```
CITY OF CORAL GABLES
1
                                                                               City of Coral Gables has returned to
                                                                       1
                    LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY (LPA)/
PLANNING & ZONING BOARD MEETING
VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT
2
                                                                       2
                                                                               traditional in-person meetings. However, the
        WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 13, 2025, COMMENCING AT 6:00 P.M.
3
                                                                               Planning and Zoning Board has established the
                                                                       3
                                                                               ability for the public to provide comments
                                                                       4
                                                                       5
                                                                               virtually. For those members of the public who
5
   Board Members Present at Commission Chamber:
   Eibi Aizenstat, Chairman
6
                                                                               are appearing on Zoom and wish to testify, you
                                                                       6
    Robert Behar
   Felix Pardo
Sue Kawalerski
                                                                               must be visible to the court reporter to be
    Alex Bucelo
                                                                               sworn in. Otherwise, if you speak without
                                                                       8
    Javier Salman
                                                                               being sworn in, your comments may not have
9
                                                                       9
10
                                                                      10
                                                                               evidentiary value.
   City Staff and Consultants.
                                                                      11
                                                                                    Lobbyist Registration, any person who acts
11
   Fengqian "Grace" Chen, Principal Planner,
Jill Menendez, Administrative Assistant/Board Secretary
Craig Coller, Special Counsel
Arceli Redila, Zoning Administrator
Craig Southern, Planning Official
Catherine Cathers, Arts and Culture Specialist
12
                                                                      12
                                                                               as a lobbyist must resister with the City
13
                                                                      13
                                                                               Clerk, as required pursuant to the City Code.
14
                                                                      14
                                                                                    As Chair, I now officially call the City of
15
                                                                      15
                                                                               Coral Gables Planning & Zoning Board Meeting of
   Also Participating:
                                                                               August 13th, 2025 to order. The time is six
16
                                                                      16
   Joe Jimenez, Esq., on behalf of Item E-1
Christopher Kouke
Thor William Bruce
                                                                      17
                                                                               o'clock.
17
18
                                                                      18
                                                                                    Jill, please call the roll.
     aura Russo, Esq., on behalf Item F-1 Gillian Gaggero
19
   Gillian Gazzolo
                                                                      19
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
   Debra Register
Pamela Pierce
Carlos Carta
20
                                                                      20
                                                                                    MR. BEHAR: Present.
21
                                                                      21
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
22
                                                                      22
                                                                                    MR. BUCELO: Present.
                                                                      23
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
23
24
                                                                      24
                                                                                    MS. KAWALERSKI: Present.
                                                                      25
25
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Nestor Menendez requested
                                                                  1
                                                                                                                                        3
   THEREUPON:
                                                                               to be excused.
                                                                       1
2
                (The following proceedings were held.)
                                                                       2
                                                                                    Felix Pardo?
              CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead and
3
                                                                       3
                                                                                    MR. PARDO: Here.
         start the meeting, please. I'd like to call
4
                                                                       4
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Javier Salman?
5
         the order meeting to order. I'd like to ask
                                                                       5
                                                                                    MR. SALMAN: Here.
6
         everybody to please silence their phones or
                                                                       6
                                                                                    THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                                    CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here.
7
         beepers, if you still have any.
                                                                       7
8
              This Board is comprised of seven members.
                                                                       8
                                                                                    Notice Regarding Ex Parte Communications,
9
         Four Members of the Board shall constitute a
                                                                       9
                                                                               please be advised that this Board is a
         quorum, and the affirmative vote of four
                                                                               quasi-judicial Board, which requires Board
10
                                                                      10
                                                                      11
                                                                               Members to disclose all ex parte communications
11
         members shall be necessary for the adoption of
         any motion. If only four Members of the Board
                                                                      12
                                                                               and site visits. An ex parte communication is
12
                                                                      13
                                                                               defined as any contact, communication,
13
         are present, an applicant may request to be
         entitled to a continuance to the next regularly
                                                                               conversation, correspondence, memorandum or
14
                                                                      14
15
         scheduled meeting of the Board. If the matter
                                                                      15
                                                                               other written or verbal communication, that
         is continued due to a lack of quorum, the
                                                                      16
                                                                               takes place outside the public hearing, between
16
         Chairperson or Secretary of the Board may set a
                                                                      17
                                                                               a member of the public and a member of a
17
18
         Special Meeting to consider such matter.
                                                                      18
                                                                               quasi-judicial board regarding matters to be
19
              In the event that four votes are not
                                                                      19
                                                                               heard by the board.
                                                                      20
20
         obtained, an applicant, except in the case of a
                                                                                    If anyone made any contact with a Board
21
         Comprehensive Plan Amendment, may request a
                                                                      21
                                                                               Member regarding an issues before the Board,
                                                                      22
                                                                               the Board Member must state on the record the
22
         continuance or allow the application to proceed
         to the City Commission without a
                                                                      23
                                                                               existence of the ex parte communication and the
23
24
         recommendation.
                                                                      24
                                                                               party who originated the communication. Also,
                                                                      25
25
              Pursuant to Resolution Number 2021-118, the
                                                                               if a Board Member conducted a site visit
```

```
1
        specifically related to the case before the
                                                                 1
                                                                             MR. BUCELO: Yes.
        Board, the Board Member must also disclose such
2
                                                                 2
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
        visit. In either case, the Board Member must
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
3
                                                                 3
        state on the record whether the ex parte
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
4
5
        communication and/or site visit will affect the
                                                                 5
                                                                             MR. PARDO: Yes.
        Board Member's ability to impartially consider
                                                                 6
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Javier Salman?
6
        the evidence to be presented regarding the
                                                                             MR. SALMAN: Yes.
7
8
        matter. The Board Member should also state
                                                                 8
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
        that his or her decision will be based on
9
                                                                 9
                                                                             MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
        substantial competent evidence and testimony
10
                                                                10
        presented on the record today.
                                                                11
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
11
             Does any Board Member have such
                                                                             The procedure we'll use tonight, first
12
                                                                12
        communication or site visit to disclose at this
                                                                        we'll have the identification of agenda item by
13
                                                                13
        time?
                                                                        Mr. Coller. Then we'll have the presentation
14
                                                                14
                                                                        by applicant or agent, presentation by staff.
15
            MR. BEHAR: No.
                                                                15
            MR. SALMAN: No.
                                                                        Then I'll go ahead and open it for public
16
                                                                116
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                17
                                                                        comment, first in Chamber, then Zoom platform
17
18
            Swearing In, everyone who speaks this
                                                                18
                                                                        participants, then the phone line participants.
        evening must complete the roster on the podium.
                                                                        I'll go ahead and close the public comment.
19
                                                                19
20
        We ask that you print clearly, so the official
                                                                20
                                                                        We'll have a Board discussion, a motion,
        records of your name and address will be
                                                                21
21
                                                                        discussion, and second of motion, if necessary,
                                                                22
                                                                        Board's final comments and a vote.
22
        correct.
                                                                23
                                                                             Mr. Coller, would you like to proceed?
23
             Now, with the exception of attorneys, all
24
        persons physically in the City Commission
                                                                24
                                                                             MR. COLLER: Yes.
                                                                25
        Chambers, who will speak on agenda items before
                                                                             Item E-1, which is a discussion item,
25
                                                            5
        us this evening, please rise to be sworn in.
                                                                        Conceptual zoning review for the properties at
1
                                                                 1
2
             (Thereupon, the participants were sworn.)
                                                                 2
                                                                        637 and 635 Anastasia to revert the zoning from
                                                                        Special Use (S) to Multi-Family 3 (MF3) and
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
3
             Zoom platform participants, I will ask any
                                                                        change the land use from
4
5
        person wishing to speak on tonight's agenda
                                                                 5
                                                                        Religious/Institutional designation to
        item, to please open their chat and send a
                                                                        Multi-Family Low Density.
6
                                                                 6
        direct message to Jill Menendez, stating you
                                                                 7
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. And this is a
7
8
        would like to speak before the Board and
                                                                 8
                                                                        discussion item only; is that correct?
        include your full name. Jill will call you
                                                                             MR. COLLER: Right. Well, you will recall
9
                                                                 9
        when it's your turn. I ask you to be concise,
                                                                        -- I'm going to take -- Craig will explain the
10
                                                                10
        for the interest of time.
                                                                11
                                                                        -- the other Craig here will explain where we
11
             Phone platform participants, after Zoom
                                                                12
                                                                        are with this one.
12
        platform participants are done, I will ask
                                                                13
                                                                             MR. SOUTHERN: Thank you, Craig.
13
        phone participants to comment on tonight's
                                                                             Good evening, Planning and Zoning Board.
14
                                                                14
15
        agenda item. I also ask you to be concise, for
                                                                15
                                                                        Craig Southern, Planning Official, City of
        the interest of time.
                                                                        Coral Gables.
16
                                                                16
             First we have the approval of the minutes
                                                                17
                                                                             An Ordinance went in front of the Planning
17
        of July 2nd, 2025. Is there a motion?
                                                                        and Zoning Board back in January of this year,
18
                                                                18
             MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
                                                                        and was approved, for a conceptual review
19
                                                                19
             MR. BUCELO: Second.
                                                                20
                                                                        component to be added for any kind of proposed
20
                                                                21
21
            MR. PARDO: Second.
                                                                        land use or zoning changes, prior to
            THE SECRETARY: Who seconded?
                                                                22
                                                                        Development Review. It was approved by the
22
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Any discussion?
                                                                23
                                                                        City Commission, on Second Reading, February
23
                                                                24
24
             Jill, you want to call the roll?
                                                                        25th. So this will actually be our very first
             THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
                                                                25
                                                                        conceptual review in front of the Planning &
25
```

Zoning Board.

As Craig was just mentioning, this is just a discussion item, it will not have any votes, but it will create a dialog between the applicant. The applicant is here -- or the applicant's agent is here, Joe Jimenez, to give a brief presentation.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Welcome, Mr. Jimenez.
MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you very much, and
there's nothing like being first.

As Craig said -- thank you very much, Craig.

Joe Jimenez, JMC Group, with offices at 2020 Salcedo Street, here in Coral Gables, joined by my colleague, Lisa Palomino, on behalf of the applicant.

The way that I understand this process, and what the Code says, is that there will be no vote, there will be no decision made. We do have an application -- or we will, in the next couple of days, have an application to come before you next month.

As I understand, the purpose of this is kind of like -- kind of like DRC with Staff, let's get some comments out of the way, so if

surrounded by -- this is both, an aerial, to show you the location, just northwest of the Youth Center, surrounded by Multi-Family 3. The property immediately to the south is actually the church, and the other religious or institutional is also owned by the church. So this would pretty much complete the block.

Now, what they've been doing with these, two 1949 buildings, four units each, occupying -- each of the addresses is a 10,000 square foot parcel. So the entire project here, that will eventually go to DRC and eventually go -- excuse me -- for site plan approval, would be a 20,000 square foot parcel, also with eight units. It will be townhomes. There is no increase in density here. So each of these buildings is free-standing, each with four units.

They asked for pictures, so I wanted to show you what's there. Like I said, it's a post war 1949 building, with wall units, and, unfortunately, some -- the windows are not in great shape, as I saw. I was out there today for a little while.

There are -- I'm sure you're all familiar

I've got to make any changes for next month, I'll know what you're thinking, even though there's no actual vote today.

Notices are required. I know that there are some people here who have received it. There were 731 notices sent, to a 1,500 radius. It's a dense parcel out there. 627 and 635 Anastasia have a lot of neighbors.

I think the presentation is up. What the -- if we can get -- there.

So the application is to revert the zoning from Special Use to Multi-Family 3 and to change the Land Use Designation from religious/institutional to Multi-Family Low Density. The reason we say, "Revert," in 1983, the City -- and it's highlighted there. It's in your packet, and I think you have the application -- the City did change it, because the property was owned by a church. So that they could use it for general church purposes, they made it a Special Use District. So we would just like to revert it back.

Now, what those Special Use District -- the use that they put on it, and what is currently on there, and as you can see, what it's

with the neighborhood. This is a product -what my clients intend to develop there is a
product that is consistent with the
neighborhood. It's low scale. It is an
improvement in that its a higher end
residential product of the different townhome
communities that have -- community is a strong
word, but different townhome projects that have
sprung up around this area for the last ten to
twelve years.

This is a preliminary -- we're not here to discuss site plan. Because of the size of this, I don't think the site plan comes back to you, but I do want you to see it, so you understand what is intended. I'd want the neighbors to see it. This is very preliminary. We're not there yet. But like I said, it's eight units. It corners out on Cardena. There's a driveway around the back. Each unit would have a two-car garage, on the driveway, and then exit back onto Anastasia from the east side of the property.

Some preliminary massings, they don't have any dimensions, but like I said, it's about the same height as it is now, completely consistent

```
with the neighborhood, and, obviously, not as
                                                                        resident of Coral Gables, a few blocks from the
1
2
        high as the church directly across the street.
                                                                 2
                                                                        area that we're talking about for the
            That's really what I have, and if -- I know
                                                                 3
                                                                        eight-unit, one building, townhome, and that
3
        I didn't do anything wrong, because I'm the
                                                                 4
                                                                        gives the -- just saying, townhome, it means,
4
        first one to do it. So you could tell the
                                                                        what, steps right down to the sidewalk? And it
5
                                                                 5
        future people, "Don't do what Joe did" or
                                                                 6
                                                                        will be right besides and kitty-corner to
6
        "Okay, whatever Joe did, that's what we're
7
                                                                 7
                                                                        single-family homes. There needs to be a
8
        going to do," but I'm happy to answer any
                                                                 8
                                                                        better step down.
        questions and I'm looking forward to hearing --
                                                                 9
9
                                                                             And the other thing is, I just circled the
        I know that there's been some curiosity from
                                                                        block today, like, you know, was checking
                                                                10
10
        the neighbors, from what's going to happen
                                                                11
                                                                        things out, and two older buildings that are
11
                                                                12
                                                                        there now have a lot of green and open space.
12
            Now we've got the mailings out for the Town
                                                                13
                                                                        What is the green and open space going to be on
13
        Hall. So -- my daughters are stuffing these
                                                                        this giant building, with all of the extra
14
                                                                14
        envelopes, so they're very grateful to the City
                                                                15
                                                                        parking, and all of the amenities, which may be
15
        for the extra money, because I'm paying them to
                                                                16
                                                                        great, but right next to single-family homes?
16
        do it. So I would take happily take any
                                                                17
                                                                        It's a very bad precedent.
17
        questions on what we've discussed, but this is
                                                                             And let me see. I had a -- that was one of
18
                                                                18
                                                                        my -- okay, let's see if I have anything else.
        a simple revert to the FLUM, and a simple
                                                                19
19
20
        change in zoning, consistent with the
                                                                20
        surrounding area.
                                                               21
                                                                            I guess that's about it. I think that you
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Since this is just a
                                                               22
                                                                        need to look carefully at the actual, more than
22
        review, there is no presentation by the City on
                                                               23
                                                                        a conceptual, okay. You need to see the final
23
24
        this, correct?
                                                                24
                                                                        site plan, in detail, and I'd be interested in
            Okay. Before I open it for the public,
                                                               25
                                                                        seeing what is the equivalency of the open and
25
                                                           13
                                                                                                                           15
        does anybody have a brief question for
                                                                        green space from the old building site, next to
1
                                                                 1
                                                                        single-family homes -- that's really the big
2
        Mr. Jimenez? No?
                                                                 2
            Let's go ahead and open it for the public,
                                                                        deal. We're talking about a -- it may be the
3
                                                                 3
                                                                        same height as these two other buildings, but
        and then you reserve the right to --
4
5
            MR. JIMENEZ: I'm here for any questions
                                                                 5
                                                                        it will be a massive, looming building, right
        from either any Member of the Board or any
                                                                        next to single families.
6
                                                                 6
        member of the public.
                                                                 7
                                                                             I mean, I think Coral Gables usually prides
7
8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Mr.
                                                                8
                                                                        itself on a step down in the aesthetics from
                                                                 9
                                                                        something very large to the single-family home.
9
        Jimenez.
            How many speakers do we have?
                                                                             Okay. Thank you very much.
10
                                                                10
            THE SECRETARY: We have four.
                                                                11
                                                                             I'm going to add, if you didn't catch my
11
                                                                        last name, but my dad, William B. Pierce, he's
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you please call
                                                                12
12
                                                                13
                                                                        the Pierce of Pierce Park.
        them?
13
            THE SECRETARY: Sure. Pamela Pierce.
                                                                14
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
14
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you speak into
15
                                                                15
                                                                             MS. PIERCE: So I give myself a little pat
        the speaker -- one of the microphones, please?
                                                                        for that. Thank you very much.
                                                                16
16
            MS. PIERCE: My pleasure to be here.
                                                                17
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for taking
17
            I'm Pamela B. Pierce, and my father was
18
                                                                18
                                                                        the time to come.
        the --
19
                                                                19
                                                                             Next person, please.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Could you state your
                                                               20
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Chris.
20
                                                               21
21
        address, for the record, please?
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Chris, you were sworn
                                                               22
            MS. PIERCE: Oh, okay. 724 Camillo Avenue,
                                                                        in?
22
                                                                23
        704 Camillo and 701 Aledo.
                                                                             MR. KOUKE: Yes.
23
                                                               24
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                               25
25
            MS. PIERCE: Okay. I'm a long time
                                                                             MR. KOUKE: Thank you all.
```

```
Good evening, Chair, Members of this Board.
My name is Chris Kouke. I live at 3667
Riviera. I'm here tonight as an individual,
not to speak for or against the merits of the
application, but to raise just two procedural
questions, just in this new format.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First is, I appreciate the intent of this review, before the review, however, what this now means is that the process to inform the public is misaligned. So, previously, it required that a public information meeting occur at least two weeks prior to the PZB review. Now this comes before the PZB first, then the public meetings, then it comes back to the PZB.

So I would put it to this Board to consider having the public information meetings be required earlier in the process, prior to coming in front of the PZB for the first time, rather than midway through the process now.

Second, and I'll defer to you all, because you all know this better than I do, but just as this is considered to be reverting back to MF3, consider what MF3 allowed back in 1983, versus what MF3 allows today, and how the Code

I agree with the previous speakers. I believe that we've got excessive massing and loss of open space, which is highly desirable in Coral Gables, and we need more of it, rather than less of it, but I would like to take a historic look at this.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

17

We are in, perhaps, one of the most beautiful buildings in all of Florida. It is down the street from the Biltmore Hotel, which makes Anastasia a historic street, in another sense. Almost all of Anastasia is single-family residences, 10,000 square foot lots or larger. This particular site is across the street from a single-family house, which looks like a 10,000 square foot lot. It is across another street from a house, that looks like a 10,000 plus square foot lot, and kitty-corner from a third house, which looks like a 10,000 square foot house.

I think, in keeping with the historic sense of this beautiful City, that when you change the zoning from S, Special Use, you change it to single-family, with either two houses there or maybe four houses there.

Thank you very much.

19

```
has changed since that time, since they're
asking to be reverted back to MF3 from Special
Use.
```

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.

THE SECRETARY: Carlos Carta.

MR. CARTA: Good evening, Carlos Carta, 715 Anastasia Avenue. I certainly appreciate the drawings that you guys have provided for us to see, but I would like for this Committee to give us a 30-day delay. We have a settlement agreement with the school, and -- which is part of the church, and that piece of land. So I don't know if you're familiar with the restrictive covenant that's on that.

So what I need is my attorney to review it. So my ask of this Board is to delay this vote or moving it forward, until we have a chance for our attorney to review it. That's really

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir. THE SECRETARY: Thor Bruce.

MR. BRUCE: Good evening. Thor William Bruce, 3252 Riviera Drive. I've been a resident there since 1970.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.

Any other speakers? THE SECRETARY: No.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: What about on the Zoom platform?

THE SECRETARY: No one responded wishing to speak.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And the phone platform, either?

THE SECRETARY: No.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'll go ahead and close it for public comment.

Mr. Jimenez.

MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you.

So to take it -- if I could have the presentation, so we can -- will they put the presentation back up?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Here it is.

MR. JIMENEZ: Oh, thank you.

So you want to -- she's signing up now. Do you want her to go ahead and give her comments now and I can just do this -- I'm happy to defer. I know she walked in a little bit later.

THE SECRETARY: She's going to speak on

another item.

MR. JIMENEZ: On another item, okay.
So, just to put the location in
perspective, and I'm happy to discuss the
historical use of this property, these two
multi-family buildings were built in 1949. So
this has been multi-family use since at least
then.

As you can see, it abuts, to its rear, a multi-family building. Immediately to the north, there is a townhouse community, on the very next block. This is a step down. As we all know, zoning does go down. And there is, at one point, where there's always going to be that line, where single-family starts, and, hopefully, whether it's on Ponce, where you have the duplexes, right behind, or the smaller scale multi-family buildings, that's just what we do, you know, and that's the way the City was designed, as it goes into, to the east, the CBD, and higher density. So this is no higher density that has been there for a pretty long time

So, historically speaking, I think the project before you -- not the project before

you, 'cause that's not what you're going to hopefully give me some comments on, on what you'd like to see next month, but the proposal on what they're going to do with what they're asking you, is consistent with the use there from at least 1949.

With respect to the process, I understand, and believe me, sir -- I think Chris was your name -- there is nobody that finds this more procedurally just -- it's one more step in this, what we're doing here, and it is a favor to me, because now I'm not coming in here when you are voting, in a way that I have comments from the neighbors, I have comments from you, and then the process remains as it was before. We've added something to the beginning, not the middle.

So there is a Town Hall, our neighborhood meeting, coming up, the required days before the actual meeting, where you'll be making a decision and a recommendation to the City Commission. So I get it. It's one more for me, and it's one more for my client, it's one more for you. The only ones, like I said, happy about it are my daughters, who are doing

the mailings, but other than that, the process has stayed the same and it's what the Commission wants to do, so here we are.

With respect to the settlement agreement, I have no idea what that's about. This has nothing to do with the school, so this will not be an increase of the school. This was purchased by a residential development, for residential development, and that application is in. So there's not much I can say to that. If there is an issue between a third-party church and the residents, I'm just not privy to it at the moment, so I can't speak it to.

And the final comment, I think I've addressed, the historical nature of this. This has never been a single-family home in the last 75 years. So, to consider that now, it would actually make it inconsistent with the rest of the block, because the rest of the block is multi-family. The green space, I appreciate that. It is a small building, on a 10,000 square foot lot, but it's private property, it's not a park.

So if the Code allows what has been allowed in that neighborhood, I appreciate that, and

what you give up in green space -- it's not right up to the sidewalk. There are setbacks. There are landscaping requirements. There's -the trees will remain. The swales will likely be improved from what they are right now, because they're not in great shape. Excuse me. So I get the give and take there, but this is a little concrete building, on a big overgrown patch of grass. This is not public green space, that the kids can enjoy, and that, rightfully so, her dad has his name on a park, and that's a different story. This is not that. So this is just a question of landscaping. The current owners could take that grass and cover it with bushes all they wanted, and it would be the same effect.

So I appreciate the concerns, but it is -in the years that I've been doing this, this is
one of those that you like to bring, because
it's eight for eight, it's consistent with the
neighborhood, it's consistent with the block.
With the exception of the other religious and
institutional, which is also used for
residential, the entire block is used for what
they're processing. Is it a modern --

```
1
        Mediterranean design, but it is a modern use,
                                                                1
                                                                        there's an agenda item today that we're going
2
        with the townhomes, absolutely, it is,
                                                                        to be discussing and voting on.
        consistent with what else has been happening in
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: If I can add some clarity to
3
                                                                3
4
        that neighborhood.
                                                                        it. That's the last item on the agenda
5
            So, again, I know we're not here to talk
                                                                5
                                                                        tonight, and that is in relation to text
                                                                6
6
        about the site plan, but you all have a lot of
                                                                        amendments for impervious structures,
        experience there. If that affects your
                                                                        stormwater management component.
7
8
        decision, when it comes to the Zoning, I'd be
                                                                8
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Oh, okay.
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: So it's not affecting any of
9
        happy to answer questions about it, even though
                                                                9
        we won't be voting on it or you won't be voting
                                                                10
                                                                        the existing setback requirements for Zoning
10
        on it, but, again, I'm here to answer any
                                                               11
                                                                        Districts. So it wouldn't --
11
        questions, and anything that you'd like to hear
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okav.
12
                                                                12
        more about or less about, next month, I'm happy
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. COLLER: I'm sorry, I didn't --
13
        to listen.
                                                                14
14
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. Maybe I framed it
                                                               15
                                                                        wrong, but the examples used in the packet were
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
            Sue, would you start us off by providing
                                                               16
                                                                        all 25-foot setbacks.
16
                                                                17
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: You're talking about the
17
        some input?
                                                                        other item?
18
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah, thank you.
                                                               18
            I just have one question, Mr. Jimenez.
                                                                19
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah. Yeah. So that's
19
20
        What is the setback?
                                                               20
                                                                        why I asked about the setback question. How --
            MR. JIMENEZ: It's 10 feet.
                                                               21
21
                                                                        what's the setback on this project?
            MS. KAWALERSKI: It's 10 feet.
                                                               22
22
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: For this conceptual item, it
            MR. JIMENEZ: It's 10 feet.
                                                               23
                                                                        wouldn't be related, other than looking at
23
24
            MS. KAWALERSKI: And let me ask maybe the
                                                                        single-family residence and MF1 --
                                                               25
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: And multi-family, right?
25
        attorney, because we have an item on our agenda
                                                          25
                                                                                                                          27
        today, about like 25-foot setbacks for
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: -- duplex properties --
1
                                                                1
        single-family houses and multi-family. How
2
                                                                2
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay.
        does that affect a project like this?
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: -- and then the City
3
                                                                3
            MR. COLLER: I don't know. I'm going to
                                                                4
                                                                        basically amending our existing Zoning Code, so
4
5
        ask the Department if they want to address
                                                                5
                                                                        the Miami-Dade County, we don't have to
        that. There's -- for this townhouse
                                                                        actually start sending reviews for pervious and
6
                                                                6
        development, I assume that the ten-foot setback
                                                                7
                                                                        impervious. So if we actually implement our
7
8
        is what's required. I don't know -- what item
                                                                8
                                                                        own text amendments, then we'll be able to keep
                                                                        that authority, reviewing impervious and --
9
        has a 25-foot setback, that's single-family?
                                                                9
        Is it the same size lot, everything is the
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sue, what I'd would
10
                                                                10
                                                               11
                                                                        like to do is stick -- this is just conceptual.
11
        same?
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, it's for
                                                               12
                                                                        Nothing is being brought before us.
12
                                                               13
        single-family and multi-family.
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Right. Okay.
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If you can talk into
                                                               14
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And then we can tackle
14
15
        the mic, please.
                                                                15
                                                                        that item, when it comes up, and just have a
            MS. KAWALERSKI: It's for single-family and
                                                                        discussion and a vote, if necessary.
16
                                                               16
                                                                17
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah. I just wanted to
        multi-family. To my understanding, when I read
17
        the County Ordinance and we're trying to align
                                                                        give some guidance. Also, if that was
18
                                                                18
        with the County Ordinance, and the descriptors
19
                                                               19
                                                                        something that we were voting on later, and it
        in the packet that we received, it said,
                                                               20
                                                                        did involve setbacks, you know, that's
20
                                                               21
21
        25-five foot frontal setback.
                                                                        something, I think, that you would want to
                                                               22
            MR. COLLER: Where are we aligning with the
22
                                                                        know.
                                                               23
        County Ordinance? Are you talking about the
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Sure. And, of course, I
23
                                                               24
24
        previous --
                                                                        don't see -- I haven't seen the ordinance.
                                                               25
25
            MS. KAWALERSKI: No. I'm talking about --
                                                                        Having an application in, I don't think it
```

```
1
        would apply to us with the application, but I
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: And we're proposing eight,
                                                                1
2
        can't imagine a 25-foot setback on this
                                                                2
                                                                        right.
        property, because that's half the site.
                                                                3
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Except, when you look at the
3
4
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay.
                                                                4
                                                                        density of the amount of square footage and the
            MR. JIMENEZ: So, you know, I think -- so
                                                                        size of the units, it is possible to
5
                                                                5
                                                                        understand, that based on the massing, that
6
        you got my attention, but I said, "Okay, no,
                                                                6
7
        I'm misunderstanding."
                                                                7
                                                                        you're going to have more people living there,
8
            MS. KAWALERSKI: All right. But what
                                                                8
                                                                        more cars. So the impact, really, is not just
                                                                9
                                                                        the numbers of the units that you have there.
9
        you're saying --
            MR. JIMENEZ: I think these ten feet are
                                                                        Comparing eight apartments to, let's say, eight
                                                                10
10
        pretty much consistent with the rest --
                                                                11
                                                                        single-family mansions, it's a different
11
            MR. SOUTHERN: His zoning district is MF3,
                                                                        animal.
12
                                                                12
        if I remember correctly --
                                                                13
                                                                            The second thing that you said is, the
13
            MR. JIMENEZ: Well, I'm hoping.
                                                                14
                                                                        consistency with the neighborhood. Can you put
14
                                                                        that back on, please?
            MR. SOUTHERN: Yeah. That's the proposal.
                                                                15
15
            But for the last item, it's only with the
                                                                16
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: CGTV, can you please --
16
        single-family residential 1 and MF1 zoned
                                                                17
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Maybe it timed out. There you
17
        properties. So it wouldn't be relative to
18
                                                                18
                                                                        go.
        his --
                                                                19
                                                                            So when you look at -- you say it's
19
20
             MS. KAWALERSKI: All right. But it's
                                                                20
                                                                        consistent with the neighborhood. From Segovia
        basically a 10-foot setback is what you're
                                                               21
                                                                        to the west, most of what I see are
21
                                                               22
                                                                        single-family homes. I don't see apartment
22
        proposing here?
             MR. JIMENEZ: Yeah. Well, it's what's
                                                               23
                                                                        buildings. I don't see three-story townhouses.
23
24
        required, best of my recollection, but -- and
                                                               24
                                                                        I see single-family homes, that are limited to
                                                               25
        then it's landscaping. I think you can put
                                                                        25 feet and two stories.
25
                                                           29
                                                                                                                          31
1
        some stairs -- you know, some steps up, things
                                                                1
                                                                            So, right now, those particular -- the
        like that, but there is landscaping in the
                                                                        proposed building that you would come in with,
2
                                                                2
        front. It's not right up to the sidewalk.
                                                                        the massing is not necessarily compatible, in
3
                                                                3
                                                                        my opinion, with the neighborhood. One of the
4
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay.
                                                                4
5
            MR. JIMENEZ: That's not the case.
                                                                5
                                                                        neighbors said, the open space. We can't
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Thank you.
                                                                        ignore the open space, because although those
6
                                                                6
            MR. JIMENEZ: You're welcome.
                                                                7
                                                                        old eight little apartments there are there,
7
                                                                        they had side yards, they have front yards,
8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Felix -- Sue, do you
                                                                8
                                                                9
                                                                        they have backyards, and they had more green
9
        have anything more to add?
            MS. KAWALERSKI: No, thank you.
                                                                10
                                                                        space than what you're proposing.
10
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                11
                                                                            We've seen the same example already, which
11
            Felix.
                                                                12
                                                                        is currently under construction, in Segovia,
12
                                                                        and, then, some of them, that have already been
            MR. PARDO: So would you be able to go back
                                                                13
13
        to your -- the last exhibit you had up there?
                                                                14
                                                                        built, abutting the north side of the ball
14
            MR. JIMENEZ: The massing or --
                                                                        fields there at the Youth Center.
15
                                                                15
            MR. PARDO: No. It was the overall, where
16
                                                                16
                                                                            The public information meeting that will
                                                                17
        you showed the zoning. There you go.
                                                                        come in, obviously, is going to come in at a
17
            So it's very interesting, the way that this
                                                                        later date, but this is basically, also, the
18
                                                                18
        has gone. Unfortunately for the neighbors, all
                                                                        opportunity of a public information meeting for
19
                                                                19
        of this area was single-family, except for that
                                                               20
                                                                        the neighbors. So you get two cracks at the
20
                                                               21
21
        particular area where you had eight units. You
                                                                        ability to have discussions with the developer.
                                                               22
        say that there's no increase in density, you're
                                                                            The MX3, and the way that it has changed
22
                                                                23
        referring to the Comprehensive Land Use Plan,
                                                                        since 1983, again, brought up by one of the
23
                                                               24
24
        you're not referring to the amount of units
                                                                        neighbors, is a very valid point.
```

MR. JIMENEZ: MF3, I think you mean. Not

32

that exist there now, which are only eight.

MX, MF.

MR. PARDO: I mean, MF3.

MR. JIMENEZ: Okay.

MR. PARDO: So the validity of how it's changed in the last fifty years is substantial, and the intensification that I see with these products cause two things. First of all, you have a heat island issue, where if you take a block, and you have all of these trees between these two-story apartment buildings, but you have fully matured trees on the inside, once you develop all of the way to the back, then the only place you have to place trees is in the front or in the side, in other words, the streetscape.

The biggest problem that you have there is that normally it just doesn't fit. So you lose tree canope any way you cut it. I just don't think it's a wonderful idea to put money into tree fund, when, really, you're impacting certain areas.

The settlement agreement, for me, it does mean something, because, right now, we have an issue that we have Special Use that was granted and bound many, many years ago to a church, and

a church is a church use, which has a certain amount of intensity. The intensity that we're talking about now is not the same, comparing one housing product to another housing product.

The excessive massing is really alarming, because it is totally incompatible with the great majority, exempting yourself and removing yourself from the church. When you look at all of that single-family residential area, the massing is just not in keeping with the neighborhood. The Special Use that I see, compared to single-family, is way over the top. The ten-foot set back, per Code, for this proposed zoning change, was intended to be used in other areas.

This creeping of these areas into -- from duplex areas, right on Segovia, westward, into the single-family residential area, is changing the single-family composure of that, all for profit. I really have a real problem with this application, and I think it's incompatible with massing, with scale, with traffic and uses.

Those are all of my comments.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.

Alex.

MR. BUCELO: Mr. Chairman, I just have a few comments.

I personally think the project is very compatible with the neighborhood. I just have a few questions. In the block behind it, and I'm seeing it from the sheet, there are townhomes, correct, and then the block immediately behind it?

MR. JIMENEZ: That gentleman in the corner was actually around -- you're in the property directly to the north of it, correct? He -- we saw each other this morning, and said hi to each other, and I said, "Come on down." That's multi-family. Immediately to the north of that, that's multi-family townhome.

MR. BUCELO: Got it.

MR. JIMENEZ: This product.

So, as I said at the beginning, I do not dispute that there is single-family to the west. I think every zoning district ends, and then a new one begins. And as you go east from here, and you see the MF3 of the historic building, triangular building -- I can't remember the name of that building -- and, then, obviously, to the north, the CBD and the

higher buildings, all of the way to Ponce. We step down in the Zoning Code. This is the first block. It is.

My point is that, it's consistent with the neighborhood isn't only looking to the west, Mr. Pardo. It is also looking to the east, how filling out that last block, with this color, that's where I deem it consistent. That you are finishing out a block, with the exact same zoning as its neighbors, from street edge, to the rest of the block circularly.

Now, yes, to the west, there are, which is why we wouldn't allow an eight-story building there, like you do have in some places not far from here, close to single-family homes. I don't think that's the same thing. I think, reverting back -- and the design is different. I get it. It's a smaller building, just like sometimes we replace a smaller home, in Coral Gables, with is a much larger one, and we lose green space, as well, but an old home in the North Gables, on a 7500 square foot lot, that, now, all of a sudden, they build a two-story 5000 home, is not what was replaced. They can do it. They can do it as of right, obviously.

```
it's a single-family home under every
                                                                1
                                                                       comments basically to the schematic portion of
1
        definition.
2
                                                                2
                                                                        what this is. This is a schematic. This is a
3
            I admit, this is the last street, but it is
                                                                3
                                                                        rough massing. I think it's taller than the
4
        the last block, the last parcel, on a block
                                                                4
                                                                       existing buildings that are there. I think I
5
        that is otherwise exactly what we're asking
                                                                5
                                                                        agree with Mr. Pardo, that your actual building
6
        for, and that's why I said it's consistent with
                                                                6
                                                                        density, as a component of square foot per
        the neighborhood, not exclusively to the west,
                                                                7
                                                                       acre, your FAR, is a bit higher than what was
7
8
        but also to the east, and that's why I said
                                                                8
                                                                       there before, but, again, what was there was
        what I said.
                                                                9
                                                                       just a land bank building enough to pay the
9
                                                                       taxes. It was built in the '40s. There's a
            MR. BUCELO: And there is a separation
                                                               10
10
        from, I guess, where the property line ends?
                                                               11
                                                                       bunch of them throughout the north end of the
11
        There is a street before the --
12
                                                               12
            MR. JIMENEZ: It's a street. It's a
                                                               13
                                                                            However, you have a unique situation here,
13
                                                               14
                                                                       where you do have single-family residences
14
        street, yeah.
            MR. BUCELO: Okay. I can't tell from the
                                                               15
                                                                        across the street. I would look at your site
15
        map, because it's kind of blurry.
                                                               16
                                                                        planning and see where you can maybe rework the
16
            MR. JIMENEZ: To the west, it's
                                                               17
                                                                       entrances, so that it comes off Cardena, and
17
18
        single-family.
                                                               18
                                                                       then you can slide the building closer to the
            MR. BUCELO: Understood, but there is a
                                                               19
                                                                        east, so you create a bigger setback on the
19
20
        street of separation, correct?
                                                               20
                                                                       Cardena side.
            MR. JIMENEZ: Yeah. That's why I put here
                                                               21
                                                                            I think that would go a long way to
21
        the similar zoning, the land use. I mean,
                                                               22
                                                                       establishing a little more street canopy along
22
        obviously, you have the Youth Center. That's
                                                               23
                                                                       that road and also setback your property a
23
24
        the most special use we have, pretty much, and
                                                               24
                                                                       little bit.
        then the church. So that's why I was saying,
                                                               25
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: The reason --
25
                                                          37
                                                                                                                          39
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: It will also make it more
        we can't be across from three single-family
                                                                1
1
        homes, because there aren't three corners that
                                                                        compatible with what's across the street.
2
                                                                2
        have a single-family home on it, and it's a
                                                                3
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: The reason that I was out
3
                                                                4
                                                                        there today was actually specifically to look
4
        residential use, a hundred percent residential,
5
        obviously, and exactly what you have to the
                                                                        at the trees. None of the trees in the
        block to the north. I mean, pretty much. I
                                                                       right-of-way are affected by this, except one,
6
                                                                6
        mean, not the same design, but the exact same
                                                                7
                                                                       which we saw some pretty bad black olives, that
7
8
        product.
                                                                8
                                                                        we can move oaks over. So the tree canopy --
                                                                9
                                                                        there's no trees on the site, like not one, on
9
            MR. BUCELO: Correct. Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                       the site. There's just these two buildings.
10
                                                               10
                                                               11
                                                                            So the tree canopy along the streetscape,
11
            Javier.
            Excuse me --
                                                               12
                                                                       both on Anastasia and Cardena, remain. So
12
                                                                       unless I misunderstand --
            UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't know this
                                                               13
13
                                                               14
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: That's not -- you're
14
        gentleman --
15
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We closed it to public
                                                               15
                                                                       misunderstanding.
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Okay.
        comment. I'd appreciate it.
16
                                                               17
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: I'm looking at setting the
            UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. No problem.
17
                                                                        building back an additional ten feet along the
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
                                                               18
            UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah, no worries.
                                                                       Cardena side, and rework the entrance, so that
19
                                                               19
                                                               20
                                                                       the entrance is on Cardena. Look at it. This
20
        Thank you.
                                                               21
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Javier.
                                                                        is just a comment that is schematic.
                                                               22
            MR. SALMAN: Good evening, Mr. Jimenez.
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: No. No. And I'll pass it
22
                                                               23
23
        How are you?
                                                                       along.
                                                               24
24
            MR. JIMENEZ: How are you? Good. Thank you.
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Look at it. I think it will
                                                               25
25
            MR. SALMAN: I'm going to confine my
                                                                        probably work. You may have to change some the
```

```
parking portions of it. The garages may need
                                                                       it, maybe the end units, since you're bringing
1
2
        to move in a little bit, to get a little more
                                                                2
                                                                        them out forward, you change the shape of them
        room to back around, but I think you still have
                                                                       a little bit, so that you don't impact the site
3
                                                                3
        plenty of room. Look at it.
                                                                       lines as much, you know.
4
                                                                4
5
            MR. JIMENEZ: I'll be -- I'm not -- I know
                                                                5
                                                                             MR. JIMENEZ: I'm happy to pass that along
        you guy are architects. I will pass it along.
                                                                6
                                                                        to the design --
6
            MR. SALMAN: The point, you wanted
                                                                7
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: And that's what we're here
7
8
        comments. That's what this is about.
                                                                8
                                                                       for.
            MR. JIMENEZ: No. No, I'm happy to pass it
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Of course.
9
                                                                9
                                                                10
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: You're asking us for our
10
        along.
            MR. SALMAN: My comment, I think that an
                                                               11
                                                                        comments, and hopefully -- and the idea of
11
        additional ten feet on Cardena would give you a
                                                                       this, is that you get input on it, so that when
12
                                                                12
        greater setback, then you would have 20 feet to
                                                                       you come back, you don't have to do a major
13
                                                               13
        then develop some real nice landscaping.
                                                                        redesign. So that you go away from this
14
                                                                14
            MR. JIMENEZ: I understand what you're
                                                               15
                                                                        meeting with some concrete ideas, that you can
15
                                                               16
                                                                       take back and use in the development of your
16
        saying.
            MR. SALMAN: To set back the building from
                                                               17
                                                                        project, to help it be more compatible with
17
                                                                       what's around it, and that's what we're here
18
        the housing, that is single-family, across the
                                                               18
        street, across Cardena and across Anastasia,
                                                                19
19
                                                                       for.
20
        from a scale point of view.
                                                               20
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: And thank you very much. And
                                                               21
                                                                       I am happy to pass along the comments to the
21
            MR. JIMENEZ: Right. Across Anastasia is
        exclusively the church, but --
                                                               22
22
                                                                       designers, and --
            MR. SALMAN: I understand.
                                                               23
23
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Do whatever you want with it.
24
            MR. JIMENEZ: But I understand what you're
                                                               24
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: No. No. No. And now I'm
                                                               25
25
        saying.
                                                                       picturing it, so now I can communicate it --
                                                                                                                          43
            MR. SALMAN: It's a one story building. It
                                                                       now it's not going to get lost in translation,
1
                                                                1
2
        looks like a house, okay.
                                                                2
                                                                       because you're an architect, and I'm going to
             MR. JIMENEZ: I understand what you're
                                                                       talk to an architect, and it's almost -- I
3
                                                                3
        saying about Cardena, and I understand now what
                                                                        don't want to screw it up.
4
5
        you're saying, to make --
                                                                5
                                                                            With respect -- and here's a question that
            MR. SALMAN: It's just --
                                                                       I have for this Board. We're not there yet on
6
                                                                6
            MR. JIMENEZ: Are you basically saying to
                                                                7
                                                                       the site plan.
7
8
        make the alley two ways? Is that what you're
                                                                8
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before you do that,
9
        saving?
                                                                        why don't we finish, so you could ask --
            MR. SALMAN: One of the reasons we all live
10
                                                                10
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Oh, okay.
        in this City -- one of the reasons we all live
                                                               11
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Javier, are you done
11
        in the City is that we all have rules, okay,
                                                               12
                                                                        with your comments?
12
        and they're all about the rules of polite
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Primarily, yeah.
13
        behavior, and you're coming in to disrupt the
                                                               14
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Robert.
14
        existing condition, which everybody else bought
15
                                                               15
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
        into when they bought their properties or
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: If that's okay and
                                                               16
16
        inherited or whatever, and you're changing the
                                                               17
                                                                        then we'll --
17
        landscape. So you have to be attuned to those
18
                                                               18
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: In principle, I don't have a
        people and what you're going to be doing and
                                                                       problem. And by the way, I do agree with
19
                                                               19
        the implications of what you're going to be
                                                               20
                                                                       Javier's comment. Where I have a problem, and
20
                                                               21
21
        doing, as it will affect them.
                                                                       this is why I voted against this process, to
                                                               22
            Certainly, to go from a two-story to a
                                                                        come to this Board, and this was back in
22
        three-story building, ten feet from the
                                                               23
                                                                       January -- I remember, if we go back, I voted
23
                                                               24
                                                                        against it. Why? Because this should have
24
        property line, is a change. What I'm saying
```

gone to BOA to determine the compatibility to

is, if you study it, perhaps your massing of

the project. We are not -- we may be architects, but I don't think we should be deciding whether this is compatible or not. The Board of Architects should be doing that, not us, and that's where I have the problem. My concern is that -- it's, we're being put the responsibility now to say, yes, we could look into this, but, you know, is this really compatible or not.

I would agree and -- that, to your north, you have multi-family. To the north of that -- immediately to the north, you have a very similar building type as you have here. So I think the compatibility, from that aspect, is there. I just don't like to be put in a position that I'm determining whether this is compatible or not. That should be the Board of Architects.

I think that you heard some of the comments, and if I would make a recommendation -- you know, if I would -- we cannot vote on anything. I do like to see what one of the speakers asked for. If there is something that is an agreement that may affect this, I think that should be heard, before you

come to us. I don't want to be put in a position that I'm making a -- you know, at that time, that even if we're making a decision, with something floating out there that may impact this or not. So those are my comments, and I'll leave it at that.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

A lot of the comments were -- I echo a lot of the comments that have been said. I agree with Robert, in the process. I know there's a gentleman that spoke about the request of the delay, Mr. Carlos. I would encourage you, if you wanted to, to speak to the City Attorney or the representative. We can't control that. We have no voice or vote on that.

I know that there was a lot of discussion at the time when townhomes were being looked at throughout the City, and part of that discussion was the entrances for the cars were through an alley, if that was available, and a lot of the discussion was for the projects to come close to the sidewalk, and that's up to the Building Department, to take a look as to what's best, and I agree. I mean, the Board of Architects needs to go ahead and really look at

this, as far as compatibility.

I agree with the comment that Felix made, that basically said, you now have a second comments -- meaning, the owners can come here, and before -- you're going to have another meeting with the neighbors, but they already know what the project is, what's going on with the interested neighbors.

Go ahead.

MR. JIMENEZ: And I was at the meeting where you voted against that. I remember. I was there for a different item. And that was actually going to be the question that I had. So I'm glad you brought up, because my question to you all is, I still have to go to BOA. I'm not asking you to approve the project, because -- I'm showing you the project, because I want the neighbors to understand what we're looking to do with respect to uses. This has nothing to do with the school. This isn't a high story building. This is a -- it is a townhouse development, consistent with the zoning.

I've still got to go to DRC. I still -- like that all is done. So my question was

going to be, wait, Guys. How much of this do I have to have cooked, before I zone it, or before I change the FLUM, and this, I would happily bring in Staff or the City Attorney, because what I'm here for is a land use and a Zoning Code amendment. The Board of Architects and the City's DRC will give me my comments, the architectural comments, the impact and everything else, absolutely.

Now, you're still a Board that I have to appear before for this. So if you want to see more than I've given you, it makes it a different issue, because we're not cooked yet. You know, it's like, let's get the Zoning and then I know what to design.

So I agree with you, Mr. Behar and I was at that meeting. I remember your comments. I distinctly remember your comments. So that was going to be my question. What do you want to see next time around, from a design perspective?

MR. SALMAN: Through the Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir. MR. SALMAN: What we're here to talk about

is a Zoning change. It's the reversion of a

Special Use back to an MF3. So that's what we're really here for. And how do we feel about it? I think it's consistent with the overall plan. I don't have an issue with that.

And I think that whatever aesthetic, density, design, placement, comments that any of us could have made are a bit out of turn, because that's not what we're not here for, but ideally you will -- you're getting them.

Whether you like it or not, you're getting them

MR. JIMENEZ: Absolutely. Which is why, if you want more, then --

MR. SALMAN: The point of this whole thing is that you get another bite at the apple, both, you and the residents, so that when you go to the Board of Architects, and you come back here, you would have already gone through the beginnings of one cycle, and we'll conform your next cycles, so that it becomes more linear. It's less of a back and forth, where we would wholly object to the project, for whatever reason, and that's what we're trying to avoid.

MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.

MR. PARDO: I'd like to remind the Board Members, also, the applicant, of exactly what happened with the process. The process was, we had the Chair of the Board of Architects standing right there and told us that they had been directed by the City Attorney's Office to look only at -- as if certain changes of the Zoning had already been approved, although they weren't.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct.

MR. PARDO: And to be crystal clear, what this does is, it gives the ability for the applicant to listen to, from a contextual standpoint, what it is. In other words, if the applicant goes to the Board of Architects now, and the Board of Architects understands exactly what we've been discussing and what our concerns are and what the questions are, it gives them the ability to do what they're supposed to do, which is already in the Code, and, then, it also gives the ability for the City Attorney to understand that it's hand off, Board of Architects does what they're supposed to do, and they cannot be told, and you know

this clearly, and that's the whole point.

The point is that they were being told, you must considered this like if it was already given, because their first question was, what is the ask, and they were told, "You can't ask what is the ask." This is why this process was put in there, just to remind this Board.

 $\mbox{MR. BEHAR:} \mbox{ Mr. Chair, I have a question}$ for $\mbox{Mr. Pardo.}$

MR. PARDO: Sure.

MR. BEHAR: If they -- if they were coming today with a conceptual approval, not a Zoning approval, from the Board of Architects, where they went back and they came and said, "No, we don't think a massing of three stories is appropriate, we're going to come in with a massing -- we're only going to approve a two-story," will you feel different than you feel today?

MR. PARDO: Well, but keep in mind, the question is not quite straight-forward as you say. The question here is, keep in mind what the Chair of the Board of Architects said. On more than one occasion, in fact, numerous occasions, they were being told that they could

not ask what the ask is, and that has to do with massing compatibility, siting, all of these issues.

We have just given some input to the applicant to be able to get together with the neighbors and be able to discuss this. They can do whatever they want, but what I'm saying is, I stand by what we approved at that point, because of the constraints that were being put on the Board of Architects.

MR. BEHAR: Because the process was different. They were asked to do something without, you know -- and coming to the Planning and Zoning Board for their approval or recommendation before they made the decision, but I -- I'll stand by my point. And you voted for it. I voted against it. I think that the process should have been, they go for conceptual to the BOA, that says, you know what, this is compatible. Before they make a final determination or a decision, then it would come to us.

Like I stated earlier, I am -- am I approving just a conceptual change of Zoning, that then goes to the BOA, and then it's going

```
damaging the applicant, because he's got the
1
        to come back to us? See, we're not.
                                                                1
2
            MR. PARDO: Yeah, but Robert, I think the
                                                                2
                                                                        ability to listen, but he can choose not to
        clear picture here is that, the City Attorney's
                                                                        listen, and that's fine. That's up to them.
3
                                                                3
4
        Office cannot send one of their City Attorneys
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                4
5
        to the Board of Architects and tell them, "You
                                                                5
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Thank you, sir. Sorry for
        can't ask for the ask." If fact, now what they
                                                                6
                                                                        taking so long, but I think, being the first
6
        have to ask is, "Well, conceptually, what were
                                                                7
                                                                        one out of the shute, I think it was important,
7
8
        the comments? What was the input?" That's
                                                                8
                                                                        and like I said, no -- you know, this is just a
        what the -- the thing is here.
                                                                        difference of opinion.
9
                                                                9
            MR. BEHAR: Look, like I stated, I don't --
                                                               10
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Mr. Jimenez, thank you
10
        in principal, I don't have a problem with this
                                                               11
                                                                       for being the guinea pig.
11
        application. I just have a problem with the
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman --
12
                                                               12
        process, that before it goes to the body that
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: I didn't do it on purpose,
13
        is supposed to be making determination whether
14
                                                               14
                                                                        sir, so there is no need to thank me.
        it's compatible or not, it's coming to us.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
15
                                                               15
             MR. PARDO: And by the way, Robert, one of
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. COLLER: I just want to make one
16
        the most important things about this is, that
                                                               17
                                                                        comment about what the City Attorney's Office's
17
        normally, in Board of Architects, these
18
                                                               18
                                                                        position was. The City Attorney's Office's
        neighbors can't be heard by the Board of
                                                               19
                                                                        position is, you make the decisions on zoning
19
20
        Architects.
                                                               20
                                                                        and land use. Board of Architects makes
                                                                        decision on design. The thought here was for
21
            MR. BEHAR: Yes, they can. Yes, they can.
                                                               21
                                                               22
                                                                       you to get a -- for the Board of Architects to
22
            MR. SALMAN: Yes.
            MR. PARDO: No. No. There are
                                                               23
                                                                       be informed about what your thoughts were on
23
24
        certain restrictions.
                                                               24
                                                                        the zoning and the land use, but you added
            MR. SALMAN: There's public comment at the
                                                               25
                                                                       about design, which I don't think is a terrible
25
        beginning of the meeting, where they --
                                                                       thing, and you've indicated the need for
1
                                                                1
            MR. PARDO: The public comment is at the
2
                                                                2
                                                                       certain sensitivity, given the surrounding
3
        beginning of the meeting --
                                                                3
4
            MR. SALMAN: Correct.
                                                                            So I think it is -- you've accomplished
5
            MR. PARDO: -- before the application even
                                                                5
                                                                        what you wanted to accomplish.
        comes up and it has to be given in writing, and
                                                                            MR. PARDO: And it is non-binding.
6
                                                                6
        it has to be done in a certain way, et cetera.
                                                                7
                                                                            MR. COLLER: We're not even voting on
7
8
            In this particular case, they're -- under
                                                                8
                                                                       anything.
        sworn testimony, they're coming up here and
9
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Exactly.
        they're speaking their mind. I think it's a
                                                                            MR. COLLER: There's no vote tonight.
10
                                                               10
                                                               11
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: You get all of this for free.
11
            You know, Robert, you and I can disagree --
                                                               12
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
12
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: No, it wasn't free. I had to
        you know, respectfully disagree. I don't have
                                                               13
13
        any problem with that. But I think that, at
                                                               14
                                                                       pay my kids, remember.
14
15
        the end of the day, the people -- you have a
                                                               15
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Listen, take it and run.
        developer that's developing, which is fine,
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's go ahead -- any
                                                               16
16
                                                               17
        nothing wrong with that, but they will impact
                                                                       other comments, Mr. Jimenez?
17
18
        with their decisions and their developments
                                                               18
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: No. My only other question
        people that have lived there for many years and
                                                                        would be, because there is uncertainty, so I'm
19
                                                               19
        the value of their homes, which normally is
                                                               20
                                                                       sorry, I don't want to be surprised later or
20
                                                               21
21
        probably the largest investment in their life.
                                                                        accidentally surprise you. I don't know if we
                                                               22
            I have a real good problem when people
                                                                        would have been to BOA by the time we get back
22
        aren't given that right, and I think that this
                                                               23
                                                                       here. It's not required by the Code. But like
23
24
        system, right now, is the first one that's come
                                                               24
                                                                       I said, you guys are voting, so if you're going
```

25

to require it, then I've got to factor that in.

through here, and I don't think that it's

```
I know we would not have been to DRC,
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Javier, do you have a
1
                                                                1
2
        because there's -- one thing is the design, and
                                                                2
                                                                        question?
        applying for a Zoning change and a FLUM change
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Through the Chair, we're
3
                                                                3
        is relatively easy. I can show you these
4
                                                                4
                                                                       really here to help you, and so among the
        eleven slides and we can talk about
5
                                                                5
                                                                       things you may want to look into, is the
        compatibility and that's that. The design is
                                                                6
                                                                       historic nature of those buildings, and see
6
7
        not really part of this application. If you
                                                                        what it's going to take to get a Certificate of
8
        want to make it more so, then if you have more
                                                                8
                                                                       Appropriateness for demolition.
        questions or if the neighbors -- like I said,
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: We have a determination
9
                                                                9
        we are -- I think it's August 25th, 26th --
                                                                       letter request in from Historic, and that's a
                                                               10
10
        August 26th, at the office, right here on
                                                               11
                                                                        requirement --
11
        Salzedo, we're having the neighborhood meeting.
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: I'm not asking if you got it
12
                                                               12
            Like I said, 731 mailing have gone out
                                                               13
                                                                       or not. I just want to make sure that it was
13
        twice, one for this one and one for that one.
                                                               14
                                                                        included as part of what you're doing.
14
        So there has been -- I hope to have people show
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: We've asked for it. Thank you.
15
                                                               15
        up. I hope to answer their questions. But the
                                                               16
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: That's it.
16
        design, we're not -- I don't know if we're
                                                               17
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: And I agree with the Chairman's
17
        there yet. Now there's comments that -- I
                                                                        comments. What I would like to see, DRC, BOA,
18
                                                               18
        understand the alley. I understand all of
                                                               19
                                                                       before coming back over here. That's me,
19
20
        that. But how much do you want from a design
                                                               20
                                                                        personally.
                                                               21
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Okay.
21
        purpose next month --
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I can't speak for
                                                               22
22
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
                                                               23
23
        anybody else --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you,
24
            MR. JIMENEZ: -- where there will be a
                                                               24
                                                                       Mr. Jimenez.
        determination? That's why I'm asking.
                                                               25
                                                                            MR. JIMENEZ: Thank you very much.
25
                                                          57
                                                                                                                          59
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, I can't speak
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
1
                                                                1
        for anybody else on the Board. For me, this
2
                                                                2
                                                                            Next item, please.
        Board is not here to look at your design and
                                                                            MR. COLLER: All right. This is Item F-1.
3
                                                                3
                                                                        An Ordinance of the City Commission granting
4
        tell you how to design it. This Board is a
                                                                4
5
        zoning and planning. For myself, I would
                                                                5
                                                                        Conditional Use approval for a Building Site
        rather you go before the DRC and the Board of
                                                                       Determination, pursuant to Zoning Code Article
6
                                                                6
        Architects prior to coming back here.
                                                                7
                                                                       14, "Process," Section 14-202.6, "Building Site
7
                                                                       Determination," and Section 14-203,
8
            MR. JIMENEZ: Okay.
                                                                8
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We look -- what I
                                                                9
                                                                        "Conditional Uses," to separate -- excuse me,
9
        would encourage, and one of the things we've
                                                                       sir -- sir --
10
                                                               10
        always required, is a site plan, when you come
                                                               11
11
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Excuse me, sir.
        back. That's what we would look at.
                                                               12
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We're still conducting
12
            Yes, Javier.
                                                               13
13
                                                                       a meeting.
            MS. KAWALERSKI: And If I could just make a
                                                               14
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Excuse me, can you guys go
14
15
        comment. I think this was a win-win,
                                                               15
                                                                       outside, please?
        truthfully. You have information that you
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Let's see if I can pick up
                                                               16
16
        didn't know about. Javier had great
                                                               17
                                                                       where I left off.
17
        suggestions, for example. Felix had some good
                                                                            UNIDENTIFIED INDIVIDUAL: I'm sorry. He
18
                                                               18
        comments. And you heard from neighbors. This
                                                                       says there was no tree on that property --
19
                                                               19
        is a win-win.
                                                               20
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sir --
20
21
                                                               21
            MR. JIMENEZ: Uh-huh. No argument. No
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Sir --
                                                               22
        argument. I'm going to -- as much as I got
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sir, please, we're
22
        questions from you, I'm going to have to ask
                                                               23
                                                                       trying to conduct a meeting. Afterwards, we'd
23
                                                               24
24
        them myself, because, like we said, I'm the
                                                                        be glad to listen, please. Thank you, sir.
        first one, so I wanted to know what --
                                                               25
                                                                            UNIDENTIFIED INDIVIDUAL: No problem. Sorry.
25
```

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Go ahead.

MR. COLLER: -- "Conditional Uses," to separate one existing building site into two single-family building sites on property zoned Single-Family Residential (SFR) District, legally described as 1/2 of Lot 9, all of Lots 10 and 11, and the west 25 feet of Lot 12, Block 233, Revised Plat of Coral Gables Riviera Section, Part 13 (1154 Alfonso Avenue), Coral Gables, Florida; one building site consisting of the east 50 feet of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10 (west parcel), and the other one building site consisting of all of Lot 11 and the west 25 feet of Lot 12 (east parcel); including required conditions; providing for a repealer provision, severability clause, and an effective date.

Item F-1, public hearing.
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
Do we have the applicant?
MS. RUSSO: Good evening, Mr. Chairman,
Members of the Board. For the record, Laura

Russo, with offices at 2334 Ponce de Leon Boulevard.

I am here this evening representing Gillian

Gaggero Gazzolo and her husband, Alvaro Gazzolo. Only Gillian is here this evening. They are the owners and residents of the property at 1154 Alfonso Avenue. They've lived there for 26 years, raised their family there, and they have been Coral Gables residents for the past 35 years.

For those of you that may not be familiar with Alfonso Avenue, I know certain of you on the Board are, it is located a few blocks south of Hardee Road, and 1154 Alfonso is located west of Maynada Street, and just north of the Maynada Bridge, and it is abutted by the Mahi Waterway on its south side. This property measures 225 feet wide by 160 feet deep.

If I could ask them to cue up the -- there we go.

So this is a view of the subject property, looking at it from Alfonso Avenue, and this is a view of the property from the Mahi Waterway, looking at it from across the waterway.

The property, as I said, is a 36,000 square foot property. The applicants are proposing to separate the property into two building sites; one site to consist of 20,000 square feet, and

the other site to consist of 16,000 square feet, and here would be the division of the property.

The average building site in the immediate area is 12,300 square feet, and within a thousand linear feet, it increases a little bit more, to 12,685 feet. The average street frontage of the surrounding properties within the perimeter is 92 feet. And, here, you can see very faintly, but you should have it in your package, the map that shows the 1,000 linear feet.

So, the Zoning Code allows for a new house -- if this house were to be -- the existing house, to be demolished, a new house could be built there, following the Zoning Code, of a size of 11,950 square feet, would be allowed at this residence -- at this property. To give you an idea, it would be way out of scale and character for the surrounding neighborhood, since they are much more smaller building sites.

This slide gives you a real idea. This is an actual 11,880 square foot house, also located on a waterway, a different portion of a

waterway, and it is on a 37,000 square foot lot, and you can see, that's a rather massive property.

Here is another example of a house that has been built way out of character for the property. It's on South Alhambra. Some of you may remember when Anthony Abraham did all of the Christmas decorations. This is across the street, south, and there is a house, on six lots, and it now is about -- let's see, it's almost a 30,000 square foot site, and the house is 10,475 feet, and it's about three times larger, both, in property and in size and square footage, than all of the surrounding homes in the area.

The granting of this request, of our proposal, for this lot separation, would end up with two properties substantially larger than the majority of the homes in the area, 20,000 and 16,000 square feet, and, also, proposed homes at 5625 feet and 5948 feet.

So there's a lot of discussion about the Board of Architects. This house was reviewed by the Board of Architects. The architect, Antonio Rodriguez, of Cad Studio Architecture,

.

designed two homes, one for each of the building sites, and he presented these designs to the Board of Architects numerous times; May 1st, June 12th, July 10th, and final approve was received on July 17th.

Alvaro and Gillian had originally intended to only demolish the eastern portion of their lot, to bring it into conformity with the Zoning Code, and then renovate the balance of the house. However, when the architect started reviewing the original plans, the house was built in 1948, but in 1980, it was doubled in size, and so the house has four different foundation slabs, two different electrical systems, two air conditioning systems, two septic systems. So, in essence, it's two houses under the cover of one house. So a structural engineer, who reviewed it, recommended that it be demolished.

This is the front of the replacement home. So this is the western parcel, 20,000 square foot parcel. This is the front of the home, and this is the back of the home.

The residence on the eastern parcel, which is the 16,000 square foot parcel, which is

this, and this is the waterway view of that parcel.

So, now, the Zoning Code criteria for lot separation are numerous, as you guys probably know. One of the first things is that the lot size that are being proposed or the building sites being proposed have to be equal to or larger than the majority of the neighborhood. This application complies with that criterion.

The other criterion is unusual circumstances. It has to be a through block, two facings, different zonings on the block. Again, this application complies. We have double facing, the Mahi Waterway, which is a platted waterway, and Alfonso Avenue, on the front.

The other criterion is that it maintains open space, neighborhood compatibility, visual attractiveness, and this complies. The open space requirement for both homes that are being proposed exceeds the required open space that the City requires for single-family homes. There will be no canopy trees that are going to be removed on the eastern parcel, and on the western parcel, that has the existing home,

there are two beautiful Japanese Orange Blossom trees, that are actually going to be moved to the front of the property. So there is no demolition of any -- or removal of any trees.

The following criterion requires that two of the following three be complied with; One, that the street or water front be equal to or greater than the majority within a thousand linear feet. That average is 92. We are proposing 100 and 125; that the building sites would not result in any existing structures becoming non-conforming, as it relates to setbacks, lot area width, ground coverage, et cetera; and the voluntary demolition of any portion of a house within the last ten years would negate that criterion. So we know, right now, we're going to propose demolition. We do not meet that criterion.

The last criterion, the subject property has been owned by the current owner continuously for a minimum of ten years. As I stated earlier, they've owned this house for 26 years and have lived there and will continue to live on the western parcel.

Gillian and Alvaro held a neighborhood

meeting on May 1st and showed their neighbors their proposals for their homes, and I want to show you that they have immediate neighbor support, and I've listed the neighbors, not just told you, with their permission, and some of them had wanted to be here, but Sabater is out of town, someone else has school starting tomorrow. As you know, tomorrow is the first day of public schools, so they didn't want to spend their time here, when they're trying to get their kids ready, and one of the neighbors is still on vacation.

But as you can see, we have support on the west, immediate west, support on the immediate east, support on the north, and support across the waterway, and these are the people that are going to be impacted by the new homes, they're going to be impacted by the construction, and we have their support.

And here is a visual, a rendering, of the two homes side-by-side. So, just to be clear, the one on the right-hand side is the western parcel, because they're facing north, and the one on the left is the eastern parcel. So, on behalf of -- and this is the view from the

waterway.

So, on behalf of Gillian and Alvaro, I respectfully request your recommendation for approval for this lot separation proposal --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

MS. RUSSO: -- and I'll be happy to answers any questions, after you've heard from Staff.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Next we'll go ahead and call the City. MR. SOUTHERN: Good evening, Planning & Zoning Board. Craig Southern, again, Planning

Official, with the City of Coral Gables.

If we could please have the Staff
PowerPoint presentation brought up, please.

All right. We're going to try to go through this and just be concise. As previously mentioned, the subject property is at 1154 Alfonso Avenue. It's a conditional use request and a building site separation.

If you take at look at our location map here, as previously indicated by the applicant's agent, the subject property lies south of Alfonso Avenue, and north of the Mahi Waterway. The existing subject property has 36,000 square feet, has 225 feet of frontage,

and 160 feet of depth. It's a -- as you can see on the slide, it consists of the east 50 feet of Lot 9, all of Lots 10 and 11, and the west 25 feet of Lot 12, within Block 223 of the Coral Gables Riviera Section Part 13.

The site currently contains a 3913 square foot, two-story residence, that was built in 1948, along with a pool, patio, and existing boat house.

If you take a look, you'll see the Future Land Use and the Zoning Map designations, which is similar to all of the surrounding properties. Currently, it has single-family low density Future Land Use Map designation, and a Single-Family Residential Zoning District. You'll take a look at the existing survey right now of how the property currently looks.

So, on to the request, if you take a look at the proposed building site separation, the applicants request a conditional use approval under Section 14-202.6 (F) of the Zoning Code, for a building site determination to demolish the existing residence and to divide the subject property into two single-family

building sites. The west building site would consist of 20,000 square feet, with a 125-foot frontage, and it would be the east 50 feet of Lot 9 and all of Lot 10. The east building site would consist of 16,000 square feet, 100 feet of frontage, all of Lot 11, plus the west 25 feet of Lot 12.

So what is being proposed new, a 5625 square foot two-story residence is proposed on the west building site, along with improvements to the existing pool, patio, and boat house. A new 5948 square foot, two-story home, is proposed on the east building site. The combined proposed floor area of 11,575 square feet remains under the 11,950 square feet maximum that's allowed for the existing unified lot as is in its current configuration. Individually, each proposed building site, if approved, would still comply with the maximum allowable floor area ratio for both, the west and east building sites.

This may look slightly overwhelming, but this is the building site information. This table basically compares the proposed building sites with the applicable Zoning Code

requirements for a single-family residence.
This analysis shows the subject property can be developed as proposed and meet the requirements of the Zoning Code.

Once again, as previously indicated, the cummulative floor area ratio that's permitted currently, right now, of 11,950 square feet, what is being proposed would be the combined proposed floor area, if you add both proposed, west building site, east building site, would equal 11,573 square feet.

As previously mentioned in the applicant -the applicant's agent's PowerPoint, it meets
all of our Zoning Code requirements, our site
development standards, and is also -- it meets
and exceeds the average, which the lot area
average within that thousand square feet
area -- let's see if I've got that here -we'll get to it here in a second, but it
actually -- the averages is between 12,685 to
15,714. So you can see that the proposed
building sites are at 20,000 square feet and
16,000 square feet.

We'll take a look at the proposed elevations, from both, the front and the rear.

Here is the west building site, the front elevation; the east building site proposed front elevation.

As previously mentioned, this has gone through the whole entirety of the review process within the City. It went to the Development Review Committee March 21st of 2025, this year; the Board of Architects had four separate meetings, and the Board of Architects approved it last month, on the 17th. The mandatory neighborhood participation meeting was conducted on May 1st. And, now, tonight, we are at the Planning & Zoning Board, looking for a recommendation to the City Commission. This would require two City Commission hearings.

So public notification within the thousand foot radius, a total of 297 mailed notifications were sent out twice, during that May neighborhood participation meeting, and, then, previously, before the Planning & Zoning Board. City Staff has received a collective of ten letters of support from residents in the surrounding neighborhood.

Briefly, we'll let you know that two times

we went -- as previously mentioned, letters to the property owners for the Neighborhood Participation Meeting and for this Planning & Zoning Board Meeting. The property has been posted three times, for the DRC Meeting, the Board of Architects meeting, and this Planning & Zoning Board Meeting. This item has also been posted twice on the City website, for the DRC, this Planning & Zoning Board Meeting. It's been advertised once in the newspaper, and has been sent out to e-mailed subscribers for this Planning and Zoning Board Meeting.

So Staff's recommendation is approval with conditions. As previously indicated, all conditional uses for building site determination must satisfy at least two of three items; so the street frontages, similar to the neighborhood, and the property owners have owned the property for more than ten years. They comply with those components. But due to the voluntary demolition due to the current property, it's straddling what would be the proposed lot lines.

The existing structures not falling into non-conforming, they will have to obviously

demo the structure, so they would not satisfy that, but they do satisfy two of the three requirements.

So Staff has attached three conditions of approval. These three conditions are traditionally attached to any approval for a conditional use for a building site determination. This is pursuant to Section 14-202.6 (G). I'll try to briefly read the three of them.

The first one, the new single-family residence, both of them, constructed on separate building sites, shall meet all applicable requirements of the Zoning Code, and no variances shall be required or requested, which is currently the case.

Number Two, the plans depicting the site plans and elevations of the residence on the separated building sites and submitted as a part of the Conditional Use application, shall be made part of the approval, with any instructions or exceptions provided by the City Commission. Any changes to the plans are subject to Section 14-203.10 of the Zoning Code.

And, finally, the third condition, a bond shall be required, as determined by the Building Official, if necessary, to ensure timely removal of any non-conformities as a result of the building site separation approval.

So, once again, City Staff, Planning & Zoning Staff, is recommending approval for this Conditional Use building site determination, with the three conditions.

If you have any questions, the applicant and Staff is here.

MR. BEHAR: I do have a question for Craig. One of the conditions, separate building sites. Are they required to replat or because the original -- they're putting the different lots based on Part of 9 and 10 and 11, so they don't need to replat?

MR. SOUTHERN: Yeah, there's no platting component with a building site determination.

MR. BEHAR: Okay. Thank you.

MS. KAWALERSKI: And I just have a
question. What's on the west side of Lot 9 and
what's on the east side of Lot 12?

MR. SOUTHERN: Can we pull the PowerPoint

```
1
        back up, please?
                                                                1
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Okay. So the homes on
2
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Before we go into
                                                                2
                                                                        each side -- oh, I got it.
        questions, is there anybody -- Jill, do you
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Correct. They're owned, and
3
                                                                3
                                                                        they know, and they've written letters of
4
        have anybody for public comment?
                                                                4
5
            THE SECRETARY: We do.
                                                                5
                                                                        support to the City.
                                                                6
6
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: One person?
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, if I can just go. I
            Sue, would you be okay if we take public
7
                                                                        mean, I'm impressed that, you know, you're so
8
        comment from this one person and then we'll get
                                                                8
                                                                        organized and had a neighborhood meeting on
        into the questions?
9
                                                                9
                                                                        your own, and the neighbors are all for it, and
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Sure. Absolutely.
                                                                10
                                                                        I personally think it's fantastic. So you have
10
            MS. REGISTER: I wasn't sworn in, by the way.
                                                               11
                                                                        a yes vote.
11
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Please raise your
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Felix.
12
                                                                12
        hand. Go ahead.
                                                               13
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I know that the size of the
13
            MS. REGISTER: Do you want my name first?
                                                               14
                                                                        property is 225 feet by 160. You're not
14
            (Thereupon, the participant was sworn.)
                                                                        splitting it down the very middle.
15
                                                               15
            MS. REGISTER: Yes, I do.
                                                               16
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Correct, and that's in order
16
            My name is Debbie Register. I live at 1240
                                                               17
                                                                        that -- the City requires that each building
17
        Placetas Avenue. I was not able to attend the
18
                                                               18
                                                                        site have a platted lot. So, in order to do
        neighborhood, so that's why I came tonight.
                                                               19
                                                                        that, you have -- one piece will have 25 feet
19
20
            I am in support of dividing this property,
                                                               20
                                                                        more than the other, so that each site has one
        and the only reason is, it's 100 feet and 125.
                                                               21
                                                                        platted lot plus.
21
        If it was less than 100, I would not have
                                                               22
                                                                            It's sort of like Merrick used to do. If
22
                                                               23
                                                                        you go up in the North Gables, some houses were
23
        agreed.
                                                               24
24
            It's a little too modern, but it's not as
                                                                        50, some were 75, some were two lots, a 100,
        modern as the modern boxes we're getting. So
                                                               25
                                                                        but it's each -- each building site proposed
25
                                                          77
                                                                                                                          79
        as far as the architectural design, I'm okay,
                                                                        will have one platted lot.
1
                                                                1
        but I know that's not part of yours.
2
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. PARDO: The proposed houses, are they
            So I am, you know, in favor of this, and
                                                                        built to the very maximum of the FAR?
3
                                                                3
4
        it's going to be a plus to our neighborhood.
                                                                4
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: No, they are not.
5
        Thank you.
                                                                5
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Okay. So do you have the
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, ma'am.
                                                                        number of what they're doing?
6
                                                                6
                                                                7
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Yes.
7
            Do we have any other speakers?
8
            THE SECRETARY: No.
                                                                8
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Because right now, if you take
                                                                9
                                                                        the maximum FAR of both lots, you get a total
9
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Anybody on Zoom?
                                                                        of 13,450 square feet; that if they would only
10
            THE SECRETARY: No.
                                                                10
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: On the phone platform?
                                                               111
                                                                        build on one lot, it would be 11,950 square
11
            THE SECRETARY: No.
                                                                12
                                                                        feet, which means there will be a 12 percent
12
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'll go ahead and
                                                                13
                                                                        increase by putting two houses on there.
13
        close it for public comment.
                                                               14
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Right. One house, the one on
14
15
            Sue.
                                                               15
                                                                        the 20,000 square foot lot, is being proposed
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah.
                                                               16
                                                                        at 56 -- hold on. I have it here.
16
            The east side of Lot 9, who owns that and
                                                               17
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Actually, why don't you put up
17
        what's there? And on the west side -- or on
18
                                                               18
                                                                        your presentation, the City, because it's
        the east side of Lot 12, who owns that?
                                                                        clearly --
19
                                                               19
            MS. RUSSO: If we could put up the
                                                               20
                                                                            MR. RUSSO: Yeah.
20
                                                               21
21
        PowerPoint. On my PowerPoint, I had the names
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: What they're doing is, what
                                                               22
        on the neighbors on either side. On the west
                                                                        you're allowed to do in one of 11,900, the
22
        side of the parcel, right, I think it's Rebecca
                                                               23
                                                                        combined two square footages will be a little
23
                                                               24
24
        Garcia, and on the east side of the parcel are
                                                                        bit less than that.
        Carmen and Carlos Sabater.
                                                               25
25
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Right. And --
```

```
Council has established a precedent by changing
1
            MR. BEHAR: It was on the City's --
                                                                1
2
            MR. PARDO: I'm not as young as you are, so
                                                                2
                                                                        the Code and not requiring this as an
        I couldn't get the numbers that quickly.
                                                                3
                                                                        impediment to separating building sites.
3
            MR. BEHAR: Thank you. Thank you for that.
                                                                            MR. PARDO: It's still one of the
4
5
            MS. RUSSO: Bucelo one of them is built a
                                                                5
                                                                        requirements, if they can't meet the other two.
        lot less than what could be. One of them could
                                                                6
6
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Right, but if they meet the
        be built to 7000. You're right, the total
                                                                        other two, then they're not going to have a
7
8
        could be 13, if they maxed out both houses.
                                                                8
                                                                        problem with the straddling of the sites. So
                                                                9
                                                                        they've created the precedent. They've changed
9
            MR. PARDO: Right.
            MS. RUSSO: And so they are reducing them,
                                                                10
                                                                        the Code, and they're following the Code.
10
        so that they are both under what one could be
                                                               11
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Okay. Those are all of my
11
        all by itself.
                                                                        questions.
12
                                                                12
            MR. PARDO: I think it's important to
                                                               13
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
13
                                                                14
                                                                            MR. BUCELO: I'll be brief. I just have a
14
        understand, because most people don't
        understand that, in just an example that, it's
                                                               15
                                                                        few comments. I have no questions.
15
        a 12 percent increase of the square footage
                                                                16
                                                                            I think it's a great proposal. I think --
16
        that you're allowed, and, obviously, the
                                                               17
                                                                        I'd rather, personally, see two different
17
18
        homeowner is not speculating, at least on one
                                                               18
                                                                        properties than an 11,000 square foot mass. So
        of the two houses, you know, so, therefore, by
                                                                19
                                                                        I'm echoing your thoughts, I'm a yes vote, as
19
20
        not going to the complete maximum, it's more
                                                               20
        compatible with the neighborhood.
                                                               21
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Javier.
21
                                                               22
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: I'll let Mr. Behar go first.
22
            Then, the other -- yes, sir.
            MR. SOUTHERN: I just -- as Staff, I just
                                                               23
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Thank you.
23
24
        wanted to clarify. So the existing site is, at
                                                                            Listen, I agree with the comments. I don't
        this very moment in time -- the maximum FAR
                                                               25
                                                                        have a problem. I think I would rather see two
25
                                                           81
                                                                                                                          83
        permitted is 11,950.
                                                                        houses, smaller houses, than one big one. So
1
                                                                1
                                                                        I'm in support of the application.
2
            MR. PARDO: That's what I said.
                                                                2
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Javier.
            MR. SOUTHERN: Yeah. And with that split,
3
                                                                3
        they're actually going to be less. Even after
                                                                4
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: I think that this is a perfect
4
5
        splitting, they're going to be at 11,573.
                                                                5
                                                                        lot split application. I've rarely seen one.
            MR. PARDO: Right, which is great. So, you
                                                                        And, you know, I've lived long enough, and I
6
                                                                6
        know, the reason is, most people don't
                                                                7
                                                                        was here long enough to see one. So I'm
7
                                                                        certainly in favor of this and I'm ready to
8
        understand that, but I think that's important.
                                                                8
                                                                9
                                                                        make a motion on that.
9
            The other thing is that, unfortunately,
        over time, the Code has been changed over and
                                                                10
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Well, I'd like to ask
10
        over again, and my concern and my specific
                                                               11
                                                                        a few questions, if I may, before that.
11
        question to our City Attorney is, I think one
                                                                12
                                                                            Is there a covenant that exists on the
12
                                                                13
        of the most important components has always
                                                                        property today?
13
        been, if there's something straddling the
                                                               14
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: No.
14
15
        property. This Board has gone -- over the
                                                                15
                                                                            There's a covenant?
        years has wrestled with that, and it used to be
                                                                16
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Can you -- may I ask
16
        mandatory that you could not straddle, let's
                                                                17
                                                                        you to come up, please?
17
        say, a fence, a gazebo or anything like that.
18
                                                                18
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Come up. You have to say --
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
19
            MR. COLLER: Or a barbecue pit.
                                                               19
            MR. PARDO: A barbecue pit. That was the
                                                               20
                                                                            Could you state your name and address, for
20
                                                               21
21
        last one.
                                                                        the record, please?
                                                               22
                                                                            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: Yes. Gillian Gaggero
22
            And the thing is that, you know, my
                                                               23
        concern, if you could address if is,
                                                                        Gazzolo, 1154 Alfonso Avenue. I'm the owner
23
                                                               24
24
        establishing a precedent.
                                                                        and applicant.
            MR. COLLER: Well, I think your City
                                                               25
25
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
```

```
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And just as one Board
1
            Is there a covenant that exists?
                                                                1
2
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: Yes, there is. And
                                                                2
                                                                        Member, while I understand that the Commission
        prior to even starting this, going through DRC,
                                                                        can undo the covenant, the covenants were put
3
                                                                3
        it's my understanding, in speaking to the City
                                                                4
                                                                        in place for a reason.
4
5
        Attorney, that there's a simultaneous
                                                                5
                                                                            As far as the unusual circumstances, such
                                                                6
                                                                        as multi-family facing -- I'm sorry, multiple
6
        procedure, that when the Commission votes --
        that they vote in approval, they vote to
                                                                7
                                                                        facing --
7
8
        release the covenant.
                                                                8
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: Multiple facing, uh-huh.
                                                                9
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Correct. I'm not so
9
            MR. PARDO: Do you mean a unity of title?
                                                                        sure the intent was -- if waterway is one of
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: And they've done this
                                                                10
10
                                                                11
                                                                        the facing. I'm not sure. I can't tell you
11
            MR. PARDO: Unity of title?
                                                                        that, but, to me, it doesn't meet that
12
                                                                12
            MS. RUSSO: Excuse me?
                                                                13
                                                                        requirement, because of that, and the fact that
13
                                                                        there is a house built across it, as Felix had
14
            MR. PARDO: Is it a covenant or a unity of
                                                                14
                                                                15
                                                                        stated before. I understand it's not one of
15
        title?
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: No, it is not. This
                                                                16
                                                                        the determinations.
16
        is a restrictive covenant.
                                                                17
                                                                            You have the votes, you have the support,
17
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: From when was that
                                                                        but, for me, a lot split -- it's a nice design.
18
                                                                18
                                                                19
19
        done or do you know what --
                                                                        Don't get me wrong.
20
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: My understanding, it
                                                               20
                                                                            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: Thank you.
                                                               21
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a very nice
21
        was done in 1980, when they did the --
            MR. SALMAN: The addition.
                                                               22
22
                                                                        design. I have no issues with it. My only
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: -- the addition to
                                                               23
                                                                        comment would be, to Laura, that when the
23
24
        the house, and there's a whole story, but I
                                                               24
                                                                        project goes to the BOA for four times and then
                                                               25
        don't have facts to back it up. So I'm not
                                                                        it's stated that the BOA approved it with no
25
                                                           85
                                                                                                                          87
        going to say any more, but that's what I
                                                                        comments, I think there were a lot of comments
1
                                                                1
2
        understand.
                                                                2
                                                                        along the way to get it to that point.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I appreciate it.
                                                                            MS. RUSSO: There actually were a lot of
3
                                                                3
                                                                        comments, but they had to do with the
            MR. COLLER: That was also a change,
4
5
        because --
                                                                5
                                                                        architecture and trying to get one to be more
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.
                                                                        Florida vernacular, which is the western
6
                                                                6
            MR. COLLER: -- because the City Council --
                                                                7
                                                                        parcel, which is the parcel that Gillian and
7
8
        if they're going -- since they're the ones that
                                                                8
                                                                        her husband will live in, and the other parcel,
        have the ability to release the covenant, since
                                                                        to make sure that they were different enough,
9
                                                                9
        they're approving the lot split, and they're in
                                                                        and that -- they particularly wanted the
10
                                                                10
        a position to release the covenant.
                                                                11
                                                                        Florida vernacular. They had a different
11
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Are you under
                                                                12
                                                                        style. And so the architect and Gillian very
12
        any contract or agreement to sell the property
                                                                13
                                                                        willingly worked with the Board of Architects
13
        currently?
                                                                14
                                                                        to come up with the style that the Board felt
14
15
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: No.
                                                                15
                                                                        was more compatible with the neighborhood.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. So your intent
                                                                16
                                                                            So it wasn't that they didn't have
16
        is to live on one and --
                                                                17
                                                                        comments. You know, they did.
17
            MS. GAGGERO GAZZOLO: Absolutely. We love
                                                                18
18
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.
        Coral Gables. We want to stay right where we
                                                                            MR. PARDO: It doesn't look like a
19
                                                                19
                                                               20
                                                                        development where you have, you know, Model A,
20
                                                               21
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                        Model B next to it --
                                                               22
22
            For me, I've always been against lot
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Or they're both not
        splits. Laura knows this.
                                                                23
23
                                                                        the same.
                                                               24
24
            MS. RUSSO: I know that. I know that very
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Yeah. That's what it is.
                                                               25
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I appreciate it.
25
        well.
```

```
If anybody would like to make a motion.
1
                                                                 1
                                                                             Item F-2, public hearing.
2
            MR. SALMAN: Through the Chair.
                                                                 2
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.
            MR. SALMAN: I'd like to make a motion that
                                                                 4
                                                                             MR. SOUTHERN: Forgive me.
4
5
        we approve this item in conformance with the
                                                                 5
                                                                             All right. Good evening, again, everyone.
        Staff recommendation and the conditions set
                                                                 6
                                                                             As just indicated, this text amendment is
6
        forth by Staff be adopted as part of this
7
                                                                 7
                                                                        for the City of Coral Gables Art in Public
8
        approval.
                                                                8
                                                                        Places. Is that the item we're on? Okay.
            MR. BEHAR: I'll second it.
9
                                                                9
                                                                        Thank you.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. We
                                                                10
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
10
        have a second. Any discussion? No?
                                                                11
                                                                             MR. SOUTHERN: Thought I was --
11
            Call the roll, please.
                                                                12
                                                                             MR. BEHAR: State your name, for the
12
            THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
                                                                13
13
                                                                        record.
14
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
                                                                14
                                                                             MR. SOUTHERN: Craig Southern, once again,
            THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
                                                                        for the third time, Planning Official, City of
15
                                                                15
            MR. PARDO: Yes.
                                                                16
                                                                        Coral Gables.
16
            THE SECRETARY: Javier Salman?
                                                                17
                                                                             Briefly, the City of Coral Gables' Art in
17
                                                                        Public Places program was established under
18
            MR. SALMAN: Yes.
                                                                18
            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
                                                                19
                                                                        Article 9 of the Zoning Code. It is a
19
20
            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                                20
                                                                        municipal initiative that integrates public art
                                                                21
                                                                        into both, municipal and private development
21
            THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
            MR. BUCELO: Yes.
                                                                22
                                                                        projects, reinforcing the City's identity,
22
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                23
                                                                        cultural heritage and commitment to high
23
24
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, but thank you very
                                                                        quality design. Modeled, in part, after the
                                                                25
                                                                        Miami-Dade County's Public Art Ordinance, the
25
        much.
                                                           89
                                                                                                                           91
            MS. RUSSO: Thank you. I understand. I
                                                                        program requires eligible construction projects
1
                                                                 1
                                                                        to contribute a percentage of the construction
2
        take no offense.
                                                                 2
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It's a beautiful
                                                                        cost toward the acquisition, installation and
3
                                                                 3
                                                                        maintenance of publicly accessible art.
                                                                 4
4
        design.
5
            MS. RUSSO: Thank you.
                                                                 5
                                                                             Tonight, we're lucky enough to be joined by
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And I wish you all the
                                                                        a fellow Staff Member here at the City of
6
                                                                 6
                                                                 7
                                                                        Gables, Catherine Cathers. She's the Art and
7
                                                                        Cultural Specialist. She's the one that
8
            MS. RUSSO: Thank you very much. It's much
                                                                 8
                                                                 9
                                                                        actually worked quite a bit on these text
9
        appreciated.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                10
                                                                        amendments.
10
            Next item, please.
                                                                11
                                                                             But very briefly, we're going to have her
11
                                                                        actually come up here, but I'll just give you a
            MR. COLLER: Next item, Item F-2, an
                                                                12
12
                                                                13
                                                                        brief overview of some of the points of what
        Ordinance of the City Commission providing for
13
        text amendments to the City of Coral Gables
                                                                14
                                                                        these text amendments are proposing within
14
        Official Zoning Code, Article 9, "Art in Public
                                                                        Article 9. One of them is allow fee payments
15
                                                                15
        Places," to amend certain provisions related to
                                                                        prior to issuance of Certificate of Completion
16
                                                                16
        the Art in Public Places process including
                                                                17
                                                                        or Temporary Certificate of Occupancy, for
17
        timing of payments, limits on art consultant
                                                                        TCOs; refine waiver provisions, to ensure they
18
                                                                18
        fees, scope of fee waiver opportunities, and
                                                                        are directly tied to public art or related to
19
                                                                19
        creating provisions for the regulation of
                                                                20
                                                                        public benefit; codify limits on art consultant
20
                                                                21
21
        Private Art that is highly visible from the
                                                                        fees, that may be credited toward project
                                                                22
        public right-of-way; providing for repealer
                                                                        requirements; and updates within the definition
22
                                                                23
        provision, severability clause, codification,
                                                                        component within Article 9; and procedural
23
        enforceability and providing for an effective
                                                                24
24
                                                                        language for consistency and transparency.
                                                                25
                                                                             So if we could have Ms. Cathers come up and
25
        date.
```

```
she can definitely do a better job than I'm doing.

MS. CATHERS: Good evening, Chair, Members of the Board. Catherine Cathers, Arts and Cultural Coordinator for the City of Coral Gables.

So as Craig, and Craig, have mentioned, we are just looking for, you know, refining the
```

So as Craig, and Craig, have mentioned, we are just looking for, you know, refining the Code a little bit. It's going to help both, from the Staff side, and also from the public side. I would like to mention that these revisions, I believe they came -- most of them came before this Board previously, about a year ago. Then never went on to Second Reading. So they're coming back again, with the addition of language requiring -- addressing the payment of the fee and when that is triggered. So that's the most significant change, since the last time you saw this.

This has been reviewed and recommended for approval by both, the Arts Advisory Panel and the Cultural Development Board, and we are ready to go to the City Commission, on Second Reading, following your input.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

Do we have any speakers on this item? THE SECRETARY: No speakers. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No speakers, on either

THE SECRETARY: No.

of the three platforms?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: I'll go ahead and close it for public comment.$

Go ahead, Robert.

MR. BEHAR: Thank you.

And I think this is a great modification to the process. I like the fact that the payments are due at TCO, not before, because until the project starts getting built, it makes no sense for somebody to make a payment, not knowing what's going to happen. So I think that was very, very good.

I do would like to see that more artwork will be implemented in the actual projects, than just a payment for the City, because I think we would benefit more, as a community, if we could walk around, and, you know, see the artwork throughout, not -- you know. So I would like to see that to be more, you know, important than just the payment, but I think this is very good. Congratulations.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Javier.

MR. SALMAN: Could you talk a little bit more about the limitations on the value of the art that you are proposing?

MS. CATHERS: This really has to do with the percentage that goes towards consultant fees. And, you know, right now, it's ten percent. So we're just providing more clarity on that.

MR. SALMAN: Is there any limitation as to the value of the art, other than what's specified as a requirement which is a percentage?

MS. CATHERS: No. There's no limitation. It could be -- and sometimes, this has happened, where the art has been above and beyond whatever the requirement is.

MR. SALMAN: One would hope so, but my question is, have you had situations where they are less than what's required and it just simply stated a value that is in compliance, but not necessarily of value?

MS. CATHERS: Yeah. So a couple of things. At the close of a project -- for one thing,

within the resolutions that we put forward to the Commission, part of that resolution is that if it is under the amount, that they pay that difference into the fee. So if they have chosen and received the waiver, at the closeout of the project, we go through, you know, asking for the receipts -- you know, the invoices and receipts, so we know what those payments have been and we rectify it.

Did that answer your question? MR. SALMAN: Halfway.

We live in a City that's full of clever people, so I just would hate to see somebody submit, you know, a decorated garage can and calling it art, you know, and say, "Oh, it's worth \$150,000, so we've met our requirement."

MS. CATHERS: Sure.

So we do have requirements for the artists themselves. They go through a strict review process by the Arts Advisory Panel, to make sure that they are professional working artists. So you couldn't just have, you know, your uncle, that's doing art in their garage. They do have to meet certain qualifications.

MR. SALMAN: I understand.

```
MS. CATHERS: So, yes.
```

MR. SALMAN: On very large projects -- and forgive the example -- where you would have a good six figure piece of art that you're going to have as part of Art in Public Places, is there any determination as to that value and whether that's commensurate with the art that's being proposed?

MS. CATHERS: So if it is a purchased piece, then we do require an appraisal, which is covered in the Code itself. If it is a commissioned piece, then it is the value that has gone into the construction, the application, the design, all of those elements.

MR. SALMAN: All right. Thank you. That was just -- I think it was worth clarifying.
CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
Alex.

MR. BUCELO: No comments. To echo Mr. Behar's thoughts, I like the changes. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. Sue.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Yeah. Just a question. Section 9-106, Private Art on Mixed-Use and Multi-Family Properties, is that a whole brand new section? It's all underlined in here.

people can enjoy the artwork, significant artwork, in fact, I think would be better.

The second thing is that, I have noticed at least one building, and I will not name it, on a major artery, where they have artwork that was placed there, that it really looked like it belong somewhere else. You know, it didn't have the quality of the thing. I know that you can't determine everything, but sometimes I really feel that some of the artwork that has been put up is just not enjoyed -- it could technically be, you know, in a public place, but it's not the original intent of when the County came up with the Art in Public Places. They put it in areas that -- you know, whether it was off US-1, where people -- you know, 60, 80,000 cars can go by and actually enjoy it, or in the setting of a park. I really think that the site location is very important.

I understand what Robert is saying, but not always, when you have, let's say, a big building, it could be right up on the sidewalk, and there's absolutely no place to do that, and, then, not everyone has plazas, such as The Plaza, you know, where they could exhibit more

MS. CATHERS: Yes, it is. So that is to address art that is in the public view, but is privately owned and is not in compliance with having to -- you know, so if a developer, which has happened, chooses, on their own accord, to purchase art or place art on that property, if it is still within the public view, then this allows for us to have some sort of review process, that's outside of having to go to the full way of Commission. It's more of a Staff review, from the BOA side, and the Staff side, in our department.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Thank you. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Felix.

MR. PARDO: I look at some of these projects and the setbacks that they have. Especially the larger projects, they have very little area to place, you know, artwork there, where it could be substantially enjoyed by more citizens, also the location. Some of the locations of some of the buildings that are going up are on basically a back street type of thing, and I think, having the option of placing this in a more public area, where more

of their artwork that they donated in that area.

MS. CATHERS: Yeah, we agree 100 percent with you. So that's one of the things that the panel, especially the Arts Advisory Panel, they're the ones that definitely have a more indepth conversation about these projects, and they're coming from their professional background in it, and siting is very, very important. So they're always looking at, you know, is this really visible. You know, you're saying it's visible. Is it really visible?

MR. PARDO: Right.

MS. CATHERS: And looking at it from the different angles. So it's definitely important. I think it is sometimes a compromise between the public developer, who's doing this, to put the art in there, on their site. They also do have the option, which they've taken sometimes, of either commissioning or purchasing a piece and putting it on public land, you know, and donating it to the City. So that has happened, as well.

I have to say, one of the things that, you know -- one of the things that I love about it

```
is that there's a lot of flexibility for this
                                                                        very much like to see the art be placed in a
1
2
        City, and the options for the developers.
                                                                        building that it's intended to, as opposed to a
            MR. PARDO: I think the viewports are very,
                                                                        fund. I think that gives a lot of value to the
3
                                                                 3
4
        very important. You see it everywhere, from
                                                                        City, not just the property, but to the City as
5
        Washington DC, to any place, where you have the
                                                                 5
                                                                        a whole, when you're walking through. I
6
        viewports going specifically and accentuates
                                                                 6
                                                                        support what you're doing.
7
        and complements the artwork and it just becomes
                                                                             MS. CATHERS: And realistically, sometimes
        more enjoyable, by more people. So I think
8
                                                                 8
                                                                        it really needs to hit a certain bar, before
        that trimming some of the potential abuse areas
                                                                        they can have a piece that's significant enough
9
                                                                 9
                                                                        for them to do that. So we're still working
        are important.
                                                                10
10
            I also agree with Robert, that, you know,
                                                                11
                                                                        with the different departments about the
11
        paying for this at the end is really more in
                                                                        collection and how that will happen. It will
12
                                                                12
        keeping with being fair, but, you know, it has
                                                                13
                                                                        be easy to administer on those larger projects,
13
        to be done.
                                                                14
                                                                        but the smaller projects, we still want to give
14
            Can you tell me, does -- in the City's
                                                                15
                                                                        them the opportunity to pay in advance.
15
        coffers, for art, dedicated, where you've
                                                                16
                                                                             So, like I said, it really is, I think, a
16
        received contributions, approximately how much
                                                                17
                                                                        benefit, and I agree, for these larger
17
        money do you have available at your
18
                                                                18
                                                                        projects, that sometimes don't have that
        disposition?
                                                                19
                                                                        capital in advance.
19
20
            MS. CATHERS: Right now, because we've had
                                                                20
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
        some major acquisitions and decisions, right
                                                                21
                                                                             Would anybody like to make a motion?
21
        now it's probably between three and four
                                                                22
                                                                             MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
22
                                                                23
                                                                            MR. BUCELO: I'll second.
23
        million.
24
            MR. PARDO: I'm sorry?
                                                                24
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion.
            MS. CATHERS: Between three and four
                                                                25
                                                                        Alex goes ahead and seconds. Any discussion?
25
                                                          101
                                                                                                                          103
        million is my guesstimate.
                                                                 1
                                                                        No?
1
2
            MR. PARDO: Thank you so much. I
                                                                 2
                                                                             Call the roll, please.
        appreciate it. Those are all of my comments.
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
3
                                                                 3
            MR. BEHAR: I want to address one comment
                                                                 4
                                                                             MR. PARDO: Yes.
4
5
        that Mr. Pardo made, because I've seen a
                                                                 5
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Javier Salman?
        project that the artwork that was put in -- one
                                                                             MR. SALMAN: Yes.
6
                                                                 6
        of my projects, that I didn't even participate,
                                                                 7
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
7
8
        and I think that the committee should look at
                                                                 8
                                                                             MR. BEHAR: Yes.
        it more closely, to make sure it's compatible.
9
                                                                 9
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
        I haven't taken a picture of the project,
                                                                             MR. BUCELO: Yes.
10
                                                                10
        because I don't like the artwork, put it that
                                                                11
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
11
        way. So I think that please be more --
                                                                12
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
12
        scrutinize the artwork. I know it's
                                                                             THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                13
13
        subjective, but be compatible.
                                                                14
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
14
15
            MS. CATHERS: I'm just wondering, maybe
                                                                15
                                                                            Thank you.
        it's the piece that is addressed in the private
                                                                             MS. CATHERS: Thank you very much.
                                                                16
16
                                                                17
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have two more
        part. I'm not sure.
17
                                                                        items. Mr. Coller.
                                                                18
18
            MR. BEHAR: Okay.
            MS. CATHERS: But it could possibly be --
                                                                             MR. COLLER: Item F-3, an Ordinance of the
19
                                                                19
        it is, in that case -- it was purchased outside
                                                                20
                                                                        City Commission providing for a text amendment
20
                                                                21
21
        of an approval process, in keeping with their
                                                                        to the City of Coral Gables Official Zoning
                                                                22
                                                                        Code, amending Article 14 "Process" to revise
22
        requirement.
                                                                23
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                                        attendance requirements for the Planning and
23
                                                                24
24
            I echo the same sentiments of my fellow
                                                                        Zoning Board, Board of Adjustment, the Historic
        Board Members. I highly would like -- I would
                                                                25
                                                                        Preservation Board and the Code Enforcement
25
```

```
1
        Board; providing for a repealer provision,
                                                                1
                                                                             MR. BUCELO: I'm in agreement. I'm
2
        severability clause, codification, and an
                                                                2
                                                                        absolutely in agreement. I'm a yes vote.
        effective date.
                                                                             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sue, any comments?
3
                                                                3
            Item F-3, public hearing.
                                                                             MS. KAWALERSKI: I'm in agreement, as well.
4
                                                                4
5
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
                                                                5
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Felix.
                                                                6
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I have a question. In all of
6
            MR. SOUTHERN: Craig Southern, Planning &
                                                                7
                                                                        your provisions, you mentioned Section 2-54, so
7
8
        Zoning Division.
                                                                8
                                                                        I actually made a copy, since it wasn't in our
                                                                        packet, and it's pretty much, you know, pretty,
9
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It sounds like a radio
                                                                9
        station when you come on.
                                                                10
                                                                        close to what you're proposing. The only thing
10
            MR. PARDO: I think you've said that
                                                                11
                                                                        that I'm a little concerned with is, for
11
        before.
                                                                        example, it's either jury duty, or it's this,
12
                                                                12
            MR. SOUTHERN: Hopefully it's pleasurable.
                                                                13
                                                                        or it's that, and if you have the ability to be
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: It does.
                                                                        on Zoom or a phone call.
14
                                                                14
            MR. PARDO: How's the weather?
                                                                15
                                                                             Let me tell you, I have a civil engineer
15
            MR. SOUTHERN: So this item is basically
                                                                        that came down with a second case of COVID on
16
                                                                116
        about Board attendance for the Planning &
                                                                17
                                                                        Friday, and the first one, he was over it in a
17
18
        Zoning Board, Board of Adjustment, Historic
                                                                18
                                                                        day, no issue. The second one, fainting
        Preservation Board, and the Code Enforcement
                                                                19
                                                                        spells, you know, impossible for him to be on
19
20
        Board. Hopefully everybody's had a chance to
                                                               20
                                                                        the phone, watering eyes, couldn't see a
21
        look at the Staff report, but, briefly, some of
                                                               21
                                                                        screen. I think that the way that it's, you
                                                                        know, tightly defined now, is not in keeping
        the items that are underlined, "A Board Member
                                                               22
22
        shall be automatically terminated if they fail
                                                               23
                                                                        with what was there. You know, it's -- I
23
24
        to attend two of three successive meetings,
                                                                        think -- I understand what you're trying to do,
        unless excused. Excused absences shall include
                                                               25
                                                                        which is -- and we all do, if you make the
25
                                                                                                                          107
        jury duty, illness, and absent from the City,
                                                                        commitment to be on the Board, be there, but I
1
                                                                1
        when a Board Member is unable to attend
                                                                        think that the definition of the exceptions is
2
                                                                2
        remotely. In addition, excessive absences, as
                                                                        a little narrow right now, because if you're
3
                                                                3
        defined in Section 2-54 of the City Code, shall
                                                                        basically incapacitated at home, you know, with
4
5
        constitute grounds for removal by the City
                                                                5
                                                                        COVID and you can't see your screen because of
        Manager."
                                                                        watery eyes and you have fainting spells, you
6
                                                                6
            There's also additional text amendments to
                                                                7
                                                                        know, I think a medical condition like that,
7
8
        Section 14-104.2, Subsection D, which basically
                                                                8
                                                                        even though you have access to Zoom, even
9
        repeats the same exact language about attending
                                                                9
                                                                        though you have access to your phone, doesn't
        two of three successive meetings unless
                                                                        necessarily mean you can attend remotely in a
10
                                                                10
        excused. It repeats, as well, in Section
                                                                11
                                                                        coherent fashion.
11
                                                                             MR. SOUTHERN: Staff believes that would
        14-105, for the Historic Preservation Board,
                                                                12
12
                                                                        still fall under illness; so whatever that
        and it repeats again for the Code Enforcement
                                                                13
13
        Board.
                                                                14
                                                                        extended amount of illness would be.
14
15
            So that's primarily the main component of
                                                                15
                                                                             MR. PARDO: Right, and I just want to make
        the text amendment, is that if -- a Board
                                                                        sure that that is understandable, because it
                                                                16
16
        Member shall be automatically terminated if
                                                                17
                                                                        says that it's not up to this group -- you
17
        they fail to attend two of three successive
18
                                                                18
                                                                        know, calling in to this group. It's up to
                                                                        someone else. I don't know if it's the City
19
        meetings, unless excused.
                                                                19
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                               20
20
                                                                        Manager or whatever.
                                                               21
21
            Jill, do we have anybody for public --
                                                                            2-54 actually went into, you know, if
                                                               22
                                                                        you're in the United States Armed Forces, and,
22
            THE SECRETARY: No, no comments.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No comments. So we'll
                                                                23
                                                                        you know, you get called to active duty, and if
23
                                                               24
24
        go ahead and close it for public comment.
                                                                        you're a national, state or homeland defense or
```

25

Alex.

25

something like that -- you can see it's dated,

right, but the intent was, you know, be there.

And also, it says -- all of the Boards that you're talking about now are monthly Boards, and it said here, "For a Board that meets monthly on a regular basis, more than three unexcused absences in any consecutive twelve-month period," I think that was better done. I would, you know, hope that you could reconsider this, because that basically gives you the direction. The City Manager has the power to remove anyone that doesn't comply with it. That's in here, also. You mention this 2-54.

I would think that maybe keeping more into that, instead of just, you know, handcuffing someone to jury duty or something like that, the same as armed services -- you know, I think it could have been done a little bit better. I would hope that we could defer this item, and have them come back, just fine tune it a little bit, and make it more in keeping with 2-54, and just giving enough latitude for that.

Those are all of my comments, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you. Javier.

MR. SALMAN: I would like to see a better description of the adjudication of an excused absence, as to how it can be done, who it has to be reported to, what the process is of that, and whether or not the acceptance of an excused absence by the majority of this Board would constitute an excused absence.

MR. SOUTHERN: Okay. We could --

MR. SALMAN: Second, I don't believe that the City Manager, who is not an Elected Official, but serves at the pleasure of Elected Officials, should be tasked with the removal of any Board Member, who has been approved by the Commission. I think he should make a suggestion to the board -- to the Commission, to remove a member, and let them unselect them. I don't think that -- that power should remain with the Commission, both, the removal, as well as the ratification of the member. That's just my thought.

MR. SOUTHERN: Yeah, we can definitely consider that.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, Javier.
MR. COLLER: Well, since this is -- has
this been to First Reading already?

My thinking is, if you want these amendments, I would make that as part of your recommendation, to go to the Commission and say you want -- if the Board feels this way, how excused absences are decided, who decides them, and feeling that it should be other than this -- the City Manager. I mean, you can make that as part of your recommendation.

MR. SALMAN: I think that the City Manager is more than adequate to make the recommendation.

MR. COLLER: No, I'm sorry, but as far as who makes the decision.

MR. SALMAN: Right. Right. CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Robert.

MR. BEHAR: I don't have a problem. If this is addressing three absences within the year -- within twelve months --

MR. SALMAN: It's two out of three.

MR. BEHAR: No, but consecutive. You know, if I'm sick, and it doesn't count as a valid, you know, absence -- you know, listen, I would not disagree, in principal, with what you're saying, Felix, because it may be not only COVID, it may be something else that I'm going

to be, you know, in the hospital.

MR. PARDO: You missed the plane.

MR. BEHAR: You know, so I do think that we need to put limitations on how many absences a Board Member -- because we don't want to be here, you know, only five of us show up, and a Board Member don't -- because it's not fair. If you commit to do it, you've got to follow through.

I don't have a problem -- you know, the only thing that I would maybe look at is clarifying the excuses -- you know, excused absences, where it may be a medical condition, like you said. I don't have a problem with the Manager making decisions, because at the end, who -- you know, who's going to do it? It goes back, or maybe a recommendation to the City Commission.

But I don't have a problem with this, if we could clarify some of the absences.

MR. PARDO: I would suggest that you send 2-54 to the Board Members. It's crystal clear. And, in fact, it goes into meetings that are monthly, meetings that are less than a month, meetings -- and it tells you how many, but it's

```
normally, in any consecutive twelve-month period, like what Robert was saying.
```

The other thing is, that every board that I've sat on, one of the first things on -- you know, before accepting the minutes of the previous meeting, the first thing is excused absences, and it's voted on by the members.

MR. BEHAR: Right.

MR. PARDO: And the reason is, because normally you pick up the phone and you're going to call Jill and say, "Jill, you know, I'm sorry. I broke my leg. You know, I'm in the hospital. They're taking X-rays." you know, and you're talking to someone. That's conveyed then to the Chairman, and then the Chairman brings it up at the very beginning, and the Board votes on it.

MR. BEHAR: I hear you. What I think this does is what -- the problem we have -- in the City of Miami, I sit in the Urban Design Review Board. A lot of times, we don't have a quorum, and there are supposed to be nine members. We don't have a quorum, because Board Members don't show up, and it's not fair to the other Board Members, not fair to the applicant, and

this is going to -- in my opinion, you know, this sets a strict rule that you have to be here.

MR. PARDO: That's -- I think that's very reasonable, and I think our City Attorney has been very helpful in saying, you know, let's get these suggestions in.

MR. BEHAR: I'm -- well, I'm going to let the Chairperson --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just a question.
There's language in here about attending by
Zoom or if you're not able to come. Let's say
you're out of town and you want to attend by
Zoom. You don't want to miss a meeting. Are
you able to, given the language that's here?

MR. COLLER: Given the language that's here, I think they're suggesting an excused absence, if you don't have the bandwidth or whatever to be able to attend via Zoom, that that could be considered an excused absence, if you're out of the City and you appear by Zoom, but the Zoom doesn't work, because either you don't have the WiFi to be able to accomplish it --

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: But if you do, let's

assume, you have the bandwidth. You're away for whatever reason. Are you able the attend by Zoom?

MR. COLLER: It appears to be that it does.

MR. PARDO: You must have a quorum --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. COLLER: Yes, you have to have a quorum --

MR. PARDO: -- in person, always.

MR. COLLER: That's correct. You can't use the Zoom for purposes of a quorum.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Right. But if you have quorum -- let's just assume Felix is traveling somewhere, and he wants to attend the meeting. He does his best to attend the meeting. He's very responsible, and he wants to be here.

MR. COLLER: Right.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: So if he's traveling for work or for whatever reason, then he has the opportunity, if he's able to, to attend the meeting by Zoom, as long as we have a quorum in place --

MR. PARDO: And by the way, you could have a technical -- you could be in the heart of Boston and have an outage, and all of a sudden

you drop off. I remember, one time, the Mayor was on a phone call, and he -- his call dropped off. Technically, he couldn't speak or hear or contribute, and that's beyond your scope.

I think that 2-54 really gives you a better handle, more understandable. Me, I don't care if it's the City Manager, but normally, the way I've always seen it is, the part of the beginning of the business is, excused absences, and they've already been vetted, you know. So it becomes a non-issue, but it's recognized by the Board, and what Staff is doing is, they're taking basically the attendance of, this was an excused absence.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Understood.

Given our discussion, is there a motion that anybody would like to make?

MR. BEHAR: I will make a motion to approve with the condition that maybe there's some clarification as to what constitutes an excused absence, maybe that incorporates more precise a medical --

MR. PARDO: Is it First Reading? Are there two readings for this one?

MR. SOUTHERN: Yes, there would be two

```
MR. SALMAN: Well, that's why I'm saying,
1
                                                                1
        readings.
2
            MR. PARDO: Okay. I just wanted to make
                                                                2
                                                                        it should be an accepted excuse by the majority
                                                                3
                                                                        of the Board. It should be decided by somebody
3
            MR. BEHAR: Just clarification for what
                                                                4
                                                                        not just whether you're here or not, but
4
        would be -- constitute as an excused absence.
5
                                                                5
                                                                        there's always mitigating circumstances.
            MR. SOUTHERN: Okay. Outside of the jury
                                                                6
                                                                            MR. COLLER: What you're saying, I think,
6
                                                                        is that there needs to be a clarification as to
7
        duty, illness and absence from the City?
8
            MR. BEHAR: Yeah. I think that the example
                                                                8
                                                                        who renders the excuse.
        that Felix made about, you know, health --
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Bingo.
9
            MR. PARDO: For example, just a take a look
                                                                10
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Also, the notification
10
        at 2-54, which is part of our Code in the City
                                                                11
                                                                        process, I think is what Javier is saying. So
11
        of Coral Gables, which you put in your --
                                                                        normally what's done is, Jill, as the Secretary
12
                                                                12
            MR. SOUTHERN: Right. Correct.
                                                                13
                                                                        to the Board, and anybody that's not going to
13
                                                                14
                                                                        be here, communicates with Jill, and then Jill
14
            MR. BEHAR: I'm okay with that condition.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion. Is
                                                                        lets the Chairman know or the Board know or
15
                                                                15
        there a second?
                                                                16
                                                                        whatever the process is.
16
17
            MR. PARDO: Second.
                                                                17
                                                                            Now, when you're saying that being out of
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Felix, second. Any
                                                                18
                                                                        town is not necessarily an excuse, what I read
                                                                19
                                                                        in here is, out of the City limits is excused.
19
        discussion?
20
            MR. SALMAN: Will you accept a friendly
                                                               20
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: Well, when a Board Member is
        amendment to clarify the process of excusing
                                                               21
                                                                        unable to attend remotely, like in the example
21
        the absence, the notification, should be to the
                                                               22
                                                                        that you each just gave --
22
        Secretary of the Board, a process?
                                                               23
23
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Because of technical reasons,
                                                               24
24
            MR. SOUTHERN: Who excuses the absence?
                                                                        you could not attend remotely.
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Yeah, but I could have
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No, who gives it.
                                                               25
25
                                                          117
                                                                                                                          119
            MR. SOUTHERN: What's the officiating
                                                                        attended, had I wanted to, being at midnight
1
                                                                1
                                                                        and be here until, I don't know, 4:00 a.m.
2
        process?
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. COLLER: Then maybe that's part of the
3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yeah. The way --
                                                                3
            MR. SOUTHERN: Does is it need to be in
                                                                4
                                                                        clarification, because you could be in Europe,
4
5
        writing or it could be a phone call?
                                                                5
                                                                        for example, and it's six hours later. So it
            MR. SALMAN: For example, the last meeting,
                                                                        could well be at 4:00 a.m. that you're signing
6
                                                                6
        first, it was an irregular meeting, because it
                                                                7
                                                                        off.
7
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: And that's not realistic.
8
        wasn't the second Wednesday of the month, and
                                                                8
        last month, I was in Europe, which means that I
                                                                9
                                                                            MR. COLLER: What you're asking for -- as I
9
        would have had to start the meeting at
                                                                        understand, the clarification of this
10
                                                                10
        midnight.
                                                                11
                                                                        absence --
11
            MR. SOUTHERN: Right.
                                                                12
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Then I will accept a friendly
12
                                                                        amendment for the clarification, because some
            MR. SALMAN: So I called Jill, and sent her
                                                                13
13
        several e-mails saying, "I'm going to be out of
                                                                14
                                                                        of that, you know, is not fair. If you're in
14
15
        town," so that she was completely aware of the
                                                                15
                                                                        Europe, you know, it's not realistic that I'm
        fact that I was out of town. She could
                                                                16
                                                                        going to be participating at midnight until
16
        communicate with -- individually with the
                                                                17
                                                                        three o'clock in the morning, you know, on a
17
        Chairman and let him know, so that my absence
18
                                                                18
        would be excused as a voted on item on the
19
                                                                19
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Do you accept,
        agenda.
                                                               20
                                                                        Mr. Pardo?
20
                                                               21
21
            MR. COLLER: I'm not sure if the mere fact
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I do.
                                                               22
        of being out of town is going to count
22
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: Thank you.
        informing Jill, at least under this proposal --
                                                                23
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Okay. We have
23
                                                               24
24
        I'm not sure that, in and of itself,
                                                                        accepted. Thank you.
        constitutes an excuse absence.
                                                               25
                                                                            Any other comments? No?
25
                                                          118
                                                                                                                          120
```

```
Official, Planning & Zoning Division. If we
1
             Call the roll, please.
                                                                 1
2
             THE SECRETARY: Javier Salman?
                                                                 2
                                                                        could please have the Staff presentation pulled
3
             MR. SALMAN: We are voting for yes or no?
                                                                 3
4
                                                                             Okay. Just as Mr. Coller had indicated,
        Yes.
                                                                 4
5
             MR. COLLER: With the amendments.
                                                                 5
                                                                        this is a Zoning Code text amendment for the
             MR. SALMAN: I'll say, yes.
                                                                 6
                                                                        three following sections, Section 2-101,
6
7
             THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
                                                                 7
                                                                        Section 2-102 and Section 5-701.
8
             MR. BEHAR: Yes.
                                                                 8
                                                                             Briefly, the purpose of these text
                                                                        amendments are to align with the recent
9
            THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
                                                                 9
             MR. BUCELO: Yes.
                                                                10
                                                                        Miami-Dade County Ordinance, Ordinance 24-92.
10
             THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
                                                                11
                                                                        I'm going to read a little bit from the Staff
11
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
                                                                        report, just so we can get clarification on the
12
                                                                12
             THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
                                                                13
                                                                        purpose of what the text amendments are.
13
14
            MR. PARDO: Yes.
                                                                14
                                                                             So, on September 4th of last year,
                                                                        Miami-Dade County adopted Ordinance Number
15
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                15
             CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                                        24-92, which is a comprehensive regulatory
16
                                                                116
             Next item, please.
                                                                17
                                                                        update focused on strengthening the County's
17
18
             MR. COLLER: Next Item, Item F-4, an
                                                                18
                                                                        environmental protection framework, and
                                                                19
                                                                        enhancing the sustainability of regional
19
        Ordinance --
20
             MR. PARDO: Wait a minute. The friendly
                                                                20
                                                                        stormwater management. The ordinance
                                                                21
21
        amendment had to be voted on and now you vote
                                                                        introduced significant amendments to
                                                                22
                                                                        environmental and zoning regulations, revises
22
        on --
                                                                23
                                                                        development standards and procedures related to
23
             MR. BEHAR: No. No. We accepted the
24
        friendly amendment --
                                                                24
                                                                        drainage, impervious structures, including
                                                                25
             MR. COLLER: The movant and the seconder
                                                                        paved areas, and stormwater infrastructure and
25
                                                          121
                                                                                                                          123
        accepted the amendments and was rolled in.
                                                                        implements a range of technical updates.
1
                                                                 1
2
        We're all good.
                                                                 2
                                                                             So the new regulatory standards established
             MR. PARDO: Good.
                                                                        by the Ordinance adopted by Miami-Dade County
3
                                                                 3
             MR. SALMAN: And we all voted for it with
                                                                 4
                                                                        are relatively progressive and will apply to
4
        the amendments. So that's it.
5
                                                                 5
                                                                        new construction, redevelopment and substantial
             MR. PARDO: Yes. Thank you.
                                                                        improvements to existing development, and this
6
                                                                 6
7
             MR. COLLER: Correct.
                                                                 7
                                                                        has already come into effect as of March 31st,
8
             Item F-4, an Ordinance of the City
                                                                 8
                                                                        2025. Any increase in impervious surface area,
                                                                        included but not limited to structures, slabs,
9
        Commission of Coral Gables, Florida providing
                                                                 9
        for text amendments to the City of Coral Gables
                                                                        sidewalks, parking areas, specific types of
10
                                                                10
        Official Zoning Code, Article 2, "Zoning
                                                                11
                                                                        pavers and highly compacted ground, will be
11
        Districts, "Section 2-101, "Single-Family
                                                                12
                                                                        subject to the updated standards outlined in
12
                                                                        the ordinance."
        Residential District, "Section 2-102
                                                                13
13
        "Multi-Family 1 Duplex (MF1) District", and
                                                                14
                                                                             So, importantly, the ordinance provides an
14
15
        Article 5, "Architecture", Section 5-701
                                                                15
                                                                        option for all municipalities within Miami-Dade
        "Minimum standards," and Article 16,
                                                                        County to retain local control over the
                                                                16
16
        "Definitions" to align with the updated
                                                                17
                                                                        permitting and inspection of non-structural
17
        Miami-Dade County requirements regarding the
18
                                                                18
                                                                        impervious surface improvements for an
        stormwater management for single-family and
                                                                        individual single-family and duplex properties.
19
                                                                19
        duplex properties; providing for repealer
                                                                20
                                                                        So, in order to do so, our municipality, the
20
                                                                21
21
        provision, severability clause, codification,
                                                                        City of Coral Gables, must notify Miami-Dade
                                                                22
        and an effective date.
                                                                        County of its intent to adopt local regulations
22
                                                                23
             Item F-4, public hearing.
                                                                        meeting or exceeding the County's minimum
23
                                                                24
24
             MR. SOUTHERN: Thank you, Mr. Coller.
                                                                        standards by December 31st, 2024. We've
                                                                25
25
             Once again, Craig Southern, Planning
                                                                        already done that.
                                                          122
                                                                                                                          124
```

```
The second item that Miami-Dade County
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: You like the black hole --
1
                                                                1
2
        requires is, submit an adopted municipal
                                                                2
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Unless I'm misunderstanding,
        ordinance for formal review by the DERM
                                                                3
                                                                        what you just explained to us is that, anyone
3
4
        Director by December 31st of this year. So
                                                                4
                                                                        that has a project that falls into these
5
        that's why we're are here tonight, is to
                                                                5
                                                                        categories, does not have to go to DERM for
6
        introduce, basically, some text amendments for
                                                                6
                                                                        review, they could go to our Public Works
7
        impervious and stormwater components within our
                                                                        Department for review and approval?
8
        Zoning Code, so we retain the authority instead
                                                                8
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: Correct, just as we're doing
        of having to send single-family and duplex
                                                                9
                                                                        right now, but we do have to meet or exceed
9
                                                                        which --
        properties to DERM.
                                                                10
10
            MR. BEHAR: To the black hole of the
                                                                11
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I got that.
11
        County.
                                                                            MR. SALMAN: We do.
12
                                                                12
            MR. SALMAN: The black hole, yes.
                                                                13
                                                                            MR. PARDO: And the only reason -- I don't
13
                                                                14
                                                                        know if you guys have ever seen it.
14
            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
            MR. SALMAN: I think this is a creeping
                                                                15
                                                                        Technically, the only reason that I bring this
15
        grab for power by the County, and I can't stand
                                                                16
                                                                        up is, because that is completely the opposite
16
        it, especially in a City where we probably
                                                                17
                                                                        of what top DERM people have been explaining to
17
                                                                        my consulting civil engineers. So it is what
18
        exceed most of the County requirements anyway.
                                                                18
        So this is not really applicable to us.
                                                                19
                                                                        it is, but what I'm telling you is that, right
19
20
            I think if we just give them the Code that
                                                                20
                                                                        now, even for a Certificate of Occupancy in the
        we've got, we'll get out of it.
                                                               21
                                                                        City of Coral Gables, you've got to go to DERM,
21
            MR. SOUTHERN: And that's the thing.
                                                               22
                                                                        and it's out of our control, although we were
22
            MR. SALMAN: Is that what we're doing or
                                                               23
                                                                        told it would be in our control. So I would
23
24
        not?
                                                                24
                                                                        like --
            MR. SOUTHERN: Yes.
                                                               25
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: Certificate of Use, but, yes.
25
                                                          125
            MR. SALMAN: Motion to approve.
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I'm sorry?
1
                                                                1
                                                                            MR. SOUTHERN: Certificate of Use.
2
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Wait.
                                                                2
            MR. PARDO: There was a motion and a
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Oh, yeah. I mean, it's become
3
                                                                3
4
        second.
                                                                4
                                                                        a nightmare.
5
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Let's do the due
                                                                5
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Use or occupancy?
        process. Is there anybody that wishes to speak
6
                                                                6
                                                                            MR. PARDO: I'm sorry?
        on this item, Jill?
                                                                7
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Certificate of Use --
7
8
            THE SECRETARY: No, no speakers.
                                                                8
                                                                            MR. PARDO: As an example, what I'm saying
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: No speakers, so I'll
9
                                                                9
                                                                        is --
        go ahead and close the public comment.
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Not occupancy.
10
                                                                10
            Mr. Behar, you wanted to make a motion.
                                                                11
                                                                            MR. PARDO: Exactly. So what I'm saying is
11
            MR. BEHAR: I'll make a motion to approve.
                                                                12
                                                                        that, I would hope that Staff, through our
12
            MR. SALMAN: I'll second.
                                                                13
                                                                        Public Works Director, can get an absolute
13
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: As stated?
                                                                14
                                                                        positive, whatever, in writing, from them, that
14
                                                                        we have the power, because we meet and/or
15
            MR. BEHAR: As stated.
                                                                15
            MR. SALMAN: As stated.
                                                                        exceed having a review and not having to go to
16
                                                                16
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: And Mr. Salman?
                                                                17
                                                                        the County for a dual review, and you know how
17
                                                                        that goes, it never ends well. So I just
18
            MR. SALMAN: Yes.
                                                                18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You seconded it?
                                                                        wanted to bring that point up.
19
                                                                19
            MR. SALMAN: Yes, I did.
                                                                20
                                                                            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
20
                                                               21
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you, sir.
                                                                        Okay. Call the roll, please.
                                                               22
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Robert Behar?
22
            Any comment?
                                                                23
            MR. PARDO: I have one comment.
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Yes.
23
                                                               24
24
            MR. SALMAN: Oh, shit.
                                                                            THE SECRETARY: Alex Bucelo?
                                                               25
                                                                            MR. BUCELO: Yes.
25
            MR. PARDO: It's a very important comment.
```

```
THE SECRETARY: Sue Kawalerski?
                                                                        intervene and stop, and I think other Board
1
                                                                1
2
            MS. KAWALERSKI: Yes.
                                                                2
                                                                        Members did, also. So don't feel that bad
3
            THE SECRETARY: Felix Pardo?
                                                                3
                                                                        about it.
            MR. PARDO: Yes.
                                                                            But I do agree with you, that we need to be
4
5
            THE SECRETAry: Javier Salman?
                                                                5
                                                                        more respectful, not just to Elected Officials,
6
            MR. SALMAN: Oh. Felix, you just had to
                                                                6
                                                                        but to City Staff, also. It's just -- to me,
7
        make comment, didn't you?
                                                                7
                                                                        it's inappropriate to put them on trial, per
8
            I vote, yes.
                                                                8
                                                                        se, as opposed to just review the evidence, get
            THE SECRETARY: Eibi Aizenstat?
                                                                9
                                                                        everything before us, to make our
9
                                                                        determination. Sometimes it's put in as an
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes.
                                                                10
10
            MR. BEHAR: Before we adjourn, I want to
                                                                11
                                                                        inquisition, and I feel uncomfortable about
11
        bring something up that has been bothering me
                                                                        that also at times.
12
                                                                12
        for the last -- since the last meeting.
                                                                13
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: And Robert, I appreciate
13
            I apologized to the visiting Elected
                                                                14
14
                                                                        your comments, okay. I've come to like you,
        Official, Commissioner Regalado, and I want to
                                                                15
                                                                        too. But we have an obligation here. We have
15
        bring something up that -- and Sue, I have come
                                                                16
                                                                        an obligation to the residents and to this
16
        to like you over the last two years, but I
                                                                17
                                                                        City, and what we experienced as a result of
17
18
        think that we, as a Board, we need to be more
                                                                18
                                                                        that Commissioner's actions was totally
        respectful to guests and more to Elected
                                                                19
                                                                        disrespectful of this City. She allowed -- she
19
20
        Officials.
                                                                20
                                                                        is allowing a developer, who is denied by us,
            I -- last meeting, I felt -- I still feel
                                                               21
                                                                        to jump us and go directly to the County.
21
        that you went after an Elected Official in a
                                                               22
                                                                        She's allowing that. And it's a carpetbagger
22
        manner that, in my opinion, it was
                                                               23
                                                                        developer from Athens, Georgia.
23
24
        disrespectful, and actually berated
                                                               24
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Listen, Sue --
                                                               25
        Commissioner Regalado, to the point that she
25
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Listen, let me finish.
                                                          129
                                                                                                                          131
        even brought it up. I tried, and I wish I
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: You can't insult people like
1
                                                                1
2
        would have done more at the time, to stop you,
                                                                2
                                                                        that.
        because we, as a City, look really bad. As a
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Excuse me. I wasn't
3
                                                                3
        Board, we look terrible. As an individual, I'm
                                                                        insulting. What I was doing was pointing
4
5
        speaking for myself, terrible.
                                                                5
                                                                        evidence, why the whole premises of RTZs is
            When I run into her two days later, it was
                                                                        false, okay, and if an Elected Official doesn't
6
                                                                6
        like -- you know, I felt like we failed to
                                                                7
                                                                        have thick skin, that person shouldn't be an
7
8
        convey to this Member of the County Commission
                                                                8
                                                                        Elected Official. I'm sorry.
                                                                9
                                                                            As a Member of this Board, I am
9
        that we were appreciative of what she was
        trying to do for the City, and I think that we
                                                                        representing residents. I'm not representing
10
                                                                10
        have to be held to a higher standard, and we
                                                                11
                                                                        Elected Officials. I'm not representing
11
        cannot do that again. And I think that,
                                                                12
                                                                        Elected Officials. I'm representing what those
12
                                                                13
        unfortunately, it really -- we have tarnished
                                                                        residents in the audience came to express, and
13
        the City, and I hope that we, as a Board, do
                                                                14
                                                                        if this Board doesn't agree with residents,
14
15
        better in the future. That's my opinion.
                                                                15
                                                                        what are we doing here?
            And, Sue, again, I've come to like you, but
                                                                            MR. BEHAR: Sue, I mean, you could
16
                                                                16
                                                                17
        I think that was inappropriate, and I hope that
                                                                        represent the residents, just you don't have to
17
                                                                18
                                                                        berate a person like that. You can't be
18
        somehow you could, you know, convey that to
                                                                        disrespectful, I'm sorry. You were.
19
        her, because right now, as we speak, we are
                                                                19
        still, with her, not in a good place. I don't
                                                               20
                                                                            If we're going to go further, you were
20
                                                               21
21
        think that was the right approach, and I feel
                                                                        really screaming at her, and that's not right.
                                                               22
        guilty that I did not, at the time, try to
                                                                        You can't. I am not going to tolerate you do
22
                                                                23
        intervene and do -- and stop what was
                                                                        that -- or any Member of this Board again.
23
                                                               24
24
        happening. So --
                                                                        That's not right.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: You did try to
                                                               25
25
                                                                            MS. KAWALERSKI: Robert, what you're doing
```

```
right now is screaming. I was not screaming,
Number One, but I was stating facts. What I
was getting, as a result of stating facts and
asking questions on behalf of the residents,
were non-answers, non-answers, and you know
what, I'm a little disappointed in the Board
Members that were here, because the Board
Members here were looking at the pimple on the
butt of an elephant, instead of looking at the
elephant.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BEHAR: That's your opinion.

MS. KAWALERSKI: That is my opinion, and that's your opinion.

MR. BEHAR: And I disagree, because like I stated before --

MS. KAWALERSKI: I'm sorry, something as important of changing the complexion of a major artery in the City of Coral Gables is something that we should all been arguing against. All of us should have been saying, wait a minute --

MR. BEHAR: We should have never gotten there. We got there because of what took place last year, and that was the fact. And what that did is, the County has the authority to do what they're doing, so -- and, actually, as a

matter of fact, what we're -- what she was allowing us to do is not even go to the maximum that the RTZ would allow.

MS. KAWALERSKI: But the alternative was, she could have said, no, we're going to reject this, okay. Obviously, the County has the authority. I'm not denying that.

MR. BEHAR: Sure, you're right, she could have done that, but you know what, the way we conveyed that message to her, has to be in a proper, polite manner, not berating her like you did.

MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, first of all, I wasn't berating her, and if you look up the definition, I was not berating her. I was stating facts, okay. That's all I was doing. I was stating facts and I was asking for answers. That's all I was doing.

MR. BEHAR: I wanted to bring that up. I'm done.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just one comment that I do want to make, each one of us is an appointed individual, and our actions on this dais actually represent or show us what that person that appointed us is all about. So I

think we have to use caution, and we all have opinions, we all are heated, and we all want the appropriate thing for what we believe in, but we must show a certain demeanor and respect to the individuals, because that goes a certain way, that it personifies the individual that also appointed us, and I think that's important, because the way we're here, we have to listen to the residents, and I agree, but at the same time, respect, and that's the most important.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

133

MS. KAWALERSKI: Well, you know what, respect demands respect and we were not being respected. This City was not being respected. Sorry, we were not being respected.

MR. COLLER: Mr. Chairman, may I change the subject for just a moment?

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Yes, sir.

MR. COLLER: It's come to my attention, back on 2-54, that there is an amendment to it that's proposed by the City Commission, that's going before the City Commission, which says that, "For the Board of Adjustments, Construction Regulation Board, Historic Preservation Board and Planning & Zoning Board,

absent from 25 percent or more scheduled meetings in any consecutive twelve-month period, shall been deemed an excessive absence." So that's an amendment to 54, which I was not aware, but it was brought to my attention.

MR. PARDO: Because it was -- I looked it up. That's why. Because the Staff mentioned it, but we didn't have it in our package.

MR. COLLER: Right, because it's not coming through the Board.

MR. PARDO: I was looking at it while we were talking.

MR. COLLER: I apologize for interrupting the previous conversation, but I just wanted to make sure that was clear.

MR. BEHAR: But what happens to that vote that we took?

MR. COLLER: Well, that doesn't really change anything. This is separate and apart from that.

CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Just informative. MR. COLLER: Just for information only. I think a motion to adjourn is in order.

MR. PARDO: Mr. Chairman --

135

```
MR. SALMAN: I would like to make a -- if I
                                                                                      CERTIFICATE
1
2
        could just say a few words.
3
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Sure.
                                                                  STATE OF
                                                                               FLORIDA:
4
            MR. SALMAN: This is my last meeting. I
                                                                                     SS.
5
        was not selected as a Member of the Board at
                                                                  COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE:
        the last meeting that I did not attend, and I'm
6
        very sorry about that. I really enjoyed my
7
8
        time here. I think it's a worthy use of my
        time and your time, that we do this, and with
9
                                                                           I, NIEVES SANCHEZ, Court Reporter, and a Notary
        that, I'd like to say thank you for the
                                                               10 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby
10
        opportunity, and I'll be looking forward to
                                                               11 certify that I was authorized to and did
11
                                                               12 stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and
12
        seeing you around.
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you for all of
                                                               13 that the transcript is a true and complete record of my
13
                                                                  stenographic notes.
14
        your service.
                                                               15
15
            MR. PARDO: I was going to bring that up,
        that this was his last meeting, and thank you
                                                               16
                                                                           DATED this 20th day of August, 2025.
16
        very much.
                                                               17
17
18
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you.
                                                               18
                                                                                              Min Dans
            Is there a motion to adjourn?
                                                               19
19
                                                               20
20
            MR. PARDO: Yes.
                                                                                              NIEVES SANCHEZ
            MR. SALMAN: So moved.
                                                               21
21
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a motion by
                                                               22
22
                                                               23
23
        Felix.
                                                               24
24
            MR. SALMAN: I'll second it.
                                                               25
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: We have a second by
25
                                                         137
                                                                                                                        139
        Javier. All those in favor say aye.
1
2
            (All Board Members voted aye.)
            CHAIRMAN AIZENSTAT: Thank you very much.
3
            (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at
4
5
        8:30 p.m.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```