR. WITHERS: Yeah, but also PADs are to move density from sides of the property to be less obtrusive or whatever the word is, to the other side. MR. TRIAS: Which I never quite understood exactly what that meant, because most of the time MR. WITHERS: But you're supposed to be to Director of the Department. MR. TRIAS: No, but this is a serious question, because the issue is that most of the time, it's a single parcel, with a single Zoning designation, right, and so, I mean, you can move that around without any kind of special process. So it's interesting that that was placed in the original

	Page 161		Page 162
1	lot?	1	MR. TRIAS: Are you reading from my
2	MR. TRIAS: The PAD requires more open	2	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. I'm trying to
3	space.	3	understand what you wrote. So what I'm trying
4	MR. WITHERS: I'm sorry?	4	to get to is, and maybe I'm going at it in an
5	MR. TRIAS: The PAD requires more open	5	entirely different, incorrect way, but I took
6	space, 20 percent or more.	6	the 115,310 square feet of the project, I took
7	MR. WITHERS: So, in this current piece of	7	away the landscaped open space of 42,662 feet,
8	property, it's Zoned primarily, what,	8	and I came up with about 71,000 square feet of
9	Single-Family on the north side? Is that what	9	coverage.
10	it is? And then duplex on the west side?	10	So I'm trying to see how that falls within
11	MR. TRIAS: Duplex and then townhome, yeah.	11	their exceeds the amount of coverage that
12	MR. WITHERS: So is there any Residential	12	the PAD requires and that's what I'm trying to
13	on this at all?	13	back into, and maybe, is that because the PAD
14	MR. TRIAS: Right now, there's a house,	14	do you take into account the stone walkways
15	yeah.	15	and all of that as open space?
16	MR. WITHERS: But I mean, Residential	16	MR. TRIAS: I think, if you have that level
17	Zoning. It's just one lot that's Residential?	17	of question, I think we should have a Site Plan
18	MR. TRIAS: Residential, you mean,	18	here and the Applicant should explain how
19	single-family?	19	seriously, there are multiple ways to create
20	MR. WITHERS: Yeah, single-family.	20	public space. That's what they've done with
21	MR. TRIAS: Not right, no.	21	the Site Plan. They can explain it in great
22	MR. WITHERS: Not right now.	22	detail.
23	Okay. And so is the lot coverage on the	23	MR. WITHERS: Okay. I'd like to hear it.
24	existing properties now 45 5-02 percent, is	24	So I'm trying to come up you heard my
25	that what it is, that's required?	25	question, correct?
	Page 163		Page 164
1	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: How we or what is	1	combination of the drives, as well as the
1 2	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: How we or what is included in the open space requirement?	1 2	combination of the drives, as well as the building
2	included in the open space requirement?	2	building
2	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square	2 3	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway
2 3 4	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I	2 3 4	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay.
2 3 4 5	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping	2 3 4 5	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip,
2 3 4 5	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up	2 3 4 5 6	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is
2 3 4 5 6 7	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over	2 3 4 5 6 7	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	building MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the gardening courts, the via, which is pedestrian.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot coverage is 56,970. So we're under.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the gardening courts, the via, which is pedestrian. Those count toward the open space. But drives,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot coverage is 56,970. So we're under. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how did you come up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the gardening courts, the via, which is pedestrian. Those count toward the open space. But drives, where cars can drive, don't count toward open	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot coverage is 56,970. So we're under. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how did you come up with the 73 then, the 9823 and the 64 — MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. WITHERS: Okay. And you're proposing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the gardening courts, the via, which is pedestrian. Those count toward the open space. But drives, where cars can drive, don't count toward open space.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot coverage is 56,970. So we're under. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how did you come up with the 73 then, the 9823 and the 64 — MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	included in the open space requirement? MR. WITHERS: I took your total square footage of the piece of property, 113,000. I took away your 37,00 square feet of landscaping that you're giving, 37 percent, and I came up with 71,000 square foot residual left over area, and I want to find out, is that lot coverage or what makes up that 71,000? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: No, because there are certain things, like your driveways MR. WITHERS: Okay. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Like our drives do not count toward they don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Toward lot coverage. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So what counts toward open space are the garden, the courtyard, the gardening courts, the via, which is pedestrian. Those count toward the open space. But drives, where cars can drive, don't count toward open space. MR. WITHERS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	building — MR. WITHERS: The pedestrian walkway between the — okay. MR. BEHAR: A better question, Chip, physically, what percentage of the site is taken by the buildings or the footprint? MR. WITHERS: Yeah. It wasn't in here. It wasn't in there. That's why I was trying to back into that. MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Right. I think, if you look at Page 6, though, the Staff recommendation, you're going to see the lot coverage that's listed there, how much is permitted for MF1, how much is permitted for MF3, and if you add those two number together, the total lot coverage that could be permitted is 73,860 and what we have proposed in lot coverage is 56,970. So we're under. MR. WITHERS: Okay. So how did you come up with the 73 then, the 9823 and the 64 — MR. GARCIA-SERRA: Correct. MR. WITHERS: Okay. And you're proposing

	Page 165	1	Page 166
1		1	
1	MR. WITHERS: Okay. On Malaga, the height	1 2	with the development in arriving at the number
2	is, what, 35 5-02 or 455-02MS. DE LA GUARDIA:	3	of units. With Mediterranean Bonus, you could
3	35.		go to 57 units. We're proposing 48 units. And that was arrived in conversation with our team,
4	MR. WITHERS: I have two more chances.	4	
5	Okay, so on Hernando, it's 45?	5	as well as in conversation with Ramon, and so
6	MR. REVUELTA: No.	6	we feel that this is a strong project, where
7	MR. WITHERS: I'm just kidding. I know. I	7	it's a balance of garden and outdoor spaces
8	understand.	8 9	MR. WITHERS: It's a beautiful project.
9	MR. REVUELTA: It's not Segovia, it's	10	You don't have to convince me of that.
10	Santander, right?	11	MR. TRIAS: Mr. Withers
11	MR. WITHERS: So I guess I'm struggling a little bit with the fact that we've never	12	MR. WITHERS: When we did the new French
12			Village, it was all basically, you know, the
13	really done this before. It's a whole city	13	same type of buildings. When you look at the
14	block. This is a game changer for what could	14	Chinese Village MR. BEHAR: I'm sure that was a PAD.
15	take place in every other lot in Coral Gables	15 16	MR. TRIAS: That was done in the '20s.
16	and it's concerning to me. I mean, it really		
17	is, because this is	17	Those are historic villages.
18	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: It's an amazing	18	If I could read from the Code just briefly.
19	opportunity to do the right thing, and I have	19	"A pad may be approved as a Conditional Use in
20	asked George Fink and Frank	20	any Zoning District, except single-family."
21	MR. WITHERS: You've summoned them, okay.	21	MR. WITHERS: That's what I was asking.
22	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: to guide our design.	22	MR. TRIAS: It's not in single-family.
23	We have asked the design Gods to guide us	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we continue,
24	through the process and it's not something that	24	because we're getting to the time, is there a
25	we have taken lightly. We worked very closely	25	motion to extend further?
	Page 167		Page 168
1	MR. REVUELTA: Yes, I'll make a motion to	1	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
2	extend it further, fifteen, twenty minutes? We	2	MS. MIRO: Yes.
3	need to finish this item, right?	3	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, we are here now,	4	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
5	but the question is, can we finish in fifteen	5	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
6	minutes? Does that give enough time for	6	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
7	everybody? I mean, is it fair to the	7	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
8	developer?	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
9	MR. REVUELTA: That's an excellent		CIII III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
		1 9	Thank you So we're done?
10	question.	9	Thank you. So we're done? MR_WITHERS: Yeah, Thank you.
	question.	10	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you.
11	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second	10 11	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
11 12	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion.	10 11 12	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia.
11 12 13	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second	10 11 12 13	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question.
11 12 13 14	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes	10 11 12 13 14	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments,
11 12 13 14 15	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the
11 12 13 14	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes	10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for
11 12 13 14 15 16	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any discussion?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this project, as far as affordable housing, which
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any discussion? MR. REVUELTA: No.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this project, as far as affordable housing, which was, I think, something that was mentioned by
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any discussion? MR. REVUELTA: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this project, as far as affordable housing, which was, I think, something that was mentioned by the Historic Preservation Association as one of
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any discussion? MR. REVUELTA: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please. THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this project, as far as affordable housing, which was, I think, something that was mentioned by the Historic Preservation Association as one of their concerns, as far as, you know, keeping
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. MR. BEHAR: I think we try. I'll second your motion. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So motion for 15 minutes MR. REVUELTA: I'm willing to spend the time, but there are other people on the Board here. MR. WITHERS: I'm done. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So we have a motion for 15 minutes. Robert second. Any discussion? MR. REVUELTA: No. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Call the roll, please.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WITHERS: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Claudia. MS. MIRO: Yes, hi. I do have a question. So when we opened it up for public comments, one of the speakers was mentioning that the property that is there now, two bedrooms go for a rental of \$1,600 a month, and now the proposed project is \$800,000 a unit. Is that accurate? What can you tell me about that? Or what considerations were taken with this project, as far as affordable housing, which was, I think, something that was mentioned by the Historic Preservation Association as one of

	Page 169		Page 170
1	the fabric?	1	project is the 800,000?
2	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Well, I think the idea	2	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: The more affordable.
3	that we came up with a product that might	3	MS. MIRO: The more affordable. And then
4	affect different demographic. So there are	4	what would be the rest? So that's the most
5	two-bedroom flats that perhaps could attract	5	affordable, then what would be the rest of the
6	senior, senior citizens. There's the duplexes	6	project price point?
7	and the townhouses, which they can attract	7	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: I don't have those
8	families. There's the lofts, which I think	8	numbers, but, you know, there will probably be
9	will probably attract young professionals, and	9	some products out at a million and some more
10	so there's different products that will	10	products out at two million.
11	hopefully bring together a nice cross-section,	11	MS. MIRO: Okay. Thank you. That's my
12	and I believe that the two bedrooms will come	12	question.
13	out at around that price, that \$800,000 a unit,	13	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
14	and it is impossible to compete with the garden	14	Luis.
15	apartments, because they're just the most	15	MR. REVUELTA: Yes. I have a couple of
16	affordable housing type in the Gables.	16	questions, as well. I have to give credit to
17	But at same time, I think economically they	17	Chip for focusing on something that I had not
18	are difficult to sustain and the owners are not	18	honestly focused on, but I'll ask, I guess, the
19	sort of investing money into them, to fix them	19	more simpler question first.
20	up, and they're sort of deteriorating, but, you	20	The reason why 45 5-02 feet was not placed
21	know, the idea was to come out with more	21	on Segovia, which is a much wider street, as I
22	affordable two-bedroom and more expensive	22	understand it from the City presentation, was
23	four-bedroom townhouses and duplexes.	23	because the properties to the south are allowed
24	MS. MIRO: So you're saying that with this	24	to be higher? My initial reaction would have
25	project, the affordable component of the	25	been, place it the 45 5-02 feet on Segovia,
	FJ,		, p
	Page 171		
	rage 1/1		Page 172
1	which is a much wider street, and if you needed	1	Page 172 35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it
1 2		1 2	
	which is a much wider street, and if you needed		35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it
2	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander,	2	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did
2	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have	2 3	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very
2 3 4	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing	2 3 4	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design.
2 3 4 5	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm	2 3 4 5	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial	2 3 4 5 6	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what
2 3 4 5 6 7	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45	2 3 4 5 6 7	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile, the rest of the property is zoned MF3, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame anybody, but this goes almost to the crux of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile, the rest of the property is zoned MF3, which means that the rest of the property could go up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame anybody, but this goes almost to the crux of the problems that we've been talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile, the rest of the property is zoned MF3, which means that the rest of the property could go up to 45 5-02 feet, except in those situations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame anybody, but this goes almost to the crux of the problems that we've been talking about. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, the Zoning is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile, the rest of the property is zoned MF3, which means that the rest of the property could go up to 45 5-02 feet, except in those situations when it's across from single-family, where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame anybody, but this goes almost to the crux of the problems that we've been talking about. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, the Zoning is what dictates. You're absolutely right, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is a much wider street, and if you needed to turn north and south, Malaga and Santander, put some 45 5-02 feet there, but I would have tried to avoid, and I'm not second-guessing here the architectural or the planning, but I'm just saying, that would have been my initial intention, and I'm wondering what drove the 45 5-02 feet towards the south rather than to the west? MS. DE LA GUARDIA: So, actually, the full front on Segovia is Zoned MF1. MF1 is duplex. And the maximum height on duplex is 30 feet. And so we could not do any other product, other than a duplex, on Segovia. MR. REVUELTA: And 35 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: And 30 feet. MR. REVUELTA: And 30 feet. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: 30 feet. Meanwhile, the rest of the property is zoned MF3, which means that the rest of the property could go up to 45 5-02 feet, except in those situations when it's across from single-family, where we've dropped it to 35 5-02 feet, and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	35 5-02 feet, but we dropped it, to keep it MR. REVUELTA: But you went ahead and did it. I think the project is very sensible, very charming. It's a very nice design. MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you. MR. REVUELTA: But this goes toward what Venny Torre was saying before, I think there are elements in our Code that I agree with Mr. Trias, the process and procedure have to be re-evaluated and enforced. There are some things that are inherently, in my opinion, and this is no fault, I believe, of the current Staff or Administration, but there are things that don't make any sense to me, because to me it makes a lot of sense to have the higher on Segovia, a wider street, and then transition down to it. So, at this point, I'm not trying to blame anybody, but this goes almost to the crux of the problems that we've been talking about. MR. TRIAS: Mr. Revuelta, the Zoning is what dictates. You're absolutely right, in terms of an urban design, that's where you

	Page 173		Page 174
1	way on Segovia, has those regulations. So	1	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: What you've heard about
2	that's what's going on.	2	the Monterey style is not the style of our
3	MR. REVUELTA: No. No. No. If that's	3	project, but, rather, than existing building
4	what it is I am a firm believer that	4	that is on one of the corners of the block.
5	whatever is in the books, that's what I need to	5	It's a fourplex and it's in the Monterey style,
6	follow as an architect. I mean, if everybody	6	and Historic has deemed that it's not
7	has a problem with that, then the problem has	7	significant.
8	to be fixed at another level, at another time.	8	MR. REVUELTA: And the last issue, which
9	MR. TRIAS: I think that the project is	9	goes back to Mr. Withers, it's interesting to
10	trying to be compatible we use that word	10	me how, if somebody buys enough property, they
11	again with the existing development on	11	can request a PAD in an area like that, and I
12	Segovia.	12	was wondering, again, I'm sorry for an ignorant
13	MR. REVUELTA: Yeah. I counted 13 units,	13	question, but I live on Castile, on the corner
14	but Mr. Trias, you're saying that the density	14	of Castile and Cordova, if somebody started
15	existing right now is higher than the 48 that	15	buying homes from Cordova to Granada and from
16	are being proposed?	16	Castile to Asturia, could they ask for a PAD?
17	MR. TRIAS: There are more units right now,	17	MR. TRIAS: No, sir. As I read before, it
18	yes, sir.	18	does not apply to single-family.
19	MR. REVUELTA: More keys, more doors, more	19	MR. REVUELTA: Okay.
20	habitable spaces?	20	MR. TRIAS: Now, every other Zoning
21	MR. TRIAS: Yes.	21	designation, maybe, but not single-family.
22	MR. REVUELTA: The Monterey style, was	22	MR. REVUELTA: Thank you. That's it.
23	there any philosophy picking that, the Monterey	23	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert.
24	style versus another style? I'm just curious.		
25	It doesn't have a bearing on my vote.	24 25	MR. BEHAR: I'm thinking, a developer that
		25	came from Pennsylvania and had a vision, that
	Page 175		Page 176
1	incredible vision of multiple Zoning and	1	on the architecture, but I think this is
2	beautiful architecture and all, if he would	2	something that today, a hundred years later,
3	have been here a hundred years later, I believe	3	the founder of this City would be very pleased
4	that the vision that Mr. George Merrick had,	4	with this type of project, and many others, but
5	today it would be different, because we will	5	I think this is a great project, so I commend
6	adapt to different situations.	6	you for that.
7	I'm not here to criticize on the	7	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you.
8	architecture, because this is not the place for	8	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
9	that. That happens at the Board of Architects.	9	Well, I also questioned the idea of a PAD,
10	I tell you, I think this project is extremely	10	as Chip did, the Code does allow for it,
11	well planned. I think it's sensitive to all of	11	because it's not in a single-family residence.
12	the adjacent lots, Zonings, streets, and I	12	I feel the same as Robert, I think it takes the
13	think that it has done something yes, I do,	13	massing and it takes the vision of the
14	in fact, believe, that, you know, and I commend	14	neighbors, and it actually plays with the
15	you for creating a village. I think that I do	15	residential areas around.
16	like the fact that you have internalized cars.	16	I also think, if our fathers from back
17	You have done you know, created spaces that	17	when, or Merrick, or how many years back, would
18	are going to be great spaces, you know, with	18	be looking at this, I think there would be a
19	the exception of the via that you created going	19	time that there would be an evolution or it
20	from east to west. It doesn't go all of the	20	would evolve and you have to evolve to what is
21	way through, you know, terminates at that.	21	today. I think the project, to me, looks
22	That's the only thing I'm looking at, your	22	beautiful. I don't know how much of a concern
23	paseo, your via.	23	I have, because whether it's \$1,800 a month or
24	But other than that, I think you've done a	24	\$800,000, I think that's a decision and a
25	fantastic job. Again, I'm not here to comment	25	question that would be up to the developer, in

	Page 177		Page 178
1	relation to his cost to develop. To me, that's	1	MR. BEHAR: So moved.
2	something that's not for me to look at, at this	2	MR. REVUELTA: Second.
3	stage and with what I'm given.	3	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a first, we
4	I just overall, I think it's a nice	4	have a second. My discussion on E-1? No?
5	project. I think, as Ramon has said, you	5	Call the roll, please.
6	didn't go to the maximum number of units that	6	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
7	you could have gone. I think you've created a	7	MS. MIRO: I'd like to explain my answer.
8	lot of outdoor space, paseos, and so forth, and	8	I want to start off by saying that I found
9	I like the project.	9	Ms. De La Guardia's presentation to be
10	Thank you.	10	refreshing. This is only my second meeting, as
11	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you.	11	having the honor of serving on this Board, and
12	MR. BEHAR: Mr. Chairman, I'll make a	12	from what I've heard so far when I saw it, I
13	motion to approve the project.	13	just saw it was a breath of fresh air, and I
14	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to	14	want everyone to know, that as everybody came
15	approve. Is there a second?	15	up to speak, my decision came back and forth
16	MR. REVUELTA: Second.	16	the entire time, because I think a lot of good
17	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Who second it, I'm	17	points were brought up, not just by the public
18	sorry? Mr. Revuelta second.	18	speakers and also the applicants, but also by
19	THE SECRETARY: Sorry, we need to make two	19	my fellow Board Members.
20	separate motions.	20	I think that the Applicant has taken great
21	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's do it on the	21	care to ensure that this project is
22	first one. Correct.	22	aesthetic outwardly aesthetic. I really
23	MR. COLLER: First one.	23	like the fact that you went out of your way to
24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Is there a motion to	24	camouflage the parking and addressing the fact
25	approve the first, Item E-1?	25	that there was an existing eyesore problem with
	Page 179		Page 180
1	the parking, so that, I think, was great.	1	that I think even though, you know, some of
2	My question, you know, I was troubled by	2	the organizations brought forward the concern
3	the price point, and I know that, you know, my	3	about the property, I feel comfortable with the
4	fellow Board Member said maybe it's not	4	historic the City's Historic Preservation's
5	something for us to look at, and I understand	5	explanation and the professors that also backed
6	the concept behind the fact that, you know, the	6	up that it wasn't something historic, because
7	developer has expenses, and I will say that it	7	that was an initial concern for me. I said,
8	was one of the speakers that spoke, I think it	8	"Oh, my goodness, wait a minute. Can we try
9	was Mr. Jose Rivera-Font that helped me make my	9	and work around that?"
10	decision, when he said he talked about the	10	As far as having a public park there, I
11	property across the street, that he actually	11	think that, you know, you have taken great care
12	lives there, so that weighed really heavily,	12	and putting in I love the idea of the
13	and saying that the property across over time	13	Glorietas, I love the idea of the library and
14	had not been taken care of, and also the kind	14	people who are walking their dog and just take
15	of crowd that it attracts.	15	a break. So I think that that addresses the
16	You know, I have experience living in, you	16	fact that, you know, it is accessible. I don't
17	know, old buildings, where the prices on that	17	expect them to be able to use the pool, that's
18	street were actually affordable, for affordable	18	different, right, but I think that a lot of
	housing for workforce. I consider myself a	19	great care has been taken into this project,
19		20	and also I agree with Mr. Garcia-Serra's
19 20	part of the workforce, so it's sad, you know,		
	part of the workforce, so it's sad, you know, that we're not finding that price point, but I	21	comment earlier, having seen what I've seen so
20	-	21 22	comment earlier, having seen what I've seen so far, I think that we need projects like this to
20 21	that we're not finding that price point, but I		
20 21 22	that we're not finding that price point, but I understand what happens in those situations and	22	far, I think that we need projects like this to

	Page 181		Page 182
1	sincere and genuine to me the care that was	1	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: There's a motion
2	taken by your firm to make sure that all of	2	MS. MIRO: Second.
3	these elements, that were not tiny elements or	3	MR. REVUELTA: Second.
4	by the way elements, they were big elements	4	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And we have a second
5	that were taken into consideration, I think	5	with Claudia. Any discussion? No?
6	this is going to be a beautiful project. I	6	Call the roll, please.
7	don't think there's ever going to be a perfect	7	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?
8	project. I would be surprised. On the day	8	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.
9	that we vote on a perfect project, I will	9	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?
10	mention it, but so far I like I said, I wish	10	MR. WITHERS: Yes.
11	that we had workforce housing in the Gables,	11	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
12	but I understand the concept behind why we	12	MR. BEHAR: Yes.
13	don't and why we can't keep it as much, but my	13	THE SECRETARY: Claudia Miro?
14	vote for this is, yes.	14	MS. MIRO: Yes.
15	THE SECRETARY: Luis Revuelta?	15	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
16	MR. REVUELTA: Yes.	16	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
17	THE SECRETARY: Chip Withers?	17	Thank you very much.
18	MR. WITHERS: Yes.	18	MS. DE LA GUARDIA: Thank you.
19	THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?	19	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have three minutes
20	MR. BEHAR: Yes.	20	left. We don't need to extend. I like to
21	THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?	21	thank everybody for coming.
22	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.	22	MR. BEHAR: And I'll make a motion to
23	The next one is Item E-2. Is there a	23	adjourn.
24	motion for E-2?	24	CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion to
25	MR. BEHAR: So moved.	25	adjourn.
	Page 183		
	1490 103		Page 184
1	MS. MIRO: Second.	1	Page 184 CERTIFICATE
1 2		2	CERTIFICATE
	MS. MIRO: Second.	2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA:
2	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor?	2 3 4	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS.
2	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.)	2 3 4 5	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA:
2 3 4 5 6	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned.	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MIRO: Second. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Second. All in favor? (All Board Members voted aye.) CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're adjourned. Thank you. (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at 9:50	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	STATE OF FLORIDA: SS. COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE: I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. DATED this 19th day of October, 2021.