

9

1 zero to nine, that's a lack of a  
2 majority vote and it's continued. I  
3 think it needs to be rephrased, is all  
4 I'm saying.

5 MS. KAUTZ: That is a majority  
6 vote.

7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.

8 MS. KAUTZ: If it's nine-zero --

9 MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry, we're not  
10 following you, Mr. Chairman.

11 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Oh, I see. You  
12 mean, the lack of a majority vote either  
13 way?

14 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.

15 MR. SALMAN: Either way.

16 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Gotcha. Okay.

17 MS. KAUTZ: It gives them the  
18 opportunity to come back and achieve --

19 CHAIRMAN KORGE: I understand.  
20 Never mind. I got it. I understand.  
21 Thank you.

22 Any discussion? Questions?

23 MR. AIZENSTAT: Just out of  
24 curiosity, if I may, when motions like  
25 these are given to us or they're

10

1 written, who does them? Is it the legal  
2 department or the department head?

3 MS. HERNANDEZ: Motions or the  
4 ordinance amendments?

5 MR. AIZENSTAT: The ordinance, I  
6 apologize. The ordinance amendment.

7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Amendments to the  
8 ordinance, usually it is the department  
9 that is requesting the change or one of  
10 the administrators. From time to time,  
11 we'll do it, if it's a technical -- like  
12 a telecommunications ordinance or  
13 ordinances of that nature, where FCC  
14 rules are involved, then we get involved  
15 and do it.

16 MR. AIZENSTAT: Thank you.

17 CHAIRMAN KORGE: No further  
18 discussion? I'll take a motion to --

19 MR. AIZENSTAT: I'll make a motion.

20 CHAIRMAN KORGE: To approve it?

21 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.

22 MR. SALMAN: I'll second it.

23 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Moved and  
24 seconded.

25 Just for the record, Pat Keon has

11

1 arrived.

2 Let's call the roll, please.

3 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?

4 MR. SALMAN: Yes.

5 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?

6 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.

7 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?

8 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes?

9 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?

10 MS. KEON: Yes.

11 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?

12 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.

13 MS. KAUTZ: Thank you.

14 ~~CHAIRMAN KORGE: Thank you.~~

15 The next item on our agenda is  
16 Application Number 05-09-086-P, a site  
17 plan review.

18 Oh, there you are.

19 MR. CARLSON: Good evening.

20 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Good evening.

21 MS. HERNANDEZ: Good evening.

22 MR. CARLSON: For the record,  
23 Walter Carlson.

24 This is an application for site  
25 plan review which is being submitted by

12

1 the Montgomery Foundation. The  
2 Montgomery property is located in the  
3 southern part of the City, in the  
4 general area of the intersection of Old  
5 Cutler Road and Red Road.

6 The Montgomery Foundation conducts  
7 tropical research at that location, and  
8 they're proposing to construct a small  
9 office studio for visiting scientists on  
10 their property. Their property is 120  
11 acres in size, and this would be located  
12 generally in the center of the property.  
13 This is -- the proposed building is a  
14 small building. It would be one story  
15 in height, under 1,000 square feet in  
16 size, and could not be seen from the  
17 perimeter of the property by the public.

18 Staff found that this proposal is  
19 consistent with the Comprehensive Plan  
20 and it does meet all the requirements in  
21 the Zoning Code.

22 Again, this is a relatively small  
23 building, which is centrally located on  
24 the property and couldn't be seen.  
25 There are no variances being requested

13

1 or required for this project, and the  
2 proposal has been reviewed by City  
3 departments and there have been no  
4 objections to the project.

5 The Planning Department is  
6 recommending approval of this site plan.  
7 The applicant is here, if you should  
8 have some comments.

9 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Are there any  
10 conditions attached to your approval?

11 MR. CARLSON: There are no  
12 conditions attached to this.

13 MR. AIZENSTAT: And will the same  
14 ingress and egress be used?

15 MR. CARLSON: That is correct.  
16 There's no change in access to the site.

17 MR. SALMAN: Are there any  
18 improvements to the parking or any of  
19 the other issues surrounding the --

20 MR. CARLSON: No --

21 MR. SALMAN: It's just the building  
22 property?

23 MR. CARLSON: Building & Zoning has  
24 reviewed the parking situation. There's  
25 adequate parking available already on

14

1 the site to accommodate this.

2 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Is anybody from  
3 the audience here interested in this --  
4 talking on this particular -- or  
5 testifying on this particular proposal,  
6 application?

7 No? I don't know if we need the  
8 applicant to make a presentation.

9 MR. CARLSON: That's fine.

10 CHAIRMAN KORGE: If there's a  
11 motion -- I don't know, is there a  
12 motion?

13 MS. KEON: I'll move it.

14 CHAIRMAN KORGE: There's a motion  
15 to approve.

16 MR. SALMAN: I'll second.

17 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Seconded. Any  
18 discussion?

19 No discussion. Let's call the roll  
20 on that, please.

21 MS. MENENDEZ: Pat Keon?

22 MS. KEON: Yes.

23 MS. MENENDEZ: Javier Salman?

24 MR. SALMAN: Yes.

25 MS. MENENDEZ: Eibi Aizenstat?

15

1 MR. AIZENSTAT: Yes.

2 MS. MENENDEZ: Jeff Flanagan?

3 MR. FLANAGAN: Yes.

4 MS. MENENDEZ: Tom Korge?

5 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Yes.

6 MR. CARLSON: Thank you very much.

7 CHAIRMAN KORGE: Thank you.

8 ~~The next item on our agenda,~~

9 Application Number 02-07-456-P, Change  
10 of Land Use, Rezoning and Site Plan  
11 Review for St. Thomas Church and School.

12 MR. RIEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair,  
13 Members of the Board. Just for the  
14 record, I want to indicate that you have  
15 updated comment sheets that are shown in  
16 blue in front of you.

17 Basically, we've received three  
18 comments to date on the subject  
19 applications.

20 I'll have PowerPoints and all the  
21 other information up there. I have a  
22 brief PowerPoint, and I'll be happy to  
23 answer any questions.

24 Scot, if you could get the lights.

25 This is an application of

16

1 St. Thomas Church and School, proposed  
2 change in land use, rezoning and site  
3 plan approval. Basically, the request  
4 is to construct a student athletic field  
5 on a one-acre parcel located immediately  
6 east of the facility.

7 As the LPA, you're looking at a  
8 change in land use for that one-acre  
9 parcel. As the Planning and Zoning  
10 Board, you're looking at a change in  
11 zoning, and then site plan approval for  
12 the entire site.

13 To kind of orient you -- and again,  
14 it's probably better if you look at the  
15 PowerPoint, it might be a little bit  
16 tough for you to see this. This is the  
17 subject property, in entirety.

18 5690 and 5692, which is basically  
19 the existing school and church.

20 This is the one-acre parcel, which  
21 I'll refer to as 5450. Basically, a  
22 change in land use on this parcel,  
23 change the zoning on this parcel, and  
24 then, as I indicated, the site plan on  
25 the entire parcel.